Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2021

pH Response of a Hydroxyl-FunctionalizedLuminescent Metal-Organic

Framework based Phosphor

Sayani Hui,^a Prakash Majee,^b Debal Kanti Singha,^a PoojaDaga,^b Sudip Kumar Mondal^{b*}an

d Partha Mahata^{a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India. Email: parthachem@gmail.com

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Siksha-Bhavana, Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan-731235, West Bengal, India. Email: <u>sudip.mondal@visva-bharati.ac.in</u>

ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

^{*} Corresponding Authors, E-mail: <u>parthachem@gmail.com</u>, <u>sudip.mondal@visva-bharati.ac.in</u>

Discussion on the B level alerts on Single crystal X-ray data obtained from checkCIF

Group 1

PLAT306_ALERT_2_B Isolated Oxygen Atom (H-atoms Missing ?) O100 Check

PLAT306_ALERT_2_B Isolated Oxygen Atom (H-atoms Missing ?) O200 Check

Explanation:

Hydrogen atoms of the solvate/lattice water molecules were not located but are included in the formula. The location of hydrogen atoms were not possible in disordered oxygen atoms [O100 and O200] of water molecules.

Group 2

PLAT430_ALERT_2_B Short Inter D...A Contact O18 ..O100......2.81 Ang.

PLAT430_ALERT_2_B Short Inter D...A Contact O19 ..O2002.77 Ang.

Explanation:

The presence of disordered oxygen atoms of lattice water molecules [O100 and O200] and coordinated water molecules [O18 and O19] is responsible for the observed short distances.

Group 3

PLAT934 ALERT 3 B Number of (Iobs-Icalc)/Sigma(W) > 10 Outliers

PLAT939_ALERT_3_B Large Value of Not (SHELXL) Weight Optimized S

Explanation:

This is due to weak quality of the data and refinement statistics if compared to that expected for small molecule structures from highly diffracting crystals. The ALERT reports the S value based on the supplied sigma(I) only. A large value of S generally indicates the presence of large outliers in the data set.

Bond	Distances, Å	Bond	Distances, Å
Y(1)-O(1)	2.380(2)	Y(2)-O(3)#2	2.381(2)
Y(1)-O(4)#1	2.343(2)	Y(2)-O(6)	2.402(2)
Y(1)-O(7)	2.369(2)	Y(2)-O(8)	2.397(2)
Y(1)-O(11)	2.336(3)	Y(2)-O(12)#3	2.437(2)
Y(1)-O(16)	2.373(3)	Y(2)-O(13)#3	2.420(3)
Y(1)-O(17)	2.405(3)	Y(2)-N(1)#2	2.460(3)
Y(1)-O(18)	2.382(3)	Y(2)-N(2)	2.478(3)
Y(1)-O(19)	2.376(2)	Y(2)-N(3)#3	2.467(3)
Y(2)-O(2)#2	2.368(2)		

Table S1: Selected bond distances (Å) observed in $[Y_2(CAM)_3(H_2O)_4].2H_2O$, 1a

Angle	Amplitude (°)	Angle	Amplitude (°)
O(11)-Y(1)-O(4)#1	117.15(9)	O(19)-Y(1)-O(1)	80.46(9)
O(11)-Y(1)-O(7)	78.00(9)	O(11)-Y(1)-O(18)	76.72(9)
O(4)#1-Y(1)-O(7)	139.73(9)	O(4)#1-Y(1)-O(18)	142.11(9)
O(11)-Y(1)-O(16)	144.09(9)	O(7)-Y(1)-O(18)	75.45(9)
O(4)#1-Y(1)-O(16)	77.78(8)	O(16)-Y(1)-O(18)	112.52(10)
O(7)-Y(1)-O(16)	71.62(9)	O(19)-Y(1)-O(18)	82.45(10)
O(11)-Y(1)-O(19)	71.35(9)	O(1)-Y(1)-O(18)	72.08(9)
O(4)#1-Y(1)-O(19)	70.86(9)	O(11)-Y(1)-O(17)	73.12(9)
O(7)-Y(1)-O(19)	145.54(9)	O(4)#1-Y(1)-O(17)	72.18(9)
O(16)-Y(1)-O(19)	142.34(8)	O(7)-Y(1)-O(17)	78.19(9)
O(11)-Y(1)-O(1)	140.16(9)	O(16)-Y(1)-O(17)	82.19(10)
O(4)#1-Y(1)-O(1)	77.24(9)	O(19)-Y(1)-O(17)	106.72(10)
O(7)-Y(1)-O(1)	116.20(9)	O(1)-Y(1)-O(17)	143.75(9)
O(16)-Y(1)-O(1)	72.60(9)	O(18)-Y(1)-O(17)	143.28(9)
O(2)#2-Y(2)-O(3)#2	129.30(8)	O(2)#2-Y(2)-O(8)	147.07(9)
O(3)#2-Y(2)-O(8)	76.49(9)	O(2)#2-Y(2)-O(6)	79.90(9)
O(3)#2-Y(2)-O(6)	84.85(9)	O(8)-Y(2)-O(6)	127.69(8)
O(2)#2-Y(2)-O(13)#3	79.41(9)	O(3)#2-Y(2)-O(13)#3	144.10(9)
O(8)-Y(2)-O(13)#3	87.92(9)	O(6)-Y(2)-O(13)#3	79.47(9)
O(2)#2-Y(2)-O(12)#3	83.79(9)	O(3)#2-Y(2)-O(12)#3	81.01(9)

Table S2: Selected bond angles observed in $[Y_2(CAM)_3(H_2O)_4].2H_2O$, 1a

O(8)-Y(2)-O(12)#3	80.68(9)	O(6)-Y(2)-O(12)#3	143.95(9)
O(13)#3-Y(2)-O(12)#3	128.61(8)	O(2)#2-Y(2)-N(1)#2	64.37(8)
O(3)#2-Y(2)-N(1)#2	64.97(8)	O(8)-Y(2)-N(1)#2	134.05(9)
O(6)-Y(2)-N(1)#2	74.14(9)	O(13)#3-Y(2)-N(1)#2	138.02(9)
O(12)#3-Y(2)-N(1)#2	69.82(9)	O(2)#2-Y(2)-N(3)#3	77.85(9)
O(3)#2-Y(2)-N(3)#3	134.06(9)	O(8)-Y(2)-N(3)#3	69.27(9)
O(6)-Y(2)-N(3)#3	140.63(9)	O(13)#3-Y(2)-N(3)#3	64.81(9)
O(12)#3-Y(2)-N(3)#3	64.28(9)	N(1)#2-Y(2)-N(3)#3	122.55(9)
O(2)#2-Y(2)-N(2)	136.32(9)	O(3)#2-Y(2)-N(2)	73.16(9)
O(8)-Y(2)-N(2)	63.93(8)	O(6)-Y(2)-N(2)	63.96(8)
O(13)#3-Y(2)-N(2)	70.94(9)	O(12)#3-Y(2)-N(2)	139.87(9)
N(1)#2-Y(2)-N(2)	122.28(9)	N(3)#3-Y(2)-N(2)	115.03(9)



Fig. S1: Figure show (a) distorted square anti-prism geometry observed in Y(1) and (b) distorted tricapped trigonal prism geometry observed in Y(2) in $[Y_2(CAM)_3(H_2O)_4].2H_2O$, **1a**.



Fig. S2: Figure show the connectivities with the Y^{3+} ions- (a) CAM(1), (b) CAM(2), (c) CAM(3) in [Y₂(CAM)₃(H₂O)₄].2H₂O, **1a**.



Fig. S3: Powder XRD (CuK α) patterns: (a) simulated from single crystal X-ray data of [Y₂(CAM)₃(H₂O)₄].2H₂O, **1a**, (b) hydrothermally synthesized [Y₂(CAM)₃(H₂O)₄].2H₂O, **1a**, and (c) hydrothermally synthesized [Y_{1.8}Tb_{0.2}(CAM)₃(H₂O)₄].2H₂O, **1**.



Fig. S4: Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of compound **1** in nitrogen atmosphere.



Fig. S5: N_2 sorption plot of compound 1.



Fig. S6: Representative EDX plot of compound 1. Note the presence of Tb and Y are in molar ratio of ~ 1:9.



Fig. S7: Figure shows luminescence spectra of aqueous solution of compound **1** at pH 6, pH 2 and pH 11 upon excitation at 280 nm.



Fig.S8: Absorption spectra of compound 1 at different pH (5-9).



Fig.S9: Powder XRD (CuK α) patterns: (a) hydrothermally synthesized [Y_{1.8}Tb_{0.2}(CAM)₃(H₂O)₄].2H₂O, **1**, (b) pH= 4, (c) pH= 5, (d) pH=6, (e) pH=7, (f) pH= 8 and (g) pH= 9.



Fig. S10: Figure shows luminescence spectra of compound **1** in phosphate buffer solution at different pH values upon excitation at 280 nm.



Fig. S11: Changes in luminescence intensity of **1** at different pH values in phosphate buffer, obtained by monitoring the luminescence intensity at $\lambda_{em} = 545$ nm.