Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## **Supporting information**

# Vinylnaphthalene embedded hexaoxazole as a fluorescence turn-on type Gquadruplex ligand

Yue Ma,\*<sup>a</sup> Yuki Wakabayashi,<sup>b</sup> Naruyuki Watatani,<sup>b</sup> Ryota Saito,<sup>c</sup> Takatsugu Hirokawa,<sup>d,e,f</sup> Masayuki Tera,<sup>b</sup> Kazuo Nagasawa\*<sup>b</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Biotechnology and Life Science, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, 2-24-16 Naka-cho, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8588 (Japan)
   \*E-mail: knaga@cc.tuat.ac.jp
- <sup>b</sup> Institute of Global Innovation Research, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, 2-24-16 Naka-cho, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8588 (Japan)
   \*E-mail: yue-ma@m2.tuat.ac.jp
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, Toho University, 2-2-1 Miyama, Funabashi, Chiba 274-8510 (Japan)
- <sup>d</sup> Transborder Medical Research center, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, 305-8575 (Japan)
- Division of Biomedical Science, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, 305-8575 (Japan)
- <sup>f</sup> Molecular Profiling Research Center for Drug Discovery, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, 2-4-7 Aomi, Koto-ward, Tokyo 135-0064 (Japan)

# Table of Contents:

1. Synthesis	
General	S3
Synthesis of compound <b>1</b>	S3
Synthesis of compound <b>S3</b>	S4
Synthesis of compound <b>6</b>	S5
Synthesis of compound <b>7</b>	S6
Synthesis of compound <b>8</b>	S7
Synthesis of compound <b>2</b>	S8
Synthesis of compound <b>10</b>	S9
Synthesis of compound <b>S4</b>	S10
Synthesis of compound <b>11</b>	S10
Synthesis of compound <b>13a</b>	S11
Synthesis of compound <b>3a</b>	S12
Synthesis of compound <b>13b</b>	S13
Synthesis of compound <b>3b</b>	S14
Synthesis of compound <b>13c</b>	S15
Synthesis of compound <b>3c</b>	S16
Synthesis of compound <b>13d</b>	S17
Synthesis of compound <b>3d</b>	S18
Synthesis of compound <b>13e</b>	S19
Synthesis of compound <b>3e</b>	S20
2. Materials	S21
3. Fluorescence Studies	S22
4. Circular Dichroism (CD) Analysis	S24
5. Computational analysis	S25
6. Other Supporting Table and Figures	S26
7. Supplementary references	S31
8. <sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra for synthetic compounds	S32

### 1. Synthesis

### General

Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (spherical, particle size 0.040– 0.100 mm; Kanto Co., Inc., Japan) and preparative-TLC (PLC) was performed using PLC silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> (0.5 mm, Merck Ltd., Germany). Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO P-2200 polarimeter. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-AL300 (300 MHz), JNM-ECX 400 (400 MHz) and JNM-ECA 500 (500 MHz). The spectra are referenced internally according to the residual solvent signals of CDCl<sub>3</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H NMR;  $\delta$  = 7.26 ppm, <sup>13</sup>C NMR;  $\delta$  = 77.0 ppm), DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H NMR;  $\delta$  = 2.50 ppm, <sup>13</sup>C NMR;  $\delta$  = 39.5 ppm). Data for <sup>1</sup>H NMR are recorded as follows; chemical shift ( $\delta$ , ppm), multiplicity (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet; br, broad), integration, coupling constant (Hz). Data for <sup>13</sup>C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shifts ( $\delta$ , ppm). Mass spectra were recorded on a JEOL JMS-T100LC spectrometer with ESI-MS mode using MeOH as a solvent.

### **Compound 1**



To a solution of  $4^1$  (68.2 mg, 688 µmol) in EtOAc (1 mL) was added 2iodoxybenzoic acid (296 mg, 1.03 mmol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 1 h, and then filtered through a pad of Celite® and eluted with EtOAc. The filtrates were concentrated *in vacuo* to give an aldehyde, which was used without further purification. To a solution of **5** (231 mg, 479 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added with potassium *tert*-butoxide (39.0 mg, 351 mmol) and the solution of aldehyde in THF (1 mL) at room temperature under argon atmosphere. After stirred for 1 h, the reaction mixture was filtrated through a pad of Celite® and eluted with EtOAc, and the filtrates were concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate 20:1) to give **1** (25.1 mg, 35%, 2 steps).

Spectral data for **1**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.20 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.96-7.82 (m, 4H), 7.72 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.59-7.47 (m, 3H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  150.6, 150.5, 133.7, 133.6, 131.2 128.8, 128.7, 127.2, 126.4, 126.0, 125.6, 124.3, 124.2, 123.5, 115.4, 29.7; HRMS (ESI, M+H) calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>1</sub>O<sub>1</sub> 222.09189, found 222.09187.



Purity analysis of **1** was conducted via reverse phase HPLC with using Hitachi L-2455 with C18 UG80 S5, 5.0  $\mu$ m, 4.6 mm × 250 mm (Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan) detected at 324 nm, and a mobile phase was constructed of CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O-TFA (60:40:0.1).





To a solution of  $S1^2$  (7.96 g, 18.7 mmol) in THF-H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1, 160 mL) was added LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (1.57 g, 37.4 mmol) at room temperature. After stirred for 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 3 N HCl to give carboxylic acid as a THF-H<sub>2</sub>O solution,

which was used without further purification. Then, to a solution of the crude carboxylic acid was added NMM (6.90mL, 62.4 mmol), DMT-MM (9.20 g, 31.2 mmol) and amine **S2**<sup>3</sup> (6.15 g, 15.6 mmol) at room temperature. After stirred for 17 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 1.2N HCl. The organic layer was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and then concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 150:1) to give amide **S3** (7.82 g, 65%, 2 steps).

Spectral data for **S3**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -22.6 (*c* 1.8, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.22 (s, 1H), 7.74 (br, 1H), 5.93 (br, 1H), 5.41-5.29 (m, 3H), 5.22 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (br, 1H), 4.60-4.58 (m, 4H), 4.23 (br, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.54-3.51 (m, 2H), 3.11-3.07 (m, 2H), 2.60 (s, 3H), 1.93-1.63 (m, 4H), 1.51-1.38 (m, 13H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  162.3, 161.6, 161.2, 161.1, 156.2, 155.7, 154.2, 144.4, 133.1, 132.5, 128.2, 118.0, 79.2, 70.3, 66.0, 52.3, 51.5, 49.0, 48.0, 39.9, 33.4, 32.6, 29.7, 29.5, 28.4, 22.3, 11.7; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>10</sub>Na 671.2765, found 671.2749.

### **Compound 6**



To a solution of amide **S3** (7.82 g, 12.1 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (120 ml) was cooled to 0 °C and was treated with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.85 g, 36.3 mmol) and DAST (2.34 ml, 14.5 mmol) under argon atmosphere. After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and then concentrated *in vacuo*. To a solution of the crude oxazoline in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (60 ml) was cooled to 0 °C and was treated with DBU (9.05 ml, 60.5 mmol) and BrCCl<sub>3</sub> (6.00 ml, 60.5 mmol) under argon atmosphere. After stirring for 15 h, the reaction was quenched with 1.2 N HCl, and the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and then concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 200:1) to give trioxazole **6** (4.88 g, 69%, 2 steps).

Spectral data for **6**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -21.4 (*c* 0.44, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.31 (s, 1H), 7.40 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 6.11 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.97-5.87 (m, 1H), 5.63 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, *J* = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.59-4.58 (br, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.10 (br, 2H), 2.73 (s, 3H), 2.05-1.83 (m, 2H), 1.58-1.36 (m, 13H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  162.9, 161.5, 156.2, 156.0, 155.7, 155.3, 151.6, 149.6, 143.7, 134.5, 132.5, 125.4, 124.5, 121.5, 119.4, 117.9, 77.2, 66.0, 52.3, 49.2, 40.0, 33.8, 29.5, 28.4, 22.3, 11.9; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Na 608.2333, found 608.2337.

#### **Compound 7**



To a solution of trioxazole **6** (150 mg, 256 µmol) in THF (8.5 mL) was added morpholine (22.3 µL, 2.56 mmol) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (59.0 mg, 51.0 µmol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere. After stirred for 10 min, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to give amine, which was used without further purification. To a solution of crude amine in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was added with  $^{1}$ Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (91.0 µL, 537 µmol) and (Boc)<sub>2</sub>O (78.1 mg, 358 µmol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere. After stirred for 6 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 1.2 N HCl, and the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and then concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 200:1) to give Boctrioxazole **7** (66.3 mg, 43%, 2 steps).

Spectral data for **7**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -46.4 (*c* 0.50, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ 9.02 (s, 1H), 7.65-7.54 (m, 1H), 7.27 (dd, *J* = 17.9, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (t, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (br, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.91-2.87 (m, 2H), 2.69 (s, 3H), 1.81-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.47-1.29 (m, 22H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

 $\delta$ 163.3, 161.4, 156.1, 156.0, 155.3, 155.2, 151.5, 149.5, 143.7, 134.4, 132.1, 132.0, 131.9, 129.4, 128.5, 128.4, 125.4, 124.4, 121.5, 119.4, 80.1, 79.0, 67.7, 52.2, 48.6, 40.0, 38.8, 34.0, 30.5, 29.5, 28.9, 28.4, 28.3, 23.9, 22.9, 22.4, 14.0, 11.9, 11.0; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>39</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Na 624.2646, found 624.2651.

### **Compound 8**



To a solution of **7** (5.2 mg, 8.60 µmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (210 µL) was added 1-Bromonapthalene (3.60 µl, 259 µmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (36.0 µl, 258 µmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (1.30 mg, 5.16 µmol) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (78.1 mg, 358 µmol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere, and then the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C. After stirred for 24 h, the resulting mixture was filtrated through a pad of Celite® and eluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (9:1). The filtrates were concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate 3:2) to give **8** (3.0 mg, 48 %). Spectral data for **8**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -36.9 (*c* 0.84, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ 9.06 (s, 1H), 8.45 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.02-8.00 (m, 2H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 7.70-7.55 (m, 4H), 6.80 (t, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (dt, *J* = 8.7, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 2.92-2.91 (m, 2H), 2.76 (s, 3H), 1.91-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.41-1.23 (m, 22H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ 163.7, 160.9, 155.6, 155.3, 154.9, 151.7, 149.2, 145.7, 133.5, 133.4, 132.6, 130.7, 130.0, 129.5, 128.7, 127.0, 126.3, 125.9, 125.3, 123.9, 123.5, 123.3, 114.6, 78.4, 77.3, 52.0, 48.6, 32.1, 29.0, 28.2, 22.7, 11.6; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Na 750.3115, found 750.3075. **Compound 2** 



To a solution of **8** (3.00 mg, 4.12  $\mu$ mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was added TFA (2 mL) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to give **2** (2.50 mg, 98%).

Spectral data for **2**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = +7.0 (*c* 0.60, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.06 (s, 1H), 8.86 (br, 2H), 8.46 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 8.37 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.77-7.61 (m, 6H), 4.68 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.80-2.78 (m, 2H), 2.04-1.97 (m, 2H), 1.59-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.41-1.39 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  161.0, 159.2, 155.6, 154.4, 153.1, 149.6, 145.9, 140.6, 133.6, 133.5, 132.5, 130.7, 130.5, 129.8, 128.9, 127.2, 126.5, 126.0, 125.5, 124.2, 124.1, 123.3, 114.6, 79.2, 69.8, 52.2, 47.6, 38.5, 31.0, 26.5, 21.5, 11.8; HRMS (ESI, M+H) calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 528.2247, found 528.2202.



Purity analysis of **2** was conducted via reverse phase HPLC with using Hitachi L-2455 with C18 UG80 S5, 5.0  $\mu$ m, 4.6 mm × 250 mm (Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan) detected at 364 nm, and a mobile phase was constructed of CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O-TFA (20:80:0.1).

### **Compound 10**



To a solution of trioxazole **6** (4.88 g, 8.33 mmol) in THF-H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1, 80 mL) was added LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (701 mg, 16.7 mmol) at room temperature, and stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with 3 N HCl to give carboxylic acid as a THF-H<sub>2</sub>O solution, which was used without further purification. To a solution of carboxylic acid (THF-H<sub>2</sub>O) was added NMM (3.68 mL, 33.3 mmol), DMT-MM (4.92 g, 16.7 mmol), and amine **9**, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature. After stirred for 17 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 1.2N HCl, and the organic layer was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, dried over MgSO4, and filtered. The filtrates were concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 150:1) to give bistrioxazole **10** (7.22 g, 85%, 2 steps).

Spectral data for **10**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  = +3.0 (*c* 1.7, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.43 (s, 1H), 8.33 (s, 1H), 8.32 (s, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 6.13 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.97-5.87 (m, 1H), 5.68 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 5.54-5.48 (m, 3H), 5.32 (d, *J* = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.59-4.58 (m, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.11 (br, 4H), 2.75 (s, 3H), 2.27-1.85 (m, 4H), 1.55-1.24 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  164.8, 163.0, 161.2, 160.0, 156.0, 155.9, 155.7, 155.4, 155.3, 151.7, 149.4, 143.9, 141.6, 139.6, 139.3, 136.6, 134.3, 132.5, 130.8, 129.8, 125.4, 124.4, 121.3, 119.7, 117.9, 79.1, 77.2, 65.9, 52.3, 49.1, 46.7, 40.1, 39.9, 33.7, 33.4, 29.6, 29.5, 28.3, 22.8, 22.3, 12.0; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>58</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>15</sub>Na 1037.3981, found 1037.4027.

### **Compound S4**



To a solution of bistrioxazole **10** (7.22 g, 7.11 mmol) in THF (250 mL) was added morpholine (6.19 mL, 2.64 mmol) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (1.64 g, 1.42 mmol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere. After stirred for 3 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 50:1) to give amine **S4** (4.24 g, 64%).

Spectral data for **S4**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = +31.4 (*c* 0.79, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.43 (s, 1H), 8.324 (s, 1H), 8.317 (s, 1H), 8.30 (s, 1H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 11.5, 1H), 6.13 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (br, 2H), 4.07 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.12-3.11 (m, 4H), 2.75 (s, 3H), 2.24-1.79 (m, 6H), 1.56-1.40 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.3, 164.8, 161.2, 159.9, 155.9, 155.7, 155.4, 155.3, 151.5, 149.3, 143.8, 141.5, 139.6, 139.3, 136, 6, 134.3, 130.7, 129.8, 125.4, 124.1, 121.2, 119.6, 79.0, 77.2, 52.3, 49.9, 46.7, 40.2, 35.2, 33.4, 29.7, 29.4, 28.3, 22.9, 22.8, 11.9; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>54</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>13</sub>Na 953.3770, found 953.3742.

### **Compound 11**



To a solution of the amine S4 (1.22 g, 1.20 mmol) in THF-H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1, 40 mL) was

added LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (101 mg, 2.40 mmol) at room temperature. After stirred for 40 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with 3 N HCl, and concentrated *in vacuo* to give carboxylic acid, which was used without further purification. To a solution of the crude carboxylic acid in dry DMF (400 mL) were added diisopropylethylamine (816  $\mu$ L, 4.80 mmol), DMAP (293 mg,2.40 mmol) and DPPA (2.60 mL, 12.0 mmol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere, and then the reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C. After stirred for 10 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 1.2 N HCl. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and then concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 100:1) to give amide **11** (782 mg, 73%, 2 steps).

Spectral data for **11**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -3.0 (*c* 0.95, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ 9.12 (s, 1H), 9.09 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.91 (s, 1H), 8.36-8.29 (m, 2H), 7.20 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 5.43 (dt, *J* = 6.9, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (dt, *J* = 6.9, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.85-2.83 (br, 4H), 2.77 (s, 3H), 2.05-1.90 (m. 4H), 1.33-1.08 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  164.5, 162.2, 158.74, 158.70, 155.7, 155.5, 155.1, 155.0, 154.5, 152.6, 148.9, 142.5, 141.7, 141.0, 136.2, 136.1, 129.8, 128.5, 125.0, 123.5, 120.8, 120.5, 79.2, 77.2, 47.4, 47.2, 33.3, 29.1, 28.1, 21.1, 21.0, 11.8; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>50</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Na 921.3507, found 921.3487.

### Compound 13a



To a solution of **11** (40.0 mg, 44.5  $\mu$ mol) in dry THF (1 mL) was added 1vinylnaphthalene **12a** (68.6 mg, 445  $\mu$ mol) and Grubbs 2<sup>nd</sup> (773  $\mu$ g, 0.890  $\mu$ mol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere, and then the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C. After stirred for 26 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 200:1) to give **13a** (31.5 mg, 67%).

Spectral data for **13a**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -3.6 (*c* 0.95, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.11 (s, 1H), 9.05 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.88 (s, 1H), 8.36-8.31 (m, 2H), 8.25 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.99-7.93 (m, 4H), 7.64-7.55 (m, 4H), 6.79-6.78 (m, 2H), 5.41-5.33 (m, 2H), 2.85-2.78 (m, 7H), 2.06 (br, 2H), 1.90 (br, 2H), 1.34-1.23 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  164.4, 162.1, 158.81 158.3, 158.0, 155.7, 155.3, 155.1, 154.6, 152.8, 149.5, 143.0, 142.6, 141.8, 141.1 136.1, 136.0, 133.4, 132.4, 130.6, 130.1, 129.7, 128.8, 128.5, 127.0, 126.4, 125.8, 125.3, 124.1, 123.6, 123.1, 114.1, 47.2, 47.1, 33.5, 33.3, 26.7, 26.2, 25.8, 20.9, 12.0; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>53H56N10</sub>O<sub>10</sub>Na 1047.3977, found 1047.3939.

### **Compound 3a**



To a solution of **13a** (31.5 mg, 29.9  $\mu$ mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was added TFA (2 mL) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to give **3a** (24.3 mg, 99%).

Spectral data for **3a**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -34.5 (*c* 1.0, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.16 (s, 1H), 9.14 (s, 1H), 8.97 (s, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 8.37-8.31 (m, 2H), 8.24 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 8.04-8.00 (m, 3H), 7.69-7.63 (m, 9H), 5.47-5.39 (m, 2H), 2.92 (s, 3H), 2.76 (br, 4H), 2.08 (br, 2H), 1.95 (br, 2H), 1.55-1.23 (m, 8H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  164.4, 162.1, 158.9, 158.8, 158.3, 158.0, 155.7, 155.3, 155.1, 154.6, 152.8, 149.5, 143.0, 142.6, 141.8, 141.1, 136.1, 136.0, 133.4, 132.4, 130.6, 130.1, 129.7, 128.8, 128.5, 127.0, 126.4, 125.8, 125.3, 124.1, 123.6, 123.1, 114.1, 47.2, 47.1, 33.5, 33.3, 26.7, 26.4,

26.2, 25.8, 20.9, 12.0; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Na 847.29283, found 847.29282.



Purity analysis of **3a** was conducted via reverse phase HPLC with using Hitachi L-2455 with C18 UG80 S5, 5.0  $\mu$ m, 4.6 mm × 250 mm (Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan) detected at 377 nm, and a mobile phase was constructed of CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O-TFA (20:80:0.1).

**Compound 13b** 



To a solution of **11** (10.0 mg, 11.1  $\mu$ mol) in dry THF (500  $\mu$ L) was added **12b** (13.8 mg, 55.6  $\mu$ mol) and Grubbs 2<sup>nd</sup> (717  $\mu$ g, 0.560  $\mu$ mol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere, and then the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C. After stirred for 27 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 150:1) to give **13b** (9.60 mg, 77%).

Spectral data for **13b**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -5.8 (*c* 1.0, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.12 (s, 1H), 9.08 (s, 1H), 8.93 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.38-8.31 (m, 3H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75-7.73 (m, 2H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 6.78-6.77 (m, 2H), 5.43-5.37 (m, 2H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.90 (s, 3H), 2.85-2.84 (m, 4H), 2.06 (br, 2H), 1.91 (br, 2H), 1.80-1.22 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  164.5, 162.2, 158.8, 158.7, 155.7, 155.5, 155.2, 154.5, 152.8, 149.2, 145.5, 142.9, 142.5, 141.6, 141.0, 136.2, 136.1, 132.2, 131.8, 129.8, 129.4, 128.5, 127.9, 127.5, 127.0, 125.7, 124.2, 123.8, 123.5, 122.3, 118.6, 115.3, 79.2, 77.2, 69.8, 47.4, 47.2, 38.3, 34.7, 34.2, 33.4, 29.1, 28.2, 26.3, 25.9, 25.8, 21.1, 12.0; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>54</sub>H<sub>58</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>15</sub>SNa 1141.3702, found 1141.3708.

#### **Compound 3b**



To a solution of **13b** (9.60 mg, 8.58  $\mu$ mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was added TFA (2 mL) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to give **3b** (7.72 mg, 98%).

Spectral data for **3b**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -58.7 (*c* 0.45, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.14 (s, 1H), 9.12 (s, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H), 8.39 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 8.32 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.24-8.22 (m, 1H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78-7.61 (m, 8H), 5.45 (dt, *J* = 6.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (dt, *J* = 6.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 2.92 (s, 3H), 2.78-2.69 (m, 4H), 2.08 (br, 2H), 1.95 (br, 2H), 1.80-1.16 (m, 8H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  164.4, 162.2, 158.9, 155.7, 155.2, 154.6, 153.0, 149.3, 145.5, 143.0, 142.6, 141.8, 141.1, 136.2, 136.0, 131.8, 129.7, 129.6, 128.5, 128.0, 127.6, 127.1, 125.7, 124.2, 123.9, 123.6, 122.4, 118.7, 115.3, 69.8, 47.2, 47.1, 38.6, 38.3, 34.7, 34.2, 33.5, 33.4, 26.7, 26.3, 25.8, 25.8, 21.0, 12.0; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>11</sub>SNa 941.2653, found 941.2629.



Purity analysis of **3b** was conducted via reverse phase HPLC with using Hitachi L-2455 with C18 UG80 S5, 5.0  $\mu$ m, 4.6 mm × 250 mm (Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan) detected at 373 nm, and a mobile phase was constructed of CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O-TFA (20:80:0.1).

### **Compound 13c**



To a solution of **11** (40.0 mg, 44.5 µmol) in dry THF (1 mL) was added **12c** (82.0 mg, 445 µmol) and Grubbs  $2^{nd}$  (773 µg, 0.890 µmol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere, and then the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C. After stirred for 32 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 100:1) to give **13c** (30.0 mg, 64%). Spectral data for **13c**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -12.5 (*c* 0.96, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.12 (s, 1H), 9.09 (s, 1H), 8.922 (s, 1H), 8.915 (s, 1H), 8.37 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 8.33-8.32 (m, 1H), 8.27-8.23 (m, 2H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.68-7.65 (m, 1H), 7.60-7.57 (m, 1H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.80-6.77 (m, 2H), 5.42 (dt, *J* = 7.5, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (dt, *J* = 7.5, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (s, 3H),

2.87-2.85 (m, 7H), 2.06 (br, 2H), 1.91 (br, 2H), 1.34-1.10 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  164.5, 162.1, 158.8, 158.7, 156.1, 155.7, 155.5, 155.4, 154.6, 154.5, 152.5, 149.8, 142.7, 142.5, 141.6, 141.0, 136.14, 136.09, 131.5, 130.0, 129.8, 128.5, 127.5, 125.7, 125.1, 124.8, 124.7, 124.5, 123.6, 122.9, 122.2, 111.8, 104.7, 79.2, 77.2, 55.9, 47.4, 47.2, 33.4, 29.2, 28.2, 21.1, 21.0, 11.9; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>54</sub>H<sub>58</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>13</sub>Na 1077.4083, found 1077.4064.

### **Compound 3c**



To a solution of **13c** (30.0 mg, 28.4  $\mu$ mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was added TFA (2 mL) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to give **3c** (23.0 mg, 94%).

Spectral data for **3c**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -11.4 (*c* 0.70, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.15 (s, 1H), 9.13 (s, 1H), 8.96 (s, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 8.35 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.32 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.29-8.24 (m, 2H), 8.14 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.71-7.51 (m, 9H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (dt, *J* = 6.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (dt, *J* = 6.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (s, 3H), 2.90 (s, 3H), 2.79-2.74 (m, 4H), 2.08 (br, 2H), 1.94 (br, 2H), 1.58-1.22 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  164.4, 162.0, 158.9, 158.8, 158.4, 158.1, 156.1, 155.7, 155.5, 154.7, 154.6, 152.6, 149.9, 142.6, 141.8, 141.1, 136.10, 136.06, 131.5, 130.2, 129.7, 128.5, 127.5, 125.7, 125.2, 124.8, 124.7, 124.4, 104.8, 104.7, 56.0, 55.8, 47.2, 47.0, 33.4, 26.7, 20.9, 11.9; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Na 877.3034, found 877.3014.



Purity analysis of **3c** was conducted via reverse phase HPLC with using Hitachi L-2455 with C18 UG80 S5, 5.0  $\mu$ m, 4.6 mm × 250 mm (Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan) detected at 399 nm, and a mobile phase was constructed of CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O-TFA (20:80:0.1).





To a solution of **11** (40.0 mg, 44.5  $\mu$ mol) in dry THF (1 mL) was added **12d** (94.5 mg, 445  $\mu$ mol) and Grubbs 2<sup>nd</sup> (773  $\mu$ g, 0.890  $\mu$ mol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere, and then the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C. After stirred for 28 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to give vinylnaphtylacetate-OTD, which was used without further purification. To a solution of crude compound in MeOH (50  $\mu$ L) was added K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.98 mg, 445  $\mu$ mol) at room temperature. After stirred for 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 1.2 N HCl. The resulting mixture was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and then concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 50:1) to give **13d** (15.3 mg, 64%, 2 steps).

Spectral data for **13d**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +9.9$  (*c* 0.75, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)

δ 9.13 (s, 1H), 9.08 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.90 (s, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.33-8.32 (m, 1H), 8.23-8.20 (m, 2H), 8.08 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.79-6.77 (m, 2H), 5.42 (dt, J = 7.5, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (dt, J = 7.5, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.86-2.85 (m, 8H), 2.08 (br, 2H), 1.90 (br, 2H), 1.37-1.03 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 164.5, 162.1, 158.8, 158.7, 155.7, 155.5, 154.5, 152.4, 150.2, 142.5, 141.7, 141.0, 136.1, 132.1, 130.4, 129.8, 128.5, 127.3, 125.5, 124.9, 124.6, 124.0, 123.6, 122.8, 122.7, 110.4, 108.5, 79.2, 77.2, 69.8, 47.4, 47.3, 33.4, 29.2, 28.2, 21.1, 21.0, 11.9; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>56</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>13</sub>Na 1063.3926, found 1063.3897.

### **Compound 3d**



To a solution of **13d** (4.00 mg,  $3.84 \mu mol$ ) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was added TFA (2 mL) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 20 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to give **3d** (3.00 mg, 93%).

Spectral data for **3d**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -193 (*c* 0.86, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  10.9 (br, 1H), 9.15 (s, 1H), 9.12 (s, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 8.93 (s, 1H), 8.35-8.22 (m, 4H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.89-7.47 (m, 8H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.43-5.38 (m, 2H), 2.88 (s, 3H), 2.77 (br, 4H), 2.08 (br, 2H), 1.94 (br, 2H), 1.54-1.03 (m, 8H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  164.4, 162.0, 158.9, 158.8, 158.2, 158.0, 155.7, 155.6, 155.3, 154.6, 152.5, 150.2, 142.7, 142.6, 141.8, 141.1, 136.1, 136.0, 132.0, 130.5, 129.7, 128.5, 127.3, 125.5, 125.0, 124.5, 124.0, 123.7, 122.8, 122.7, 118.5, 116.1, 110.6, 108.5, 69.8, 47.2, 47.1, 33.4, 33.3, 26.7, 20.9, 11.9; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Na 863.2877, found 863.2830.



Purity analysis of **3d** was conducted via reverse phase HPLC with using Hitachi L-2455 with C18 UG80 S5, 5.0  $\mu$ m, 4.6 mm × 250 mm (Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan) detected at 400 nm, and a mobile phase was constructed of CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O-TFA (20:80:0.1).

**Compound 13e** 



To a solution of **11** (15.0 mg, 16.6 µmol) in dry THF (400 µL) was added **12e** (32.9 mg, 166 µmol) and Grubbs 2<sup>nd</sup> (1.50 mg, 1.70 µmol) at room temperature under argon atmosphere, and then the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C. After stirred for 24 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 200:1) to give **13e** (10.0 mg, 55%). Spectral data for **13e**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -4.4 (*c* 0.50, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.12 (s, 1H), 9.09 (s, 1H), 8.93 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.37 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 8.33-8.28 (m, 2H), 8.22 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.66-7.59 (m, 2H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.78-6.77 (m, 2H),

5.43 (dt, J = 7.3, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (dt, J = 7.3, 5.5 Hz,1H), 2.90-2.85 (m, 13H), 2.07 (br, 2H), 1.90 (br, 2H), 1.35-1.23 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.5, 162.2, 158.8, 158.7, 155.7, 155.53, 155.46, 154.7, 154.5, 152.6, 152.1, 149.9, 142.7, 142.5, 141.7, 141.0, 136.2, 136.1, 132.0, 129.8, 128.5, 127.7, 126.8, 126.5, 125.4, 124.7, 124.6, 123.6, 113.8, 79.2, 77.2, 69.8, 47.4, 47.2, 44.7, 44.6, 33.4, 29.1, 28.2, 21.1,21.0, 12.0; HRMS (ESI, M+Na) calcd for C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>61</sub>N<sub>11</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Na 1090.4399, found 1090.4355.

### **Compound 3e**



To a solution of **13e** (10.0 mg, 9.37  $\mu$ mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was added TFA (2 mL) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to give **3e** (8.10 mg, 99%).

Spectral data for **3e**:  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -107 (*c* 0.82, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ 9.15 (s, 1H), 9.13 (s, 1H), 8.96 (s, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 8.35 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 8.33-8.29 (m, 2H), 8.22 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.66-7.54 (m, 7H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (dt, *J* = 7.3, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (dt, *J* = 7.3, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.92-2.90 (m, 9H), 2.81-2.73 (m, 4H), 2.08 (br, 2H), 1.96 (br, 2H), 1.56-1.02 (m, 8H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ 164.4, 162.1, 158.9, 158.8, 155.7, 155.5, 154.7, 154.6, 152.6, 152.2, 150.0, 142.8, 142.6, 141.8, 141.1, 136.1, 136.0, 132.0, 130.6, 129.7, 128.5, 127.8, 126.8, 126.4, 125.4, 124.8, 124.7, 124.5, 123.6, 113.8, 112.1, 69.8, 47.2, 47.0, 44.6, 33.5, 33.4, 26.7, 20.9, 12.0; HRMS (ESI, M+H) calcd for C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>11</sub>O<sub>8</sub> 868.3531, found 868.3530.



Purity analysis of **3e** was conducted via reverse phase HPLC with using Hitachi L-2455 with C18 UG80 S5, 5.0  $\mu$ m, 4.6 mm × 250 mm (Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan) detected at 393 nm, and a mobile phase was constructed of CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O-TFA (20:80:0.1).

### 2. Materials

Table S1. Sequences of oligonucleotides used in this paper

Name	Sequence
telo24	5' -d[TTA GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG]- 3'
telo22	5' -d[GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG T]- 3'
с-тус	5' -d[TGA GGG TGG GTA GGG TGG GTA A]- 3'
K-ras	5' -d[AGG GCG GTG TGG GAA GAG GGA AGA GGG GGA
	GG]- 3'
c-kit1	5' -d[AGG GAG GGC GCT GGG AGG AGG G]- 3'
bcl2	5' -d[GGG CGC GGG AGG AAT TGG GCG GG]- 3'
dsDNA (hairpin)	5' -d[TAT AGC TAT ATT TTT TTA TAG CTA TA]- 3'
mut telo24	5' -d[TTA GAG TTA GAG TTA GAG TTA GAG]- 3'
C-telo24	5'-d[CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA]- 3'

All oligonucleotides used in the experiment were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies and Merck & Co. (USA) and were dissolved as stock solutions (1 mM) in MilliQ water to be used without further purification. All other reagents were obtained in the molecular biology grade from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Co. (Japan). Stock solutions of **1**, **2**, **3a**-**3e** (10 mM) were dissolved in DMSO and stored at -30 °C.

### 3. Fluorescence Studies

### Fluorescence titration of compounds with addition of telo24 and dsDNA

Fluorescence titration were recorded on a Microplate Reader (Spark®, TECAN, Japan) using a 96 well plate (1/2 OptiPlate-96, Perkin Elmer, USA), and over the emission wavelength range of 350-750 nm. The oligonucleotides telo24 and dsDNA used in this protocol (Table S1) were diluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl, pH 7.4) from 1.0 mM stock solutions to give a concentration of 50  $\mu$ M. The solution was denatured at 99 °C for 5 min, and then slowly cooled to room temperature. Small aliquots of nucleotides were added into the solution containing compounds at fixed concentration (500 nM) in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl, pH 7.4) diluted from 10 mM DMSO stock solution. The final concentration of nucleotides was varied from 0 to 2.5  $\mu$ M, and incubated overnight. Finally, fluorescence spectra were representative of three averaged scans taken at corresponding excitation wavelength.  $K_d$  values were calculated by using the equation: Bound fraction = (([ligand]+[DNA]+ $K_d$ )-(([ligand]+[DNA]+ $K_d$ )<sup>2</sup>-4×[ligand]×[DNA])<sup>1/2</sup>/(2×[ligand]).

### Fluorescence intensity of 3e with addition of oligonucleotides

Fluorescence intensity were recorded on a Microplate Reader (Spark®, TECAN, Japan) using a 96 well plate (1/2 OptiPlate-96, Perkin Elmer, USA) at Em 602 nm. The oligonucleotides telo24, telo22, *c-myc*, *K-ras*, *c-kit1*, *bcl2*, dsDNA, mut telo24 and C-telo24 used in this protocol (Table S1) were diluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl or 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) or 50 mM sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 5.8), respectively, from 1.0 mM stock solution to give a concentration of 5.0  $\mu$ M. The solution was denatured at 99 °C for 5 min, and then slowly cooled to room temperature. Small aliquots of nucleotides were added into the solution containing compounds at fixed

concentration (500 nM) in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl or 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) or 50 mM sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 5.8) diluted from 10 mM DMSO stock solution. The final concentration of nucleotides was varied from 0 to 2.5  $\mu$ M, and incubated overnight. Finally, fluorescence intensity was representative of three averaged scans taken at Ex 399 nm.  $K_d$  values were calculated by using the equation: Bound fraction = (([ligand]+[DNA]+  $K_d$ )-(([ligand]+[DNA]+  $K_d$ )<sup>2</sup>- $4\times$ [ligand]×[DNA])<sup>1/2</sup>)/(2×[ligand]).

### Viscosity analysis<sup>4</sup>

Viscosity analysis were recorded on a Fluorescence Photometer (FP-8600, JASCO, Japan) using a quartz cell of 10 mm with an optical path length, and over the emission wavelength range of 450-750 nm. **3e** were diluted with water-glycerol mixture (glycerol 0-80%) from 10 mM DMSO stock solutions to give a concentration of 10  $\mu$ M. Finally, fluorescence spectra were representative of three averaged scans taken at 399 nm.

### Fluorescence titration of the complex of 3e and telo24 by addition of C-telo24

Fluorescence titration were recorded on a Fluorescence Photometer (FP-8600, JASCO, Japan) using a quartz cell of 10 mm with an optical path length, and over the emission wavelength range of 450-750 nm. The oligonucleotides telo24 and C-telo24 used in this protocol (Table S1) were diluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 50 mM KCl, pH 7.4) from 1.0 mM stock solutions to give a concentration of 100  $\mu$ M. The solution was denatured at 99 °C for 5 min, and then slowly cooled to room temperature, and then the solution of telo24 were added 100  $\mu$ M compounds diluted from 10 mM DMSO stock solution, and incubated overnight. Then, small aliquots of C-telo24 were added into the multiple samples containing telo24 (1.5  $\mu$ M) and **3e** (0.5  $\mu$ M) in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 50 mM KCl, pH 7.4), and the final concentration of C-telo24 was varied from 0 to 7.5  $\mu$ M, and incubated for 3 h. Finally, fluorescence spectra were representative of three averaged scans taken at Ex 399 nm.

#### Fluorescence image

Fluorescent image was performed with a UV Transilluminator (MLB-21, MaestroGen, China) using Tempered Hard-Glass Vials (S-06, NICHIDEN-RIKA GLASS Co., Ltd., Japan) at Ex 365 nm. The oligonucleotides telo24 and dsDNA used in this protocol (Table S1) were diluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl, pH 7.4) from 1.0 mM stock solutions to give a concentration of 30  $\mu$ M. The solution was denatured at 99 °C for 5 min, and slowly cooled to room temperature, and then were added 20  $\mu$ M compounds diluted from 10 mM DMSO stock solution.

### Quantum yield<sup>5</sup>

The fluorescence quantum yield ( $\Phi_F$ ) of compounds were calculated relative to a standard solution of Quinine Sulfate in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> ( $\Phi_F = 0.546$ ) and was determined using the following formula:  $\Phi_x = \Phi_{ref} \times (A_{ref}/A_x) \times (F_x/F_{ref}) \times (\eta_x/\eta_{ref})^2$ , where  $\Phi$  is the fluorescence quantum yield, F is the measured integrated emission intensity,  $\eta$  is the refraction index of the solvents, and A is the optical density (absorbance). The x refers to the compounds of unknown quantum yield, and ref refers to the reference compound (Quinine Sulfate) of known quantum yield. UV/Vis absorption spectra were recorded on UV/Vis spectrometer (V-630, JASCO, Japan) using a quartz cell of 10 mm with an optical path length. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Microplate Reader (SH-9000Lab, CORONA ELECTRIC Co., Ltd., Japan) using a 96 well plate (1/2 OptiPlate-96, Perkin Elmer, USA).

# 4. Circular Dichroism (CD) Analysis

### Circular Dichroism (CD) spectra

Circular Dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded on a J-720 spectropolarimeter (JASCO, Japan) using a quartz cell of 1 mm with an optical path length, and over a wavelength range of 220-320 nm. The oligonucleotide telo24 used in this protocol (Table S1) was diluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl, pH 7.4) from 1.0 mM stock solutions to give a concentration of 10  $\mu$ M. The solution was denatured at 99 °C for 5 min, and then slowly cooled to room temperature, and then were added 50  $\mu$ M compounds diluted from 10 mM DMSO stock solutions, and incubated overnight. Finally, CD spectra were representative of five averaged scans taken at 25 °C.

### Circular Dichroism (CD) melting analysis

A solution of telo24 (10 µM) containing compounds were prepared in 50 mM Tris-

HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl, pH 7.4) as described above. Melting curves were obtained by monitoring the CD intensity at 290 nm on a J-720 spectropolarimeter (JASCO, Japan) by using a quartz cell of 1 mm with an optical path length, and the temperature was stepwise increase of 5 °C from 25 to 100 °C at 1.0 °C/min.

### 5. Computational analysis

### **Docking Study**

For initial coordinates of **3e**, ionization and energy minimization were performed with the OPLS3e force field in the LigPrep script in the Maestro (Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, USA). These minimized structures were employed as input structures for docking simulations. The NMR structure of the telomeric G4 structure with L2H2-6M(2)OTD (PDB ID: 2MB3) was refined for docking simulations using constrained energy refinements in OPLS3e force field (Schrödinger LLC). The grid center for docking was defined by the reference position of L2H2-6M(2)OTD on the telomeric G4 structure. The proposed orientation of **3e** on the telomeric G4 structure was generated by a docking simulation using the Glide SP program<sup>6</sup> (Schrödinger LLC). This PDB entry (2MB3) was deposited as an ensemble of ten superimposed models. To incorporate the flexibility of telomeric G4, all models were refined and qualified for docking simulation. The best pose was selected according to the Glide XP docking score from each docking simulation.

### The density functional theory (DFT) calculation<sup>7</sup>

The density functional theory (DFT) calculation was performed with the GAMESS program (version 11)<sup>8,9</sup> using Becke's three-parameter function combined with Lee, Yang and Parr's correlation function (B3LYP).<sup>10</sup> The DFT calculation for geometry optimization was performed with the 6-31G basis set. The initial geometry of the model compound for the DFT calculation was obtained by a semi-empirical calculation with the PM7<sup>11</sup> method of MOPAC 2012.<sup>12</sup> Solvent's dielectric constant ( $\varepsilon = 78.3$  for water) was taken into account for the calculation by applying the conductor-like screening (COSMO) model.<sup>13</sup> Analysis of the MOPAC and GAMESS log-files as well as molecular graphics were carried out using the Winmostar<sup>TM</sup> program<sup>14</sup>. All calculations were conducted on a PC carrying an Intel CoreTM i3 3220 processor (3.30 GHz) and 8-GB RAM.

### 6. Other Supporting Table and Figures



Figure S1. Circular Dichroism melting profiles for telo24 in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl, pH 7.4) with compounds (10  $\mu$ M); A) MO-VN (**1**); B) TO-VN (**2**); C) MO-VN (**3a**); D) the CD melting curves of telo24 with or without compounds **1**, **2**, **3a** were recorded at 290 nm.



Figure S2. Frontier molecular orbitals HOMO and LUMO of compound 3a.



Figure S3. Fluorescence titration of **3b-3e** (0.5  $\mu$ M) with stepwise addition of A) telo24 and B) dsDNA (0-5.0 mol equivalent) in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl, pH 7.4).

Table S2. Kd values [nM] of 3a-3d for telo24 and dsDNA

Ligands	telo24	dsDNA
3a	150	> 2500
3b	219	> 2500
3c	171	> 2500
3d	143	> 2500



Figure S4. Circular Dichroism melting profiles for telo24 in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (with 100 mM KCl, pH 7.4) with compounds (10  $\mu$ M); A) **3b**; B) **3c**; C) **3d**; D) **3e**; E) the CD melting curves of telo24 with or without compounds **3b-3e** were recorded at 290 nm.



Figure S5. Fluorescence images of **3a-3d** without DNA (w/o) or with (w/) telo24 or dsDNA upon illumination with a UV lamp (365 nm).

	Compounds		
	<b>3</b> e	ThT	ТО
without DNA	0.00034	0.00022	0.0013
with telo24	0.010	0.050	0.059
with dsDNA	0.00035	0.0064	0.032
$\Phi_{\rm F} {\rm ratio} \left( \Phi_{\rm F telo24} / \Phi_{\rm F dsDNA} \right)$	28.6	7.8	1.8

Table S3. The quantum yield of **3e**, ThT, and TO in the absence of these compounds and in the presence of telo24 or dsDNA.



Figure S6. Docking model of hybrid type telomeric G4 with **3e**. The dihedral angle between C2-C27-C28-C33 in the side chain of OTD core is nearly 180  $^{\circ}$  (177.8  $^{\circ}$ ), and MO-VN moiety of **3d** is almost planar.



Figure S7. Circular Dichroism spectra of telo24 by stepwise addition of C-telo24 in the absence of **3e** (solid line) and presence of **3e** (dashed line).

### 7. Supplementary references

- 1 B. K. Senapati, L. Gao, S. I. Lee, G.-S. Hwang, D, H. Ryu, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 5088-5091.
- 2 K. Iida, S. Majima, T. Ohtake, M. Tera, K. Shin-ya, K. Nagasawa, *Heterocycles*, 2012, 84, 401-411.
- 3 Y. Ma, Y. Tsushima, M. Sakuma, S. Sasaki, K. Iida, S. Okabe, H. Seimiya, T. Hirokawa, K. Nagasawa, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2018**, 16, 7375-7382.
- 4 X. Wang, F. L. Song, X. J. Peng, Dyes Pigm., 2016, 125, 89-94.
- 5 C. Würth, M. Grabolle, J. Pauli, M. Spieles, U. Resch-Genger, *Nat. Protoc.* 2013, 8,1535-1550; A.
   N. Fletcher, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 1967, 23, 221-224.
- R. A. Friesner, J. L. Banks, R. B. Murphy, T. A. Halgren, J. J. Klicic, D. T. Mainz, M. P. Repasky,
  E. H. Knoll, M. Shelley, J. K. Perry, D. E. Shaw, P. Francis and P. S. Shenkin, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2004, 47, 1739-1749.
- 7 F. Jensen, Introduction to Computational Chemistry, 2nd ed., John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., Wast Sussex, UK, 2007.
- 8 M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, S. T. Elbert, M. S. Gordon, J. H. Jensen, S. Koseki, N. Matsunaga, K. A. Nguyen, S. J. Su, T. L. Windus, M. Dupuis and J. A. Montgomery, *J. Comput. Chem.*, **1993**, 14, 1347-1363.
- 9 M. S. Gordon, M. W. Schmidt, Advances in electronic structure theory: GAMESS a decade later, in: C. E. Dykstra, G. Frenking, K. S. Kim, G. E. Scuseria (Eds.) Theory and Applications of Computational Chemistry Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005, 1167–1189; M. E. Lasinski, N. A. Romero, S. T. Brown and J. P. Blaudeau, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2012, 33, 723-731.
- C. T. Lee, W. T. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, **1988**, 37, 785-789; A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.*,
   **1993**, 98, 5648-5652; P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski and M. J. Frisch, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **1994**, 98, 11623-11627; R. Hertwig and W. Koch, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **1997**, 268, 345-351.
- 11 J. J. P. Stewart, J. Mol. Model., 2013, 19, 1-32.
- J. J. P. Stewart, MOPAC 2012, in Stewart Computational Chemistry, Colorado Springs, CO, USA, 2012.
- 13 A. Klamt, G. Schuurmann, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1993, 799-805.
- 14 N. Senda, Winmostar, in, X-Ability Co., Ltd.



## 8. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for synthetic compounds









![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)