Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Polymer Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

Supporting Information for

Role of Lewis Acids in Preventing the Degradation of Dithioester-Dormant Species in the RAFT Polymerization of Acrylamides in Methanol to Enable the Successful Dual Control of Molecular Weight and Tacticity

Yuji Imamura and Shigeru Yamago*

Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, 611-0011, Japan

Table of Contents

- 1. Syntheses of CTAs
- 2. Polymerization

 Table S1. RAFT polymerization of DEAA in the presence of different metal triflates.

3. Supplementary material

Figure S1. Monitoring degradation of 2a by ¹H NMR.

Figure S2. Effect of $Y(OTf)_3$ on the degradation of **2a**.

Figure S3. Kinetics of RAFT polymerization.

Figure S4. SEC traces of PDEAA with higher molecular weight.

Figure S5. SEC traces of PDEAA synthesized with CTA 2b.

4. NMR spectra data (CDCl₃, 25 °C)

Figure S6. NMR spectra of 3. (a) ¹H, (b) ¹³C.

Figure S7. NMR spectra of mixture of 4c and 4d. (a) ¹H, (b) ¹³C, (c) HMQC, (d) HMBC.

Figure S8. NMR spectra of 2b. (a) ¹H, (b) ¹³C.

1. Syntheses of CTAs 2a and 2b

1-1. Synthesis of bis(dithiobenzoyl) disulfide¹



To a suspension of Mg turning (2.0 g, 82 mmol) and iodine (250 mg, 1.0 mmol) in 10 mL of THF was added bromobenzene (0.84 mL, 8.0 mmol) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred for at 0 °C till the reaction started (ca. 10 min). To this mixture was added a solution of bromobenzene (7.5 mL, 72 mmol) in 30 mL of THF for 30 min at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. To this solution was added CS₂ (4.8 mL, 79 mmol) for 10 min at 0 °C, and the resulting solution was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature. The solution was quenched by cooled water (100 mL) and was passed through a glass filter packed with celite with the aid of water. The solvent (THF) was removed under reduced pressure. To the remaining liquid was added concentrated aqueous HCl solution (12 mol L⁻¹, 10 mL), and benzodithioic acid was extracted by diethyl ether (200 mL × 3). The combined ether solution was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and was filtrated. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give crude oil (12.6 g).

The crude oil was dissolved in EtOH (100 mL), and dimethyl sulfoxide (11.4 mL, 161 mmol) and iodine (250 mg, 1.0 mmol) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 25 h at room temperature. The precipitate was collected by suction filtration with the help of cooled EtOH. The product was dried under reduced pressure to give the title compound as reddish purple solid. (2.2 g, 18% yield). The product was sufficiently pure and used for the next step without further purification.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.43-7.48 (m, 4H, Ar*H*), 7.59-7.64 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 8.07-8.10 (m, 4H, Ar*H*).

1-2. Synthesis of CTA 2a²



To a solution of bis(dithiobenzoyl) disulfide (0.49 g, 1.6 mmol), Cu (260 mg, 4.1 mmol) and CuBr (115 mg, 0.80 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (470 μ L, 3.2 mmol) and

N,*N*,*N*',*N*'',*N*''-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDETA, 334 μ L, 1.6 mmol) under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at 80 °C. The solution was passed through a glass filter packed with alumina with the aid of Et₂O, and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by preparative SEC (eluent: THF) gave pure **2a** (552 mg, 64% yield) as viscous red oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.24 (t, 7.2, 7.0 Hz, 3H, -CH₂CH₃), 1.76 (s, 6H, -C(CO₂Et)(CH₃)₂), 4.17 (q, 2H, 7.2, 7.0 Hz, -CH₂CH₃), 7.34-7.39 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 7.50-7.55 (m, 1H, Ar*H*), 7.92-7.96 (m, 2H, Ar*H*).

1-3. Synthesis of disulfide 8³

To a suspention of sodium (1.47 g, 64 mmol) in THF (150 mL) was added dimethyidisulfide (11.3 mL, 127 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 23 h at room temperature. The precipitated sodium methanethiolate was filtrated by suction filteration with an aid of Et₂O and dried under reduced pressure giving a white solid (4.31 g).⁴ To a solution of this solid in Et₂O (180 mL) was added anhydrous CS₂ (4.1 mL, 68 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded sodium methyl trithiocarbonate (4.3 g, 48% yield).⁵ A solution of sodium methyl trithiocarbonate (1.30 g, 8.9 mmol) and iodine (1.14 g, 4.5 mmol) in Et₂O (40 mL) was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The solution was washed with aqueous saturated sodium thiosulfate solution (100 mL) and water (100 mL). The combined water phase was washed with Et₂O (50 mL × 3), and the combined organic phase was washed with aqueous saturated sodium chloride solution (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure giving disulfide **8** (1.01 g, 92% yield) as a yellow solid.⁶ It was used for the next step without further purification (purity >97%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 2.72 (s, 6H, -SCH₃).

1-4. Synthesis of CTA 2b



To a solution of **8** (492 mg, 2.0 mmol), Cu (318 mg, 5.0 mmol) and CuBr (143 mg, 1.0 mmol) in toluene (25 mL) was added ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (557 μ L, 3.8 mmol) and PMDETA (420 μ L, 2.0 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at 80 °C. The solution was passed through a glass filter

packed with alumina with the aid of Et₂O, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give oil. Purification by preparative SEC (eluent: THF) gave pure **2b** (100 mg, 11% yield) as yellow oil. IR (neat); 1732, 1466, 1421, 1381, 1364, 1261; HRMS (EI) m/z: Calcd for C₈H₁₄O₂S₃ (M)⁺, 238.0156; Found 238.0154; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.25 (t, J = 7.0, 7.2 Hz, 3H, -CH₂CH₃), 1.70 (s, 6H, -CCH₃), 2.67 (s, 3H, -SCH₃), 4.17 (q, J = 7.0, 7.2 Hz, 2H, -CH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.16, 20.09, 25.48, 56.18, 62.15, 173.05, 222.03.

2. Polymerization



DEAA: $R^1 = R^2 = Et$, DMAA: $R^1 = R^2 = Me$, NIPAM: $R^1 = Pr$, $R^2 = H$

2-1. RAFT polymerization of DEAA using YCl₃. A solution of **2a** (8.4 µl, 1.49 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0125 mmol), DEAA (171 µl, 1.25 mmol), AIBN (40 µl, 0.078 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0031 mmol), α , α , α -trifluorotoluene (15 µl, 0.125 mmol as an internal standard), YCl₃ (24 mg, 0.125 mmol) in methanol (0.80 mL) was heated at 60 °C. The monomer conversion was reached to 92% after 6 h. The polymer was purified by dyalysis and was dried under reduced pressure at 100 °C for overnight (126 mg, 79% yield). The SEC analysis determined M_n (= 12,600) and \mathcal{D} (= 1.14). The ¹H NMR analysis (DMSO- d_6 , 130 °C) determined *meso* diad selectivity (81% *m*).

2-2. RAFT polymerization of DMAA using Y(OTf)₃. A solution of **2a** (8.4 µl, 1.49 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0125 mmol), DMAA (128 µl, 1.25 mmol), AIBN (40 µl, 0.078 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0031 mmol), α , α , α -trifluorotoluene (15 µl, 0.125 mmol as an internal standard), Y(OTf)₃ (67 mg, 0.125 mmol) in methanol (0.36 mL) was heated at 60 °C. The monomer conversion was reached to 87% after 4.5 h. The crude mixture was diluted with 1 mL of methanol and the solution was poured into vigorously stirred of diethylether (100 mL). The product collected by suction filtration was dried under reduced pressure at 100 °C for overnight (120 mg, 97%). The SEC analysis determined M_n (= 17,500) and D (= 1.14), respectively. The ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 130 °C) analysis determined *meso* diad selectivity (84% *m*).

2-3. RAFT polymerization of NIPAM using Y(OTf)₃. A solution of **2a** (5.1 µl, 1.49 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0076 mmol), NIPAM (86 mg, 0.76 mmol), AIBN (24 µl, 0.078 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0019 mmol), α , α , α -trifluorotoluene (19 µl, 0.15 mmol as an internal standard), Y(OTf)₃ (41 mg, 0.076 mmol) in methanol (0.49 mL) was heated at 60 °C. The monomer conversion was reached to 84% after 11 h. The crude mixture was diluted with 1 mL of methanol and the solution was poured into vigorously

stirred of diethylether (100 mL). The product collected by suction filtration was dried under reduced pressure at 100 °C for overnight (93 mg, 108%). The SEC analysis determined M_n (= 21,000) and \mathcal{D} (= 1.11), respectively. The ¹H NMR analysis (DMSO- d_6 , 145 °C) determined *meso* diad selectivity (84% *m*).

2-4. RAFT polymerization of DEAA using LiOTf. A solution of **2a** (8.4 µl, 1.49 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0125 mmol), DEAA (171 µl, 1.25 mmol), AIBN (40 µl, 0.078 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0031 mmol), α,α,α -trifluorotoluene (15 µl, 0.125 mmol as an internal standard), LiOTf (20 mg, 0.125 mmol) in methanol (0.80 mL) was heated at 60 °C. The monomer conversion was reached to 94% after 18 h. The crude mixture was diluted with 1 mL of methanol and the solution was poured into vigorously stirred of hexane (100 mL). The insoluble part was collected with acetone. The solution was evaporated and dried under reduced pressure at 100 °C for overnight (83 mg, 52%). The SEC analysis determined M_n (= 13,400) and \mathcal{D} (= 2.29), respectively. The ¹H NMR analysis (DMSO- d_6 , 130 °C) determined *meso* diad selectivity (58% *m*).

run	Lewis	time	conv.	<i>t</i> _{1/2} (h)	$M_{n(SEC)}^{c}$	$M_{n(NMR)}^{d}$	$M_{n(theo)}^{e}$	Ð ^c	m (%) ^d
	acid	(h)	(%) ^b		×10 ⁻³	×10 ⁻³	×10 ⁻³		
1	LiOTf	18	94	3.2	13.4	14.3	12.2	2.29	58
2	NaOTf	10	81	4.7	12.7	15.8	10.6	2.48	54
3	KOTf	10	86	3.7	12.8	18.6	11.2	2.30	57
4	$Mg(OTf)_2$	18	97	3.0	10.8	12.2	12.6	2.30	56
5	Ca(OTf) ₂	6	96	1.4	21.2	12.5	12.5	2.56	56
6	Cu(OTf) ₂	22	2	ſ	ſ	_f	0.5	_f	ſ
7	Zn(OTf) ₂	18	82	6.2	10.4	10.2	10.7	1.30	56

Table S1. RAFT polymerization of DEAA in the presence of different metal triflates.^a

^{*a*}Polymerization was carried out by heating a solution of monomer, CTA **2a**, and AIBN in methanol at 60 °C with $[DEAA]_0 = 1.56 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$. ^{*b*}Determined by ¹H NMR in DMSO-*d*₆ at 25 °C. ^{*c*}Determined by SEC with DMF containing LiBr (0.010 mol L^{-1}) at 40 °C against PMMA standards. ^{*d*}Determined by ¹H NMR in DMSO-*d*₆ at 130 °C. ^{*c*}Determined based on conversion of monomer. ^{*f*}Data could not be obtained.

2-5. RAFT polymerization of NIPMAM.



A solution of **2a** (8.4 µl, 1.49 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0125 mmol), NIPMAM (159 mg, 1.25 mmol), AIBN (40 µl, 0.078 mol L⁻¹ in methanol, 0.0031 mmol), α , α , α -trifluorotoluene (15 µl, 0.125 mmol as an internal standard), in methanol (0.80 mL) was heated at 60 °C. The monomer conversion was reached to 50% after 27 h. The SEC analysis determined M_n (= 8,200) and D (= 1.21), respectively. The crude mixture was diluted with 1.0 mL of methanol and the 1.0 mL of the solution was poured into vigorously stirred of diethylether (40 mL). The product collected by suction filtration was dried under reduced pressure at 100 °C for 4 h (13 mg, 16%). The ¹H NMR analysis (DMSO- d_6 , 100 °C) determined $M_{n(NMR)}$ of 7,100 which was close to $M_{n(theo)}$ (= 6,600). The ¹³C NMR analysis (DMSO- d_6 , 100 °C) determined triad selectivity ($mm:m:rr = \sim 0:29:71$).

3. Supplementary material



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectra of reaction mixture during degradation of **2a** (a) before heating, (b) after heating at 60 °C for 21 h without DEAA, (c) after heating at 60 °C for 20 h with DEAA (CDCl₃, 25 °C).



Figure S2. Effect of $Y(OTf)_3$ on the degradation of **2a**.



Figure S3. Kinetics of RAFT polymerization of DEAA, DMAA and NIPAM in the presence or absence of Lewis acids (Table 1, runs 1-27).



Figure S4. SEC traces of PDEAA with higher molecular weight (runs 8-11).



Figure S5. SEC traces of PDEAA synthesized with CTA **2b** [before (solid line) and after (dotted line) chain extension reaction (run 7)].

4. Spectra data



Figure S6b. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **3**.



Figure S7a. ¹H NMR spectrum of mixture of 4c and 4d.



Figure S7b. ¹³C NMR spectrum of mixture of 4c and 4d.



Figure S7c. HMQC spectrum of mixture of 4c and 4d.



Figure S7d. HMBC spectrum of mixture of 4c and 4d [(i) whole (ii) part].



Figure S8a. ¹H NMR spectrum of 2b.



Figure S8b. ¹³C NMR spectrum of 2b.

[References]

(1) Z. Xu, K. Zhang, C. Hou, D. Wang, X. Liu, X. Guan, X. Zhang, H. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, **2014**, *2*, 3433-3437.

(2) Y. Kwak, R. Nicolaÿ, K. Matyjaszewski, Macromolecules, 2009, 42, 3738-3742.

(3) G. Gervasio, S. Vastag, G. Szalontai, L. Markó, J. Organomet. Chem., 1997, 533, 187-191.

(4) P. An, T. M. Lewandowski, T. G. Erbay, P. Liu, Q. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 4860-4868.

(5) S. Perrier, P. Takolpuckdee, J. Westwood, D. M. Lewis, *Macromolecules*, **2004**, *37*, 2709-2717.

(6) S. Varlas, P. G. Georgiou, P. Bilalis, J. R. Jones, N. Hadjichristidis, R. K. O'Reilly, *Biomacromolecules*, **2018**, *19*, 4453-4462.