Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## Nicked Invader probes: Multistranded and sequence-unrestricted recognition of doublestranded DNA

Shiva P. Adhikari, Saswata Karmakar and Patrick J. Hrdlicka\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID-83844, USA

E-mail: <u>hrdlicka@uidaho.edu</u>

## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

Definition of zipper nomenclature	S2
MS data of ONs used in this study (Table S1)	<b>S</b> 3
MS spectra of ONs (Figs. S1-S18)	<b>S</b> 4
HPLC traces of ONs used in this study (Figs. S19-S21)	<b>S</b> 13
Representative thermal denaturation curves (Figs. S22-S25)	<b>S</b> 16
Supplemental discussion regarding denaturation profiles and $T_m$ values (Figs. S26-S28)	S20
Supplemental discussion regarding DNA1:ON5 and DNA1:ON9 (Fig. S28)	S21
Sequences of DNA hairpins used in this study (Table S2)	S23
Quantification of <b>DH1</b> -recognition using different Invader probes (Table S3)	S24
Representative electrophoretograms from dose-response experiments entailing <b>NIP1-NIP4</b> and corresponding toeholds (Figs. S29 and S30)	S25
Dose-response profiles for recognition of <b>DH1</b> by toehold probes (Fig. S31)	S27
Representative electrophoretograms for mismatch specificity experiments (Fig. S32)	S28
Illustration of mismatched recognition complexes (Fig. S33)	S29
Sequences and $T_{\rm m}$ s at high salt concentration of probes used in FISH experiments (Table S4)	S30
Illustration of mismatched recognition complexes formed by DYZ-NIP (Fig. S34)	<b>S</b> 31
Additional images from FISH experiments (Figs. S35 and S36)	S32
Supplementary references	S34

*Definition of zipper nomenclature*. The following nomenclature is used to describe the relative arrangement between two monomers on opposing strands in a duplex. The number n describes the distance measured in number of base-pairs and has a positive value if a monomer is shifted toward the 5'-side of its strand relative to a second reference monomer on the other strand. Conversely, n has a negative value if a monomer is shifted toward the 3'-side of its strand relative to a second reference monomer on the other strand.

	a	Calculated	Observed <i>m/z</i>	
ON	Sequence	m/z (M+H) <sup>+</sup>	( <b>M</b> + <b>H</b> ) <sup>+</sup>	
<b>1</b> <sup>b</sup>	5'- <u>U</u> GCA <u>C</u> AGGTA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> ATAGGC	6731.0	6731.5	
2	5'- <u>C</u> GCA <u>U</u> A'	2223.0	2222.0	
3	3'-A <u>C</u> GTG <u>U</u>	2254.0	2253.0	
<b>4</b> <sup>b</sup>	3'- CCATA <u>U</u> ATCCGG <u>C</u> GTA <u>U</u>	6642.0	6642.5	
5	5'- <u>U</u> GCA <u>C</u> AGGTA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> ATAG	6112.5	6113.0	
6	5'-GC <u>C</u> GCA <u>U</u> A	2841.0	2841.5	
7	3'-A <u>C</u> GTG <u>U</u> CC	2832.0	2833.5	
8	3'-ATA <u>U</u> AUATCCGG <u>C</u> GTA <u>U</u>	6063.5	6064.5	
9	5'- <u>U</u> GCA <u>C</u> AGGTA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> AT	5470.0	5470.0	
10	5'-AGGC <u>C</u> GCA <u>U</u> A	3483.5	3484.0	
11	5'-A <u>C</u> GTG <u>U</u> CCAT	3449.5	3449.5	
12	3'-A <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> ATCCGG <u>C</u> GTA <u>U</u>	5446.0	5447.0	
13	5'- <u>U</u> GCA <u>C</u> AGGTA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u>	4852.5	4853.0	
14	5'-ATAGGC <u>C</u> GCA <u>U</u> A	4101.0	4101.5	
15	3'-A <u>C</u> GTG <u>U</u> CCATA <u>U</u>	4283.0	4283.0	
16	5'-A <u>U</u> ATCCGG <u>C</u> GTA <u>U</u>	4612.5	4613.0	
17	5'-GGTA <u>U</u> ATAGGC <u>C</u> GCA <u>U</u> A	6731.0	6732.0	
18	3'-A <u>C</u> GTG <u>U</u> CCATA <u>U</u> ATCCG	6265.5	6266.0	
19	5'-GGTA <u>U</u> ATAGGC	4446.0	4447.5	
20	3'-CCATA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> ATCCG	4326.0	4327.0	
21	5'-Cy3-T <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> ATGCTG <u>U</u> TCTC	5680.0	5678.0	
22	3'-AA <u>U</u> AUACGACA <u>A</u> GAG-Cy3	5789.0	5787.0	
23	5'-Cy3-TG <u>U</u> GT <u>U</u> ATA <u>U</u> GC <u>U</u> GTTC	6570.0	6570.0	
24	5'-T <u>C</u> AGCC <u>C</u> T	2806.0	2806.0	
25	3'-ACA <u>C</u> AA <u>U</u> A	2833.0	2833.0	
26	3'-TA <u>C</u> GA <u>C</u> AAGAG <u>U</u> CGGG <u>A</u> -Cy3	6683.0	6681.5	

Table S1. MS data of ONs used in this study.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> MALDI-MS used to obtain data for all ONs except **2**, **3** and **23-26** for which LC-ESI-MS was used. Data for **1**, **4** and **17-20** are from reference S1. Data for **21** and **22** are from reference S2. For MALDI-MS, the m/z is for the (M+H)<sup>+</sup> ion, while it is for the (M-H)<sup>-</sup> ion with LC-ESI-MS.



Figure S1. Unprocessed (upper panel) and deconvoluted (lower panel) ESI-MS spectrum of ON2.



Figure S2. Unprocessed (upper panel) and deconvoluted (lower panel) ESI-MS spectrum of ON3.



Figure S3. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON5.



Figure S4. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON6.



Figure S5. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON7.



Figure S6. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON8.



Figure S7. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON9.



Figure S8. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON10.



Figure S9. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON11.



Figure S10. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON12.



Figure S11. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON13.



Figure S12. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON14.



Figure S13. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON15.



Figure S14. MALDI-MS spectrum of ON16.



Figure S15. Unprocessed (upper panel) and deconvoluted (lower panel) ESI-MS spectrum of ON23.



Figure S16. Unprocessed (upper panel) and deconvoluted (lower panel) ESI-MS spectrum of ON24



Figure S17. Unprocessed (upper panel) and deconvoluted (lower panel) ESI-MS spectrum of ON25.



Figure S18. Unprocessed (upper panel) and deconvoluted (lower panel) ESI-MS spectrum of ON26.



Figure S19. HPLC traces of ONs used in this study.



Figure S20. HPLC traces of ONs used in this study.



**Figure S21**. HPLC traces of ONs used in this study. Chromatogram for **ON26** obtained from LC-ESI-MS analysis.



**Figure S22**. Representative thermal denaturation profiles of double-stranded probes, duplexes between individual probe strands and 33-mer ssDNA harboring complementary target regions, and unmodified reference duplex **DNA1:DNA2**. For experimental conditions, see Table 1.



**Figure S23**. Representative thermal denaturation profiles of double-stranded probes, duplexes between individual probe strands and 33-mer ssDNA harboring complementary target regions, and unmodified reference duplex **DNA1:DNA2**. For experimental conditions, see Table 1.



**Figure S24**. Representative thermal denaturation profiles of probes used in FISH experiments (**DYZ-NIP = ON23/24:ON25/26**), duplexes between individual probe strands and 33-mer ssDNA harboring complementary target regions, and reference duplex **DNA3:DNA4** recorded in medium (left) or high (right) salt buffer. For experimental conditions, see Table 1.



**Figure S25**. Representative thermal denaturation profiles of probes used in FISH experiments (**DYZ-REF**), duplexes between individual probe strands and 33-mer ssDNA harboring complementary target regions, and reference duplex **DNA3**:**DNA4** recorded in medium buffer. For experimental conditions, see Table 1.

Supplemental discussion regarding denaturation profiles and  $T_m$  values. While evaluating the stability of the different duplex segments of **NIP1**, we observed a transition for **ON1:ON3** at a temperature of ~45 °C. Based on our prior experience with these type of probes, this transition seemed unlikely to stem from melting of a 6-bp duplex with two energetic hotspots (the single-stranded overhangs were expected only to have a minor influence on the  $T_m$  value).<sup>S1</sup> Indeed, when recording the thermal denaturation profile for **ON1** in absence of **ON3**, a transition at a similar temperature was observed prompting us to suggest that the ~45 °C transition likely is due to denaturation of a secondary structure entailing only **ON1** (Fig. S26). No other transitions were observed for **ON1:ON3**, prompting us to list "no transition" for **ON1:ON3** in Table 1.

**ON1:ON4** displays a transition at 49 °C which is close to the  $T_m$  value observed for **ON1**only (Fig. S26a). To determine if this transition is due to duplex formation between **ON1** and **ON4** or denaturation of a secondary structure entailing **ON1**-only, we recorded denaturation profiles for **ON1:ON4** and **ON1**-only at 10-fold higher strand concentrations. In both instances, denaturation curves were shifted to a higher, identical  $T_m$  value (~57 °C, Fig. S26b and c). A differential thermal denaturation curve (i.e., **ON1:ON4** – **ON1**-only) also displayed a  $T_m$  value of ~57 °C, seemingly suggesting concomitant heteroduplex (**ON1:ON4**) and homoduplex (**ON1**-only) formation when **ON1** and **ON4** are mixed. Further evidence supporting duplex formation between **ON1** and **ON4** was obtained from electrophoretic mobility shift assays conducted at non-denaturing conditions, as slower moving bands were observed when **ON1** and **ON4** were mixed (Fig. S27).

The denaturation profiles of **DNA1:ON7** and **DNA1:ON11** display two transitions (Fig. S28a and b). Analysis of the 33-mer **DNA1** strand using the OligoAnalyzer tool from Integrated DNA Technologies, hinted at possible intramolecular hairpin formation with an estimated  $T_{\rm m}$  of ~25 °C. Indeed, denaturation profiles of **DNA1**-only suggest the presence of a transition below 30

°C (see Fig. S28a and b). Thus, it is reasonable to attribute the first transition to the denaturation of this secondary structure, and the second transition to the denaturation of the probe-target duplexes.

In light of the above and to clarify the nature of the transition observed at ~25 °C for **DNA1:ON3**, we constructed a differential thermal denaturation curve (i.e., **DNA1:ON3 - DNA1**), which suggests that **DNA1:ON3** forms a labile duplex ( $T_{\rm m}$  ~25.5 °C, Fig. S28c).



**Figure S26**. (a) Representative thermal denaturation profiles of **ON1**-only, **ON4**-only, and **ON1**:**ON4** in medium salt buffer. Experiments were performed using a 0.5  $\mu$ M concentration of each strand. b) Thermal denaturation profiles of **ON1**:**ON4** or c) **ON1** performed using 0.5  $\mu$ M or 5  $\mu$ M concentration of each strand. (d) Thermal denaturation profiles of **ON1**, **ON1**:**ON4** and differential profile (**ON1**:**ON4** – **ON1**) at 5  $\mu$ M concentration of each strand. For experimental conditions, see Table 1.



**Figure S27**. Representative gel electrophoretograms from non-denaturing PAGE aiming to determine if **ON1** forms a duplex with **ON4** in HEPES buffer. Experimental conditions are as outlined in Fig. 3.



Figure S28. Additional experiments to further clarify duplex formation between DNA1 and ON7, ON11 or ON3. Representative thermal denaturation profiles of a) DNA1-only, ON7-only, DNA1:ON7 (1:1 ratio) and DNA1:ON7 (1:2 ratio), b) DNA1-only, ON11-only, DNA1:ON11 (1:1 ratio) and DNA1:ON11 (1:2 ratio), and c) DNA1-only, ON3-only, DNA1:ON3 and differential profile (DNA1:ON3 – DNA1-only). For experimental conditions, see Table 1.

DNA hairpin target	Sequence	
DH1	5'- AAG CTG CAC AGG TAT ATA TAG GCC GCA TAT GCA 3'- TTC GAC GTG TCC ATA TAT ATC CGG CGT ATA CGT	T <sub>10</sub>
<b>MM</b> 1	5'- AAG CTG CAC AGG TAT <mark>T</mark> TA TAG GCC GCA TAT GCA 3'- TTC GAC GTG TCC ATA <mark>A</mark> AT ATC CGG CGATATA CGT	T <sub>10</sub>
MM2	5'- AAG CTG <mark>G</mark> AC AGG TAT ATA TAG GCC GC <mark>T</mark> TAT GCA 3'- TTC GAC <mark>C</mark> TG TCC ATA TAT ATC CGG CG <mark>A</mark> ATA CGT	T <sub>10</sub>
ММЗ	5'- AAG CTG <mark>G</mark> AC AGG TAT <mark>T</mark> TA TAG GCC GC <mark>T</mark> TAT GCA 3'- TTC GAC <mark>C</mark> TG TCC ATA <mark>A</mark> AT ATC CGG CG <mark>A</mark> ATA CGT	T <sub>10</sub>
DH2	5'- ACT GTG TGT TAT ATG CTG TTC TCA GCC CTA CTG 3'- TGA CAC ACA ATA TAC GAC AAG AGT CGG GAT GAC	T <sub>10</sub>
DH2-MM	5'- ACT GTG TG <mark>A</mark> TAT ATG <mark>G</mark> TG TTC TCA G <mark>G</mark> C CTA CTG 3'- TGA CAC AC <mark>T</mark> ATA TAC <mark>C</mark> AC AAG AGT C <mark>C</mark> G GAT GAC	T <sub>10</sub>

**Table S2**. Sequences of DNA hairpins used in this study.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Yellow highlights indicate the position of sequence differences relative to the utilized probes.

Probe	Construct	Sequence	Recognition
NIP1	6-13-6 nicked Invader	5'- <u>U</u> GC A <u>C</u> A GGT A <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> AT AGG C <mark>CG CAU</mark> A 3'- <mark>ACG TGU</mark> CCA TAU AUA TCC GGC GTA U	~30%
NIP2	8-9-8 nicked Invader	5'- <u>UGC ACA GGT AUA U</u> AT AG <mark>G CCG CAU A</mark> 3'-ACG TGU CCA TAU AUA TCC GGC GTA U	~40%
NIP3	10-5-10 nicked Invader	5'- <u>U</u> GC A <u>C</u> A GGT A <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> AT <mark>AGG C<u>C</u>G CA<u>U</u> A 3'-<mark>A<u>C</u>G TG<u>U</u> CCA TA<u>U</u> A<u>U</u>A TCC GG<u>C</u> GTA <u>U</u></mark></mark>	~25%
NIP4	12-1-12 nicked Invader	5'- <u>U</u> GC A <u>C</u> A GGT A <u>U</u> A <u>UAT AGG CCG CAU</u> A 3'- <mark>ACG TGU CCA TAU</mark> A <u>U</u> A TCC GGC GTA <u>U</u>	~15%
TIP1	6-13-6 toe. Invader	5'- <u>U</u> GC A <u>C</u> A GGT A <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> AT AGG C 3'-CCA TA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> A TCC GG <u>C</u> GTA <u>U</u>	~20%
TIP2	8-9-8 toe. Invader	5'- <u>UGC ACA GGT AUA U</u> AT AG 3'-A TA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> A TCC GG <u>C</u> GTA <u>U</u>	<15%
TIP3	10-5-10 toe. Invader	5'- <u>UGC ACA GGT AUA U</u> AT 3'- <u>AU AU</u> A TCC GG <u>C</u> GTA U	<5%
TIP4	12-1-12 toe. Invader	5'- <u>UGC ACA GGT AUA U</u> 3'-A <u>U</u> A TCC GG <u>C</u> GTA <u>U</u>	<5%
ON19:ON20	13-mer conv. Invader	5'-GGT A <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> AT AGG C 3'-CCA TA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> A TCC G	<5%
ON17:ON4	19-mer conv. Invader	5'- GGT A <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> AT AGG C <u>C</u> G CA <u>U</u> A 3'- CCA TA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> A TCC GG <u>C</u> GTA <u>U</u>	<15%
ON1:ON18	19-mer conv. Invader	5'- <u>UGC ACA GGT AUA U</u> AT AGG C 3'-A <u>C</u> G TG <u>U</u> CCA TA <u>U</u> A <u>U</u> A TCC G	<15 %

**Table S3**. Quantification of **DH1**-recognition when using a 50-fold molar excess of different Invader probes.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Experiments were performed in triplicate. Corresponding representative electrophoretograms are shown in Fig. 3.



**Figure S29**. Representative gel electrophoretograms from dose-response experiments in which DNA hairpin **DH1** (50 nmol) was incubated with a variable molar excess of different nicked Invader probes and the corresponding toehold probes: a) **NIP1** (**ON1/2:ON3/4**), b) **NIP3** (**ON9/10:ON11/12**), c) **TIP1** (**ON1:ON4**) and d) **TIP3** (**ON9:ON12**) at 37 °C. Experimental conditions are as described in Fig. 3.



**Figure S30**. Representative gel electrophoretograms from dose-response experiments in which DNA hairpin **DH1** (50 nmol) was incubated with a variable molar excess of different nicked Invader probes and the corresponding toehold probes: a) **NIP2** (**ON5/6:ON7/8**), b) **NIP4** (**ON13/14:ON15/16**), c) **TIP2** (**ON5:ON8**), and d) **TIP4** (**ON13:ON16**) at 37 °C. Experimental conditions are as described in Fig. 3.



**Figure S31**. Dose-response profiles for recognition of **DH1** by toehold Invader probes. Curves are constructed based on the gel electrophoretograms shown in Figs. S29 and S30. Experimental conditions are as described in Fig. 3.



**Figure S32**. Binding specificities of nicked Invader probes. Representative electrophoretograms from experiments in which a 50-fold molar excess of pre-annealed a) **NIP1**, b) **NIP3** or c) **NIP4** were incubated with pre-annealed non-complementary **MM1-MM3** targets in HEPES buffer at 37 °C for 17 h as described in Fig. 5. For sequences of **MM1-MM3**, see Table S2. For an illustration of the mismatched recognition complexes that would ensue upon recognition, see Fig. S33.



**Figure S33**. Illustration of the mismatched recognition complexes that would ensue upon recognition of **MM1-MM3** by a) **NIP1**, b) **NIP3**, and c) **NIP4**; arrows indicate position of mismatched base-pairs. For sequences of **MM1-MM3**, see Table S2<sup>†</sup>.

**Table S4**. Sequences of probes used in FISH experiments, and  $T_{m}s$  of probe duplexes and duplexes with DNA targets at high salt conditions.<sup>a</sup>

		<i>T</i> <sub>m</sub> (°C)						
ONs	Sequence	5'-main probe vs 3'-aux probe	5'-main probe vs 3'-main probe	5'-aux probe vs 3'-main probe	5'-main probe vs DNA4	5'-aux probe vs DNA4	3'-aux probe vs DNA3	3'-main probe vs DNA3
23/24 25/26	5'-Cy3-TG <u>U</u> GT <u>U</u> ATA <u>U</u> GC <u>U</u> GTTC <mark>TC</mark> AGCC <mark>C</mark> T 3'- <mark>ACACAAU</mark> ATACGACAAGAG <u>U</u> CGGG <u>A</u> -Cy3	37.0	36.0	nt	75.0	58.0	43.0	>76.0
<sup>a</sup> The	unmodified <b>DNA3:DNA4</b> duplex $(T_m = 82.0)$	°C) is the	he mod	lel dsD	NA ta	rget, v	where	DNA3
=	5'-ACTGTGTGTTATATGCTGTTCTCAGC	CCTA	CTG	and	D	NA4	=	3'-
TGA recorc ([Na <sup>+</sup> ] ON pr	CACACAATATACGACAAGAGTCGGGAT led as described in Table 1 with the exception $  = 710 \text{ mM}, [Cl^{-}] = 710 \text{ mM}, \text{ pH } 7.0 (NaH_2P)$ resent at 0.5 µM concentration. nt = no sigmoi	GAC. that in $O_4/Na_2$	Therm a high HPO <sub>4</sub> ), nsition	nal de salt p , [EDT observ	enatura hospha [A] = ( red.	tion ate bu ).2 mN	curves ffer w M), wi	s were as used th each



**Figure S34**. Matched/mismatched recognition complexes that would ensue upon recognition of complementary target **DH2** or non-complementary target **DH2-MM** by **DYZ-NIP**. Higlighted residues indicate the position of mismatched base-pairs.



**Figure S35**. Images from nd-FISH experiments in which different concentrations of toehold Invader probe **DYZ-TIP** or nicked Invader probe **DYZ-NIP** (1-6 ng per 200 ul of PCR buffer) were incubated with fixed isolated nuclei from male bovine kidney cells for 3 h at 37.5 °C in a Tris buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM KCl, pH 8.0) and counterstained with DAPI as outlined in Fig. 7. High background was observed when **DYZ-NIP** and **DYZ-TIP** were used at 3 or 6 ng per 200 ul of PCR buffer, whereas 1 ng per 200 ul of PCR buffer yielded single Cy3-signals.



**Figure S36**. Image from nd-FISH experiments in which nicked Invader probe **DYZ-NIP** was incubated with isolated fixed nuclei from a female bovine endothelial cell line (15 ng per 200  $\mu$ l of PCR buffer, 3 h, 37.5 °C). Experimental conditions and image analysis was carried out as described in Fig. 7.

## Supplementary references

- S1) S. P. Adhikari, P. Vukelich, D. C. Guenther, S. Karmakar and P. J. Hrdlicka, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 9276 9290.
- S2) C. P. Shepard, M.S thesis, University of Idaho, 2020.