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## 1. Experimental procedures

### 1.1 General remarks

The compounds Cycloastragenol (1), (20R,24S)-6 $\alpha, 16 \beta, 25$-trihydroxy-20,24-epoxycycloartan-3-onecyclopycnanthogenin (9), (20R,24S)-2 $\alpha, 3 \beta, 6 \alpha, 16 \beta, 25-$ pentahydroxy-20,24-epoxy-cycloartane (10), $\quad(20 R, 24 S)$ - $3 \beta, 6 \alpha, 16 \beta, 25,28-$ pentahydroxy-20,24-epoxycycloartane (11), ( $3 \beta, 6 \alpha, 11 \beta, 16 \beta, 24 S)$-20,24-Epoxy-11-(hydroxymethyl)-19-norlanost-9-ene-3,6,16,25-tetrol (12), and (E)-3 $\beta, 6 \alpha$-dihydroxy-lanost-9(11),17(20),22(23)-triene-24-one (13) were biotransformation productions of cycloastragenol by Syncephalastrum racemosum and Alternaria alternata as previously reported [1]. Hyodeoxycholic acid (3) was purchased from Energy Chemical (Shanghai China). Taurohyodeoxycholic acid (15) was purchased from Honghu Lianhe (Beijing, China). Glycine hyodeoxycholic acid (16) and $\alpha$-murocholic acid (18) were purchased from Bide Pharmatech (Shanghai China). $5 \alpha$-Androstane- $3 \beta, 6 \alpha, 17 \beta$-triol (20) was purchased from Leyan (Shanghai China). Protopanaxatriol (2), Ginsenoside Rg1 (15), Ginsenoside Rh1 (14), ginsenoside F1 (6), astragaloside IV (16), protopanaxadiol (17), madecassic acid (8), glycyrrhetinic acid (21), and oleanolic acid (22) were purchased from Desite (Chengdu, China). Murideoxycholic acid (15) was purchased from Zhongkaiboya (Beijing, China). $6 \alpha-O-\beta$-D-Glucopyranosyl-(20S,24R)-epoxydammarane- $3 \beta, 12 \beta, 25-$ triol (12) was prepared in our lab as previously reported [2].

Methanol and acetonitrile (UPLC grade) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (USA). Acetyl-CoA, malonyl-CoA, benzoyl-CoA, p-coumaroyl-CoA, and caffeoyl-CoA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The analysis of the reaction mixture was analyzed by Vanquish ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ UHPLC coupled with Q-Exactive HRMS
(Thermo Fisher, CA, USA), and HPLC (SSI-III) coupled with ELSD 6000 (Alletch Chrom, USA). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III-600 instrument at 600 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 150 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}$, or a Bruker AVANCE III-400 instrument at 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 100 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in pyridine $-d_{5}$. Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are given in parts per million (ppm). and coupling constants $(J)$ are given in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$.

### 1.2 Plant materials

We purchased the $A$. membranaceus seeds from Anguo FengHua Seed Station (Hebei, China), and confirmed its species by DNA barcoding using psbA-trnH fragments [3].

### 1.3 Molecular phylogenetic analysis

The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the JTT matrix-based model [9]. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-7275.97) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a JTT model, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 25 amino acid sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 181 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 [10].

### 1.4 Molecular cloning, heterologous expression and protein purification

We extracted the total RNA from the seedlings by using the TranZol ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ kit (TransGen Biotech, China) and reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the FastQuant RT Kit (Tiangen

Biotech, China). The open reading frame was amplified using specific primers. The amplification product was cloned into a pET-28a (+) vector (Invitrogen, USA) by Quick-change (Tiangen Biotech, China). After sequencing, the recombined plasmid pET-28a-AmAT19 was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) (TransGen Biotech, China) for expression. The $E$. coli cells were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ reached 0.6 . Then, isopropyl $\beta$-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG, 0.1 mM ) was added, and the cells were grown for another 20 h at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After expression, the cells were centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and resuspended in 15 mL of lysis buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 30 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, 10 mM imidazole). The collected cells were disrupted through ultrasonic on ice for 10 min and centrifuged for 45 min at 12000 rpm at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, the protein was purified by Ni-column (Proteinlso Ni-NTA Resin, TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) and concentrated using Amicon Ultra-15 Ultracel-30K centrifuge filters (Merck Millipore). The concentrated proteins were used as purified recombinant enzymes. The recombinant AmAT19 determined by SDS-PAGE is shown in Figure S2.

### 1.5 Optimization of reaction system and kinetic studies

To optimize the reaction time of AmAT19, we analyzed the reaction mixture at $0-30$ min. To optimize the reaction buffer and pH , we used various reaction buffers with different pH from 4.0-6.0 (citric acid-sodium citrate buffer), 6.0-8.0 $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}-\right.$ $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ buffer), 7.0-9.0 (Tris- HCl buffer), and added $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of enzyme into the reaction system. To optimize the reaction temperature, we used different temperatures from $0-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and added $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of enzyme into the reaction system. To optimize the influence of metal ions, we added 5 mM of $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ and EDTA respectively, and added $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of enzyme into the reaction system. All the
reactions used acetyl-coenzyme A as acyl donors and cycloastragenol (1) as the acyl acceptor. The reactions were stopped through adding $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methanol into the system. Then, the mixture was concentrated to dryness and reconstituted with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methanol and centrifuged at 15000 rpm for 20 min for further analysis. The results were shown in Figure S3.

For kinetic studies of Am AT19, the assay was performed in a final volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{pH} 6.0)$, consisting of $0.016875 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ AmAT19, 2 mM of saturating acetyl coenzyme A, and different concentrations of compound $\mathbf{1}(5,10,20,40,60,80$, $100 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The mixture was incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and quenched with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ice cold MeOH. Michaelis-Menten plot was fitted (Figure S4).

### 1.6 UHPLC/MS methods for analytical reactions

The samples were separated on a Waters T3 column $(2.1 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.8 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ for UHPLC/MS analysis. The mobile phase consisted of $0.1 \%$ formic acid $(v / v, A)$ and methanol (B). The column temperature was $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Gradient program: $0-1 \mathrm{~min}, 8 \% \mathrm{~B}$; $1-19 \mathrm{~min}, 8 \%-47 \% \mathrm{~B} ; 10-16 \mathrm{~min}, 47 \%-65 \% \mathrm{~B} ; 16-19 \mathrm{~min}, 65 \%-100 \% \mathrm{~B} ; 19-22 \mathrm{~min}$, $100 \%$ B; 22-25 min, $8 \%$ B. The flow rate was $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. MS analysis was performed on a Q-Exactive hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, USA) equipped with a heated electrospray ionization source (HESI) in both positive ion mode and negative ion mode. MS parameters: spray voltage: $\pm 3.5 \mathrm{kV}$; capillary temperature: $350{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; sheath gas: 45 arb ; aux gas: 10 arb; probe heater temperature: $400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; S-lens RF level: 60 V ; resolution: 70000 for full MS and 17500 for MS/MS; scan range: m/z 100-1200; stepped NCE: 35 eV . Data were processed using Xcalibur ${ }^{\text {TM }} 4.1$ software (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

### 1.7 HPLC/ELSD methods for analytical reactions

The samples were analyzed on an Agilent Zorbax SB C 18 column ( $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) protected with a Zorbax Extend-C ${ }_{18}$ guard column ( $4.6 \times 12.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). The mobile phase consisted of $0.01 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid ( $v / v, A$ ) and acetonitrile (B). Gradient program: 0-10 min, $30 \%-70 \% \mathrm{~B} ; 10-20 \mathrm{~min}, 70 \%-100 \% \mathrm{~B} ; 20-25 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \% \mathrm{~B} ; 25-30$ $\mathrm{min}, 30 \% \mathrm{~B}$. The flow rate was $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. ELSD analysis was performed on a ELSD 6000 (Alletch Chrom, USA). Parameters: temperature $106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; gas flow $2.9 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. Data were processed using CSChrom Plus software (Laballiance, USA).

### 1.8 Substrate and donor promiscuity

To detect the substrate heterogeneity of AmAT19, we tested compounds 1-22 as acyl acceptors when acetyl coenzyme A was used as the as acetyl donor. The acyl donor promiscuity was tested using five different donors (acetyl-, malonyl-, benzoyl-, caffeoyl-, and courmanyl-coenzyme A) when cyclogalegenol (1) and hyodeoxycholic acid (3) were used as acceptors. Reactions were carried out in an $100-\mu \mathrm{L}$ mixture containing $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}(\mathrm{pH} 6.0), 0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ acetyl donor, 0.1 mM acetyl acceptor, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ purified protein and 10 mM rac-dithiothreitol (DTT). The reaction mixtures were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes before adding $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methanol to stop the reaction. The samples were pre-treated and analyzed using UHPLC/MS or HPLC/ELSD as described in Supporting information 1.6-1.7.

### 1.9 Preparation of acetylated products

To prepare compound 3a, $500 \mathrm{mg}(1.27 \mathrm{mM})$ of compound $\mathbf{3}$ was dissolved in 2 mL of pyridine, then acetic anhydride ( 1.27 mM ) was added into the mixture. The mixture
was stirred under nitrogen protection overnight at room temperature before adding 5 mL of ethyl acetate to stop the reaction. The resulted mixture was washed by 1 N HCl ( 5 mL ), saturated sodium bicarbonate ( 5 mL ), and saturated sodium chloride solution ( 5 mL ) successively. Then the ethyl acetate layer was concentrated to dryness, redissolved by methanol, and pre-purified by normal-phase TLC (mobile phase: dichloromethane: methanol=20:1). The silica gel containing the acetylated product was extracted by ultrasonication for $20 \mathrm{~min} \times 3$ times, and the supernatant was collected and concentrated to dryness. The compound was then purified by HPLC/ELSD by isocratic elution using $50 \%$ water (containing $0.01 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid) and $50 \%$ acetonitrile. The preparation of standard $\mathbf{2 a} / \mathbf{4 a}$ was the same as $\mathbf{3 a}$, except for the isocratic elution for HPLC/ELSD was $38 \%$ B for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $60 \%$ B for $\mathbf{2 a}$. The products were identified by HRMS and NMR.

### 1.10 Screening of 3CL ${ }^{\text {pro }}$ protein inhibitory activity

The inhibitors of $3 \mathrm{CL}^{\text {pro }}$ protein were screened using a model of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) [4]. The system contained $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL} 3 \mathrm{CL}^{\text {pro }}$ protein, 3 mM Dabcyl-KTSAVLQSGFRKME-Edans (peptide substrate, Edans as the fluorescent donor), $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ test compound, and 20 mM Tris- HCl buffer ( pH 7.0 ). The mixture was incubated at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The fluorescence intensity was detected at 340 nm excitation light and 490 nm emitted light by a fluorescence microplate reader (FlexStation 3 (Molecular Devices, USA)). The 3CL ${ }^{\text {pro }}$ protein inhibitory activity was calculated using the follow equation:

$$
A=\frac{\Delta O D_{\text {test }}}{\Delta O D_{\text {control }}\left(2.204092 \times C \times V_{S}\right)}
$$

A: $3 C^{\text {pro }}$ protein inhibitory activity of the test molecules; $\triangle \mathrm{OD}$ : absorbance change
rate; C : Final concentration of the protein; $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ : final volume of the solution; Test: test compound; Control: control group adding equal volume of solvent.

### 1.11 HRMS, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of compounds $2 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}$ and 4 a

Protopanaxatriol-6-O-acetate (2a): white, amorphous powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, pyridine-d5) $\delta: 1.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5), 5.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3), 1.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, Ha-7), $1.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Hb}-7), 2.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(150 \mathrm{MHz}$, pyridine- $d 5$ ) $\delta: 39.3$ (C-1), 28.2 (C-2), 77.8 (C-3), 40.0 (C-4), 59.5 (C-5), 71.3 (C-6), 43.4 (C-7), 41.5 (C-8), 50.3 (C-9), 40.0 (C-10), 32.2 (C-11), 71.1 (C-12), 48.6 (C-13), 51.9 (C-14), 31.6 (C-15), 27.1 (C-16), 55.1 (C-17), 17.7 (C-19), 73.3 (C-20), 27.4 (C-21), 36.1 (C22), 23.3 (C-23), 126.6 (C-24), 131.2 (C-25), 18.0 (C-26), 26.2 (C-27), 31.6 (C-28), 17.0 (C-29), 170.0 (C-1'), 22.3 (C-2').

Hyodeoxycholic acid-6-O-acetate (3a): white, amorphous powder; HRESIMS m/z $433.2956[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$(calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 433.2959, \Delta=0.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 600 MHz , pyridine $-d_{5}$ ) $\delta: 1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5), 5.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3), 1.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}-7), 1.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-7), 2.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, pyridine- $\left.d_{5}\right) \delta$ : 36.0 (C-1), 30.9 (C-2), 70.6 (C-3), 28.3 (C-4), 45.9 (C-5), 71.6 (C-6), 36.3 (C-7), 34.8 (C-8), 40.1 (C-9), 36.3 (C-10), 20.9 (C-11), 40.0 (C-12), 42.9 (C-13), 56.1 (C-14), 24.2 (C-15), 31.2 (C-16), 56.0 (C-17), 12.2 (C-18), 23.4 (C-19), 35.6 (C-20), 18.5 (C-21), 31.8 (C-22), 32.1 (C-23), 175.5 (C-24), 170.2 (C-1'), 21.2 (C-2'). [5,6].
$\mathbf{5} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$-androstane- $\mathbf{3} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{6} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{1 7 \boldsymbol { \beta }}$-triol-6-O -acetate (4a): white, amorphous powder; HRESIMS $m / z 351.2514[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 351.2530, \Delta=4.6 \mathrm{ppm}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$

NMR (400 MHz, pyridine- $d 5$ ) $\delta: 1.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5), 5.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}-3), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-7), 1.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-7), 2.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(100$ MHz , pyridine- $d 5$ ) $\delta: 37.4(\mathrm{C}-1), 31.5(\mathrm{C}-2), 70.8(\mathrm{C}-3), 21.5(\mathrm{C}-4), 49.8(\mathrm{C}-5), 73.0$ (C-6), 33.7 (C-7), 35.0 (C-8), 54.5 (C-9), 38.3 (C-10), 21.5 (C-11), 37.7 (C-12), 44.0 (C-13), 51.5 (C-14), 24.0 (C-15), 31.3 (C-16), 81.6 (C-17), 12.3 (C-18), 13.9 (C-19), 170.9(C-1'), 21.5 (C-2') [7].

## Supplementary Table

Table S1 The primers for AmAT19 gene.

| Primers | Sequences (5'to 3') |
| :---: | :---: |
| AmAT19-F | GGGTCGCGGATCCGAATTCGAGCTCATGGATATCGAAATAGTCTCCACTC |
| AmAT19-R | CTCGAGTGCGGCCGCAAGCTTGTCGACCATACATGCATAAGGATTTGGATC |
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Figure S1 Acylation modification of tetracyclic triterpenes and steroids.


Figure S2 Amino acid sequence alignment for AmAT19 and other plant ATs.
The characteristic conserved motifs were framed in red. Dm3MAT2 (GeneBank accession number AAQ63616); TpHCT1A (GeneBank accession number ACI16630); TpHCT1B (GeneBank accession number ACI28534); NtHQT (GeneBank accession number CAE46932); CcsHQT (GeneBank accession number ABK79689); SIASAT1 (GeneBank accession number ALU64003); SIASAT2 (GeneBank accession number ALU64014); SlASAT4 (GeneBank accession number AFM77971.1); AmAT19 (GeneBank accession number MW803561) [8].


Figure S3 SDS-PAGE of AmAT19 modified with $6 \times$ His tag and purified by Ni-column (predicted weight: 54.8 kDa ).


Figure S4 Optimization of the reaction temperature (A), time (B), metal ion (C) and $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{D})$ for AmAT19.

Cycloastragenol (1) was used as acyl acceptor and acetyl coenzyme A as acetyl donor. The optimized reaction condition was at pH $6.0\left(50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\right)$, incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .


Figure S5 Kinetic analysis of AmAT19. The apparent Km value was determined using cycloastragenol (1) as acyl acceptor and acetyl-coenzyme A as acyl donor at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .
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Figure S6 Substrate specificity of AmAT19
(A) Conversion rates of $\mathbf{9 - 1 4}, \mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{- 1 9}$ using acetyl-CoA as the acyl donor. (B) Structures of acetyl acceptors 9-22. Compound $\mathbf{9 - 1 4}, \mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ could be acetylated by AmAT19, which was characterized by MS spectra.


Figure S7 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using 2 as the acyl acceptor, and the MS spectra of product $\mathbf{2 a}$.

Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent. MS spectra of 2a were obtained in negative ion mode. The MS/MS spectra is not available due to the poor fragmentation of the $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$adduct ion.
A

Hyodeoxycholic acid (3) Hyodeoxycholic acid 6-O-acetates (3a)
Molecular weight: $392.58 \quad$ Molecular weight: $\mathbf{4 3 4 . 6 2}$


Figure S8 UHPLC/MS chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using $\mathbf{3}$ as the acyl acceptor, and the MS spectra of product 3a.
(A) Acylation of hyodeoxycholic acid (3) catalyzed by AmAT19. (B) UHPLC/MS extracted ion chromatograms ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 479.3$ and 437.3) of the control, reaction and the reference standard samples. (C) HR-MS and HR-MS/MS spectra of the product 3a in negative ion mode.


Figure S9 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using 4 as the acyl acceptor.

Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent. The mass spectrometry data of $\mathbf{4 a}$ was not available due to poor ionization. The structure of $\mathbf{4 a}$ was further confirmed by NMR.


Figure S10 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using $\mathbf{9}$ as the acyl acceptor, and the MS spectra of product 9 a.

Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent. MS spectra of $9 \mathbf{a}$ was obtained in positive ion mode, and the fragments could be observed due to in-source fragmentation. The mass difference of 60 Da and 58 Da indicated the acetic acid and the side chain, respectively.


Figure S11 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using 10 as the acyl acceptor, and the MS and MS/MS spectra of product $\mathbf{1 0 a}$.

Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent. MS and MS/MS spectra of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ were obtained in positive ion mode. The mass difference of 60 Da indicated the acetic acid.


Figure S12 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using 11 as the acyl acceptor, and the MS and MS/MS spectra of product 11a.

Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent. MS and MS/MS spectra of $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ were obtained in positive ion mode. The mass difference of 60 Da indicated the acetic acid.


Figure S13 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using 12 as the acyl acceptor, and the MS and MS/MS spectra of product 12a.

Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent. MS and MS/MS spectra of $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ were obtained in positive ion mode. The mass difference of 60 Da indicated the acetic acid.


Figure S14 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using 13 as the acyl acceptor, and the MS and MS/MS spectra of product 13a.

Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent. MS and MS/MS spectra of 13a were obtained in positive ion mode. The mass difference of 60 Da indicated the acetic acid.


Figure S15 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using
$\mathbf{1 4}$ as the acyl acceptor, and the MS and MS/MS spectra of product $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 a}$.
Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent.
MS and MS ${ }^{n}$ spectra of $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ were obtained in negative ion mode using an ion trap mass spectrometer (LCQ Advantage, Thermo Finnigan, USA). The mass difference between $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ and their fragments maintained 42 Da (acetyl group) in $\mathrm{MS}^{\mathrm{n}}$ analysis.


Figure S16 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using 18 as the acyl acceptor, and the MS and MS/MS spectra of product $\mathbf{1 8 a}$.

Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent. MS and MS/MS spectra of $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ were obtained in positive ion mode. The mass difference of 60 Da indicated the acetic acid.


Figure S17 HPLC/ELSD chromatograms of the reaction mixtures for AmAT19 using 19 as the acyl acceptor, and the MS and MS/MS spectra of product 19a.

Reaction, acetyl-CoA was added as the acyl donor; control, the acyl donor is absent.
MS and MS/MS spectra of 19a were obtained in negative ion mode. The neutral loss of $42+44 \mathrm{Da}$ indicated the acetyl and the carboxyl groups.


Figure S18 $3 C^{\text {pro }}$ inhibition activity for $\mathbf{1 / 1 a}, \mathbf{2 / 2 a}, \mathbf{3} / \mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 / 4 a}$ at $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$. GC376 was a positive control applied from a previous study [4].


Figure $\mathbf{S 1 9}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S20 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3a in pyridine- $d_{5}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure $\mathbf{S} 21{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S22 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 a}$ in pyridine $-d_{5}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S23 HSQC spectrum of 3a in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 2 4} \mathrm{HMBC}$ spectrum of 3a in pyridine- $d_{5}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 2 5}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-COSY spectrum of $\mathbf{3 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S26DEPT135 spectrum of 14a in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S27 DEPT90 spectrum of $\mathbf{3 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S28 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 2 9}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 3 0} \mathrm{HSQC}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 3 1} \mathrm{HMBC}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S32 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S33 DEPT 135 spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S34 DEPT 90 spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S35 (-)ESI-HR-MS spectrum of 3a.


Figure S36 (-)ESI-HR-MS spectrum of 4a.


Figure $\mathbf{S 3 7}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2a in pyridine $-d_{5}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 3 8}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 a}$ in pyridine- $d_{5}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S39 HSQC spectrum of 2a in pyridine- $d_{5}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 4 0} \mathrm{HMBC}$ spectrum of 2a in pyridine- $d_{5}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$.
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