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## Experimental section

## Chemicals

Potassium hydroxide ( KOH , analytical reagent), nickel (II) chloride hexahydrate ( $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \geqq 98.0 \%$ ), cocalt ( II ) chloride hexahydrate $\left(\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \geqq 99.0 \%\right)$, sodium borohydride $\left(\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, 96.0 \%\right)$, and ethanol $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Ruthenium trichloride $\left(\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}\right)$ was purchased from Energy Chemical. Hydrogrn peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 30 \%\right)$ was purchased from Shanghai Lingfeng Chemical Reagent CO.LTD. Carbon fiber cloth (CFCs) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Sulfuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right.$, industrial grade, $98 \%$ ) was purchased from experimental materials supply center of Soochow University. All the chemicals were used without further purification.

## Synthesis of RuNi/CFCs

The CFCs were pre-treated by immersed in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%)=3: 1$ (volume ratio) overnight, then ultrasound with acetone, ethanol and deionized water was performed successively for 30 minutes. The 50 mg acid-treated CFCS was dispersed into 50 mL ethylene glycol (EG) under ultrasonication for 1 h . Afterwards, $16.53 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{RuCl}_{3}$ and $15.84 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added for preparing $\mathrm{RuNi} / \mathrm{CFCs}$, and the resulting mixture was stirred for three hours. Then the temperature of the solution was increased to 120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ solution ( $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) was added drop by drop under magnetic stirring. After 30 min reaction, the mixture was cooled down to room temperature, centrifuged and rinsed several times with DI water. The collected black powders were dried in a vacuum oven at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. $\mathrm{RuCo} / \mathrm{CFCs}$ were synthesized using the
same procedures as that of $\mathrm{RuNi} / \mathrm{CFCs}$ except changing $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{CFCs}$ were synthesized using the same procedures as that of $\mathrm{RuNi} / \mathrm{CFCs}$ in the absence of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Characterizations

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF)-scanning TEM (STEM) images were taken using an FEI Tecnai F20 transmission electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV . The samples were prepared by dropping ethanol dispersion of samples onto carbon-coated copper TEM grids using pipettes and dried under ambient condition. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected on an X'Pert-Pro MPD diffractometer (Netherlands PANalytical) with a $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ X-ray source $(\lambda=1.540598 \AA)$. The concentrations of catalysts were determined by ICP-AES (710-ES, Varian, ICP-AES). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on an SSI S-Probe XPS spectrometer.

## Electrochemical tests

The electrochemical performance tests in 1 M KOH electrolyte media or $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ were performed on a CHI760e electrochemical workstation without iR compensation, using an $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrode, a graphite rod, and as-prepared bimetal supported by carbon fiber cloth electrodes as the reference electrode, the counter electrode, and the working electrode, respectively. The content of RuNi on CFCs is as follows:

Equation S1: Content of $\mathrm{RuNi}=\frac{(52.3-50.0) \mathrm{mg}}{1 * 3 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}}=0.77 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$,
Therefore, the mass loading of RuNi anchored on carbon fiber cloth was $0.77 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{cm}^{-}$
${ }^{2}$.The EIS tests were measured by ac impedance spectroscopy in the frequency range of $10^{5}$ to 0.1 Hz . According to the Nernst equation:

Equation S2: $\mathrm{E}_{\text {RHE }}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}}+0.059 \mathrm{pH}+0.197$,
Where $\mathrm{E}_{\text {RHE }}$ is the potential vs a reversible hydrogen electrode, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}}$ is the potential vs an $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrode, and pH is the pH value of the electrolyte. The effective surface areas of catalysts was estimated through measuring the capacitances of double layer at the solid-liquid interface by cyclic voltammograms (CVs) collected in the region of -0.047-0.153 V vs. RHE. The electrochemical active surface area is calculated using the following formula:

Equation S3: $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ECSA}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{dl}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$

Where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is specific capacitance $=0.04 \mathrm{mF} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ in $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The specific activity is obtained by normalizing the apparent current to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ECSA}}$.
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Fig. S1. Representative TEM images of RuNi/CFC with different magnifications.


Fig. S2. Representative TEM image of $\mathrm{RuCo} / \mathrm{CFC}$.


Fig. S3. Representative SEM images of Ru/CFC with different magnifications.


Fig. S4 SAED pattern of RuNi/CFC


Fig. S5. PXRD pattern of RuNi/CFC.


Fig. S6 SAED pattern of RuCo/CFC


Fig. S7. PXRD pattern of RuCo/CFC.


Fig. S8. XPS survey spectrum of RuNi/CFC.


Fig. S9. XPS survey spectrum of RuCo/CFC.


Fig. S10. High-resolution XPS spectra of the Ru $3 p$ in the $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{CFC}$.


Fig. S11. The d-band center of the metal atoms where hydrogen atoms are adsorbed on the surface of $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{CFC}$.


Fig. S12. The d-band center of the metal atoms where hydrogen atoms are adsorbed on the surface of $\mathrm{RuCo} / \mathrm{CFC}$.


Fig. S13. LSV polarization curves of $\mathrm{RuNi} / \mathrm{CFC}, \mathrm{Ru}_{1} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} / \mathrm{CFC}$, and $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{1} / \mathrm{CFC}$ catalysts toward HER in 1 M KOH electrolyte


Fig. S14. LSV polarization curves of $\mathrm{RuCo} / \mathrm{CFC}, \mathrm{Ru}_{1} \mathrm{Co}_{2} / \mathrm{CFC}$, and $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{1} / \mathrm{CFC}$ catalysts toward HER in 1 M KOH electrolyte


Fig. S15 LSV polarization curves of $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{C}$ before and after 1000 CV cycles.


Fig. S16 Representative TEM image of RuNi/CFC after 40 h CP test in 1 M KOH solution.


Fig. S17 (a) PXRD pattern and (b) SEM-EDX spectrum of the RuNi/CFCs after 40 h CP test in 1 M KOH solution.


Fig. 18 High-resolution XPS spectra of the (a) Ru 3p and (b) Ni 2p in the RuNi/CFCs after 40 h CP test in 1 M KOH solution.


Fig. S19. LSV polarization curves of $\mathrm{RuNi} / \mathrm{CFC}, \mathrm{Ru}_{1} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} / \mathrm{CFC}$, and $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{1} / \mathrm{CFC}$ catalysts toward HER in $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ electrolyte


Fig. S20. LSV polarization curves of $\mathrm{RuCo} / \mathrm{CFC}, \mathrm{Ru}_{1} \mathrm{Co}_{2} / \mathrm{CFC}$, and $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{1} / \mathrm{CFC}$ catalysts toward HER in $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ electrolyte


Fig. S21 CV curves of (a) RuNi/CFC, (b) RuCo/CFC and (c) $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{CFC}$ in $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution at the scan rates between $20 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ to $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$.

Table S1 HER activity comparison of the as-synthesized RuNi/CFC and RuCo/CFC with previously reported catalysts.

| Catalysts | Electrolyte | Overpotential(mV) |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $10 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ | $100 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ |  |
| RuNi/CFC | 1 M KOH | 43.0 | 186.8 | This work |
|  | $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 80.2 | 240.7 |  |
| $\mathrm{RuCo} / \mathrm{CFC}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH} \\ & 0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | 54.7 | 204.5 |  |
|  |  | 103.1 | 242.2 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru} @ \mathrm{CN}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH} \\ & 0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | 32 | - | Energy Environ. |
|  |  | 126 | - | Sci. 2018, 11, 800 |
| Ru NPs | $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 148 | - | J. Am. Chem. Soc. |
|  |  |  |  | 2018,140, 2731 |
| $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH} \\ & 0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | 79 | - | J. Am. Chem. Soc. |
|  |  | 70 | - | 2016, 138, 16174 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}_{2-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{S} @ \mathrm{Ru}$ | 1 M KOH | 82 | - | Small 2017, 13, |
|  |  |  |  | 1700052 |
| $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CP}$ | $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 96 | - | Nanoscale 2017, |
|  |  |  |  | 9,16616 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{3} \mathrm{Se} \\ & \text { /CFC } \end{aligned}$ | 1 M KOH | 71 | 120 | J. Mater. Chem. |
|  |  |  |  | A, 2017, 5, |
|  |  |  |  | 12043-12047 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ru}- \\ & \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{CC} \end{aligned}$ | 1 M KOH | 55 | 212 | J. Taiwan Inst. |
|  |  |  |  | Chem. E, 2020, |
|  |  |  |  | 109, 71-78212 |
| RuFeP- <br> NCs/CNF | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH} \\ & 0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111 \\ & 132 \end{aligned}$ | Appl. Catal. B- |
|  |  |  |  | Environ, 2021, |
|  |  |  |  | 283, 119583 |
| NiCo | - | - | - | Electrochim. |


| diselenide/C <br> C composites | $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 108 | - | Acta, 2017, 225, <br> $503-513$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ni} \mathrm{\Phi CFC}$ | 1 M KOH | 131.5 | - | Carbon, 2017, <br> $122,710-717$ |

Table S2 EIS fitting parameters from equivalent circuits of samples during electrocatalytic process in 1 M KOH .

| Samples | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ct}}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $/ \Omega \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ | $/ \Omega \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ | $/ \mathrm{S} \mathrm{s-n}$ | $/ \Omega \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ | $/ \mathrm{S} s-\mathrm{n}$ |
| $\mathrm{RuNi} / \mathrm{CFC}$ | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 9.2 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 4.3 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| $\mathrm{RuCo} / \mathrm{CFC}$ | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1.0 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 40.2 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{CFC}$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 0.6 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 124 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-3$ |

Table S3 EIS fitting parameters from equivalent circuits of samples during electrocatalytic process in $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

| Samples | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}_{0} 7$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ct}} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{2} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $/ \Omega \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ | $/ \Omega \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ | $/ \mathrm{S} \mathrm{s-n}$ | $/ \Omega \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ | $/ \mathrm{S}$ s-n |
| $\mathrm{RuNi} / \mathrm{CFC}$ | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1.5 E 6 | 9.2 E 4 | 2.2 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| $\mathrm{RuCo} / \mathrm{CFC}$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 12.7 | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{CFC}$ | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 99.7 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-5$ |

