## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## A nano interlayer spacing and rich defect 1T-MoS<sub>2</sub> as cathode for

## superior performance aqueous zinc-ion batteries

Chengyan Cai, ‡ª Zengren Tao, ‡ª Yuanfei Zhu, ª Yuanming Tan, ª Anding Wang, ª

Haiyun Zhou <sup>a,\*</sup> and Yangyi Yang <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Materials Science and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University Test Center,

Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China.

E-mail: zhouhy@mail.sysu.edu.cn; cesyyy@mail.sysu.edu.cn

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.



Fig. S1. XRD pattern of 160-MoS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S2. Raman spectra of 200-MoS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S3. (a)  $MoS_2$  adsorption isotherms at different temperatures, pore volume-pore size distribution curve of (b) 220-MoS<sub>2</sub>, (c) 200-MoS<sub>2</sub>, (d) 180-MoS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S4. TEM images of (a) 220-MoS<sub>2</sub>, (b) 200-MoS<sub>2</sub>, (c) 180-MoS<sub>2</sub>, (d) 160-MoS<sub>2</sub>.





Fig. S5. Full range XPS spectra of (a) 220-MoS<sub>2</sub>, (b) 200-MoS<sub>2</sub>, (c) 180-MoS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S6. (a) CV curves of 220-MoS<sub>2</sub> at different scan rates, (b) CV curves of 180- $MoS_2$  at different scan rates.



Fig. S7. (a) CV curves of 1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> at different temperature, (b) CV curves of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> at different temperature.

At the same scan rates, 200-MoS<sub>2</sub> has a higher redox peak. The CV curve shape of 200-MoS<sub>2</sub> at different scanning speeds is similar, indicating that it has excellent cycle stability. By contrast, the large difference in the shape of the CV curve of 180-MoS<sub>2</sub> at different scanning speeds indicates that its stability is slightly worse.



Fig. S8. (a) Cycle performance of 200-MoS<sub>2</sub> at low current density (0.5 A  $g^{-1}$ ), (b) charge/discharge curves of 200-MoS<sub>2</sub> at low current density.



Fig. S9. 200-MoS<sub>2</sub> capacitive-controlled contribution calculation.



Fig. S10. XRD pattern of 200-MoS $_2$  electrode before and after cycling.

Table S1. data of sp	ecific surface area
----------------------	---------------------

Sample	220-MoS <sub>2</sub>	200-MoS <sub>2</sub>	180-MoS <sub>2</sub>
Specific surface area $(m^2/g)$	15.23	14.33	6.93

Atomic (%)	O 1s	S 2p	Mo 3d	N 1s	S/Mo
220	5.54	21.66	8.05	2.5	2.69
200	7.87	25.59	10.37	2.07	2.47
180	7.11	18.69	6.6	2.23	2.83

Table S2. Atomic percentages of  $MoS_2$  by XPS measurement.

	energy density (Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> )			power density (W kg <sup>-1</sup> )				
	0.5 A g-	1 A g <sup>-1</sup>	2 A g <sup>-1</sup>	5 A g <sup>-1</sup>	0.5 A g-	1 A g <sup>-1</sup>	2 A g <sup>-1</sup>	5 A g <sup>-1</sup>
	1				1			
180-MoS <sub>2</sub>	74.93	60.41	51.74	41.75	325.47	639.29	1265.68	3088.77
200-MoS <sub>2</sub>	100.32	87.07	77.99	66.36	331.99	674.81	1309.02	3257.61
220-MoS <sub>2</sub>	65.70	56.13	47.3	37.14	348.05	683.32	1332.64	3261.62

Table S3. Energy density and power density of  $MoS_2$  at different temperatures.

Table S4. The fitting values of impedance parameters of  $MoS_2$  at different temperatures.

Sample	$R_1/\Omega$	$R_2/\Omega$	$R_3/\Omega$
220-MoS <sub>2</sub>	5.124	4.753	411.5
200-MoS <sub>2</sub>	4.454	44.99	337.9
180-MoS <sub>2</sub>	3.829	64.06	437.1

Table S5. 200-MoS<sub>2</sub> capacitive-controlled contribution calculation results.

	1 mV s <sup>-1</sup>	2 mV s <sup>-1</sup>	3 mV s <sup>-1</sup>	4 mV s <sup>-1</sup>	5 mV s <sup>-1</sup>
Experiment	0.000543075	0.00106638	0.00137118	0.00175627	0.0021075
Capacitive	0.000306563	0.000613125	0.000919688	0.00122625	0.0015328
Contribution	56.4%	57.5%	67.1%	69.8%	72.7%

Table S6. Comparison of the Zn ion storage performance of  $MoS_2$  (in this work) and other recently reported Zn-ion battery cathodes.

Cathode material	Electrolyte	Specific capacity	Current density	Reference
MoS <sub>2</sub>	3 M Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	155 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	0.5 A g <sup>-1</sup>	This work
$Mo_6S_8$	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	$60 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$	60 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	1
$MoS_2$	3 M Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	134 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	0.5 A g <sup>-1</sup>	2
MoS <sub>2-X</sub>	3 M Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	88.6 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	1 A g <sup>-1</sup>	3
$WS_2$	2 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	22 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	50 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	4

$VS_2$	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	190.3 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	0.05 A g <sup>-1</sup>	5
VS <sub>2</sub> flake	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	125 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	200 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	6
ZnHCF	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	52.5 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	300 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	7
CuHCF	20 mM ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	$\sim 50 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$	60 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	8
$\alpha$ -MnO <sub>2</sub>	1 M ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	210 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	21 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	9
ZnMn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	3 M Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	150 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	0.5 A g <sup>-1</sup>	10
Quinones	3 M Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	120 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	500 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	11
Polyaniline	1 M Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	82 mA h g <sup>-1</sup>	5 A g <sup>-1</sup>	12
LiV <sub>2</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	$4 \text{ mol } kg^{-1} \text{ Zn}(CF_3SO_3)_2$	${\sim}110~mA~h~g^{-1}$	1500 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	13

## References

1 Cheng, Yingwen, Luo, Langli, Zhong, Li, Chen, Junzheng and Li, Bin, Acs Applied Materials & Interfaces 2016, 8, 13673.

2 A. JL, B.PX, A. WP et al., Chemical Engineering Journal 2020, 389, 124405.

3 A. Wx et al., Energy Storage Materials 2019, 16, 527-534.

4 W. Liu, J. Hao, C. Xu, M. Jian, F. Kang, Chemical Communications, 2017, 53, 6872-6874.

5 P. He et al., Advanced Energy Materials 2017, 7, 1601920.

6 Z. Wang et al., Nano Energy 2019,56, 92-99.

7 L. Zhang, L. Chen, X. Zhou, Z. Liu, Advanced Energy Materials, 2015, 5, 1400930.

8 R. Trócoli, F. Lamantia, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 481-5.

9 B. Lee, S. Y. Chong, H. R. Lee, K. Y. Chung, H. O. Si, Scientific Reports, 2014, 4, 6066.

10 Ning et al., Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 12894-12901.

11 Q. Zhao, W. Huang, Z. Luo, L. Liu, L. Yong, Science Advances, 2018, 4, eaao1761.

12 F. Wan et al., Advanced Functional Materials, 2018, 28, 1804975.

13 F. Wang et al., Energy & Environmental Science, 2018, 11, 3168-3175.