Supplementary Information

Membrane-selective Nanoscale Pores in Liposomes by a Synthetically Evolved Peptide: Implications for Triggered Release

Leisheng Sun[†], Kalina Hristova[‡], William C. Wimley^{†*}

[†] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70112, United States. [‡] Department of Materials Science and Engineering and Institute for NanoBioTechnology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21218, United States.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

William C. Wimley - Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70112, United States. Email: wwimley@tulane.edu. Phone: 504-988-7076



Figure S1. Overview of the synthetic molecular evolution leading to the discovery of the macrolittins. In the first generation, we created a library based on the non-selective, transient pore-former melittin, from Honeybee venom, and used a screen based on lipid vesicle leakage to select highly potent equilibrium pore forming peptides. The most active gain-of-function variants is called MelP5.^[11] In the second generation we made a library based on MelP5 and selected for highly potent macromolecular poration in lipid vesicles, thereby identifying the macrolittins.^[2] Helical Wheel projections of the peptides are shown, in which acidic (red), basic (blue) and polar (yellow) residues highlight amphipathicity.



Figure S2. Effect of macrolittins and MelP5 on mammalian cells. (**A-C**) Different concentrations of MelP5 and three macrolittins were incubated with HeLa cells (**A**), HepG2 cells (**B**) and raw macrophages (**C**) at around 80% cell confluency for 3 h. After 24 h, cells were subjected to a cell toxicity assay using Alamar Blue reagent. (**D**) HeLa cells were incubated with 10 kDa AF-labeled dextran which marks the external space between cells, and peptides at around 80% cell confluency for 30 min. Then cells were observed using confocal microscopy (from left to right: dextran alone; dextran and Oneg; dextran and M159; dextran and MelP5). Intact cells are black because the dextran is excluded. Scale bar = $20 \,\mu m$.



Figure S3. M159-induced aggregation of POPC liposomes. POPC liposomes were incubated with M159 or MelP5 at different P:L for 3 h, and the mixtures were observed in a light microscope. (upper panel: M159 treatment; bottom panel: MelP5 treatment). Peptide-to-lipid ratios are shown at the top (scale bar = $100 \,\mu$ m)



Figure S4. Inhibition of liposomal aggregation and fusion. (**A**) Light scattering of different composition (100% POPC, 95% POPC and 5% POPG, 95% POPC and 5% PEG2K-PE) liposomes with M159 treatments. 2 mM liposomes were incubated with M159 for 3 h at different P:L. (**B**) FRET between dual labeled liposomes and non-labeled liposomes (100% POPC, 95% POPC and 5% POPG, 95% POPC and 5% PEG2K-PE). 0.4 mM liposomes containing 0.5% NBD-PE and 0.5% rhodamine-PE dyes were mixed with 2 mM unlabeled liposomes and were incubated with M159 for 3 h at different P:L. (**C**) 32 μ M M159 were incubated with different compositions of 1.6 mM 0.1% rhodamine-labeled liposomes in the presence of HepG2 cells at around 80% cell confluency for 30 min. Cells were washed and observed in a confocal microscope (from left to right: 100% POPC alone; 100% POPC and M159; 95% POPC, 5% POPG, 5% POPG and M159 (ex/em = 512/560 nm). Scale bar = 20 μ m.



Figure S5. MelP5 or M159 binding to lipid bilayers, as indicated by tryptophan fluorescence intensity increase upon liposome additions. Different liposomes (100% POPC; 95% POPC, 5% POPG; 95% POPC, 5% PEG2K-PE; 90% POPC, 5% POPG, 5% PEG2K-PE) binding assay of 10 μ M MelP5 (**A**) and 10 μ M M159 (**B**) at a series of lipid concentrations. The relative fluorescence intensities were normalized to the maximal value (333nm) divided by tryptophan fluorescence intensity without lipid addition using a fluorimeter. Curves were fitted to Eq (4) to determine the partition coefficient (K_p), which is shown in (**C**).



Figure S6. M159 and liposomes containing DXR in the presence of HeLa cells. (**A**) The stability of liposome-DXR. Doxorubicin was encapsulated into liposomes containing 70% POPC and 30% cholesterol by remote loading method. After preparation, at specific time points, liposome-DXR was ultracentrifuged (130000 x g) and DXR concentrations in the supernatant and in liposomes (pellet) were measured using a nanodrop. (**B**) Increasing concentrations of free DXR (0.02 to 200 μ M) were incubated with HeLa cells at around 80% cell confluency for different time points (2 to 52 min). Cells were washed and subject to Alamar blue assay for viability measurements. (**C**) HeLa cells were treated with 200 μ M free DXR (upper), 200 μ M liposome-DXR (middle) and 200 μ M liposome-DXR plus 100 μ M M159 (bottom) at around 80% cell confluency for 15 min respectively. After 24 h, cell morphology was observed by an optic microscope. Scale bar = 100 μ m.

Supplementary Video

2 mM NBD labeled 100% POPC liposomes was imaged by confocal microscope immediately after 60 μ M M159 was added in liposome solution. The resultant video consists of 80 images from 0 minute to 40 minutes after M159 addition in a time-lapse manner. (30 seconds per image)

References

- [1] G. Wiedman, T. Fuselier, J. He, P. C. Searson, K. Hristova, W. C. Wimley, *J Am Chem Soc* **2014**, *136*, 4724-4731.
- [2] S. Li, S. Y. Kim, A. E. Pittman, G. M. King, W. C. Wimley, K. Hristova, J Am Chem Soc 2018, 140, 6441-6447.