Supporting Information for:

The synthesis of thermoresponsive POSS-based eight-arm star poly(*N*isopropylacrylamide): A comparison between Z-RAFT and R-RAFT strategies

Bo Pang,^a Rui Liu,^a Guang Han,^{*,b} Wei Wang^{*,c} and Wangqing Zhang^{*,a,d}

^a Key Laboratory of Functional Polymer Materials of the Ministry of Education, Institute of Polymer Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. E-mail: <u>wqzhang@nankai.edu.cn</u>, Tel: 86-22-23509794, Fax: 86-22-23503510.

^b State Key Laboratory of Special Functional Waterproof Materials, Beijing Oriental Yuhong Waterproof Technology Co., Ltd. Beijing 100123, China. E-mail: <u>hanguang@yuhong.com.cn</u>.

^c School of Chemistry & Material Science, Langfang Normal University, Langfang, 065000, China. E-mail: <u>wangwei@lfnu.edu.cn</u>.

^d Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China.

1. Synthesis of R-Type eight-trithiocarbonate functionalized POSS (POSS-TTC-R)

Into a flask with a magnetic stirrer under argon atmosphere, DDMAT (1.75 g, 4.8 mmol) and CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) were added, and then $(COCl)_2$ (1.7 mL, 20.0 mmol) dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was added dropwise into the flask at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 6 h. The remaining $(COCl)_2$ and CH_2Cl_2 were removed by rotary evaporation under vacuum. Into the above-mentioned flask, POSS-OH (0.412 g, 0.30 mmol) dissolved in THF (20 mL) was poured, and the mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature under argon atmosphere. After removal of THF, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography employing the ethyl acetate/n-hexane mixture (1:10 by volume) to afford R-Type eight-trithiocarbonate functionalized POSS (POSS-TTC-R, 64% yield). Fig. S1 shows the ¹H NMR spectra of POSS-TTC-R as well as DDMAT.



Fig. S1. The ¹H NMR spectra of DDMAT (A), and POSS-TTC-R (B).

2. Synthesis of POSS-(PNIPAM)8-Z

In the synthesis of POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-Z, the monomer conversion of NIPAM was detected by NMR. Fig. S2 shows the typical ¹H NMR spectra of raw polymer solution of POSS-(PNIPAM₇₂)₈-Z in the presence of 1,3,5-trioxane as the internal standard. By comparing the signals at 5.15 ppm (1,3,5-trioxane) and 5.60 ppm (NIPAM), the monomer conversion was obtained.



Fig. S2. The ¹H NMR spectra of raw polymer solution of POSS-(PNIPAM₇₂)₈-Z.

Table S1 summarizes the star POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-Z synthesized by solution RAFT polymerization under different conditions, in which theoretical molecular weight of POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-Z was determined by eqn S1. And more, the GPC traces of the synthesized POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-Z are shown in Fig. S3.

$$M$$
n,th
= $\frac{[\text{monomer}]_0 \times M$ monomer}{[CTA]_0} \times Conversion + Mn, CTA
(S1)

Table S1. Summary of star POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-Z synthesized by solution RAFT polymerization.

	Initiator		Temperatur		Conv ^a	M _n (Kg/mol)		
Samples	[I]	0	e (°C)	(h)	(%)	$M_{\rm n,th}{}^b$	$M_{n,GPC}^{c}$	D^d
S1	AIBN	1600:1:2	70	1	90	166.1	59.1	1.96
S2	AIBN	1600:1:2	65	1	78	144.4	78.9	1.78
S3	ABVN	1600:1:1	50	1	73	135.3	110.8	1.41
S4	ABVN	1600:1:1	45	1	35	66.7	109.8	1.08
S5	ABVN	1600:1:2	45	1	49	92.0	120.3	1.06

^{*a*} The monomer conversion determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*b*} The theoretical molecular weight determined by monomer conversion according to eqn S1. ^{*c*} The molecular weight determined by DMF GPC. ^{*d*} The $\mathcal{D}(M_w/M_n)$ values determined by GPC.



Fig. S3. The GPC traces of star POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-Z synthesized by solution RAFT polymerization.

Under the optimized conditions, the RAFT polymerization employing the POSS-TTC-Z was performed and the polymerization kinetics was explored, the results are shown in Table S2.

Table S2. Summary of the star POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-Z synthesized at different monomer conversions.

Dolumora	[NIPAM] ₀ :[CTA] ₀ :[ABVN]	Time (min	Time (min Conv. ^a (%		M _n (Kg/mol)		
Polymers	0))	$M_{n,th}^{b}$	$M_{n,GPC}^{c}$	D^*	
POSS-(PNIPAM ₃₉) ₈ -Z	2400:1:2	30	13	38.6	78.9	1.09	
POSS-(PNIPAM ₅₁) ₈ -Z	2400:1:2	40	17	49.5	106.3	1.06	
POSS-(PNIPAM75)8-Z	2400:1:2	50	25	71.2	122.3	1.09	
POSS-(PNIPAM96)8-Z	2400:1:2	60	32	90.2	162.5	1.14	
POSS-(PNIPAM ₁₅₃) ₈ -Z	2400:1:2	70	51	141.7	235.7	1.08	
POSS-(PNIPAM ₁₉₅) ₈ -Z	2400:1:2	80	65	179.7	288.3	1.08	
POSS-(PNIPAM ₂₄₀) ₈ -Z	2400:1:2	100	80	220.4	180.2	1.66	

^{*a*} The monomer conversion determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*b*} The theoretical molecular weight determined by monomer conversion according to eqn S1. ^{*c*} The molecular weight determined by DMF GPC. ^{*d*} The $D(M_w/M_n)$ values determined by GPC.

3. Cutting off PNIPAM arms from POSS-(PNIPAM)8-Z

Cutting off PNIPAM arms from POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-Z is shown in Scheme S1. Typically, into a flask with a magnetic stirrer, POSS-(PNIPAM₁₈₈)₈-Z (1.00 g, 0.0058 mmol), 1-propylamine (5.50 mg 0.093 mmol) and CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL) were added, and then the mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The leached PNIPAM was precipitated in iced diethyl ether, collected by three precipitation/filtration cycles, followed by dried under vacuum at room temperature. The GPC traces of the leached PNIPAM and POSS-(PNIPAM₁₈₈)₈-Z are shown in Figure S4.



Scheme S1. Schematic of cutting PNIPAM arms from the star POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-Z.



Fig. S4. The GPC traces of POSS-(PNIPAM₁₈₈)₈-Z and the leached PNIPAM₁₈₈.

4. Synthesis of POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-R

POSS-(PNIPAM)₈-R was prepared by solution RAFT polymerization using ABVN as initiator and POSS-TTC-R as CTA and the details are summarized in Table 1. Here is a typical synthesis of star POSS-(PNIPAM₇₀)₈-R under [NIPAM]₀:[POSS-TTC-R]₀:[ABVN]₀ = 800:1:2. Into a Schlenk flask, NIPAM (2.00 g, 17.6 mmol), POSS-TTC-R (91.0 mg, 0.022 mmol) and ABVN (11.0 mg, 0.044 mmol) dissolved in 1,4-dioxane (8.0 g) were added. The oxygen in the flask was removed by argon purging and the reaction was performed at 45 °C for 80 min. The 70% monomer conversion of NIPAM was detected by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trioxane as internal standard. The synthesized POSS-(PNIPAM₇₀)₈-R was precipitated in iced diethyl ether, collected by three precipitation/filtration cycles, followed by dried under

vacuum at room temperature.

5. Comparison between the GPC traces of POSS-(PNIPAM₃₇)₈-Z and POSS-(PNIPAM₃₅)₈-R

To make comparison, the GPC traces of POSS-(PNIPAM₃₇)₈-Z and POSS-(PNIPAM₃₅)₈-R are shown in Fig. S5.



Fig.	S5 .	The	GPC	traces	of P	OSS-	(PNI	PAM ₃	7)8-Z	and	POSS-	(PNIF	'AΜ	35)8-	-R
		-			-		`		110			`		2210	

6. Summary of PTT and D_h of star POSS-(PNIPAM)₈ and linear PNIPAM

Polymers	PTT _(%T) ^{<i>a</i>} (°C)	PTT _{(NMR}) ^b (°C)	$D_{\rm h}$ ^c (nm)	\mathbf{PDI}^d
POSS-(PNIPAM ₇₂) ₈ -Z	29.5	31.3	74	0.125
POSS-(PNIPAM ₁₅₀) ₈ - Z	30.4	32.4	86	0.080
POSS-(PNIPAM ₁₈₈) ₈ - Z	30.5	32.5	98	0.053
POSS-(PNIPAM ₃₆₀) ₈ - Z	31.2	33.0	110	0.036
PNIPAM ₁₄₁ -Z	30.6	33.3	272	0.037

Table S3. Summary of PTT and D_h of star and linear samples.

^{*a*} The phase transition temperatures (PTT) determined by Temperature-dependent transmittance of 1.0 wt% aqueous solution of star and linear polymers. ^{*b*} The PTTs determined by variable temperature ¹H NMR spectra of the 1.0 wt% star and linear polymers solution of D₂O. ^{*c*} Hydrodynamic diameter distribution $f(D_h)$ of 0.05 wt% aqueous solution of star and linear polymers at 40 °C. ^{*d*} Polydispersity index (PDI) of D_h .



Fig. S6. Images of 0.05 wt% aqueous solution of star POSS-(PNIPAM₇₂)₈-Z (A), star POSS-(PNIPAM₁₅₀)₈-Z (B), star POSS-(PNIPA₁₈₈)₈-Z (C), star POSS-(PNIPAM₃₆₀)₈-Z (D) and linear PNIPAM₁₄₁-Z (E) at 40 °C.

7. On the emulsion stabilized by POSS-(PNIPAM)₈



Fig. S7. Images of oil-in-water emulsions using POSS-(PNIPAM₇₂)₈-Z as emulsifier (A) and images of toluene-in-water emulsion stabilized by 0.10 wt% PNIPAM₁₄₁-Z (B).



Fig. S8. The toluene/water interfacial tension in the presence of 0.10 wt% of POSS-(PNIPAM₃₇)₈-Z POSS-(PNIPAM₇₂)₈-Z, POSS-(PNIPAM₁₅₀)₈-Z and POSS-(PNIPAM₃₆₀)₈-Z at 25 °C and 40 °C. Note: the toluene/water interfacial tension in the presence of 0.10 wt% of POSS-(PNIPAM₃₇)₈-Z at 40 °C is not shown, since it is out of the analysis due to it becoming milky at this temperature.