## Influence of the Tetraalkoxysilane Crosslinker on the Properties of Polysiloxane-based Elastomers Prepared by the Lewis Acid-Catalysed Piers-Rubinsztajn Reaction

Andrew M. Hickman, Nikola Chmel, Neil R. Cameron, Daniel J. Keddie, Tara L. Schiller\*

## **Supporting Information**

Table S1 Experimental setup of polymer **1a** compositions with varied catalyst amounts, at fixed polymer & crosslinker molar ratio and general outcome of reaction.

Entry	Polymer <sup>a</sup>	Moles of	Crosslinker <sup>b</sup>	Moles of	Concentration	Moles of	Outcome
		Polymer		Crosslinker	of Catalyst	Catalyst	
		/ mmol		/ mmol		(Volume)	
		(Volume)		(Volume)			
1	1a	1.7 (0.85	2	0.08 (0.179	0.1M	2×10 <sup>-7</sup> (20	Foam
		mL)		mL)		μL)	
2	1a	1.7 (0.85	2	0.08 (0.179	0.01M	5×10 <sup>-</sup> (50	Gel with
		mL)		mL)		μL)	defect
3	1a	1.7 (0.85	2	0.08 (0.179	0.01M	2×10 <sup>-</sup> (20	Gel / gel
		mL)		mL)		μL)	with
		4 7 /0 05		0.00 (0.004	0.414	2 40 7 (20	defect
4	1a	1.7 (0.85	3	0.08 (0.231	0.1M	2×10 <sup>-7</sup> (20	Foam
	4	mL)		mL)	0.0414	μL)	
5	19	1.7 (0.85	3	0.08 (0.231	0.01M	5×10°° (50	Gel with
	1.0	ML)	2	mL)	0.0114	μL)	
0	19	1.7 (0.85 mL)	3	0.08(0.231)	0.01101	2×10°(20	Gel / gel
		IIIL)		mL)		μι)	dofoct <sup>c</sup>
	12	1 7 /0 95	Δ	0.09 (0.296	0.1M	2~10-7 /20	Eoom
/	Id	1.7 (0.05 ml )	4	0.08 (0.280 mL)	0.111	2×10 (20	FUalli
<u>8</u>	12	1 7 (0 85	Δ	0.08 (0.286	0.01M	 5×10 <sup>-8</sup> (50	Gelwith
U	10	ml)	-	ml )	0.0110	ul)	defect
9	1a	1.7 (0.85	4	0.08 (0.286	0.01M	2×10 <sup>-8</sup> (20	Gel / gel
	10	mL)	•	mL)	0.01.11	uL)	with
		,		,		F* /	defect <sup>c</sup>
10	1a	1.7 (0.85	5	0.08 (0.388	0.1M	2×10 <sup>-7</sup> (20	Partial
		mL)		mL)		μL)	cure
11	1a	1.7 (0.85	5	0.08 (0.388	0.01M	5×10 <sup>-8</sup> (50	Partial /
		mL)		mL)		μL)	no cure
12	1a	1.7 (0.85	5	0.08 (0.388	0.01M	2×10 <sup>-8</sup> (20	Partial /
		mL)		mL)		μL)	no cure
13	1a	1.7 (0.85	6	0.08 (0.291	0.1M	2×10 <sup>-7</sup> (20	Foam
		mL)		mL)		μL)	
14	1a	1.7 (0.85	6	0.08 (0.291	0.01M	5×10⁻ <sup>8</sup> (50	Foam /
		mL)		mL)		μL)	gel with
							defect
15	1a	1.7 (0.85	6	0.08 (0.291	0.01M	2×10 <sup>-8</sup> (20	Gel with
		mL)		mL)		μL)	defect /
							gel

<sup>a</sup>polymer **1a**= hydride terminated PDMS 400-500 g mol<sup>-1</sup> (DMS-H03) <sup>b</sup>**2**=ethyl **3**=propyl **4**=*n*-butyl **5**=2-ethylbutyl **6**=s-butyl <sup>c</sup>Secondary outcome (undesired) is sporadic, occuring infrequently - possibly due to difficult to control factors (including room temperatue, heat conduction handling vial). Primary outcome listed first. Outcome determined by visual observation and gentle probing of the sample.

Table S2 Experimental setup of polymer **1b** compositions with varied catalyst amounts, at fixed polymer & crosslinker molar ratio and general outcome of reaction.

Entry	Polymer <sup>a</sup>	Moles of Polymer / mmol (Volume)	Crosslink er <sup>b</sup>	Moles of Crosslinker / mmol (Volume)	Concentration of Catalyst	Moles of Catalyst (Volume)	Outcome
1	1b	0.85 (0.960	2	0.04 (0.089	0.1M	1×10 <sup>-7</sup> (20	Foam
		mL)		mL)		μL)	
2	1b	0.85 (0.960	2	0.04 (0.089	0.01M	2.5×10 <sup>-8</sup>	Gel with
		mL)		mL)		(50 μL)	defect /
							foam
3	1b	0.85 (0.960	2	0.04 (0.089	0.01M	1×10⁻ଃ (20	Gel / gel
		mL)		mL)		μL)	with
							defect <sup>c</sup>
4	1b	0.85 (0.960	3	0.04 (0.115	0.1M	1×10 <sup>-7</sup> (20	Foam
		mL)		mL)		μL)	
5	1b	0.85 (0.960	3	0.04 (0.115	0.01M	2.5×10 <sup>-8</sup>	Gel with
		mL)		mL)		(50 μL)	defect
6	1b	0.85 (0.960	3	0.04 (0.115	0.01M	1×10 <sup>-8</sup> (20	Gel / gel
		mL)		mL)		μL)	with
							defect <sup>c</sup>
7	1b	0.85 (0.960	4	0.04 (0.143	0.1M	1×10 <sup>-7</sup> (20	Foam
		mL)		mL)		μL)	
8	1b	0.85 (0.960	4	0.04 (0.143	0.01M	2.5×10⁻ <sup>8</sup>	Gel with
		mL)		mL)		(50 μL)	defect
9	1b	0.85 (0.960	4	0.04 (0.143	0.01M	1×10⁻ <sup>8</sup> (20	Gel
		mL)		mL)		μL)	
10	1b	0.85 (0.960	5	0.04 (0.194	0.1M	1×10 <sup>-7</sup> (20	Partial
		mL)		mL)		μL)	cure
11	1b	0.85 (0.960	5	0.04 (0.194	0.01M	2.5×10 <sup>-8</sup>	Partial /
		mL)		mL)		(50 μL)	no cure
12	1b	0.85 (0.960	5	0.04 (0.194	0.01M	1×10⁻ <sup>8</sup> (20	Partial /
		mL)		mL)		μL)	no cure
13	1b	0.85 (0.960	6	0.04 (0.146	0.1M	1×10 <sup>-7</sup> (20	Foam
		mL)		mL)		μL)	
14	1b	0.85 (0.960	6	0.04 (0.146	0.01M	2.5×10 <sup>-8</sup>	Foam /
		mL)		mL)		(50 μL)	gel with
							defects
15	1b	0.85 (0.960	6	0.04 (0.146	0.01M	1×10⁻ <sup>8</sup> (20	Gel with
		mL)		mL)		μL)	defects / gel

<sup>a</sup>polymer **1b**= hydride terminated PDMS 1000-1100 g mol<sup>-1</sup> (DMS-H11) <sup>b</sup>**2**=ethyl **3**=propyl **4**=*n*-butyl **5**=2-ethylbutyl **6**=*s*-butyl <sup>c</sup>Secondary outcome (undesired) is sporadic, occuring infrequently - possibly due to difficult to control factors (including room temperatue, heat conduction handling vial). Primary outcome listed first. Outcome determined by visual observation and gentle probing of the sample.



**Figure S1**: Photographs of bulk samples of PDMS-based polymer networks illustrating the reduction of foaming (left to right) with by tailoring the reaction conditions. Samples were prepared by reacting hydride-terminated PDMS **1a** with: (a) tetraethoxysilane **2** and  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>; and (b) tetra-*n*-butoxysilane **4** and  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S2: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of DMS-H03 (400-500g mol<sup>-1</sup> hydride terminated poly(dimethylsiloxane))

Si-<u>*H*</u> peaks, accounting for the <sup>29</sup>Si satellites peaks, were assigned an integration of 2.

 $CH_3$  peaks, accounting for the <sup>29</sup>Si satellites peaks, were integrated giving a value of 65.92 (66H).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 4.4 – 5.0 (m, 2H, 2 × Si-<u>H</u>), δ -0.10 – 0.50 (m, 66H, 22 × Si-C<u>H</u><sub>3</sub>)

Number average molecular mass ( $M_n$ ) was calculated by dividing total CH<sub>3</sub> hydrogens (66H) by the amount of hydrogens found per Silicon i.e. 6

No. of  $Si = 66 \div 6$  (*H per Si*) = 11 Silicons per chain



C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>68</sub>O<sub>10</sub>Si<sub>11</sub> *M*<sub>n</sub>= 801.71



Figure S3: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of DMS-H11 (1000-1100 g mol<sup>-1</sup> hydride terminated poly(dimethylsiloxane))

Si- $\underline{H}$  peaks, accounting for the <sup>29</sup>Si satellites peaks, were assigned an integration of 2.

 $CH_3$  peaks, accounting for the <sup>29</sup>Si satellites peaks, were integrated giving a value of 96.02 (96H)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.7068 ppm (m, 2H, 2 × Si-<u>H</u>),  $\delta$  -0.14 – 0.50 (m, 96H, 32 × Si-C<u>H</u><sub>3</sub>)

Number average molecular mass ( $M_n$ ) was calculated by dividing total CH<sub>3</sub> hydrogens (96H) by the amount of hydrogens found per Silicon i.e. 6

*No. of*  $Si = 96 \div 6$  (*H per Si*) = 16

$$HSi^{O}Si^$$

 $C_{32}H_{98}O_{15}Si_{16}M_n$ = 1172.48



**Figure S4:** Time-resolved rheology, full scale gelation curves shown by G' for elastomers derived from PDMS **1a** and the (a) ethyl **2**, (b) propyl **3**, (c) *n*-butyl **4**, and (d) *s*-butyl **6** alkoxysilane (also see Entries 1-5, Table 1). Note the omitted data as per Table 1. Insets show G' & G'' during earlier stages of curing.



**Figure S5:** Time-resolved rheology, full scale gelation curves shown by G' for elastomers derived from PDMS **1b** and the (a) ethyl **2**, (b) propyl **3**, (c) *n*-butyl **4**, and (d) *s*-butyl **6** alkoxysilane (also see Entries 6-10, Table 1). Note the omitted data as per Table 1. Insets show G' & G'' during earlier stages of curing.