Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers. This journal is © the Partner Organisations 2021

Supporting Information

A mitochondrial-targeting iridium(III) complex for H₂O₂-responsive and

oxidative stress amplified two-photon photodynamic therapy

Xinxing Liao,^{a,‡} Jinchao Shen,^{a,‡} Weijun Wu,^{a,‡} Shi Kuang,^a Mingwei Lin,^a Johannes Karges,^b Zilong Tang, *^c and Hui Chao, *^{a,c}

^a MOE Key Laboratory of Bioinorganic and Synthetic Chemistry, School of Chemistry, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510006, China. E-mail: ceschh@mail.sysu.edu.cn
 ^b Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, United State
 ^c MOE Key Laboratory of Theoretical Organic Chemistry and Functional Molecule, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan University of Science and Technology, Xiangtan, 400201, China. E-mail: <u>zltang@hnust.edu.cn</u>

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Supporting Figures and Tables



Scheme S1. Synthetic route of Ir-B(OH)₂.



Figure S1. ESI-MS spectrum and HRMS spectrum of Ir-OH.



Figure S2. ¹H NMR spectrum of Ir-OH.



Figure S3. ESI-MS spectrum and HRMS spectrum of Ir-B(OH)₂.



Figure S4. ¹H NMR spectrum of Ir-B(OH)₂.



Figure S5. UV-Vis absorption spectra (A), and emission spectra (B) of Ir-OH (10 μ M), and Ir-B(OH)₂ (10 μ M) in PBS.



Figure S6. Luminescence lifetime spectra of Ir-OH (10 μ M), and (B) Ir-B(OH)₂ (10 μ M) in PBS.



Figure S7. Monitoring of changes in the emission intensity at 589 nm of $Ir-B(OH)_2$ (2.0 μ M) upon treatment with various H_2O_2 concentrations at various time points.



Figure S8. Monitoring of changes in the emission intensity at 589 nm of $Ir-B(OH)_2$ (2.0 µM) upon treatment with H_2O_2 at various time points under physiological (pH = 7.4) or acidic conditions (pH = 5.5).



Figure S9. Mass spectrum at the corresponding retention time upon incubation of $Ir-B(OH)_2$ with H_2O_2 .



Figure S10. Electron spin resonance spectrum of $Ir-B(OH)_2$ (2.0 µM) upon incubation of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine and exposure to a 405 nm irradiation (20 mW cm⁻², 300 s).



Figure S11. Changes in the absorbance at 411 nm (absorption of 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF)) upon incubation of the metal complexes Ir(OH) or $Ir-B(OH)_2$ with the ${}^{1}O_2$ scavenger DPBF. MB was used as the standard.

		Ir-OH			
	1 h	2 h	4 h	8 h	1 h
Initial graph	53 78	ES Do	8	69 00	000
Long lifetime	D	032	•		
Short lifetime	5	es do	000 8 8	89 Gr	Sec.

Figure S12. Phosphorescence lifetime images of AGS cells incubated with Ir-B(OH)₂ (0.5 μ M) for 1,

2, 4, 8 h or Ir-OH (0.5 $\mu M)$ for 1h (λ_{ex} = 730 nm). Scale bar: 10 $\mu m.$



Figure S13. Relative intracellular GSH level of AGS cells upon treatment with various concentrations of **Ir-B(OH)**₂.



Figure S14. Fluorescence images of AGS cells incubated with **Ir-B(OH)**₂ (0.5 μ M), stained with 2,7dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (10 μ M, λ_{ex} = 488 nm, λ_{em} = 510 ± 10 nm) and exposure to a laser irradiation (405 nm, 20 mW cm⁻², 300 s). Scale bar: 10 μ m.



Figure S15. Fluorescent microscopy images of AGS cells incubated with **Ir-B(OH)**₂ before and after a 405 nm laser (20 mW cm⁻², 300 s) irradiation. Afterwards, the cells were stained with Calcein-AM/EthD-1 (Calcein-AM for live cells, 2 μ M, λ ex = 488 nm, λ em = 510 ± 10 nm; EthD-1 for dead cells, 4 μ M, λ ex = 543 nm, λ em = 610 ± 10 nm nm). Scale bar = 100 μ m.



Figure S16. Confocal microscopy images upon incubation of Ir-B(OH)₂ and JC-1 in AGS cells before or after a 405 nm laser (20 mW cm⁻², 300 s) irradiation. The JC-1 monomer/aggregate ratio is indicative for the mitochondrial membrane potential. Monomer: $\lambda_{ex} = 488$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 510 \pm 10$ nm, Aggregate: $\lambda_{ex} = 543$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 610 \pm 10$ nm, Scale bar = 50 µm.



Figure S17. Two-photon absorption spectra of (A) Ir-OH (1 mM), and (B) Ir-B(OH)₂ (1 mM).



Figure S18. Laser power in dependence of relative two-photo induced phosphoresce intensity of **Ir-OH**(1 mM), and **Ir-B(OH)**₂ (1 mM).



Figure S19. One and two-photon excited confocal laser scanning microscopy images of **Ir-OH** (0.5 μ M) and **Ir-B(OH)**₂ (0.5 μ M) in AGS monolayer cells. Scale bar = 10 μ m.



Figure S20. (A) One-photon (OPM) and two-photon (TPM) fluorescence microscopy images of **Ir-OH** (0.5 μ M) and **Ir-B(OH)**₂ (0.5 μ M) in an intact 3D multi-cellular spheroid. Z-stack of (B) OPM and (C)TPM images of **Ir-OH** or **Ir-B(OH)**₂ in AGS 3D multi-cellular spheroids. The images were taken every ~4.00 μ m section along the Z axis of an intact spheroid. Scale bar = 100 μ m.



Figure S21. Fluorescence microscopy images of AGS MCTS incubated with Ir-B(OH)₂ (0, 0.5 μ M) upon treatment in the dark or exposure to a two-photon irradiation (730 nm, 20 mW cm⁻², 300 s). Afterwards the MCTS were stained with Calcein-AM/EthD-1 (Calcein-AM is a stain for living cells, 2 μ M, λ_{ex} = 488 nm, λ_{em} = 510 ± 10 nm; EthD-1 is a stain for dead cells, 4 μ M, λ_{ex} = 543 nm, λ_{em} = 610 ± 10 nm). Scale bar = 100 μ m.



Figure S22. Change in tumor-inhibition rate after different treatments.



Figure S23. Histopathologic slices upon staining with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) of the major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney and brain) of AGS tumor-bearing mice after different treatments. Scale bar = $50 \mu m$.

		IC ₅₀ (μΜ) ^[a]				
Complexes		AGS	A549	Hela	L02	
Ir-B(OH)₂	Dark	24±1.5	18 ±1.1	20±1.3	45±1.4	
	Light	0.51±0.04	2.86±0.03	2.62±0.03	6.1±0.01	
	PI	47	6.3	7.6	7.4	
Cisplatin	Dark	24.6±1.1	21.2±1.1	15.4±0.7	15.9±0.8	
	Light	23.4±1.3	22.1±0.9	14.8±1.3	16.1±0.7	
	PI	1.1	0.95	1.0	0.99	

 Table S1. (Photo)cytotoxicity towards different cell lines upon 405 nm laser irradiation.

[a] Data are presented as means ± s.d. (n=3).