Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Nanoscale. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## **Supporting Information**

Delicate control of crystallographic Cu<sub>2</sub>O derived Ni-Co amorphous

double hydroxides nanocages for high-performance hybrid

supercapacitors: An experimental and computational investigation

Jiansen Wang<sup>a</sup>, Liu Yang<sup>a</sup>, Yibo Fu<sup>a</sup>, Penggang Yin<sup>b</sup>, Xiaohui Guan<sup>a\*</sup> and

Guangsheng Wang<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemical Engineering, Northeast Electric Power University, Jilin 132000,

PR China.

<sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, PR China



**Figure S1.** Atomic structures of Cu<sub>2</sub>O surface: (100)-Cu (a), (100)-O (b), (111)-Cu (c), (111)-O (d), (111)-OO (e). Cu, blue; O, red.



**Figure S2.** SEM images of the Cu<sub>2</sub>O crystals with different aging time synthesized at 80°C: 1 h (a), 1.5 h (b), 2 h (c), 2.5 h (d), 3 h (e) and 3.5 h (f). The inset of (a) shows the quasi-spherical Cu<sub>2</sub>O crystals at high magnification.



Figure S3. SEM images (a, b) and XRD patterns (c) of the  $Cu_2O$  crystals synthesized at room temperature.



**Figure S4.** SEM images of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>, Ni<sub>7</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub> ADHs, Ni<sub>1</sub>-Co<sub>1</sub> ADHs, Ni<sub>3</sub>-Co<sub>7</sub> ADHs, Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> with different Ni/Co ratio: 1-5 represent 0:10, 3:7, 1:1, 7:3 and 10:0 (Ni:Co) respectively; a-c represent cube, sphere and flower structure respectively.



**Figure S5.** XRD patterns of Ni-Co ADHs,  $Ni(OH)_2$  and  $Co(OH)_2$  nanocages (a). HRTEM image of Ni-Co ADHs nanocages (b). HRTEM and SAED images of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanocages (c). HRTEM and SAED images of Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanocages (d).



Figure S6. EDS measurements of the typical Ni–Co ADHs nanocages.



Figure S7. CV curves of  $Ni(OH)_2$  (a) and  $Co(OH)_2$  (b) nanocages at different scan rates.



Figure S8. GCD curves of  $Ni(OH)_2$  (a) and  $Co(OH)_2$  (b) nanocages at different current densities.



**Figure S9.** CV (a-c) and GCD (d-f) curves of the amorphous hydroxide electrodes with different morphologies and Ni/Co ratios. Cube: a, d; Sphere: b, e; Flower: c, f.



**Figure S10.** Basic model of hydroxides clusters.  $Ni(OH)_2$ , Ni-Co ADHs and  $Co(OH)_2$  are represented by  $Ni_3Co_0O_6H_6$ ,  $Ni_1Co_2O_6H_6$  and  $Ni_0Co_3O_6H_6$  respectively. Clusters with unsaturated Ni and Co sites are recorded as  $Ni_1Co_2O_6H_6$ -1 and  $Ni_1Co_2O_6H_6$ -2, respectively.



**Figure S11.** CV curves of AC at different scan rates (a) and GCD curves of AC at different current densities (b).



Figure S12. CV curves of Ni-Co ADHs//AC HSC with different potential windows tested at a scan rate of 80 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S13. SEM images of Ni-Co ADHs after cycles.

Morphologies	Ni/Co ratio	Specific capacitance (F g <sup>-1</sup> )				
		Current density (A g <sup>-1</sup> )				
		1	2	5	10	20
Cube	Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>	1091	907	741	578	436
	Ni7-Co3 ADHs	760	702	589	489	373
	Ni <sub>1</sub> -Co <sub>1</sub> ADHs	1120	1080	1000	918	804
	Ni <sub>3</sub> -Co <sub>7</sub> ADHs	1240	1218	1133	1058	933
	Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>	462	440	378	336	280
heterogeneous cube (2 h)	Ni <sub>3</sub> -Co <sub>7</sub> ADHs	1002	969	900	833	729
Sphere	Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>	944	729	522	369	187
	Ni7-Co3 ADHs	1040	924	767	598	436
	Ni <sub>1</sub> -Co <sub>1</sub> ADHs	1067	1048	940	784	640
	Ni <sub>3</sub> -Co <sub>7</sub> ADHs	1707	1636	1523	1411	1280
	Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>	496	458	400	356	289
Flower	Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>	991	751	518	378	231
	Ni7-Co3 ADHs	1051	964	789	638	480
	Ni <sub>1</sub> -Co <sub>1</sub> ADHs	1176	1138	1022	891	737
	Ni <sub>3</sub> -Co7 ADHs	1129	1098	1022	933	804
	Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>	449	418	378	349	276

Table S1. The specific capacitance (at  $1 \sim 20$  A g<sup>-1</sup>) of the amorphous hydroxide prepared with different morphologies and Ni/Co ratio.

HM1	AM1-OH	AM1-O	HM2-1
$E_{cluster} = -67.51 \text{ eV}$	E <sub>Total</sub> = -78.17 eV	E <sub>Total</sub> = -72.70 eV	$E_{cluster} = -70.05 \text{ eV}$
АМ2-1-ОН	AM2-1-0	HM2-2	АМ2-2-ОН
$E_{\text{Total}} = -81.17 \text{ eV}$	$E_{Total} = -75.59 \text{ eV}$	$E_{cluster} = -71.03 \text{ eV}$	$E_{Total} = -82.00 \text{ eV}$
AM2-2-0	HM3	AM3-OH	AM3-0
E <sub>Total</sub> = -76.80 eV	$E_{cluster} = -71.98 \text{ eV}$	$E_{Total} = -83.73 \text{ eV}$	E <sub>Total</sub> = -78.11 eV

**Table S2.** Optimal hydroxides models (HM), adsorption models (AM) and relevant energies simulated by DFT. Ni, blue; Co, pink; O, red; H, white.