## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## Na<sup>+</sup> diffusion mechanism and transition metal substitution in tunnel-type manganese-based oxides for Na-ion rechargeable batteries

Irene Quinzeni, Kotaro Fujii, Marcella Bini, Masatomo Yashima and Cristina Tealdi

interaction	A (eV)	ρ (Å)	C (eV Å <sup>6</sup> )	Spring constant, K (eV Å <sup>-2</sup> )	Shell charge, Y (e)
Na <sup></sup> O	997.11	0.342619	0.00	-	0.00
Mn <sup></sup> O	1267.50	0.3193	0.00	-	0.00
CuO	3860.60	0.2427	0.00	999999	1.00
00	22764.30	0.1490	43.00	42	-2.24

**Table SI-1** – Pair potential parameters used to describe the Na<sub>0.44</sub>MnO<sub>2</sub> system (cut-off 20 Å).

$$U_{ij} = \frac{q_i q_j}{r} + A_{ij} \exp\left(-\frac{r_{ij}}{\rho_{ij}}\right) - \frac{C_{ij}}{r_{ij}^6}$$

Buckingham function:

 Table SI-2
 – Lists of models prepared for the MD simulations.

label	composition	percentage occupancy of the Na ions in	
		the 1D channel	
modA	Na <sub>0.44</sub> MnO <sub>2</sub>	60%	
modB	Na <sub>0.44</sub> MnO <sub>2</sub>	71%	
modC	Na <sub>0.44</sub> MnO <sub>2</sub>	90%	
Cu10-modA	Na <sub>0.49</sub> Mn <sub>0.9</sub> Cu <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	60%	
Cu10-modB	Na <sub>0.49</sub> Mn <sub>0.9</sub> Cu <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	71%	
Cu10-modC	Na <sub>0.49</sub> Mn <sub>0.9</sub> Cu <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	90%	
Cu10-modA-el	Na <sub>0.44</sub> Mn <sub>0.9</sub> Cu <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	60%	
Cu10-modB-el	Na <sub>0.44</sub> Mn <sub>0.9</sub> Cu <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	71%	
Cu10-modC-el	Na <sub>0.44</sub> Mn <sub>0.9</sub> Cu <sub>0.1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	90%	

 Table SI-3 – EDS microanalysis results for NMO and NMCO samples.

Sample	Atomic %				
	Na	Mn	Cu		
NMO	14.3	31.5	-		
NMCO	15.62	27.41	2.36		

Lattice parameters and volume	Experimental	Calculated	Difference %
Lattice volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	703.34(8)	701.4673	-0.27
<i>a</i> (Å)	9.241(6)	9.1885	-0.57
<i>b</i> (Å)	26.660(17)	26.4828	-0.66
c (Å)	2.8550(18)	2.8827	0.97

Table SI-4 – Comparison between experimental (neutron diffraction data, this study) andcalculated structural parameters.



**Fig. SI-1**. Experimental and calculated (Rietveld refinement) XRD pattern of the NMCO sample. The experimental (blue) and calculated (red) patterns are compared; in the bottom, the difference curve (grey) and the bars of the expected reflections positions of the different phases (tunnel-type NMO, blue; P1 NMO polymorph, black; Na<sub>2</sub>Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, green and Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, pink) are also shown.



Fig. SI-2. Ex-situ XRD pattern of NMCO after GCPL measurements.



**Fig. SI-3**. Rietveld patterns of neutron diffraction data NMO at 1073 K. Red crosses, the blue line and the purple line denote the observed, calculated and difference plots, respectively. Green tick marks stand for the calculated peak positions.



**Figure SI-4**. Cell volume trend a) as a function of the percentage of occupation of the Na1 site for two different compositions; b) as a function of temperature for three different models and 60% occupation on the Na1 site.





**Figure SI-5**. Comparison among the Na<sup>+</sup> ion trajectories of a sample of Na<sub>0.44</sub>MnO<sub>2</sub> composition, with 71% of Na1 sites occupied, as the temperature changes: view along the c axis (upper panel); view along the a axis (lower panel). For the sake of clarity, only the positions occupied by the Na+ ions are reported; each point represents a position occupied by Na<sup>+</sup> along the simulation time. Legend: Na1 - cyan; Na2 - purple; Na3 – blue.