Supporting Information

for

An easily accessible, lower rim substituted calix[4]arene selectively binds *N*,*N*-dimethyllysine.

Alok Shaurya, Graham A.E. Garnett, Melissa J. Starke, Mark C. Grasdal, Charlotte C. Dewar, Anton Y. Kliuchynskyi, and Fraser Hof*

Table of Contents

Experimental procedures	
S1. General remarks	
S1.a. Chemicals	
S1.b. Liquid Chromatography	3
S1.c. Solid Phase peptide synthesis	3
S1.d. Indicator Displacement Assay	
S1.e. Isothermal calorimetry	5
S2. Synthesis and characterization of compound 1	
S3. Synthesis and characterization of control compound 2	
S4. Characterization and purity of peptides	
Result and Discussions.	
S5. Plots for Indicator displacement assay	
SI5.1 Indicator Displacement Assays for sCx4	
SI5.2. Indicator Displacement Assays for compound 1	
S6. Plots for Isothermal calorimetry	
SI6.1 Isothermal calorimetry between 1 and H3K4me3	
SI6.2. Isothermal calorimetry between 1 and H3K4me2	
S7. NMR studies on host guest complex	
References	

Experimental Procedures

S1. General remarks

S1.a. Chemicals. p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene (sCx4) was purchased from TCI America, (4-chlorosulfonyl)benzoic acid was purchased from Alfa Aesar and Tosyl chloride was purchased from ACP chemicals. All standard amino acids and solid phase peptide coupling reagents including Rink amide resin were purchased from Chem-Impex International as Fmoc-protected versions. Fmoc-protected versions of monomethyllysine, dimethyllysine and acetyl lysine were also purchased from Chem-Impex. Fmoc-protected trimethyllysine was bought from GL Biochem. All methylated arginines were purchased from AnaSpec Inc. All peptides used in IDA were synthesized in-house while the peptides used in ITC and NMR studies were purchased from Genscript.

S1.b. Liquid chromatography. All compounds were purified using RP-HPLC on Phenomenex Luna C18(2) column (4.6 mm x 250 mm) with 5 µm particle and 100 A pore size. The preparative RP-HPLC purifications were performed using a Shimadzu Prominence LC system using a gradient of acetonitrile in water as mobile phase. Both mobile phase eluents were spiked with 0.1% TFA. The compounds were detected using a DAD set to 280 nm.

Analytical LC-MS characterization was done on a Waters Acquity-H UPLC-MS, using a BEC C18 column with 1.7 µm particle size. Like the preparative HPLC, a gradient of acetonitrile in water was used as mobile phase. Both mobile phase eluents were spiked with 0.1% TFA. The compounds were detected using a DAD set to 280 nm and an inline mass spectrometer.

All enrichment studies were done using an AKTA Prime FPLC. The solvents used were A. 50 mM Sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (binding buffer) and B. 50 mM Sodium phosphate + 2 M NH₄CI (elution buffer). In a typical enrichment experiment, after injection the column was eluted with binding buffer for 30-minutes before switching to elution buffer, which was introduced slowly in 30-minute gradient. The flow rate was kept constant at 0.3 mL/min.

S1.c. Solid Phase peptide synthesis. All peptides used for IDA studies were made on an automated solid phase peptide synthesizer LIBERTY1 (CEM). The synthesis was done on Rink Amide resin (Chem Impex) using Fmoc chemistry and microwave assisted coupling methods programmed in the machine. After synthesis, the peptides were cleaved from the resin as C-terminal amide using a 95:2.5:2.5 mixture of TFA, H₂O and triisopropylsilane. The reaction continued for 4 hours under gentle bubbling of N₂. After that, the resin was filtered and washed with TFA. The combined TFA solution was concentrated on a rotavap. Cold diethyl ether was then used to precipitate crude peptides which were then air dried and then purified as described in SI1.2. The gradient used for purification started from 5% acetonitrile in water (with 0.1% TFA), increased to 20% acetonitrile in 15 minutes and then up to 90% in next 15 minutes. The peptides were characterized on Waters UPLC (as described in SI1.2). H3K4me3 peptide used in ITC and NMR studies was synthesized as above. H3K4me2 peptide used in ITC and NMR studies was bought from GenScript (www.genscript.com).

S1.d. *Indicator displacement assay (IDA).* IDA was performed in NUNC 96 black-well plated with optically clear bottoms. A total of 9 titrations were performed in a single plate which included the direct titration of calixarene into lucigenin, and 8 competitive titrations of individual peptides into the calixarene-lucigenin complex. The plate layout is shown in Figure SI1.4.1. Concentration of stock solutions were determined spectrophotometrically using A₂₈₀ for peptides (ϵ = 1280 M⁻¹cm⁻¹), A₄₁₀ for lucigenin (ϵ = 8900 M⁻¹cm⁻¹) and using qNMR for calixarenes with TSP as the internal integration standard.

Concentration of lucigenin was kept constant at 250 nM in all wells while the buffer concentration was kept constant at 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7.4. To achieve consistency all reagents were added from one stock solution each with 10X concentration than required ([lucigenin]^{stock} = 2.5 μ M and [buffer]^{stock} = 100 mM). 20 μ L of each solution was added to all wells. Direct titration wells had varying concentration of calixarene and no peptides. All competitive titration wells had a fixed concentration of calixarene (0.5 μ M working concentration added in from 5 μ M stock solution). Like calixarene and lucigenin, varying concentration of peptides were delivered from 10X concentrated stock solution (as shown in figure SI1.4.1). All the remaining volume was made up using dH₂O such that total volume in each well was 200 μ L.

Fluorescence of lucigenin was read across the plate using SpectraMax[®] M5/M5e microplate reader in top read mode. The excitation wavelength used was 369 nm while the emission was recorded at 485 nm. The plates were briefly centrifuged immediately prior to reading in a Beckman Coulter AllegraTM X-12R centrifuge. The raw data was then fed into an in-house written python code which split the data into 9 different concentration response which were plotted as dF_{obs} vs [calixarene], for direct titration, and vs [peptide], for competitive titrations. The program used established numpy and scipy libraries to fit the direct titration data using 1:1 binding isotherm (*vide infra*) to obtain K_{ind}. This K_{ind} was then used further to fit the remaining 8 data sets with competitive binding isotherms (*vide infra*) and extract K_d for each peptide and calixarene.



Figure S1. Plate layout for Indicator Displacement Assay experiment

All IDA data were fit as explained below:

- 1. For all the equations shown below:
 - [H]^T is the total host concentration (host = calixarene, [H]^T = [H]^{free} + [H]^{bound})
 - [I]^T is the total indicator concentration (indicator = lucigenin, [I]^T = [I]^{free} + [I]^{bound})
 - [Pep]^T is the total peptide concentration ([Pep]^T = [Pep]^{free} + [Pep]^{bound})
 - [HG] refers to host-guest complex. This would be calixarene-lucigenin complex in direct titration and calixarene-peptide complex in competitive titration.
 - [H]^{free} is written as [H] for simplicity.
 - dF_{obs} is change in fluorescence observed upon binding (negative for direct titration, positive for competitive titration). This is the raw data from the fluorometer.
 - ΔF_{max} is the maximum change in fluorescence observed i.e. point where the curve plateaus. This is an iterable variable for all titration and was optimized.
 - K_{ind} is dissociation constant of host-indicator (calixarene-lucigenin) complex. This is an iterable variable in direct titration and was optimized. In all competitive titrations, this is kept constant at a value determined by the direct titration
 - K_d is dissociation constant of host-guest (calixarene-peptide) complex. This is an iterable variable in and was optimized
- 2. Direct titration: The general equation describing direct titration is a quadratic equation of the form^[1]: $[HG]^2 + b[HG] c = 0$, where $b = K_{ind} + [H]^T + [I]^T$ and $c = ([H]^T[I]^T)$. $[I]^T$ is kept constant while $[H]^T$ is varied. The general solution to quadratic equation can be used to determine [HG] at any given $[H]^T$.

[HG] is used to fit the data using the equation shown below.^[1] Both ΔF_{max} and K_{ind} are optimized.

$$dF_{obs} = \frac{\Delta F_{max}[HG]}{[I]^T}$$

3. Competitive titration: The general equation describing competitive titration is a cubic equation of the form^[2]: [H]³ + a[H]² + b[H] + c = 0, where a = (K_d + K_{ind} + [H]^T + [I]^T + [Pep]^T), b = (K_d* K_{ind})+(K_{ind}*([Pep]^T - [H]^T))+(K_d*([I]^T - [H]^T)) and c = -(K_d*K_{ind}* [H]^T). [H]^T and [I]^T are kept constant while [Pep]^T is varied. A exact solution to this cubic equation has been published in literature^[2] and can be used to determine [H] at any given [Pep]^T.

[H] is used to fit the data using the equation shown below. Both ΔF_{max} and K_d are optimized.

$$\mathrm{d}F_{obs} = \Delta F_{max} \left(1 - \frac{[H]}{K_{ind} + [H]} \right)$$

S1.e. *Isothermal calorimetry (ITC).* ITC was performed using Microcal VP-ITC (GE Healthcare). Titration were performed in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7.5 at 303 K. Concentration of peptides were calculated using A_{280} while that of **1** was calculated using qNMR. All solutions were thoroughly degassed before experiment. In all cases, solution of **1** was loaded in the ITC cell while peptide solution was loaded into the syringe. The full titration involved 50 injections of 5 µL (except first injection which was 2 µL) at constant interval of 300 seconds. Binding curves were produced using supplied Origin software and fit using a 1-sites binding model. The first point of titration was discarded. Each titration was performed in duplicate and the final data reported is average of two runs. ITC data and curve fit are shown in Section SI5.

S2. Synthesis and characterization of compound 1



Scheme S1 One step lower rim functionalization of commercially available calix[4]arene (sCx4) to compound 1.

sCx4 (200 mg, 0.27 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in sodium phosphate buffer (1 M, pH 8). To this solution, 36 mg of (4chlorosulfonyl)benzoic acid (0.162 mmol, 0.6 eq) was added and the mixture was stirred for 1 hour at 40°C (This temperature was maintained to prevent the phosphate salts from crashing out). At this point, UPLC showed 25% reaction progress. After this, small portions of (4-chlorosulfonyl)benzoic acid (12-18 mg, 0.05-0.081 mmol, 0.2-0.3 eq) were added at 2-hour intervals and reaction monitored using UPLC-MS until <5% starting material was visible. During this time, the pH of the reaction mixture was regularly checked and maintained between 7.5 and 8.0. The total amount of (4-chlorosulfonyl)benzoic acid added was 114 mg (0.517 mmol, 1.9 eq) added in 6 portions. After completion, a few drops of saturated FeCl₃ solution were added to precipitate most of the phosphate salt. The mixture was centrifuged, and the supernatant collected. The precipitate was washed twice with water. The combined supernatant and washes were then subjected to preparative RP-HPLC using a 30-minute gradient of acetonitrile in water (starting from 10% acetonitrile to 90% acetonitrile). The compound was obtained as a white powder with yields of 60-65% (3 iterations). Note – As reported by us previously^[3], p-sulfonatocalixarenes tend to aggregate in agueous solution and as such show concentrated dependent chemical shift and broadening. To account for this, concentration of 1 in NMR experiments was calculated using gNMR and is reported along with characterization data. ¹H NMR (500 MHz D₂O, concentration = 7.8 mM): δ (ppm) = 3.81 (d, 2H, J = 14.3 Hz, Ar-CH₂-Ar), 3.95 (d, 2H, J = 16.1 Hz, Ar-CH₂-Ar), 4.07 (d, 2H, J = 14.3 Hz, Ar-CH₂-Ar), 4.28 (d, 2H, J = 16.1 Hz, Ar-CH₂-Ar), 6.81 (br, 2H, Ar-H), 7.39 (d, 2H, J = 1.6 Hz, Ar-H), 7.44 (d, 2H, J = 1.7 Hz, Ar-H), 7.49 (br d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.68 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.74 (s, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz D₂O, referenced externally using 5% methanol in D₂O, concentration = 40.7 mM): δ (ppm) = 31.5, 35.4 (br), 126.9, 127.1, 127.4, 127.5, 128.1, 129.5, 129.6, 129.9, 130.9, 135.7, 136.0, 136.2, 137.2, 138.5, 142.1, 146.8, 151.7, 153.6, 169.0; IR (ATR) (cm⁻¹) = 3332 (br), 1707 (br m), 1593 (w), 1448 (w), 1376 (w), 1151 (m), 1111 (s), 1035 (s), 888 (m), 829 (w), 621 (s), 556 (s) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Found 462.97945 (calculated for C₃₅H₂₆O₂₀S₅²⁻ [M-2H]²⁻ = 462.9816)



Figure S2: ¹H NMR trace of 1 in D₂O collected at 500 MHz frequency. The sample concentration of 1 was 7.8 mM. Blue inset shows a blown up trace of aromatic region.



Figure S3: ¹³C NMR trace of **1** collected in D_2O at 75 MHz frequency. The sample concentration of **1** was 40.7 mM. Blue inset shows the blown up version of aromatic region (126-138 ppm) of this NMR while red inset shows the methylene region (30-38 ppm). Difference in lineshape of different methylenes is evident.



Figure S4: ¹H NMR trace of **1** (3.2 mM) in D₂O at three different temperatures. The samples also contained 1.2 mM of Trimethylsilylpropionic acid (TSP) as an internal standard, the chemical shift of which was set to 0 ppm to account of temperature dependent shift of the HDO peak. Insets show blown up trace of aromatic (blue box) and aliphatic (red box) regions.



Figure S5: Exceptional stability of 1 in water as evident by ¹H NMR spectra of a 10 mM sample taken in a) D₂O and b) 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pD 7.5 over the span of 7 weeks.

S3. Synthesis and characterization of control compound 2



Scheme S2. One step lower rim functionalization of commercially available calix[4]arene (sCx4) to compound 2.

Compound **2** was synthesized in the same manner as compound **1** on a 200 mg scale by only changing the sulfonyl chloride used. **Note:** The yield reported here is unoptimized (single iteration). Significant amount of disubstituted product (50 %) was found to have crashed out of the reaction mixture. ¹H NMR (500 MHz D₂O, concentration – **32.4 mM):** δ (ppm) = 2.26 (s, 3H, Ar-CH₃), 3.81 (d, 2H, J = 14.1 Hz, Ar-CH₂-Ar), 3.88 (d, 2H, J = 16.1 Hz, Ar-CH₂-Ar), 4.01 (d, 2H, J = 14.1 Hz, Ar-CH₂-Ar), 4.26 (d, 2H, J = 16.1 Hz, Ar-CH₂-Ar), 6.60 (br s, 2H, Ar-H), 6.76 (br d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.45 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.63 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.67 (s, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz D₂O, referenced externally using 10% methanol in D₂O, concentration – **32.4 mM):** δ (ppm) = 21.4, 31.5, 36.5 (br), 126.8, 126.8 (2 overlapping signals, see figure SI3.3), 126.9, 127.9, 128.1, 129.3, 129.9, 130.0, 130.1, 130.2, 130.8, 136.3, 136.4, 136.2, 137.2, 142.0, 146.7, 147.2, 151.8, 153.4; IR (ATR) (cm⁻¹) = 3371 (br), 1697 (br), 1595 (w), 1474 (w), 1377 (w), 1194 (m), 1156 (m), 1115 (s), 1039 (s), 886 (w), 788 (w), 657 (m), 626 (m), 566 (s), 547 (s) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): Found 298.32725 (calculated for C₃₅H₂₇O₁₈S₅³⁻ [M-3H]³⁻ = 298.32725).



Figure S6: ¹H NMR trace of 2 in D₂O collected at 500 MHz frequency. The sample concentration of 2 was 32.4 mM. Blue inset shows a blown up trace of aromatic region.



Figure S7: ¹³C NMR trace of **2** collected in D₂O at 125 MHz frequency. The sample concentration of **2** was 40.7 mM. Inset shows a 75 MHz ¹³C DEPT-135 NMR of the same sample with an extrenal reference (10% MeOH in D2O) in a coaxial insert. ¹³C chemical shifts were referenced externally using the MeOH signal from DEPT spectra.



Figure S8. Blown up ¹³C NMR trace of **2** collected from **a**) 135 to 138 ppm, **b**) 126 to 130.5 ppm and **c**) 31 to 37 ppm (methylene region). The spectra was collected in D_2O at 125 MHz frequency. The sample concentration of **2** was 40.7 mM.









Figure S11: UPLC trace for peptide **H3K4ac**. Sequence (N to C terminus) – ART(Kac)QTAY (N terminus = free amine, C terminus = amide) Prominent mass spectrocscopy peaks – 979.58 (M+H⁺), 490.45 (M+2H⁺)



Figure S12. UPLC trace for peptide peptide - H3K4me3. Sequence (N to C terminus)– ART(Kme3)QTAY (N terminus = free amine, C terminus = amide). Prominent mass spectrocscopy peaks – 1093.59 (M^+ +TFA), 490.45 (M^+ +H⁺), 327.50 (M^+ +2H⁺)



Figure S13. UPLC trace for peptide H3K4me2 Sequence (N to C terminus) – ART(Kme2)QTAY (N terminus= free amine, C terminus= amide). Prominent mass spectrocscopy peaks – 965.68 (M+H⁺), 483.44 (M+2H⁺)



Figure S14. UPLC trace for peptide H3K4me1. Sequence (N to C terminus) – ART(Kme1)QTAY (N terminus= free amine, C terminus= amide). Prominent mass spectrocscopy peaks – 951.67 (M+H⁺), 476.34 (M+2H⁺), 318.20 (M+3H⁺), 1065.38 (M+TFA+H⁺)



Figure S15. UPLC trace for peptide **H3R2me2a**; Sequence(N to C terminus)– A(Rme2a)TKQTAY (N terminus= free amine, C terminus= amide). Prominent mass spectrocscopy peaks – 965.67 (M+H⁺), 483.44 (M+2H⁺)



Figure S16. UPLC trace for peptide H3R2me2s; Sequence(N to C terminus)– A(Rme2a)TKQTAY (N terminus= free amine, C terminus= amide). Prominent mass spectrocscopy peaks – 965.67 (M+H⁺), 483.44 (M+2H⁺)

Results and Discussion

S5: Fitted curves from Indicator Displacement assay (IDA)



S5.a. Indicator Displacement Assays for **sCx4.** For detailed procedure, see SI1.4.

Figure S17. Plots for IDA of compound **sCx4** (host). The first plot (upper left) is the direct titration of lucigenin with **sCx4**. The next 8 plots are competitive titrations of **sCx4-Lucigenin** complex with 8 different peptides bearing different modified amino acids. The concentration of lucigenin was fixed at 250 nM for all titrations while the concentration of **sCx4** was fixed at 0.5 μ M in the competitive titrations. The experiment was done in a 96-well plate as described in SI1.4. Duplicates are shown as red and blue data sets.

S5.b. Indicator Displacement Assays for compound 1. For detailed procedure, see SI1.4



Figure S18. Plots for IDA of compound **1** (host). The first plot (upper left) is the direct titration of lucigenin with **1**. The next 8 plots are competitive titrations of **1-Lucigenin** complex with 8 different peptides bearing different modified amino acids. The concentration of lucigenin was fixed at 250 nM for all titrations while the concentration of calixarene **1** was fixed at 0.5 μ M in the competitive titrations. The experiment was done in a 96-well plate as described in SI1.4. Duplicates are shown as red and blue data sets.





S6.a. ITC binding curves between compound 1 and H3K4me3: The full procedure is described in SI1.5

Figure S19. Replicate ITC curves for titration of 1 and H3K4me3 peptide. The concentration of peptide was 2.1 mM (calculated using A_{280}) while concentration of calixarene host 1 was kept at 100 μ M (determined using qNMR). ITC was run and data analysed as described in General Remarks section (SI1.5).





Figure S20. Replicate ITC curves for titration of **1** and **H3K4me2** peptide. The concentration of peptide was 1 mM (calculated using A₂₈₀) while concentration of calixarene host **1** was kept at 50 µM (determined using qNMR). ITC was run and data analyzed as described in General Remarks section (SI1.5).

S7. NMR studies on host guest complex



Figure S21. Comparison of aromatic peaks of compound **1** with and without the presence of a guest. a) 1.1 mM **1** in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pD 7.5, b) 1 mM **1** + 0.5 mM H3K4me3 peptide in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pD 7.5, c) 1 mM **1** + 0.5 mM H3K4me2 peptide in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pD 7.5, c) 1 mM **1** + 0.5 mM H3K4me2 peptide in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pD 7.5. The structure of host **1** (in cone conformation) is shown on the right and the protons in the blue circle exhibit strong guest induced chemical shift perturbation (blue box in the NMR plot). The pendant benzoic acid's protons are marked in red (in both the structure and NMR plot) and show almost no movement upon complexation. The remaining two doublets in b) and c) are tyrosine resonances from the guest peptide.



Figure S22. Chemical shift and lineshape of ¹H and ¹³C NMR trace of parent compound **sCx4** in both D₂O (pD < 2, red trace) and sodium phosphate buffer (pD 7.5, blue trace) support a C_{4v} symmetric cone conformation^[4] with the expected intraannular ring inversion.



Figure S23. Comparison of ¹³C chemical shift, as judged from ¹H-¹³C HSQC plots of compound **1** (top) in sodium phosphate buffer (75 mM, pD 7.5) and its complex with H3K4me2 (middle) and H3K4me3 (bottom) as guest (in the same buffer), suggest that the conformation of **1** doesn't change upon binding.^[4]

References

- [1] P. Thordarson, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1305-1323. DOI 10.1039/c0cs00062k.
- [2] Z. X. Wang, FEBS Lett., 1995, 360, 111-114. DOI 10.1016/0014-5793(95)00062-E.
- [3] G. A. E. Garnett, K. D. Daze, J. A. Peña Diaz, N. Fagen, A. Shaurya, M. C. F. Ma, M. S. Collins, D. W. Johnson, L. N. Zakharov, F. Hof, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 2768-2771. DOI 10.1039/c5cc10527g.
- [4] O. A. Yesypenko, I. B. Vyacheslav, M. A. Klyachina, S. V. Shishkina, O. V. Shishkin, V. V. Pyrozhenko, I. F. Tsymbal, V. I. Kalchenko, J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem., 2012, 74, 265-275. DOI 10.1007/s10847-012-0109-9.