Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers. This journal is © the Partner Organisations 2021

Supporting Information

Conversion of a double-tetranuclear cluster silver helicate into a

dihelicate via a rare desulfurization process

Sandra Fernández-Fariña, a Luis M. González-Barcia, a María J. Romero, a Javier García-

Tojal,^b Marcelino Maneiro,^c José M. Seco,^d Guillermo Zaragoza,^e Miguel Martínez-

Calvo,^{a,*} Ana M. González-Noya,^{a,*} Rosa Pedrido^{a,*}

^{a.} Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultade de Química, Campus Vida, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

^{b.} Departamento de Química, Universidad de Burgos, Pza. Misael Bañuelos s/n, 09001 Burgos, Spain.

^{c.} Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultade de Ciencias, Campus Terra, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 27002 Lugo, Spain.

^{*d.*} Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultade de Química, Campus Vida, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

^{e.} Unidade de Difracción de Raios X, Edificio CACTUS, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Campus Vida, Santiago de Compostela, 15782, Spain.

Table of contents

Experimental Section
Materials and Methods
Synthesis and characterization of silver complex [Ag ₈ L ₄]
Synthesis of the silver complex $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2]SO_4\cdot H_2O$ 4
Crystallographic data of complexes [Ag_4L_2]2 and [Ag_2(H_2L)_2]SO_4 \cdot H_2O
Table S1. Main bond distances (Å) and angles ($^{\circ}$) in $[Ag_4(L)_2]_2$
Table S2. Main bond distances (Å) and angles (⁰) in [Ag₂(H₂L)₂]SO₄·H₂O. 6
2. Additional Figures7
Figure S1. ESI-MS spectrum of complex [Ag ₄ L ₂] ₂ 7
Figure S2. ¹ H-RMN spectra superposition of $[Ag_4(L)_2]_2$ complex and H_2L bisthiosemicarbazone
ligand7
Figure S3. ORTEP representation of the double tetranuclear cluster helicate $[Ag_4L_2]_2$
Figure S4. Coordination environment of metal centers in the cluster $[Ag_4(L)_2]_2$
Figure S5. ORTEP representation of the dihelicate $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2](SO_4) \cdot H_2O$. Sulfate ion and the
water molecule have been eliminated for clarity9
Figure S7. MALDI spectrum of the CDCI $_3$ solution resulting for the NMR experiments depicted
in Figure 310
Figure S9. ESI^+ spectra of $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2](SO_4) \cdot H_2O$
Figure S10. Crystal lattice of $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2]SO_4 \cdot H_2O$ in which both enantiomers, $\Delta\Delta$ and $\Lambda\Lambda$ can be
observed into a racemic mixture11
3. References

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods

All solvents, 1,3-diacetylbenzene, 4-*N*-methyl-3-thiosemicarbazide and silver metal plates (ca. 2x2 cm²) were purchased from commercial sources and were employed as received without any purification thereof.

Elemental analysis of the compounds (C, H, N and S) were carried out on a FISONS EA model 1108 Analyzer. A Varian Innova 400 and a Varian Mercury 300 spectrometers were used to record the ¹H and ¹³C/DEPT NMR spectra operating at room temperature. CDCl₃ and DMSO-d₆ were used as deuterated solvents and the chemical shifts are reported as δ (ppm) and expressed relative to Me₄Si (δ = 0 ppm). Infrared spectra were recorded from 4000 to 500 cm⁻¹ on a BRUKER IFS-66V spectrophotometer using KBr discs. An API4000 Applied Biosystems mass spectrometer equipped with Triple Quadrupole analyzer was used to collect the electrospray ionization mass data (ESI), using standard spectroscopic-grade solvents. A Bruker Autoflex spectrometer, using DCTB as the matrix, was used to register the Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectra.

Synthesis and characterization of silver complex [Ag₈L₄]

The silver complex was synthesized by an electrochemical procedure using acetonitrile as solvent.^{2,3} The synthetic procedure employed for the isolation of the complex $[Ag_4L_2]_2$ is described below:

The neutral Ag(I) complex was prepared by an electrochemical procedure. The electrochemical cell can be summarized as follows: Pt(-) | H₂L + CH₃CN | Ag(+). The ligand H₂L (0.1 g, 0.22 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (*ca.* 80 cm³). A tiny amount of tetraethylammonium perchlorate was incorporated to the reaction media as supporting electrolyte. The resulting mixture was electrolyzed under two different conditions: 10 mA and 19 V for 1 h 36 min to achieve the bideprotonation of H₂L. The off yellow powder was isolated by filtration, washed with a small volume of diethyl ether (10 mL) and dried under vacuum. **CAUTION!** Although we have not encountered any problem so far during the electrochemical synthesis <u>perchlorate</u> salts must be carefully manipulated as they are potentially explosive.

[Ag₄L₂]₂: Yield: 0.115 g (80%); Ef = 0.8 mol F⁻¹; E. A. (Found: C, 30.6; H, 3.3; N, 15.3; S, 11.7; Ag₄C₂₈H₃₆N₁₂S₄ required: C, 30.6; H, 3.4; N, 15.4; S, 11.6; ESI⁺ (*m*/*z*): 1100.8 [M₄(L)₂+H]⁺, 1208.7 [M₅(L)₂]⁺,1544.8 [M₅(L)₃+2H]⁺, 1650.7 [M₆(L)₃+H]⁺, 1758.5 [M₇(L)₃]⁺, 2200.7 [M₈(L)₄+H]⁺. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v(NH) 3365, v(C=N + C-N) 1562, 1514, v(C=S) 1119, 791, v(N-N) 1047. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.45 (s, 4H, H₃), 7.73 (dd, *J*= 7.8, 1.9 Hz, 8H, H₄), 7.52 (t, *J*= 7.8 Hz, 4H, H₅), 6.92 (d, *J*= 4.5 Hz, 8H, H₂), 2.73 (d, *J*= 4.5 Hz, 24H, H₆), 2.47 (s, 24H, H₇). ¹⁰⁹Ag-NMR (23 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 963.9. Colorless X-ray quality crystals of [Ag₄L₂]₂ were collected after filtration of the initial precipitate obtained during the electrochemical synthesis followed by slow evaporation of the mother liquors.

Synthesis of the silver complex [Ag₂(H₂L)₂]SO₄·H₂O

The dihelicate $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2]SO_4 \cdot H_2O$ has been obtained as a by-product during the recrystallisation process of complex $[Ag_4L_2]_2$ in CHCl₃ where the solution was exposed to light and opened to air for 3-4 weeks. The obtained compound has been studied by X-ray diffraction and ESI⁺ spectrometry.

Crystallographic data of complexes [Ag₄L₂]2 and [Ag₂(H₂L)₂]SO₄·H₂O

Colorless crystals of $[Ag_8(L)_4]$ and $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2]SO_4 H_2O$ were obtained as indicated before. The crystals were mounted on a glass fibre and directly used for data collection. Crystal data collection was performed at a 100(2) K temperature using a BRUKER APPEX-II diffractometer with a CCD area detector, using graphite monochromated MoK(α) radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Data were treated with APPEX2 software.ⁱ An empirical absorption correction (SADABS)ⁱⁱ was applied to the collected reflections. The structure of $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2]SO_4 \cdot H_2O$ was solved by using the SIR-97 programⁱⁱⁱ and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques against F^2 using SHELXL-97 program package.^{iv} [Ag₈L₄] was solved with DIRDIF2008 program^v and refined by fullmatrix least-squares techniques against F² using SHELXL2014/7 program package.^{vi} All nonhydrogen atoms were assigned with positional and anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions, riding on attached atoms with isotropic thermal parameters (1.2-1.5 times those of their carrier atoms). Criteria of a satisfactory complete analysis were the ratios of "rms" shift to standard deviation less than 0.001 was taken and no significant features were observed in the final difference maps. The molecular graphics include in the manuscript and the SI files were prepared with ORTEP-3^{vii} and MERCURY^{viii} programs. The most relevant crystal data of both crystals are listed below. Important bond distances and angles are summarized in Tables S1 and S2 while hydrogen bond parameters are listed in Table S3.

[Ag₄L₂]₂: Formula: C₅₆H₇₂Ag₈N₂₄S₈; Volume: 3544.1(3) Å³, MW, 2200.82 g·mol⁻¹; Crystal size: 0.18 x 0.07 x 0.06 mm³; Z= 2; Spatial Group: *Pnn*2; D: 2.062 mg m⁻³; Crystal System: Orthorhombic; Interval θ: 3.1–30.0 °; a= 10.7941(5) Å; b= 17.6381(9) Å, c= 18.6154(9) Å; α = 90 °; β = 90 °; γ = 90 °; μ = 2.45 mm⁻¹; F(000)= 2160; Radiation λ (Mo-K_α) = 0.7107 Å, Measured/unique reflexions: 83075/11795 (Rint= 0.060); R= 0.027; wR= 0.044; GOF= 1.041; Residues: 0.73/-0.59 e Å⁻³.

[Ag₂(H₂L)₂](SO₄)·H₂O: Formula, C₂₈H₄₂Ag₂N₁₂O₅S₅; Volume: 3743.5(5), MW, 1002.78 g·mol⁻¹; Crystal size: 0.08 x 0.06 x 0.06 mm³; Z= 4; Spatial Group: I2/a; D: 1.779 mg m⁻³; Crystal System: Monoclinic; Interval θ: 1.9-25.4°; a= 16.3978(16) Å; b= 13.1041(7) Å, c= 17.5038(10) Å; α = 90 °; β = 95.561(2) °; γ = 90 °; μ = 1.38 mm⁻¹; F(000)= 2032; Radiation λ (Mo-K_α) = 0.7107 Å, Measured/unique reflexions: 17775/3413 (Rint= 0.1); R= 0.055; wR= 0.143; GOF= 1.016; Residues: 1.46/-0.62 e Å⁻³.

Bond Distances (Å)		Bond Angles (°)		
Ag1–N3	2.338(3)	N9-Ag4-S1	128.46(8)	
Ag3–N4	2.390(3)	N9-Ag4-S3	80.15(8)	
Ag4–N9	2.385(3)	S1–Ag4–S3	147.85(4)	
Ag2-N10	2.345(3)	N9-Ag4-S3	119.68(19)	
Ag4–S1	2.4204(11)	N3-Ag4-S4	145.65(9)	
Ag1–S1	2.4933(11)	N3-Ag1-S1	76.74(9)	
Ag2–S2	2.4209(10)	S4–Ag1–S1	137.57(4)	
Ag3–S2	2.5198(10)	N9-Ag4-S3	121.64(19)	
Ag4–S3	2.4490(10)	N10-Ag2-S2	141.25(8)	
Ag3–S3	2.4597(10)	N10-Ag2-S4	77.60(8)	
Ag1–S4	2.4286(11)	S2-Ag2-S4	137.94(4)	
Ag2–S4	2.5266(11)	N4–Ag3–S3	142.17(8)	
Ag4–Ag1	3.0390(4)	N4–Ag3–S2	76.50(8)	
Ag4–Ag3	3.1107(4)	S3-Ag3-S2	135.37(3)	
Ag1–Ag2	3.0061(5)			
Ag2–Ag3	3.3155(4)			

Table S1. Main bond distances (Å) and angles ($^{\circ}$) in $[Ag_4(L)_2]_2$.

Bond Distances (Å)		Bond Angles (°)	
Ag1-N4	2.494(6)	S2-Ag1-S1	155.1(2)
Ag1-S1	2.428(5)	S2-Ag1-N4	76.90(18)
Ag1-S2	2.401(6)	S1-Ag1-N3	75.54(18)
Ag1–N3	2.648(6)	N3-Ag1-N4	119.68(19)
Ag1B-N3 ⁱ	2.370(6)	N4 ⁱ -Ag1B-S1B	75.82(18)
Ag1B-S2B	2.420(6)	N3 ⁱ -Ag1B-S2B	78.67(19)
Ag1B-S1B	2.416(6)	S1B-Ag1B-S2B	153.5(2)
Ag1B–N4 ⁱ	2.719(6)	N3 ⁱ -Ag1B-N4 ⁱ	121.64(19)

Table S2. Main bond distances (Å) and angles (°) in $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2]SO_4 \cdot H_2O$.

D-H····A	D…A (Å)	D-H…A (°)	Symmetry Operation
N6-H6…O4	2.838(17)	161(11)	
N5-O2…O2	2.846(19)	167(14)	
O5-H5…O1	2.789(16)	150(6)	
N1-H1…O3	2.761(17)	165(28)	x, y-1, z
N2-H2…O1	2.80(2)	154(21)	-x+1/2, y-1, -z+1
N6B-H6B…O4	2.97(2)	122(4)	-x+1/2, y-1, -z+1
N2B-H2B····O2	2.765(19)	162(10)	-x+1/2, y, -z+1
NB1-H1B…O5	2.834(18)	149(6)	-x+1/2, y, -z+1

Table S3. Hydrogen bonds distances (Å)) in $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2]SO_4 \cdot H_2O$.

2. Additional Figures



Figure S1. ESI-MS spectrum of complex [Ag₄L₂]₂.



Figure S2. ¹H-RMN spectra superposition of $[Ag_4(L)_2]_2$ complex and H_2L *bis*thiosemicarbazone ligand.



Figure S3. ORTEP representation of the double tetranuclear cluster helicate [Ag₄L₂]₂.



Figure S4. Coordination environment of metal centers in the cluster $[Ag_4(L)_2]_2$.



Figure S5. ORTEP representation of the dihelicate $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2](SO_4) \cdot H_2O$. Sulfate ion and the water molecule have been eliminated for clarity.



Figure S6. Hydrogen bonds of the crystal lattice of the complex $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2](SO_4) \cdot H_2O$ in which disordered atoms are involved



Figure S7. MALDI spectrum of the CDCl₃ solution resulting for the NMR experiments depicted in Figure 3.



Figure S8. ESI⁺ spectrum of organic side-products in the chloroform recrystallization solution.



Figure S9. ESI^+ spectra of $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2](SO_4) \cdot H_2O$.



Figure S10. Crystal lattice of $[Ag_2(H_2L)_2]SO_4 \cdot H_2O$ in which both enantiomers, $\Delta\Delta$ and $\Lambda\Lambda$ can be observed into a racemic mixture.

3. References

(ⁱ) BRUKER, AXS. D8 ADVANCE, **2005**.

(ⁱⁱ) Sheldrick, G. M.; SADABS, Program for Scaling and Correction of Area Detector Data, University of Göttingen, Germany, **1996**.

(iii) Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, C.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi,
A.; Moliterni, A. G. G.; Polidori, G.; Spagna, R., SIR97: a new tool for crystal structure
determination and refinement. *J. Appl. Cryst.*, **1999**, 32, 115-119.

(iv) Sheldrick, G. M. A short history of SHELX. Acta Cryst., 2008, A64, 112-122.

(^v) Beurskens, P. T.; Beurskens, G.; de Gelder, R.; Garcia-Granda, S.; Gould, R. O.; Smits, J. M.; The DIRDIF2008 Program System, Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, **2008**.

(vi) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXT: Integrating space group determination and structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Adv,* **2014**, *70*, C1437.

(^{vii}) L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, **1997**, *30*, 565; b) L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, **2012**, *45*, 849.

(^{viii}) Macrae, C. F.; Bruno, I. J.; Chisholm, J. A.; Edgington, P. R.; McCabe, P.; Pidcock, E.; Rodríguez-Monge, L.; Taylor, R.; van de Streek, J.; Wood, P. A.; MERCURY CSD 2.0 – new features for the visualization and investigation of crystal structures. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2008**, *41*, 466–470.