Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Materials Chemistry Frontiers. This journal is © the Partner Organisations 2021

Supporting Information

Self-absorption-free excited-state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT) emitters for high brightness and luminous efficiency organic fluorescent electroluminescent devices

Jakkapan Kumsampao,a Chaiyon Chaiwai,a Chattarika Sukpattanacharoen,b Thanyarat Chawanpunyawat,a Phattananawee Nalaoh,a Pongsakorn Chasing,a Nawee Kungwan,b Taweesak Sudyoadsuka and Vinich Promarak*a,c

 ^a Department of Materials Science and Engineering, School of Molecular Science and Engineering, Vidyasirimedhi Institute of Science and Technology, Wangchan, Rayong 21210, Thailand.
 E-mail: <u>vinich.p@vistec.ac.th</u>

 ^b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Center of Excellence in Materials Science and Technology, Chiang Mai University, Muang, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand
 ^c Research Network of NANOTEC-VISTEC on Nanotechnology for Energy, Vidyasirimedhi Institute of Science and Technology, Wangchan, Rayong, 21210, Thailand

1. Materials synthesis



Scheme S1 Synthesis of bromo phenanthroimidazole and phenyl-dioxaborolane intermediates.

Synthesis of 4-bromo-2-(1-phenyl-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazol-2-yl)phenol (1). A mixture of phenanthrene-9,10-dione 1 (2.00 g, 9.61 mmol) and 5-bromosalicylaldehyde 2 (1.93 g, 9.60 mmol) was dissolved in glacial acetic acid (48 mL) at room temperature. Then, aniline 3 (1.3 mL) was added

dropwise into the solution, and ammonium acetate (3.66 g, 47.48 mmol) was subsequently added. The mixture was heated at 110 °C for 12 h. After the termination of the reaction, the dark solution poured into a copious amount of cool water. After that, the acid solution was neutralized by Na₂HCO₃ solution. The solid residue was filtrated from the solution by Büchner filtration, dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (300 mL), and washed with water (3×200 mL). The organic layer was combined, washed with water (3×100 mL), brine solution, and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using CH₂Cl₂/hexane (v/v = 1/4) as eluent. The product of 4-Br-HPIC was recrystallized from a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/MeOH to afford a white powder with 62% yield (2.76 g, 5.93 mmol). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 13.92 (s, 1H), 8.77 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.72 – 8.68 (m, 2H), 7.83 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.79 – 7.75 (m, 3H), 7.69 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28 – 7.26 (m, 2H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 158.3, 147.1, 138.6, 134.4, 133.2, 133.1, 131.0, 129.7, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 127.6, 127.2, 126.6, 126.3, 125.7, 125.6, 124.2, 123.3, 122.6, 122.5, 121.0, 119.7, 114.6, 109.7. APCI Q-TOF MS: *m/z* [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₇H₁₇BrN₂O: 464.0524, found: 465.0624.

Synthesis of 10-(4-bromophenyl)-10H-phenoxazine (2). A mixture of 10H-phenoxazine (1.00 g, 5.46 mmol), 1-bromo-4-iodobenzene (3.09 g, 10.92 mmol), CuI (1.04 g, 5.46 mmol), and KO'Bu (1.53 g, 13.65 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (30 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere for 10 minutes followed by addition of (±)-trans-1,2-cyclohexanediamine (0.7 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred and heated at 110 °C for 24 hours. The reaction was then cool down to room temperature, and the toluene was evaporated under reduced pressure and then the residue dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (3 × 100 mL), brine solution, and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After the solvent was removed under pressure, the crude product was obtained and purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane as the eluent. The product was recrystallized from a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/MeOH to afford a white powder of compound **2** with 58% yield (1.07 g, 3.16 mmol). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.30 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.81 (m, 2H), 7.27 – 7.16 (m, 6H), 6.49 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 144.0, 134.4, 134.0, 132.8, 123.3, 122.4, 121.6, 115.6, 113.2. APCI Q-ToF MS: *m/z* [M]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₂BrNO: 337.0102, found: 337.9757.

Synthesis of 10-(4-bromophenyl)-9,9-dimethyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (3). A similar procedure to the preparation of compound **2** was employed but using 9,9-dimethly-9,10-dihydroacidine (1.00, 4.78 mmol) instead of 10*H*-phenoxazine. A white powder of compound **3** was obtained with 68% yield (1.18 g, 3.24 mmol). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 7.76 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.99 – 6.92 (m, 4H), 6.25 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.68 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 140.6, 140.4, 134.2, 133.2, 130.1, 126.4, 125.3, 122.1, 120.8, 113.9, 36.0, 31.2. APCI Q-ToF MS: m/z [M]+ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈BrN: 363.0623, found: 364.0187.

Synthesis of 4-(10H-Phenoxazine-10-yl)phenyl-dioxaborolane (4). A mixture of bromophenyl compound **2** (1.00 g, 2.96 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diboron (2.10 g, 8.30 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.10 g, 0.14 mmol) and KOAc (3.57 g, 36.38 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (30 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere for 10 minutes. The mixture was stirred and heated at 110 °C for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was then

cool down to room temperature, and the toluene was evaporated under reduced pressure and then the residue dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (3 × 100 mL), brine solution, and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After the solvent was removed under pressure, the crude product was obtained and purified by column chromatography on silica gel using CH₂Cl₂/hexane (v/v = 1/5) as the eluent. The borolane product was recrystallized from a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/MeOH to afford a white powder of compound **4** with 68% yield (0.78 g, 2.03 mmol). 1H NMR (600 MHz, acetone-d6, δ) 7.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.67 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.96 – 5.87 (m, 6H), 5.18 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 0.63 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, acetone-d6, δ) 143.8, 137.4, 134.2, 130.0, 123.5, 121.5, 115.3, 113.4, 84.0, 24.3. APCI Q-ToF MS: m/z [M]+ calcd for C₂₄H₂₄BNO₃: 385.1849, found: 386.1745.

Synthesis of 4-(9,9-Dimethyl-9,10-dihydroacridin-10(9H)-yl)phenyl-dioxaborolane (5). A similar procedure to the preparation of compound **4** was employed but using bromophenyl compound **3** (1.00, 2.75 mmol) instead of bromophenyl compound **2**. A white powder compound **5** was obtained with 72% yield (0.81 g, 1.97 mmol). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 8.07 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.95 – 6.89 (m, 4H), 6.25 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.69 (s, 6H), 1.40 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 144.0, 140.7, 137.3, 130.6, 130.0, 126.3, 125.2, 120.5, 114.1, 84.1, 36.0, 31.3, 24.9. APCI Q-ToF MS: m/z [M]+ calcd for C₂₇H₃₀BNO₂: 411.2370, found: 412.2154.



Synthesis of BnPITPA. A mixture of HPITPA (30 mg, 0.05 mmol), benzyl bromide (0.1 mL, 0.8 mmol), K₂CO₃ (14 mg, 0.1 mmol) and 18-crown-6 was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (5 mL) and degassed under nitrogen for 10 min at room temperature. Then, the mixture was heated at 60 °C and stirred continuously for 12 hours. The reaction was then cool down to room temperature, and the DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure and then the residue dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (3 × 20 mL) and brine solution and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After the solvent was removed under pressure, the crude product was obtained and purified by column chromatography on silica gel using CH₂Cl₂ /hexane (v/v = 1/1) as the eluent. The product was recrystallized from a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/hexane to afford a white powder of BnPITPA with 79% yield (0.027 g, 0.02 mmol). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm): 8.90 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.78 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.73 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.76 – 7.73 (m, 2H), 7.66 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.51 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.41 – 7.32 (m, 6H), 7.25 – 7.20 (m, 11H), 7.10 (m, 6H), 7.02 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (s, 2H); APCI Q-ToF MS: *m*/z [M]⁺ calcd for C₅₂H₃₇N₃O: 719.2937, found: 720.2615.





180 160 140 120 100 80 60 40 20 ppm







ppm



¹H NMR spectra of 5

JK-01-88 CDC13



¹H NMR spectra of **HPITPA**



¹H NMR spectra of **HPIPXZ**



¹H NMR spectra of **HPIMAC**







¹H NMR spectra of **BnPITPA**



2. Additional data

Compound	НРІТРА	HPIMAC			
CCDC Number	2059959	2059960			
Empirical formula	C ₄₅ H ₃₁ N ₃ O	C ₄₈ H ₃₅ N ₃ O			
Formula weight	629.73	669.79			
Temperature/K	100.0	100.0			
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic			
Space group	$P2_{l}/c$	C2/c			
a/Å	27.7570(17)	33.637(2)			
b/Å	5.7946(4)	8.9349(5)			
c/Å	20.8891(15)	25.7018(14)			
a/°	90	90			
β/°	104.659(4)	112.158(2)			
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	90	90			
Volume/Å ³	3250.5(4)	7154.0(7)			
Z	4	8			
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.287	1.244			
μ/mm ⁻¹	0.077	0.074			
F(000)	1320.0	2816.0			
Crystal size/mm ³	0.631 × 0.093 × 0.064	$0.654 \times 0.171 \times 0.063$			
Radiation	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)	MoK α (λ = 0.71073)			
2Θ range for data collection/°	4.388 to 50.736	4.742 to 50.698			
	$-33 \le h \le 33,$	$-40 \le h \le 40$,			
Index ranges	$-6 \le k \le 6,$	$-10 \le k \le 10$,			
	$-25 \le l \le 24$	$-30 \le l \le 30$			
Reflections collected	58001	49257			
Independent reflections	5923 [$R_{int} = 0.1051$, $R_{sigma} =$	$65\overline{38}$ [R _{int} = 0.1111, R _{sigma} =			
independent reflections	0.0448]	0.0556]			
Data/restraints/parameters	5923/0/446	6538/0/475			
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.190	1.094			
Final R indexes [I>= 2σ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0784, WR_2 = 0.1813$	$R_1 = 0.0749, wR_2 = 0.1768$			
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1003, WR_2 = 0.1895$	$R_1 = 0.0985, WR_2 = 0.1882$			

 Table S1 Crystallographic data table of HPITPA and HPIMAC.



Fig. S2 Molecular packing of HPITPA along [100], [010], and [001] planes.





Figure S3 Molecular packing of HPIMAC along [100], [010], and [001] planes.



Fig. S4 PL spectra of a) BnPITPA and HPITPA in toluene and b) HPIPXZ, HPIMAC, and HPITPA in solid-state powder.



Fig. S5 UV-Vis absorption and PL spectra of HPITPA and HPIMAC in various solvents.



Fig. S6 PL spectra of **HPIPXZ**, **HPIMAC**, and **HPITPA** doped thin films in CBP as host material with different doping wt% of emitting materials.



Fig. S7 Transient PL spectra of **HPIPXZ**, **HPIMAC**, and **HPITPA** doped thin films in CBP as host material with different doping wt% of emitting materials.



Fig. S8 Optimized geometries of HPITPA and HPIMAC in the S₀ state.

Table S2 The vibrational frequencies of the O1–H1 stretching vibrations involved in the PT process of enol form and the relative PT barriers and computed energy differences between the enol (E) and keto tautomer (K) forms ($\Delta E = E_{keto} - E_{enol}$) between the S0 and S1 states of **HPIPXZ**, **HPIMAC**, and **HPITPA** both in the S₀ and S₁ states computed at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level.

Compd	Wavenumber (cm ⁻¹)			PT barrier (kcal mol ⁻¹)	ΔE (kcal mol ⁻¹)		
	S ₀ state	S ₁ state	$\Delta \tilde{v}$	S ₀ state	S ₁ state	S ₀ state	S ₁ state	
HPITPA	3196	2663	533	10.58	1.48	0.47	-0.14	
HPIPXZ	3185	2999	186	10.03	4.99	0.44	0.18	
HPIMAC	3175	3005	170	10.09	4.91	0.46	0.04	

Table S3 The intramolecular hydrogen-bonded distances (R1 and R2), the important distances between heavy atoms (Å), and dihedral angle (°) of the compounds (enol form) in the gas phase computed at S0 and S1 optimized geometries computed at B3LYP/6-31G(d) and TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d) levels of **HPIPXZ**, **HPIMAC**, and **HPITPA**.

Compd	S ₀ state			S ₁ state						
	<i>R</i> 1	<i>R</i> 2	01…N1	N1C1C	<i>R</i> 1 (Å)	<i>R</i> 2	01…N1	N1C1C2	$\Delta R 1^a$	$\Delta R2^b$
	(Å)	(Å)	(Å)	2C3 (°)		(Å)	(Å)	C3 (°)		
HPITPA	0.997	1.696	2.591	12.9	1.026	1.588	2.530	14.3	0.029	0.108
HPIPXZ	0.997	1.696	2.592	13.4	1.007	1.652	2.571	15.6	0.010	0.044
HPIMAC	0.998	1.690	2.586	11.5	1.006	1.654	2.572	15.8	0.008	0.036

^{*a*} $\Delta R1 = |R1 \text{ of } S_1 \text{ state} - R1 \text{ of } S_0 \text{ state}|, \Delta R1 \text{ of all compounds are positive values.}$ ^{*b*} $\Delta R2 = |R2 \text{ of } S_1 \text{ state} - R2 \text{ of } S_0 \text{ state}|, \Delta R2 \text{ of all compounds are negative values.}$

Table S4 Simulated enol absorption, keto emission, oscillator strength (f), molecular orbitals (MOs) contribution, and Stokes shifts by TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of **HPIPXZ**, **HPIMAC**, and **HPITPA**.

Compd	Absorption				Emission			
		Enol	form]	Enol form	Keto form	(nm)	
	λ_{abs}	Ossillator	MOs Contribution	λ _{em}	Ossillator) (nm)		
	(nm)	strength (f)	WOS Contribution	(nm)	strength (f)	λ_{em} (IIIII)		
HPITPA	340	0 5653	$HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO$	376	0.5220	460	120	
	540	0.5055	(86%)					
HPIPXZ	347	0 5263	$\mathrm{HOMO-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{LUMO}$	394	0.4415	482	135	
	547	0.5205	(88%)	574	0.1115	402	155	
HPIMAC	347	0.5212	$HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO$	394	0.4343	491	44	
	J-1/	0.0212	(88%)					



Fig. S9 TGA and DSC traces of HPIPXZ, HPIMAC, and HPITPA measured at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under N_2 flow.



Fig. S10 CV plots of HPI derivatives and their related multiple scan traces measured in dry CH_2Cl_2 containing n-Bu₄NPF₆ as a supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ under Ar atmosphere.



Fig. S11 CV Photoemission yield spectroscopy in air (PYSA) spectra of HPIPXZ, HPIMAC, and HPITPA.



Fig. S12 EL spectra of HPIPXZ, HPIMAC, and HPITPA based doped OLEDs at different applied voltages.