## **Supporting Information**

Confined in-situ polymerization in nanoscale porphyrinic metalorganic framework for fluorescence imaging-guided synergistic phototherapy

Wen Zhang,<sup>‡ab</sup> Bo Li,<sup>‡a</sup> Wenyao Duan,<sup>‡a</sup> Xin Yao,<sup>b</sup> Xin Lu,<sup>b</sup> Shengli Li,<sup>b</sup> Yupeng Tian<sup>b</sup> and Dandan Li<sup>\*ab</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institutes of Physics Science and Information Technology, Key Laboratory of Structure and Functional Regulation of Hybrid Materials, Ministry of Education, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Functional Inorganic Material Chemistry of Anhui Province, Anhui University, Hefei 230039, P. R. China

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work

\* Corresponding author: D. Li (chemlidd@163.com)

**Instrument.** UV–visible absorption spectra were recorded on a UV-265 spectrophotometer. Fluorescence measurements were carried out on a Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer. SEM were detected by REGULUS8230\*. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra recorded on at 25°C, using Bruker 400/600 Ultrashield spectrometer were reported as parts per million (ppm) from TMS ( $\delta$ ). ESI Mass Spectrometer was recorded using LTQ Orbitrap XL. TEM were carried on a JEM-2100. XRD were recorded on SmartLab 9KW. IR spectra (4000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup>), in KBr pressed pellets, were recorded on a Nicolet FT-IR-870SX spectrophotometer. Brookhaven BI-200 SM dynamic light scattering (DLS). Confocal microscopy was acquired with a Leica SP8 confocal microscopy and 100/63×oil-immersion objective lens. NIR light was supported by Merry Change MC-XF300. Visible light was supported by LED light (400-700 nm, 40 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>). PTT experiments used a femtosecond laser pulse and a Ti: sapphire system (680-1080 nm, 80 MHz, 140 fs) as the light source.

**Measurement of Photothermal Performance. PPy@MOF-525@HA** aqueous solution (1 mL) with different concentrations (150, 200 and 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and water as control were placed under the 960 nm laser irradiation for 10 min, respectively. Subsequently, **PPy@MOF-525@HA** aqueous solution (200 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) with different laser power intensity (0.8, 1 and 1.2 W cm<sup>-2</sup>) were placed under the 960 nm laser irradiation for 10 min, respectively. The temperature changes in the above process are recorded by the NIR camera.

The photothermal conversion efficiency  $(\eta)$  was calculated by the following equation (1):

$$\eta = \frac{hS(T_{\text{max}} - T_{Surr}) - Q_{Dis}}{I(1 - 10^{-A_{960}})}$$
(1)

Where h indexes the heat transfer coefficient; S is the surface area of the used contanier;  $T_{max}$  and  $T_{surr}$  are the equilibrium temperature and room temperature, respectively;  $Q_{Dis}$  is the heat dissipation from the light loss of the quartz sample; I is laser intensity (1 W cm<sup>-2</sup>), and  $A_{960}$  represents the absorbance of **PPy@MOF-525@HA** NPs at 960 nm. The hS was calculated by the following equation (2):

$$\tau_{\rm s} = \frac{m_D C_D}{hS} \tag{2}$$

Where  $m_D$  and  $C_D$  are the mass (1 g) and heat capacity (4.2 J g<sup>-1</sup>) of deionized water used as the solvent, respectively.  $\tau_s$  is calculated to be 127.47 s.

Singlet Oxygen ( ${}^{1}O_{2}$ ) Detection. ABDA was employed to detect the singlet oxygen ( ${}^{1}O_{2}$ ). 200 µL of ABDA in DMF (1.0 mM) was mixed with 2 mL **PPy@MOF-525@HA** in aqueous solution (25 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). Then, the solution was placed under the LED light for different time at the power densities of 40 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. The absorptions of ABDA were recorded to analyze singlet oxygen generated from the **PPy@MOF-525@HA**.

**Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) Detection.** DCF-DA was employed to detect the reactive oxygen species (ROS). 1 mL **PPy@MOF-525@HA** in aqueous solution (25  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) was mixed with 1 mL DCF-DA (10.0  $\mu$ M) aqueous solution. Subsequently, the mixture solution was irradiated with LED light for different time. The emission of DCF-DA at 525 nm (Excitation wavelength 488 nm) was recorded to reflect the production of ROS.

Electron spin resonance (ESR) assay. ESR was used to assess the generation of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  by PPy@MOF-525@HA. The spin traps 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (TEMP for trapping  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ , 20 µL) was used to verify the species of reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated by PPy@MOF-525@HA (50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). The ESR signals of the PPy@MOF-525@HA before and after LED light irradiation were recorded.

**Cell Culture.** The HepG2 cells were cultured in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> DMEM, supplemented with fetal bovine serum (10%), penicillin (100 units mL<sup>-1</sup>) and streptomycin (50 units mL<sup>-1</sup>) at 37 °C in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator (95% relative humidity, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Cells were seeded in 35 mm cell culture dishes at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells and were allowed to grow when the cells reached more than 70% confluence.

**Hemolysis Assay.** The mouse red blood cells (RBCs) were obtained by removing serum from the blood by centrifugation and washing. Then RBCs were suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was mixed with an equal volume of **PPy@MOF-525@HA** solution at a final **PPy@MOF-525@HA** concentration of 2, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. RBCs in PBS solution and 1% Triton X-100 solution were set as the negative control and the positive control, respectively. After the mixtures were incubated at 37 °C for 2 h and centrifugated for 5 min, the absorbance at 450 nm of these supernatants was measured using a microplate reader. The hemolysis percentage was calculated using the following formula:

Hemolysis% = 
$$\frac{(I_{sample} - I_{nergative control})}{(I_{positive control} - I_{nergative control})} *100\%$$

where I represent the absorbance at 450 nm.

**Cell uptake analysis.** HepG2 cells (CD44-positive cells) were seeded onto corresponding cell culture dishes and grown to about 70% confluency before used. HepG2 cells were treated with **PPy@MOF-525@HA** (100 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). And after 5 h incubation, the cellular uptake ability of **PPy@MOF-525@HA** was analyzed using CLSM.

**ROS generation in vitro.** The ROS production in living cells was also assessed. HepG2 cells were seeded in Petri dishes and incubated for 24 h. **PPy@MOF-525@HA** (100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) was added and incubated with the cells for 5 h. Then, the cells were washed with PBS solution and incubated with SOSG (5 M) for 30 min, after which the cells were incubated shielded from laser or irradiated for 5 min and 10 min (514 nm laser). And then the cells were observed by CLSM.

**Phototoxicity Evaluation.** HepG2 cells were seeded on 96-well plate incubation overnight for phototoxicity testing. Next, HepG2 cells were disposed with different concentrations **PPy@MOF-525@HA** (0, 50, 100, 150 and 200 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) for 12 h and then irradiated with visible light and NIR light. The cell viability was determined by standard MTT assay.

**Annexin V-FITC and PI assay.** HepG2 cells were incubated with 100 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> **PPy@MOF-525@HA** for 5 h at 37 °C. The HepG2 cells were stained with Annexin V-FITC/PI. Then, the cells were exposed to a laser (514 nm, 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) for 5 min and a laser (960 nm, 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) for 10 min, respectively. And then the cells were observed by CLSM.

Flow Cytometry Study. Cells seeded into the 6-well plates were cultured for 24 h. Next, the medium was replaced with medium containing PPy@MOF-525@HA (100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>), at 37 °C for 5 hours. After irradiated by visible light and NIR light, the cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in binding buffer containing Propidium Iodide (PI, 10  $\mu$ L) and Annexin-V FITC (5  $\mu$ L) for 15 min in darkness. The signal was collected by a BD FACS Calibur flow cytometer (Beckaman/Gallios).

Culture of 3D multicellular tumor spheroids (3D MCTs). 5 mL Poly HEMA solution was added to 25 mL cell culture flask, the ethanol was evaporated at 37 °C, and then sterilized under ultraviolet lamp for 3-5 h. The culture flask was washed twice with PBS, and then 1 mL mother liquor of tumor cells was added. When the cell mass density was relatively high, the flask treatment was conducted, and the cells were further cultured for 3-5 days, 3D multicellular spheroids could be formed with appropriate diameter. 3D MCTs were incubated with **PPy@MOF-525@HA** (100 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) for 5 h. Then, 3D MCTs stained with Calcein AM and PI for 15 min, then washed with PBS solution and analyzed by confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM).



Scheme S1. Synthetic procedures for H<sub>4</sub>TCPP.

	Surface Area (m²/g)	Total pore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	Micropore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	Mesopore and macropore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)
MOF-525	2673.215	2.669	0.918	1.751
PPy@MOF-525	92.112	0.564	0.009	0.555

Table S1. The surface area and pore volume of MOF-525 and PPy@MOF-525.



Figure S1. PXRD pattern of Zr<sub>6</sub> cluster.<sup>1-3</sup>



Figure S2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of TCPP-OME (*d*-CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of  $H_4TCPP$  ( $d_6$ -DMSO).



Figure S4. Mass spectra of H<sub>4</sub>TCPP ligand.



Figure S5. HRTEM images of (a) MOF-525, (b) PPy@MOF-525.



Figure S6. FT-IR spectra of MOF-525 and PPy@MOF-525.



Figure S7. Solid-state UV–visible absorption spectra of MOF-525 and PPy@MOF-525.



Figure S9. Pore size distribution of MOF-525 and PPy@MOF-525.



Figure S10. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of MOF-525 and PPy@MOF-525.



Figure S11. DLS of MOF-525, PPy@MOF-525 and PPy@MOF-525@HA.



**Figure S12.** (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of the mixed solution of **MOF-525** (25  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) and ABDA under visible light irradiation over time. (b) Normalized absorption of ABDA mixed with **MOF-525** (25  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) and **PPy@MOF-525@HA** (25  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) under visible light irradiation over time, respectively.



Figure S13. Temperature changes of MOF-525 and PPy@MOF-525 under NIR light

irradiation (960 nm,  $1.0 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ ) over time.



Figure S14. Confocal images to check the cell uptake of PPy@MOF-525@HA.



Figure S15. (a) Hemolysis ratio of red blood cells incubated with PPy@MOF-525@HA (2, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>). (b) Image of red blood cells centrifugation and treatment with different concentrations of PPy@MOF-525@HA.

## References

- H. Noh, C. W. Kung, T. Islamoglu, A. W. Peters, Y. Liao, P. Li, S. J. Garibay, X. Zhang, M. R. DeStefano, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, Room Temperature Synthesis of an 8-Connected Zr-Based Metal Organic Framework for Top-Down Nanoparticle Encapsulation, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 2193-2197.
- G. Kickelbick, P. Wiede and U. Schubert, Variations in capping the Zr<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub> cluster core: X-ray structure analyses of [Zr<sub>6</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(OOC-CH-CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>10</sub>]<sub>2</sub>(μ-OOC-CH-CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Zr<sub>6</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(OOCR)<sub>12</sub>(PrOH) (R=Ph, CMe=CH<sub>2</sub>), *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1999, **284**, 1-7.
- 3 T. Xu, X. Hou, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, J. Zhang and B. Liu, A gigantic polyoxozirconate with visible photoactivity, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, 46, 10185-10188.