Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2021

Supplementary Material

BiCl₃-Facilitated Removal of Methoxymethyl-Ether/Ester Derivatives and DFT Study of -O-C-O- Bond Cleavage

Angela Pacherille^a, Beza Tuga^a, Dhanashree Hallooman^b, Isaac Dos Reis^a, Mélodie Vermette^c, Bilkiss B. Issack^c, Lydia Rhyman^{b,d}, and Ponnadurai Ramasami^{b,d*} Rajesh Sunasee^a*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, State University of New York at Plattsburgh,

Plattsburgh, New York, USA, 12901.

^bComputational Chemistry Group, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Mauritius, Réduit 80837, Mauritius

^cDépartement des sciences expérimentales, Université de Saint-Boniface, Winnipeg, R2H 0H7 Canada

^dDepartment of Chemical Sciences, University of Johannesburg, Doornfontein, Johannesburg 2028, South Africa

*Authors for correspondence email: <u>rajesh.sunasee@plattsburgh.edu</u>, <u>p.ramasami@uom.ac.mu</u>

CONTENTS

S1. General Information

S2. General experimental procedure & characterization data for MOM protection

S3. General experimental procedure & characterization data for BiCl₃ mediated MOM cleavage

S4. ¹HNMR and ¹³CNMR spectra of MOM ethers and esters and deprotected products

S5. Computational data:

-Selected interatomic distances (Tables S5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5)

-Relative electronic energies for compounds 19 (Tables S5.6, 5.7), 20 (Table S5.8)

-Relative Gibbs free energy profile for compound 20 (Figures S5.1 (a), (b))

-Relative electronic energies, enthalpies, Gibbs free energies and entropies for compounds 21 (Table S5.9; Figures S5.2 (a), (b)), 22 (Tables S5.10, Figures S5.3 (a), (b)); 2a (Table S5.12);
3a (Tables S5.13, Figures S5.4 (a), (b))

-Mulliken charges (e) of the coordinating sites of $BiCl_3$ and phenolic MOM substrates (Table S5.11(a)), and Interaction energies (kcal/mol) obtained from the NBO analysis of the coordination of Bi with O1 or O2 for phenolic MOM molecular complexes (Table S5.11(b)).

-Relative electronic energies, enthalpies, Gibbs free energies and entropies (ΔS , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) for the reaction of one water molecule with the intermediates (Table S5.14)

S6. References

S1. General Information

Commercial grade reagents and solvents were used as received without further purification. ¹HNMR and ¹³CNMR spectra were recorded in deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃) on an Agilent/Varian VNMRS 400 MHz instrument. Chemical shifts (δ) are referenced to the residual proton in the NMR solvent (CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity, coupling constants (*J*) reported in Hertz (Hz) and integration and the following abbreviations are used: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, dd = doublet of doublets, br = broad, m = multiplet, td = triplet of doublets. IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo/Nicolet 6700 FT-IR Spectrometer and only the more significant peaks are reported. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on glass-backed plates coated with a 0.2 mm thickness of silica gel 60 F254; chromatograms were visualized by UV radiation (254 nm) or by staining with KMnO₄ or phosphomolybdic acid. Flash column chromatography was performed on 300–400 mesh silica gel using mixtures of ethyl acetate and hexane as the eluent system.

S2. General experimental procedure & characterization data for MOM protection

General experimental procedure for MOM ethers and esters

MOMCl (1.5 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of the alcohol or carboxylic acid substrate (1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) at 0 °C. DIPEA (2 mmol) was slowly added to the reaction mixture. The ice-bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Distilled water (15 mL) was added in the reaction mixture and the organic phase was separated, washed with 0.5 M of HCl, distilled water, 1M of NaOH, and brine. The organic phase was dried using anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography of the residue over silica gel (hexane: EtOAc mixture) gave the desired MOM protected alcohol or ester. (Note: In some cases, the crude MOM protected alcohols and esters were pure (as indicated by ¹HNMR and TLC) and were used directly for the next step without further purification).

2.1) Preparation of 1-(methoxymethoxy)hexane (1a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, 1-(methoxymethoxy)hexane (1a) was obtained as an oil in 84 % yield. FTIR (CDCl₃) 2929, 2860, 1467, 1110, 1048 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR

(400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.78 (t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 3H), 1.18-1.24 (m, 6H), 1.42-1.48 (m, 2H), 3.30 (s, 3H), 3.39 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.47 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.8, 22.5, 25.8, 29.6, 31.5, 54.7, 67.6, 96.1 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.¹

2.2) Preparation of 1-(methoxymethoxy)octane (2a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, 1-(methoxymethoxy)octane (**2a**) was obtained as a colorless liquid in 70 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2931, 1592, 1380, 1256 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.84 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.14-1.38 (m, 10H), 1.48-1.60 (m, 2H), 3.30 (s, 3H), 3.46 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.56 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.9, 22.6, 26.2, 29.2, 29.3, 29.7, 31.8, 54.5, 67.8, 96.3, ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.²

2.3) Preparation of (3-(methoxymethoxy)propyl)benzene (3a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, (3-(methoxymethoxy)propyl)benzene (**3a**) was obtained as an oil in 80 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3005, 1510, 1255 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.83-1.98 (m, 2H), 2.70 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.54 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.62 (s, 2H), 7.17-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.25-7.29 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.4, 32.4, 55.2, 67.1, 96.5, 125.8, 128.3, 128.4, 141.8 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.³

2.4) Preparation of (2-(methoxymethoxy)propyl)benzene (4a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, (2-(methoxymethoxy)propyl)benzene (4a) was obtained as an oil in 72 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2986, 1495, 1215 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 2.71 (dd, *J* = 6.0, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 3.92-3.97 (m, 1H), 4.53 (d, *J* = 7.0, 1H), 4.65 (d, *J* = 6.8, 1H), 7.18-7.30 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 20.1, 43.6, 55.0, 73.9, 94.7, 126.1, 128.2, 129.5, 138.9 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.⁴

2.5) Preparation of trans-2-(methoxymethoxy)cyclohexyl)benzene (5a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, trans-2-(methoxymethoxy)cyclohexyl)benzene (**5a**) was obtained as an oil in 74 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2930, 2859, 1455 cm⁻¹; δ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.34-1.62 (m, 4H), 1.82-1.86 (m, 2H), 2.07-2.18 (m, 1H), 2.39-2.52 (m, 1H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 3.59-3.68 (m, 1H), 4.13 (d, *J* = 7.2, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 7.2, 1H), 7.14-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.35 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.0, 25.9, 33.3, 34.4, 53.2, 74.4, 79.4, 94.6, 126.8, 128.1, 128.8, 144.6 ppm.

2.6) Preparation of 3-(methoxymethoxy)-1,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-1-ene (6a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, 3-(methoxymethoxy)-1,5,5trimethylcyclohex-1-ene (**6a**) was obtained as an oil in 87 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2996, 1672, 1490, 1211 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.96 (s, 3H), 1.31 (dd, J = 8.8, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.73 (dd, J = 6.0, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 1.84 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 4.12-4.18 (m, 1H), 4.67 (q, J = 6.8, 2H), 5.41 (d, J = 3.6, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.6, 26.3, 30.8, 31.1, 42.0, 44.1, 55.2, 72.5, 95.2, 121.4, 136.5 ppm.

2.7) Preparation of 6-(methoxymethoxy)-2,6-dimethylhept-1-ene (7a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, 6-(methoxymethoxy)-2,6dimethylhept-1-ene (**7a**) was obtained as an oil in 82 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2972, 2943, 1649, 1449, 1382, 1036 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (s, 6H), 1.42-1.56 (m, 4H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.98-2.40 (m, 2H), 3.34 (s 3H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 4.68 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 18.6, 21.9, 22.3, 26.3, 38.1, 41.4, 55.0, 76.2, 90.9, 109.8, 145.9 ppm.

2.8) Preparation of 1-(methoxymethoxy)but-2-yne (8a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, 1-(methoxymethoxy)but-2-yne (8a) was obtained as an oil in 70 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2923, 2889, 2222, 1448, 1148, 1042 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.84 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 4.16 (q, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.6, 54.7, 55.5, 74.5, 82.4, 94.6 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.⁵

2.9) Preparation of 1-(methoxymethoxy)hept-3-yne (9a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, 1-(methoxymethoxy)hept-3-yne (**9a**) was obtained as an oil in 75 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2961, 2932, 2875, 1465, 1208, 1150 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.39 (sextet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.00-2.04 (m, 2H), 2.33-2.37 (m, 2H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 3.51 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.54 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.4, 20.2, 20.7, 22.3, 55.2, 66.5, 76.7, 81.3, 96.3 ppm.

2.10) Preparation of (3-(methoxymethoxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)benzene (10a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, (3-(methoxymethoxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)benzene (**10a**) was obtained as an oil in 68 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2948, 2888, 2237, 1490, 1149, 1041 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.41 (s, 3H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 4.77 (s, 2H), 7.24-7.30 (m, 3H), 7.42-7.44 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 54.9, 55.6, 84.6, 86.1, 94.9, 122.5, 128.2, 128.4, 131.7 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.⁶

2.11) Preparation of 1-bromo-4-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)benzene (11a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, 1-bromo-4-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)benzene (11a) was obtained as a clear oil in 82 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2934, 2884, 1594, 1487, 1208, 1148, 1042 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.38 (s, 3H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.4, 68.4, 95.7, 121.5, 129.4, 131.5, 136.9 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.⁷

2.12) Preparation of 9-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)anthracene (12a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, 9-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)anthracene (12a) was obtained as a pale yellow solid in 85 % yield; m.p. = 81-82 °C. FTIR (neat) 3003, 2962, 2885, 1526, 1446, 1146, 1037 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.51 (s, 3H), 4.79 (s, 2H), 5.60 (s, 2H), 7.45-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.53-7.57 (m, 2H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.42 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 8.47 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.7, 61.0, 95.6, 124.2, 125.0, 126.3, 128.2, 128.5, 129.0, 131.1, 131.4 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.⁸

2.13) Preparation of methoxymethyl hexanoate (13a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, methoxymethyl hexanoate (13a) was obtained as an oil in 76 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2958, 2933, 2873, 1737, 1150, 1087 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.82 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.23-1.26 (m, 4H), 1.55-1.58 (m, 2H), 2.26 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 5.13 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.5, 22.0, 24.2, 31.0, 33.9, 56.9, 89.8, 172.9 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.⁹

2.14) Preparation of methoxymethyl benzoate (14a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, methoxymethyl benzoate **(14a)** was obtained as a clear oil in 88 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2930, 2860, 1719, 1636, 1455, 1260, 1163, 1055 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.54 (s, 3H), 5.48 (s, 2H), 7.42-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.55-7.58 (m, 1H), 8.06-8.08 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 57.7, 90.9, 128.4, 129.7, 129.8, 133.2, 166.0 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.¹⁰

2.15) Preparation of methoxymethyl 2-phenylacetate (15a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, methoxymethyl 2-phenylacetate **(15a)** was obtained as an oil in 76 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2962, 1735, 1475, 1126, 1080 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 5.20 (s, 2H), 7.21-7.29 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 40.6, 56.7, 90.2, 127.3, 128.2, 129.1, 130.2, 172.3 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.¹¹

2.16) Preparation of methoxymethyl 2-(4-bromophenyl)acetate (16a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, methoxymethyl 2-(4bromophenyl)acetate (16a) was obtained as an oil in 72 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2959, 1738, 1488, 1130, 1088 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.39 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 40.7, 57.7, 90.8, 121.3, 130.9, 131.7, 132.6, 170.6 ppm.

2.17) Preparation of trans-methoxymethyl cinnamate (17a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, methoxymethyl cinnamate (17a) was obtained as a clear oil in 90 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2959, 1715, 1638, 1455, 1138, 1089 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.51 (s, 3H), 5.36 (s, 2H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H), 7.38-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.51-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 57.7, 90.5, 117.6, 128.2, 128.9, 130.5, 134.2, 145.7, 166.3 ppm; spectra data was consistent with previous literature report.¹⁰

2.18) Preparation of methoxymethyl 2-acetoxybenzoate (18a)

Following the general procedure for MOM protection, methoxymethyl 2-acetoxybenzoate **(18a)** was obtained as an oil in 79 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2990, 2860, 1740, 1718, 1610, 1459, 1310, 1180 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.34 (s, 3H), 3.51 (s, 3H), 5.43 (s, 2H), 7.11

(dd, J = 1.2, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.34 (m, 1H), 7.55-7.57 (m, 1H), 8.06 (dd, J = 1.6, 7.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 20.9, 57.8, 91.2, 122.9, 123.9, 126.0, 131.9, 134.2, 150.9, 166.9, 169.7 ppm.

S3. General experimental procedure & characterization data for BiCl₃ mediated MOM cleavage

BiCl₃ (100 mol%) was added to a stirred solution of MOM protected alcohol MOM ethers or carboxylic MOM esters (1 mmol) in MeCN/H₂O (5 mL/0.1 mL; 5:0.1 v/v) and the mixture was stirred at 50 °C until reaction was complete (TLC control). The mixture was filtered over a pad of celite using CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), the resulting filtrate was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography of the residue over silica gel (hexane:EtOAc mixture) afforded the desired pure alcohol or carboxylic acid. All products were characterized from their spectra (FTIR, ¹HNMR, ¹³CNMR) and by comparison with authentic samples.

3.1) 1-hexanol (1b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 1-(methoxymethoxy)hexane (**1b**) was obtained as an oil in 79 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3324, 2928, 2859, 1467, 1379, 1057 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.85 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.21-1.34 (m, 6H), 1.48-1.55 (quintet, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.07 (br s, 1H), 3.57 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.9, 22.6, 25.4, 31.6, 32.7, 62.8 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.2) 1-octanol (2b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 1-octanol (**2b**) was obtained as a colorless liquid in 84 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3317, 2895, 1599, 1362 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.20-1.38 (m, 10H), 1.50-1.60 (m, 2H), 3.62 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.0, 22.6, 25.7, 29.2, 29.4, 31.8, 32.7, 63.1 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.3) 3-phenyl-propan-1-ol (3b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 3-phenyl-propan-1ol (**3b**) was obtained as an oil in 88 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3383, 2968, 2940, 1456, 1375, 1186 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.84-1.94 (m, 2H), 2.70 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.18-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.26-7.29 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 32.0, 34.2, 62.3, 125.8, 128.4, 128.5, 141.8 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.4) 1-phenyl-propan-2-ol (4b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 1-phenyl-propan-2ol (**4b**) was obtained as an oil in 78 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3475, 2973, 2929, 1605, 1496, 1452, 1065 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.92 (br s, 1H), 2.78-2.67 (m, 2H), 4.00 (sextet, *J* = 6, 1H), 7.20-7.25 (m, 3H), 7.29-7.33 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.8, 45.8, 68.8, 126.4, 128.5, 129.4, 138.6 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.5) trans-2-phenylcyclohexan-1-ol (5b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, trans-2phenylcyclohexan-1-ol (**5b**) was obtained as an oil in 81 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3296, 2928, 2854, 1580, 1446, 1020 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.73-1.77 (m, 4H), 1.84-1.87 (m, 2H), 2.09-2.12 (m, 1H), 2.39-2.45 (m, 1H), 3.65 (td, *J* = 4.4, 10 Hz, 1H), 7.21-7.25 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.34 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.0, 26.0, 33.3, 34.4, 53.2, 74.4, 126.8, 127.9, 128.7, 143.3 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.6) 3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-ol (6b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 3,5,5trimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-ol (**6b**) was obtained as an oil in 78 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3318, 2924, 2866, 1672, 1455, 1364, 1019 cm⁻¹; ; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 1.23 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (d, *J* = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.66-1.73 (m, 1H), 1.84 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 4.19-4.25 (m, 1H), 5.41 (m 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.4, 26.0, 31.0, 31.3, 44.1, 45.0, 66.5, 123.8, 136.5 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.7) 2,6-dimethylhept-6-en-2-ol (7b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 3,5,5trimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-ol (**7b**) was obtained as an oil in 85 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3383, 2969, 29740, 1650, 1456, 1374, 1151 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.19 (s, 6H), 1.40-1.50 (m, 4H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.99 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 2H)). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.2, 22.3, 29.2, 38.1, 43.4, 70.9, 109.9, 145.7 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.8) but-2-yn-1-ol (8b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, but-2-yn-1-ol (**8b**) was obtained as an oil in 85 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3378, 2922, 2223, 1668, 1439, 1366, 1037 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.62 (br s, 1H), 1.83 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 3H), 4.21(q, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.5, 51.4, 77.4, 82.0 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.9) hept-3-yn-1-ol (9b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, hept-3-yn-1-ol (**9b**) was obtained as an oil in 75 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3337, 2962, 2934, 1457, 1340, 1043 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.96 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (sextet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.63 (br s, 1H), 2.10-2.15 (m, 2H), 2.34-2.44 (m, 2H), 3.66 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.4, 20.2, 20.7, 22.3, 55.2, 66.5, 76.7, 81.3, 96.3 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.10) 3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol (10b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol (**10b**) was obtained as an oil in 82 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3306, 2920, 2932, 2863, 2238, 1489, 1441, 1256, 1019 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.70 (s, 1H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 7.24-7.31 (m, 3H), 7.42-7.44 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 51.7, 85.7, 87.1, 122.5, 128.3, 128.5, 131.7 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.11) (4-bromophenyl)methanol (11b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, (4bromophenyl)methanol (**11b**) was obtained as an oil in 88 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3353, 2921, 1591, 1486, 1403, 1009 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.98 (s, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 64.5, 121.4, 128.6, 131.6, 136.7 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.12) 9-anthracenemethanol (12b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 9anthracenemethanol (**12b**) was obtained as a solid in 92 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3417, 2927, 2861, 1475 cm⁻¹;¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.68 (s, 2H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 7.45-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.50 (m, 2H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.42 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.47 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.4, 121.8, 123.6, 123.8, 125.1, 126.5, 128.4, 129.1, 131.5 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.13) hexanoic acid (13b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, hexanoic acid (**13b**) was obtained as an oil in 77 % yield. FTIR (neat) 2958, 2932, 1704, 1412, 1291 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.27-1.31 (m, 4H), 1.56-1.64 (m, 2H), 2.31 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 11.7 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.8, 22.2, 24.3, 31.1, 34.0, 180.7 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.14) benzoic acid (14b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, benzoic acid (**14b**) was obtained as a solid in 89 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3071, 2927, 2849, 1678, 1481, 1160 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.59-7.64 (m, 1H), 8.10-8.13 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 128.5, 129.2, 130.2, 133.8, 172.0 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.15) 2-phenylacetic acid (15b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 2-phenylacetic acid (**15b**) was obtained as a white solid in 83 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3032, 2921, 2849, 1692, 1407, 1226 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.64 (s, 2H), 7.26-7.32 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 40.9, 127.3, 128.6, 129.3, 133.2, 177.3 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.16) 2-(4-bromophenyl)acetic acid (16b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 2-(4bromophenyl)acetic acid (**16b**) was obtained as a solid in 85 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3375, 2916, 1693, 1487, 1244, 1070 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.60 (s, 2H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 10.8 (br s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 40.3, 121.5, 131.1, 131.7, 132.1, 177.3 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.17) trans-cinnamic acid (17b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, trans-cinnamic acid (**17b**) was obtained as a solid in 87 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3370, 3027, 2814, 1668, 1628, 1578, 1449, 1220 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.45 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H), 7.39-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.54-7.56 (m, 2H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H), 9.61 (br s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 117.3, 128.4, 128.9, 130.7, 134.0, 147.1, 172.4 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

3.18) 2-acetoxybenzoic acid (18b)

Following the general procedure for BiCl₃ mediated MOM deprotection, 2-acetoxybenzoic acid (**18b**) was obtained as a solid in 85 % yield. FTIR (neat) 3410, 2993, 2867, 1749, 1679, 1604, 1456, 1304, 1183 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.34 (s, 3H), 7.12 (dd, J = 0.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.36 (m, 1H), 7.59-7.63 (m, 1H), 8.11 (dd, J = 1.6, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 11.2 (br s, IH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.0, 122.2, 124.0, 126.1, 132.5, 134.9, 151.2, 169.7, 170.2 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.

Control experiment for reaction of HCl with phenolic MOM ether 19

3M HCl (0.1 mL, 0.3 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of the phenolic MOM ether **19** (0.138 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN/H₂O (5 mL/0.1 mL; 5:0.1 v/v) and the mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 24h. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL), washed with brine (10 mL) and the resulting filtrate was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography of the residue over silica gel (hexane:EtOAc mixture) afforded the desired pure phenol¹² (0.06 g, 64%). FTIR (CDCl₃) 3326, 3045, 1594, 1498, 1221 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.30 (s, 1H), 6.91-7.06 (m, 3H), 7.28-7.32 (m, 2H);

 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 115.8, 121.5, 129.9, 156.3 ppm; spectra data was consistent by comparison with authentic sample.







































FULSE SEQUENCE Relax. delay 1.000 sec Pulse 45.0 degrees Acq. time 1.547 sec Width 21186.4 Hz 512 repetitions

OBSERVE C13, 100.5591489 DECOUPLE H1, 399.9187579 Power 39 dB continuously on WALFZ-16 modulated

DATA PROCESSING Line broadening 0.5 Hz FT size 65536 Total time 21 minutes





OBSERVE C13, 100.5591489 DECOUPLE H1, 399.9187579 Power 39 dB continuously on WALTZ-16 modulated

DATA PROCESSING Line broadening 0.5 Mr FT size 65536 Total time 42 minutes



Solvent: cdc13 Temp. 25.0 C / 298.1 K Operator: vnmr1 File: RS_Tanie_MOM_1H VNMRS-400 "400vnmrs"

PULSE SEQUENCE Relax. delay 1.000 sec Pulse 45.0 degrees Acq. time 3.406 sec Width 4607.7 Hz 16 repetitions

DESERVE M1, 399.9167583

DATA PROCESSING FT size 32768 Total time 1 minute



PULSE SEQUENCE Relax. delay 1.000 sec Pulse 45.0 degrees Acq. time 1.547 sec Width 21186.4 Hz 512 repetitions

OBSERVE C13, 100.5591489 DECOUPLE H1, 399.9187579 Power 39 dB continuously on Wal/TZ-16 modulated

DATA PROCESSING Line broadening 0.5 Hz FT size 65536 Total time 21 minutes





S5. Computational data

Table S5.1: Selected interatomic distances (in Å) in the MCs, TSs and INs for the reaction **20** + BiCl₃ according to M06-2X/6-31G(d) calculations in the gas phase. Interatomic distances corresponding to Bi-Cl cleavage are shown in blue.

		Pathw	ay 1				Pathwa	ay 2	
	<i>d</i> (Bi-O1)	<i>d</i> (O1-C)				d(Bi-O2)	d(O2-C)		
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.484				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.504
20MC1	2.404	1.481	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.536	20MC2	2.379	1.445	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.488
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.504				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.543
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.525				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.482
20TS1	2.083	2.513	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.496	20TS2	2.048	2.444	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.744
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.682				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.531
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.440				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.464
20IN1	2.032	3.073	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.457	20IN2	1.996	2.916	d(BiCl2)	3.574
			<i>d</i> (BiCl3)	3.164				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.454

Table S5.2: Selected interatomic distances (in Å) in the MCs, TSs and INs for the reaction **21** + BiCl₃ according to M06-2X/6-31G(d) calculations in the gas phase. Interatomic distances corresponding to Bi-Cl cleavage are shown in blue.

		Pathway 1			Pathway 2				
	<i>d</i> (Bi-O1)	<i>d</i> (O1-C)				<i>d</i> (Bi-O2)	<i>d</i> (O2-C)		
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.580				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.512
21MC1	2.255	1.476	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.437	21MC2	2.327	1.460	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.494
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.553				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.553
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.534				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.481
21TS1	2.074	2.426	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.513	21TS2	2.043	2.493	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.731
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.663				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.545
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.450				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.468
21IN1	2.022	3.107	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.437	21IN2	1.996	2.904	d(BiCl2)	3.548
			d(BiCl3)	3.258				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.465

Table S5.3: Selected interatomic distances (in Å) in the MCs, TSs and INs for the reaction **22** + BiCl₃ according to M06-2X/6-31G(d) calculations in the gas phase. Interatomic distances corresponding to Bi-Cl cleavage are shown in blue.

		Pathway 1			Pathway 2					
	<i>d</i> (Bi-O1)	<i>d</i> (O1-C)				<i>d</i> (Bi-O2)	<i>d</i> (O2-C)			
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.490				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.535	
22MC1	2.334	1.480	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.554	22MC2	2.337	1.437	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.445	
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.514				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.592	
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.535				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.478	
22TS1	2.075	2.431	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.513	22TS2	2.043	2.586	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.535	
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.661				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.744	
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.456				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.468	
22IN1	2.020	2.884	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.445	22IN2	1.996	2.914	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.464	
			d(BiCl3)	3.427				d(BiCl3)	3.570	

Table S5.4: Selected interatomic distances (in Å) in the MCs, TSs and INs for the reaction $2a + BiCl_3$ according to M06-2X/6-31G(d) calculations in the gas phase. Interatomic distances corresponding to Bi-Cl cleavage are shown in blue.

		Pathway 1			Pathway 2					
	<i>d</i> (Bi-O1)	<i>d</i> (O1-C)				<i>d</i> (Bi-O2)	<i>d</i> (O2-C)			
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.522				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.484	
2aMC1	2.295	1.458	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.541	2aMC2	2.294	1.472	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.554	
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.520				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.533	
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.737				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.477	
2aTS1	2.037	2.523	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.544	2aTS2	2.034	2.548	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.531	
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.488				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.777	
			d(BiCl1)	3.236				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.461	
2aIN1	1.989	2.919	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.464	2aIN2	1.991	2.935	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.462	
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.457				d(BiCl3)	3.228	

Table S5.5: Selected interatomic distances (in Å) in the MCs, TSs and INs for the reaction 3a+ BiCl₃ according to M06-2X/6-31G(d) calculations in the gas phase. Interatomic distancescorresponding to Bi-Cl cleavage are shown in blue.

		Pathway 1	1		Pathway 2					
	<i>d</i> (Bi-O1)	<i>d</i> (O1-C)				<i>d</i> (Bi-O2)	<i>d</i> (O2-C)	d(Bi-C	1)	
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.530				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.482	
3aMC1	2.298	1.459	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.547	3aMC2	2.292	1.471	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.555	
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.506				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.538	
			d(Bi-Cl1)	2.731				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.471	
3aTS1	2.039	2.513	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.551	3aTS2	2.034	2.544	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.528	
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.485				d(Bi-Cl3)	2.787	
			<i>d</i> (BiCl1)	3.247				d(Bi-Cl1)	2.464	
3aIN1	1.989	2.932	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.465	3aIN2	1.990	2.948	d(Bi-Cl2)	2.461	
			d(Bi-Cl3)	2.455				d(BiCl3)	3.233	

Table S5.6: Relative electronic energies (ΔE , in kcal mol⁻¹), enthalpies (ΔH , in kcal mol⁻¹), Gibbs free energies (ΔG , in kcal mol⁻¹) and entropies (ΔS , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) including zeropoint energy corrections computed using the M06-2X functional in correlation with 6-31+G(d) and 6-311G(d) basis sets, respectively for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set in the gas phase for the reactions **19** + BiCl₃ (**19** = (methoxymethoxy)benzene) at 25°C.

	O1 (Pa	athway 1))		O2 (Pathway 2)				
	ΔΕ	ΔH	ΔG	ΔS		ΔΕ	ΔH	ΔG	ΔS
			Ν	/106-2X/6	5-31+G(d)			-	
19MC1	-11.7	-11.5	1.9	-45.2	19MC2	-15.2	-15.3	-0.1	-51.2
19TS1	11.1	11.3	25.2	-46.6	19TS2	21.2	21.6	34.6	-43.6
19IN1	-10.0	-9.5	2.9	-41.6	19IN2	-9.0	-8.6	3.8	-41.8
			Ν	A06-2X/0	5-311G(d)				
19MC1	-14.4	-14.2	-0.6	-45.9	19MC2	-18.7	-18.8	-3.8	-50.2
19TS1	7.2	7.3	21.4	-47.0	19TS2	17.0	17.3	30.1	-42.7
19IN1	-12.3	-11.7	0.2	-40.0	19IN2	-12.4	-12.0	0.6	-42.5

Table S5.7: Relative electronic energies (ΔE , in kcal mol⁻¹), enthalpies (ΔH , in kcal mol⁻¹), free energies (ΔG , in kcal mol⁻¹) and entropies (ΔS , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) including zero-point energy corrections computed using the B3LYP functional and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set in the gas phase for the reactions **19** + BiCl₃ (**19** = (methoxymethoxy)benzene).

		O1 (Path	way 1)					O2 (Pathy	way 2)		
	ΔE^{a}	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}		ΔE^a	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}
					B3LYP/	6-31G(d)					
19MC1	1.9	2.4	15.9	17.0	-45.2	19MC2	1.5	1.9	14.4	15.4	-41.9
19TS1	22.6	23.1	36.4	37.5	-44.5	19TS2	29.3	29.8	42.7	43.7	-43.0
19IN1	2.4	3.3	13.9	14.9	-35.8	19IN2	3.7	4.4	16.2	17.3	-39.8
					MP2/6	-31G(d)					
19MC1	-1.0	-0.7	12.4	13.5	-43.9	19MC2	-2.2	-2.1	12.4	13.7	-48.8
19TS1	22.6	22.9	35.8	36.9	-43.4	19TS2	31.9	32.3	44.5	45.4	-40.6
19IN1	-3.6	-3.0	8.1	9.0	-37.1	19IN2	-4.1	-3.6	8.2	9.1	-39.4
a at 25 °C											

^b at 50 °C

Table S5.8: Relative electronic energies (ΔE , in kcal mol⁻¹), enthalpies (ΔH , in kcal mol⁻¹), Gibbs free energies (ΔG , in kcal mol⁻¹) and entropies (ΔS , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) including zeropoint energy corrections computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set in the gas phase for the reactions **20** + BiCl₃ (**20** = 1-(methoxymethoxy)-4-nitrobenzene).

	O1 (Pathway 1)					O2 (Pathway 2)					
		OI (Path	way I)					02 (Pat	hway 2)		
	ΔE^{a}	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}		ΔE^{a}	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}
					B3LYP	/6-31G(d)		-		-	
20MC1	9.7	10.4	21.7	22.7	-38.1	20MC2	5.7	6.0	18.1	19.1	-40.4
20TS1	27.9	28.6	39.7	40.6	-37.3	20TS2	39.5	40.1	51.0	51.9	-36.3
20IN1	7.1	8.2	15.5	16.1	-24.7	20IN2	10.6	11.4	21.3	22.1	-33.2
					M06-2X	K/6-31G(d)					
20MC1	-3.6	-3.2	9.1	10.1	-41.2	20MC2	-6.2	-6.0	6.1	7.1	-40.5
20TS1	18.8	19.2	31.4	32.4	-40.9	20TS2	31.7	32.1	44.5	45.5	-41.6
20IN1	-2.5	-1.7	9.0	9.9	-35.9	20IN2	-0.5	0.0	11.8	12.8	-39.7
					MP2/6	5-31G(d)					
20MC1	7.6	8.0	20.3	21.4	-41.3	20MC2	5.9	6.2	18.6	19.6	-41.6
20TS1	29.2	29.6	41.4	42.4	-39.7	20TS2	42.8	43.3	55.3	56.3	-40.1
20IN1	-0.9	-0.3	10.1	11.0	-35.0	20IN2	4.3	4.9	15.8	16.7	-36.4
^a at 25°C											

^b at 50°C



Figure S5.1(a): Relative Gibbs free energy profile computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set for the reaction $20 + BiCl_3$ via pathway 1, where BiCl₃ is attached to O1 in the gas phase at 50°C.



Figure S5.1(b): Relative Gibbs free energy profile computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set for the reaction $20 + BiCl_3$ via pathway 2, where BiCl₃ is attached to O2 in the gas phase at 50°C.

Table S5.9: Relative electronic energies (ΔE , in kcal mol⁻¹), enthalpies (ΔH , in kcal mol⁻¹), Gibbs free energies (ΔG , in kcal mol⁻¹) and entropies (ΔS , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) including zeropoint energy corrections computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set in the gas phase for the reactions **21** + BiCl₃ (**21** = 1-methoxy-4-(methoxymethoxy)benzene).

		O1 (Pat	hway 1)					O2 (Pathy	way 2)		
	ΔE^{a}	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}		ΔE^{a}	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}
					B3LYP/	6-31G(d)					
21MC1	3.2	3.7	15.8	16.9	-40.7	21MC2	0.7	0.9	13.6	14.7	-42.5
21TS1	23.4	23.9	36.3	37.3	-41.5	21TS2	28.3	28.9	40.6	41.6	-39.2
21IN1	3.2	4.1	13.5	14.3	-31.6	21IN2	4.6	5.3	16.5	17.4	-37.5
					M06-2X	/6-31G(d)					
21MC1	-13.4	-13.5	1.1	2.3	-48.9	21MC2	-12.4	-12.5	0.9	2.0	-45.0
21TS1	12.8	12.7	27.4	28.7	-49.4	21TS2	19.8	19.9	33.5	34.6	-45.6
21IN1	-6.2	-5.8	6.3	7.3	-40.8	21IN2	-8.3	-8.1	5.2	6.3	-44.7
					MP2/6	-31G(d)					
21MC1	1.2	1.6	14.2	15.3	-42.4	21MC2	1.2	1.4	14.2	15.3	-43.1
21TS1	25.2	25.6	38.4	39.4	-42.8	21TS2	33.2	33.7	46.0	47.0	-41.1
21IN1	-3.7	-3.1	8.2	9.1	-37.9	21IN2	-1.6	-1.0	10.5	11.5	-38.6
^a at $2\overline{5^{\circ}C}$											

^b at 50°C



Figure S5.2(a): Relative Gibbs free energy profile computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set for the reaction $21 + BiCl_3$ via pathway 1, where BiCl₃ is attached to O1 in the gas phase at 50°C.



Figure S5.2(b): Relative Gibbs free energy profile computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set for the reaction $21 + BiCl_3$ via pathway 2, where BiCl₃ is attached to O2 in the gas phase at 50°C.

Table S5.10: Relative electronic energies (ΔE , in kcal mol⁻¹), enthalpies (ΔH , in kcal mol⁻¹), Gibbs free energies (ΔG , in kcal mol⁻¹) and entropies (ΔS , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) including zeropoint energy corrections computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set in the gas phase for the reactions **22** + BiCl₃ (**22** = 1-ethyl-4-(methoxymethoxy)benzene).

		O1 (Path	way 1)				(D2 (Pathy	way 2)		
	ΔE^{a}	$\Delta H^{\rm a}$	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}		ΔE^{a}	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}
	1	1	1		B3LYP/6	-31G(d)	1	1	1	1	
22MC1	4.2	4.8	16.4	17.3	-38.8	22MC2	3.6	4.0	16.4	17.5	-41.8
22TS1	25.1	25.7	36.9	37.8	-37.4	22TS2	33.7	34.5	45.2	46.1	-36.1
22IN1	4.8	5.7	14.4	15.1	-29.0	22IN2	6.2	7.0	17.1	18.0	-34.0
					M06-2X/	6-31G(d)					
22MC1	-9.6	-9.3	3.5	4.6	-43.1	22MC2	-12.0	-11.9	1.6	2.8	-45.5
22TS1	14.6	14.8	27.9	29.0	-43.8	22TS2	25.8	26.4	37.8	38.8	-38.3
22IN1	-7.1	-6.6	4.7	5.7	-37.9	22IN2	-6.5	-5.9	4.7	5.6	-35.6
					MP2/6-	31G(d)					
22MC1	0.2	0.6	13.6	14.7	-43.8	22MC2	-0.2	-0.1	13.9	15.1	-46.8
22TS1	24.0	24.4	37.3	38.4	-43.5	22TS2	36.1	36.7	48.5	49.5	-39.5
22IN1	-5.7	-5.2	6.3	7.2	-38.3	22IN2	-2.7	-2.1	9.6	10.6	-39.2
a at 250											

^a at 25° ^b at 50°C



Figure S5.3(a): Relative Gibbs free energy profile computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set for the reaction $22 + BiCl_3$ via pathway 1, where BiCl_3 is attached to O1 in the gas phase at 50°C.



Figure S5.3(b): Relative Gibbs free energy profile computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set for the reaction $22 + BiCl_3$ via pathway 2, where BiCl₃ is attached to O2 in the gas phase at 50°C.

	BiCl3	19	20	21	22
Bi	1.19				
Cl	-0.40				
01		-0.54	-0.52	-0.54	-0.54
02		-0.48	-0.48	-0.48	-0.48

Table S5.11(a): Mulliken charges (e) of the coordinating sites of BiCl₃ and phenolic MOM substrates.

Table S5.11(b): Interaction energies (kcal/mol) obtained from Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis of the coordination of Bi with O1 or O2 for phenolic MOM molecular complexes.

	19MC	20MC	21MC	22MC
O1-Bi	54.92	47.22	47.44	56.01
O2-Bi	47.77	47.53	37.37	37.76

Compound 2a

Table S5.12: Relative electronic energies (ΔE , in kcal mol⁻¹), enthalpies (ΔH , in kcal mol⁻¹), Gibbs free energies (ΔG , in kcal mol⁻¹) and entropies (ΔS , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) including zeropoint energy corrections computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set in the gas phase for the reactions $2a + BiCl_3$ (2a = 1-(methoxymethoxy)octane).

O1 (Pathway 1)					O2 (Pathway 2)						
	ΔE^{a}	$\Delta H^{\rm a}$	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}		ΔE^{a}	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}
B3LYP/6-31G(d)											
2aMC1	0.8	1.2	13.0	13.9	-39.4	2aMC2	-0.5	-0.2	11.4	12.4	-38.9
2aTS1	26.2	26.8	38.1	39.0	-37.9	2aTS2	24.2	24.8	35.6	36.5	-36.0
2aIN1	4.4	5.3	13.4	14.0	-27.0	2aIN2	4.3	5.3	13.4	14.1	-27.3
M06-2X/6-31G(d)											
2aMC1	-11.1	-10.8	0.7	1.6	-38.3	2aMC2	-12.8	-12.7	-0.1	0.9	-42.2
2aTS1	18.4	18.5	31.8	32.9	-44.5	2aTS2	17.2	17.5	30.6	31.7	-43.9
2aIN1	-4.3	-4.0	8.5	9.6	-42.0	2aIN2	-5.3	-4.9	6.9	7.9	-39.7
MP2/6-31G(d)											
2aMC1	-0.6	-0.3	11.9	12.9	-41.0	2aMC2	-1.7	-1.5	10.8	11.8	-41.2
2aTS1	27.4	27.8	39.8	40.8	-40.2	2aTS2	25.8	26.3	37.6	38.6	-37.8
I2aN1	-4.2	-3.6	6.5	7.4	-34.0	2aIN2	-0.4	0.2	10.8	11.7	-35.5
^a at 25°C			•		•						

^b at 50°C

Compound 3a

Table S5.13: Relative electronic energies (ΔE , in kcal mol⁻¹), enthalpies (ΔH , in kcal mol⁻¹), Gibbs free energies (ΔG , in kcal mol⁻¹) and entropies (ΔS , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) including zeropoint energy corrections computed using the M06-2X functional in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set in the gas phase for the reactions **3a** + BiCl₃ (**3a** = (3-(methoxymethoxy)propyl)benzene).

O1 (Pathway 1)						O2 (Pathway 2)					
	ΔE^{a}	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}		ΔE^{a}	ΔH^{a}	ΔG^{a}	ΔG^{b}	ΔS^{a}
B3LYP/6-31G(d)											
3aMC1	14.2	14.8	26.9	27.9	-40.7	3aMC2	-0.9	-0.7	-38.1	14.2	-46.1
3aTS1	30.1	30.7	42.5	43.5	-39.8	3aTS2	39.5	40.3	0.0	52.1	-36.3
3aIN1	13.7	14.7	24.7	25.5	-33.6	3aIN2	10.0	10.8	-31.1	20.9	-31.1
M06-2X/6-31G(d)											
3aMC1	-10.5	-10.4	2.5	3.6	-43.2	3aMC2	-12.8	-12.8	0.5	1.7	-44.8
3aTS1	18.1	18.3	31.6	32.7	-44.6	3aTS2	17.5	17.8	30.5	31.6	-42.6
3aIN1	-4.5	-4.0	8.0	9.0	-40.3	3aIN2	-5.2	-4.8	7.3	8.3	-40.6
MP2/6-31G(d)											
3aMC1	0.6	0.9	13.2	14.3	-41.4	3aMC2	-0.7	-0.5	12.3	13.4	-43.1
3aTS1	28.4	28.8	41.1	42.1	-41.1	3aTS2	27.1	27.6	39.5	40.5	-39.9
3aN1	-2.9	-2.4	8.6	9.5	-36.7	3aIN2	0.8	1.4	12.3	13.2	-36.6

^a at 25°C

^b at 50°C



Figure S5.4(a): Relative Gibbs free energy profile computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set for the reaction $3a + BiCl_3$ via pathway 1, where BiCl₃ is attached to O1 in the gas phase at 50°C.



Figure S5.4(b): Relative Gibbs free energy profile computed using B3LYP and M06-2X functionals and MP2 method in correlation with 6-31G(d) basis set for all atoms, excluding bismuth where LANL2DZ was used as basis set for the reaction $3a + BiCl_3$ via pathway 2, where BiCl₃ is attached to O2 in the gas phase at 50°C.

Table S5.14: Relative electronic energies (ΔE , in kcal mol⁻¹), enthalpies (ΔH , in kcal mol⁻¹), Gibbs free energies (ΔG , in kcal mol⁻¹) and entropies (ΔS , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) for the reaction of one water molecule with the intermediate to form alcohol via hemiacetal cleavage computed using M06-2X/6-31G(d) in the gas phase and solvent. The values in parentheses and square brackets are for acetonitrile and water, respectively

Substrates	ΔΕ	ΔΗ	ΔG ^a	ΔG ^b	ΔS				
O1 M06-2X [(R-OH + BiCl ₂ (OH)]									
19	-1.7	-2.7	-4.1	-4.2	4.5				
	(-0.7)	(-1.7)	(-3.2)	(-3.4)	(5.3)				
	[-0.6]	[-1.6]	[-3.2]	[-3.3]	[5.3]				
20	-3.6	-4.7	-6.0	-6.1	4.3				
	(-2.4)	(-3.0)	(-6.2)	(-6.5)	(10.8)				
	[-2.3]	[-3.5]	[-3.9]	[-4.0]	[1.5]				
21	-1.3	-2.3	-4.0	-4.1	5.5				
	(-0.5)	(-1.4)	(-3.5)	(-3.6)	(6.8)				
	[-0.4]	[-1.3]	[-3.4]	[-3.5]	[6.8]				
22	-1.9	-3.0	-4.5	-4.6	5.0				
	(-0.9)	(-1.9)	(-3.4)	(-3.5)	(4.9)				
	[-0.8]	[-1.9]	[-3.4]	[-3.5]	[5.2]				
2a	0.6	-0.4	-1.7	-1.8	4.2				
	(0.6)	(-0.3)	(-1.9)	(-2.0)	(5.3)				
	[0.6]	[-0.3]	[-1.9]	[-2.0]	[5.3]				
3 a	0.0	-1.0	-2.2	-2.3	4.0				
	(0.0)	(-0.9)	(-2.7)	(-2.8)	(5.9)				
	[0.0]	[-0.9]	[-2.6]	[-2.8]	[5.7]				
0	2 M06-22	K [R–OH	$+ CH_2 = 0$	+ HCl]					
19	12.9	13.7	2.0	1.0	39.3				
	(11.9)	(12.7)	(1.1)	(0.1)	(39.0)				
	[11.9]	[12.7]	[1.0]	[0.1]	[39.0]				
20	11.8	12.5	0.8	-0.2	39.2				
	(10.3)	(11.0)	(-0.6)	(-1.6)	(39.1)				
	[10.3]	[11.0]	[-0.6]	[-1.6]	[39.1]				
21	13.4	14.2	2.4	1.4	39.5				
	(12.5)	(13.2)	(1.5)	(0.5)	(39.2)				
	[12.4]	[13.2]	[1.5]	[0.5]	[39.2]				
22	13.1	13.9	2.2	1.3	39.1				
	(1.3)	(13.0)	(1.4)	(0.5)	(38.7)				
	[12.2]	[12.9]	[1.4]	[0.4]	[38.7]				
2a	17.5	18.3	6.8	5.9	38.3				
	(17.1)	(17.7)	(6.7)	(5.8)	(36.9)				

	[17.0]	[17.7]	[6.7]	[5.8]	[36.9]			
3 a	17.3	18.0	7.0	6.1	36.8			
	(16.7)	(17.4)	(6.3)	(5.4)	(37.4)			
	[16.7]	[17.4]	[6.3]	[5.4]	[37.2]			
3 -+ 25°C h -+ 50°C								

^a at 25°C ^b at 50°C

S6. References

- 1. Barot, B.C.; Pinnick, H. W. J. Org. Chem., 1981, 46, 2981-2983
- 2. Dieter, R. K.; Datar, R. Org. Prep. Proceed. Int. 1990, 22, 63-70.
- 3. Kai, D.; Wei, C. From Faming Zhuanil Shenqing, 104710286, 2015.
- 4. Zhou, M-Y et al. Tetrahedron Letters, 2013, 54, 3962-3964.
- 5. Gras, J. L.; et al. Synthesis, 1985, 1, 74-75.
- 6. Goff, D. A.; et al. J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 4711-4714.
- 7. Cheng, W. W. L. et al. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2018, 293, 3013-3027.
- 8. Yoon, I.; Suh, S-E.; Barros, S.; Chenoweth, D. M. Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 1096-1099.
- 9. Linderman, R. J; Jaber, M. Tetrahedron Letters, 1994, 35, 5993-5996.
- 10. Berliner, M. A.; Belecki, K. J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 9618-9621.
- 11. Ramesh, C.; Ravindranath, N.; Biswanath, D. J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 7101-7103.

12. O. Obaro-Best, J. Reed, A. Norfadilah, R. Monahan, R. Sunasee, *Synth. Commun.*, **2016**, *46*, 586-593.