## Plasma-engineered bifunctional Cobalt-metal organic framework derivatives for high-performance complete water electrolysis

Wenxia Chen<sup>a\*</sup>, Wei Wei<sup>a</sup>, Kefeng Wang<sup>a</sup>, Nan Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Guangliang Chen<sup>b</sup>, Yingjie Hu<sup>c\*</sup> and Kostya (Ken) Ostrikov<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan Key Laboratory of Biomolecular Recognition and Sensing, Henan D&A Engineering Center of Advanced Battery Materials, Shangqiu Normal University, Shangqiu 476000, China.

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Advanced Textile Materials and Manufacturing Technology and Engineering Research Center for Eco-Dyeing & Finishing of Textiles, Ministry of Education, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, P. R. China.

<sup>c</sup>Key Laboratory of Advanced Functional Materials of Nanjing, Nanjing Xiaozhuang University, Nanjing 211171, China.

<sup>d</sup>School of Chemistry and Physics and Centre for Materials Science, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD 4000, Australia.

\*Corresponding authors E-mail: <u>wxchenedu@163.com</u>, <u>huyj113@qq.com</u>.



Fig. S1 (a) SEM images of the ZIF-67 and corresponding (b) TEM images.



Fig. S2 3D ZIF-67 polyhedron is disposed via P dopants and pyrolysis procedures simultaneously

under Ar-N $_2$  RF plasma discharge process.



Fig. S3 The SEM images of the MOFs-derived CoPO on NF.



Fig. S4 (a) The XRD patterns of these samples and (b) the enlarged view.

	Elem	Weight %	Atomic %
-	СК	18.65	33.01
<b>P</b>	O K	29.19	37.99
	РK	26.90	17.47
	Co K	15.23	5.32
<u>.</u>			
- <b>P</b>			
1	<b>6</b>		
CO CO	T .		A
<b>_                                </b>			<b>7 9</b>
0	2	4	6 8
v	2	-	0 0

Fig. S5 The EDX analysis of the CoPO/NF.



Fig. S6 SEM and TEM images of the CoP and  $Co_3O_4$ .



Fig. S7 (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of ZIF-67 treated by Ar-N<sub>2</sub> RF plasma.



Fig. S8 (a) and (b) TEM, (c) HRTEM, and (d) the corresponding SAED pattern of the CoP samples.



Fig. S9 The  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherm and pore size distribution of the CoPO and ZIF-67.



Fig. S10 The EPR analysis of the prepared samples.



Fig. S11 SEM images of CoPO with different amounts of P.



Fig. S12 LSV curves of (a) 3%CoPO/NF, 5%CoPO/NF, 7%CoPO/NF, 11%CoPO/NF for the OER,

and (d) the corresponding overpotential at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Fig. S13 The LSV curves normalized by ECSA for the prepared samples.



Fig. S14 LSV curves of (a) 3%CoPO/NF, 5%CoPO/NF, 7%CoPO/NF, 11%CoPO/NF for the HER,

and (d) the corresponding overpotential at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Fig. S15 The LSV curves normalized by ECSA for the prepared samples.



Fig. S16 The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) spectra.



**Fig. S17** CV curves at various scan rates in the potential range -0.02~0.02 V vs. RHE for (a) ZIF-67/NF, (b) Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/NF, (c) CoP/NF and (d) CoPO/NF, respectively.



Fig. S18 The SEM image of the CoPO/NF after the OER and HER tests.



Fig. S19 The TEM image of the CoPO/NF after the OER and HER tests.



Fig. S20 XPS spectra of (a) Co 2p, (b) O 1s and (c) P 2p after electrochemistry test.

Materials	Supports	Electrolytes	$\eta_{J=10 \text{ mA cm}}^{-2}$ (mV)	References
CoPO/NF	Ni foam	1 M KOH	275	This work
CoP/NCNHP	-	1 M KOH	310	1
CoP-2	-	1 M KOH	310	2
Co 2 P NCs	-	1 M KOH	280	3
Co-P film	-	1 M KOH	345	4
Co/CoP	-	1 M KOH	340	5
NiFe/NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /NF	Ni Foam	1M KOH	340	6
NiCoP/C	-	1M KOH	330	7

**Table S1.** The comparison of OER performance with state-of-the-art electrocatalysts.

Table S2 TOF of the as-prepared catalysts at overpotential of 200, 250 and 300 mV corresponding

Samples TOF s <sup>-1</sup> (mV)	ZIF-67/NF	C0 <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /NF	CoP/NF	CoPO/NF
η=200	7.410×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.523×10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.244×10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.954×10 <sup>-6</sup>
250	8.809×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.860×10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.617×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.467×10 <sup>-5</sup>
300	1.471×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.539×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.581×10 <sup>-5</sup>	7.721×10 <sup>-5</sup>

to OER.

Materials	Supports	Electrolytes	$\eta_{J=10 \text{ mA cm}}^{-2}$ (mV)	References
CoPO/NF	Ni foam	1 М КОН	156	This work
Co1Mn1CH	-	1 M KOH	180	8
Co-NC/CNT	NF	1 M KOH	203	9
Co-Zn/PNC	NF	1 M KOH	180	10
Co/β-Mo <sub>2</sub> C@N-CNTs	-	1 M KOH	170	11
Co(OH)2@NCNT	NF	1 M KOH	170	12
O-Co <sub>2</sub> P-3	-	1M KOH	160	13
CoP@C-NPs/GA-5		1M KOH	225	14
Co/CoP	-	1M KOH	253	15
CoPS@NPS-C	-	1M KOH	191	16

**Table S3.** The comparison of HER performance with state-of-the-art electrocatalysts.

**Table S4.** TOF of the as-prepared catalysts at overpotential of 200, 250 and 300 mV corresponding

Samples TOF s <sup>-1</sup> (mV)	ZIF-67/NF	C0 <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /NF	CoP/NF	CoPO/NF
ղ=200	6.950×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.498×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.991×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.995×10 <sup>-6</sup>
250	7.998×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.796×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.895×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.051×10 <sup>-5</sup>
300	1.501×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.241×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.052×10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.154×10 <sup>-5</sup>

to HER.

**Table S5.** Comparison of the full water-splitting performances of CoPO/NF with other state-of 

 the-art electrocatalysts in 1.0 M KOH.

Materials	Cell voltages (V) at J = 10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	References
CoPO/NF	1.62	This work
NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	1.65	17
Co <sub>1</sub> Mn <sub>1</sub> CH	1.68	8
Ni-P/CP	1.63	18
CoP/NCNHP	1.64	1
NiCo <sub>2</sub> N/NF	1.70	19
BP/Co <sub>2</sub> P	1.92	20
(Co-NMC) <sub>1</sub> /NC/GCE	1.78	21

## **References:**

- 1 Y. Pan, K. A. Sun, S. J. Liu, X. Cao, K. L. Wu, W. C. Cheong, Z. Chen, Y. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Q.
- Liu, D. S. Wang, Q. Peng, C. Chen, Y. D. Li. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 2610-2618.
- 2 G. Y. Zhou, M. Li, Y. L. Li, H. Dong, D. M. Sun, X. E. Liu, L. Xu, Z. Q. Tian, and Y. W. Tang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, **30**, 1905252.
- 3 H. Li, Q. Li, P. Wen, T. B. Williams, S. Adhikari, C. C. Dun, C. Lu, D. Itanze, L. Jiang, D. L.
- Carroll, G. L. Donati, P. M. Lundin, Y. J. Qiu, and S. M. Geyer. . Adv. Mater., 2018, 30, 1705796.
- 4 N. Jiang, B. You, M. L. Sheng, and Y. J. Sun, Angew. Chem., 2015, 127, 6349-6352.
- 5 Y. C. Hao , Y. Q. Xu, , W. Liu, , X. M. Sun, Mater. Horiz., 2018, 5, 108-115.
- 6 C. Xiao, Y. Li, X. Lu, C. Zhao, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2016, 26, 3515-3523.
- 7 P. L. He, X. Y. Yu, and X. W. (David) Lou, Angew. Chem., 2017, 129, 3955-3958.
- 8 T. Tang, W. J. Jiang, S. Niu, N. Liu, H. Luo, Y. Y. Chen, S. F. Jin, F. Gao, L. J. Wan, J. S. Hu, J.
  Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 8320-8328.
- 9 F. Yang, P. Zhao, X. Hua, W. Luo, G. Cheng, W. Xing, S. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 16057-16063.
- 10 W. Peng, G. Zheng, Y. Wang, S. Cao, Z. Ji, Y. Huan, M. Zou, X. Yan, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2019, 44, 19782-19791.
- 11 Z. Liu, T. Ouyang, Y. Ye, C. Wu, K. Xiao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 4923-4928.
- 12 P. Guo, J. Wu, X. B. Li, J. Luo, W. M. Lau, H. Liu, X. L. Sun, L. M. Liu, Nano Energy, 2018, 96, 96-104.
- 13 K. Xu, H. Ding, M. X. Zhang, M. Chen, Z. K. Hao, L. D. Zhang, C. Z. Wu, Y. Xie, Adv. Mater., 2017, **29**, 1606980.

27

14 W. T. Zhao, X. Q. Lu, M. Selvaraj, W. Wei, Z. F. Jiang, N. Ullah, J. Liu and J. M. Xie. Nanoscale, 2018, **10**, 9698-9706.

15 Y. C. Hao, Y. Q. Xu, W. Liu and X. M. Sun, Mater. Horiz., 2018, 5, 108-115.

16 C. Lin, Z. F. Gao, J. H. Yang, B. Liu, J. Jin, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 6387-6392.

17 X. H. Gao, H. X. Zhang, Q. G. Li, X. G. Yu, Z. L. Hong, X. W. Zhang, C. D. Liang, Z. Lin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, **55**, 6290-6294.

18 X. G. Wang, W. Li, D. H. Xiong, D. Y. Petrovykh, L. F. Liu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2016, 26, 4067-4077.

19 Y. Q. Wang, B. H. Zhang, W. Pan, H. Y. Ma, J. T. Zhang, ChemSusChem, 2017, 10, 4170-4177.

20 J. H. Wang, D. N. Liu, H. Huang, N. Yang, B. Yu, M. Wen, X. Wang, P. K. Chu, X. F. Yu. Angew.Chem.Int. Ed., 2018, **57**, 2630-2634.

21 B. Bayatsarmadi, Y. Zheng, Y. Tang, M. Jaroniec, S.Z. Qiao, Small, 2016, 12, 3703-3711.