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I. Experiment Section

**Materials:** Nickel foam and iron foam (1 mm, thickness) were purchased from Kunshan Jiayisheng Electronic Co., Ltd (Kunshan, China). VCl$_3$ and CoCl$_2$·6H$_2$O were got from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. KOH was purchased from Aladdin. Deionized (DI) water was used to prepare aqueous solutions and wash the samples.

**Synthesis of (Co,V)-FeOOH on Ni foam:** Prior to use, a piece of Ni foam (NF, with a length of 20 mm and a width of 5 mm) was cleaned by ultrasound in acetone, 1 M HCl, ethanol, and then DI water for 30 min, respectively. In a typical synthesis of (Co,V)-FeOOH/NF, 20 mL of NaCl (1 M), 18.2 mL of CoCl$_2$·6H$_2$O (5 mM) and 1.8 mL of VCl$_3$ (5 mM) were injected into an electrolyzer and was magnetically stirred for several minutes to form a homogenous solution. Then the pretreated NF and fresh iron foam (IF) were immerse in the above solution and connected to each other by conducting wire. After 8 hours of reaction, the sample of (Co,V)-FeOOH /NF was taken out and rinsed with DI water for several times. A series of reference samples, FeOOH, Co-doped FeOOH (Co-FeOOH), V-doped FeOOH (V-FeOOH) and Co/V co-doped FeOOH ((Co,V)-FeOOH) were synthesized by the similar procedure. The doping contents of foreign Co and V atoms in the host structure of FeOOH can be regulated by adjusting the molar ratio of Co/V ions in the homogenous solution, while maintaining the total amount of Co$^{2+}$ and V$^{3+}$ ions as 0.1 mmol.

**Structure characterization:** SEM images were obtained on an SU-80 (Hitachi) microscope at the accelerating voltage of 20 kV. TEM, HRTEM and the
corresponding elemental mappings were performed on Tecnai G2 F20 S-TWIN microscope. A Shimadzu XRD-6000 X-ray diffractometer furnished with Cu Kα radiation was used to collect the data of XRD. AFM image was obtained on a DI Innova Multimode SPM platform. XPS spectra were obtained on an Escalab 250Xi using Mg Kα radiation. XPS results were calibrated by setting binding energy of C 1s peak to 284.8 eV.

**Electrochemical measurements:** All the electrochemical measurements were conducted on a CHI 660E potentiostat with a standard three-electrode system. The as-prepared samples grown on NF (5×5 mm²) were used as working electrodes. The Hg/HgO electrode and graphite rod as reference electrode and counter electrode, respectively. All measured potentials were converted to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were obtained at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ with iR correction. All EIS measurements were performed at 0.6 V vs. Hg/HgO with the frequency from to 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz. The double-layer capacitance (C₀) values were derived from the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) at various scan rates.

**Computational methods**

The structure of pristine FeOOH was derived from the previous study, and the (010) facet of FeOOH is chosen because it is the most accessible and lowest energy facet for FeOOH[S1]. We have employed the first-principles to perform all Spin-polarization density functional theory (DFT) calculations within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)
formulation\cite{S2-S4}. We have chosen the projected augmented wave (PAW) potentials to describe the ionic cores and take valence electrons into account using a plane wave basis set with a kinetic energy cutoff of 400 eV\cite{S5,S6}. Partial occupancies of the Kohn–Sham orbitals were allowed using the Gaussian smearing method and a width of 0.05 eV. The electronic energy was considered self-consistent when the energy change was smaller than $10^{-6}$ eV. A geometry optimization was considered convergent when the energy change was smaller than 0.05 eV Å$^{-1}$. In addition, for the Fe, Ni and V atoms, the U schemes need to be applied, and the U has been set as 3.44, 3.21 and 2.92 eV. The $\Delta G$ for each step in our OER steps can be calculated by:

$$\Delta G_1 = G(\text{OH}^*) + G(\text{H}^+ + e^-) - G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - G(*)$$

$$= \{\Delta G_{\text{OH}^*} + G(*) + [G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - 1/2G(\text{H}_2)]\} + 1/2G(\text{H}_2) - G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - G(*)$$

$$= \Delta G_{\text{OH}^*}$$

$$\Delta G_2 = G(\text{O}^*) + G(\text{H}^+ + e^-) - G(\text{OH}^*)$$

$$= \{\Delta G_{\text{O}^*} + G(*) + [G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - G(\text{H}_2)]\} + 1/2G(\text{H}_2) - \{\Delta G_{\text{OH}^*} + G(*) + [G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - 1/2G(\text{H}_2)]\}$$

$$= \Delta G_{\text{O}^*} - \Delta G_{\text{OH}^*}$$

$$\Delta G_3 = G(\text{OOH}^*) + G(\text{H}^+ + e^-) - G(\text{O}^*) - G(\text{H}_2\text{O})$$

$$= \{\Delta G_{\text{OOH}^*} + G(*) + [2G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - 3/2G(\text{H}_2)]\} + 1/2G(\text{H}_2) - \{\Delta G_{\text{O}^*} + G(*) + [G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - 1G(\text{H}_2)]\} - G(\text{H}_2\text{O})$$

$$= \Delta G_{\text{OOH}^*} - \Delta G_{\text{O}^*}$$

$$\Delta G_4 = G(\text{O}_2) + G(\text{H}^+ + e^-) - G(\text{OOH}^*)$$

$$= \{4.92 + 2G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - 2G(\text{H}_2)\} + 1/2G(\text{H}_2) - \{\Delta G_{\text{OOH}^*} + G(*) + [2G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - 3/2G(\text{H}_2)]\}$$

$$= 4.92 - \Delta G_{\text{OOH}^*}$$
Then the free energies can be obtained by including the zero point energy (ZPE) and the entropy (S) corrections in equation $G = E_{ad} + ZPE - TS$, The ZPE could be obtained from the calculation of vibrational frequencies for the adsorbed species.
II. LSV of samples with various reaction time and molar ratios of Co/V

Figure S1. (a) Polarization curves for OER of samples with various reaction times in 1 M KOH with a scan rate of 10 mV s\(^{-1}\). All samples were prepared with a Co/V ratio of 10:1 in the precursor solution. (b) Polarization curves for OER of samples with various molar ratios of Co/V in the precursor solution with a scan rate of 10 mV s\(^{-1}\). In the xCoyV expression, the x and y represent the molar ratios of Co/V in the precursor solution. All samples were prepared with a reaction time of 8 hours.
III. XRD patterns of FeOOH and Co and/or V doped FeOOH

Figure S2. XRD patterns of the as-prepared FeOOH, Co-FeOOH, V-FeOOH and (Co,V)-FeOOH catalysts.

IV. The typical SEM images of FeOOH

Figure S3. SEM images of the as-prepared FeOOH catalyst with low (a) and high (b) magnifications.
V. The typical SEM images of Co-FeOOH and V-FeOOH

![Figure S4. The typical SEM image of Co-FeOOH (a) and V-FeOOH (b).](image)

VI. The typical SEM images of nickel foam (NF)

![Figure S5. SEM images of the pristine NF with low (a) and high (b) magnifications.](image)

VII. AFM and line-scan profiles of (Co,V)-FeOOH

![Figure S6. (a) AFM image and (b) line-scan profiles of (Co,V)-FeOOH.](image)
VIII. HRTEM, HAADF-STEM and EDX image of the FeOOH catalyst

Figure S7. (a) HRTEM image of the as-prepared FeOOH catalyst. (b) HAADF-STEM image of FeOOH, the corresponding EDX elemental mappings of Fe and O.
IX. The survey XPS spectra of FeOOH and (Co,V)-FeOOH

Figure S8. The survey XPS spectra of FeOOH and (Co,V)-FeOOH.

X. Raman spectra of FeOOH and (Co,V)-FeOOH

Figure S9 Raman spectra of FeOOH and (Co,V)-FeOOH.
XI. Current density of various samples at the overpotential of 322 mV

![Current density graph](image)

**Figure S10** Current density of (Co,V)-FeOOH, Ni foam, FeOOH, Co-FeOOH and V-FeOOH at the overpotential of 322 mV.
XII. Cyclic voltammograms and $C_{dl}$ for various samples

Figure S11. Cyclic voltammograms at different scan rates of 1, 2, 5, 10 and 20 mV s$^{-1}$ for (a) Ni foam, (b) FeOOH, (c) Co-FeOOH, (d) V-FeOOH, and (e) (Co,V)-FeOOH.
XIII. Density of states (DOS) of FeOOH, Co-FeOOH and V-FeOOH

Figure S12. Density of states (DOS) of (a) FeOOH, (b) Co-FeOOH, and (c) V-FeOOH model, respectively.

XIV. Structure representations of FeOOH, Co-FeOOH and V-FeOOH

Figure S13. Structure representations of (a) FeOOH, (b) Co-FeOOH, and (c) V-FeOOH. O atoms are presented in red, V in blue, Co in purple, Fe in gray, and H in white.
XV. Structures of intermediates adsorbed on Fe site in FeOOH

![Diagram of FeOOH model with adsorbed intermediates]

**Figure S14.** Structures of an OH*, O*, and OOH* intermediates adsorbed on the Fe site of the FeOOH model.

XVI. Structures of intermediates adsorbed on Fe and Co site in Co-FeOOH

![Diagram of Co-FeOOH model with adsorbed intermediates]

**Figure S15.** Structures of an OH*, O*, and OOH* intermediates adsorbed on the (a) Co and (b) Fe site of the Co-FeOOH model.
XVII. Structures of intermediates adsorbed on Fe and V site in V-FeOOH

Figure S16. Structures of an OH*, O*, and OOH* intermediates adsorbed on the (a) V and (b) Fe site of the V-FeOOH model.
XVIII. Structures of intermediates adsorbed on Fe, Co and V site in (Co,V)-FeOOH

**Figure S17.** Structures of the OH*, O*, and OOH* intermediates adsorbed on the (a) Co, (b) V and (c) Fe site of the (Co,V)-FeOOH model.
XIX. Gibbs free energies of various metals in FeOOH and Co-FeOOH

![Diagram showing the free energies of Fe sites on the FeOOH model, Co and Fe sites on the Co-FeOOH model calculated at U=0 and 1.23 V, respectively.]

**Figure S18.** The free energies of Fe sites on the FeOOH model, Co and Fe sites on the Co-FeOOH model calculated at U=0 and 1.23 V, respectively.
XX. Gibbs free energies of various metals in FeOOH and V-FeOOH

![Figure S19. The free energies of Fe sites on the FeOOH model, V and Fe sites on the V-FeOOH model calculated at U=0 and 1.23 V, respectively.](image-url)
XXI. Compare the OER activity of (Co,V)-FeOOH with other OER catalysts

**Table S1.** Comparison of the OER activities of (Co,V)-FeOOH with other non-noble metal based OER catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Overpotentials at the corresponding ( j )</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeB₂</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 296 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSe₂</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 245 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOOH</td>
<td>1 M NaOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 470 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSₓ</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 400 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOOH(Se)/IF</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 287 \text{ mV} ) ( \eta_{500} = 348 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-(Ni,Fe)OOH</td>
<td>1 M KOH + seawater</td>
<td>( \eta_{500} = 398 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni₃Fe₀.₅V₀.₅</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_{100} = 264 \text{ mV} ) ( \eta_{500} = 291 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG-NiFe</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 310 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni–Fe–Se cages</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 240 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₅V₀.₅</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 390 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo₅₁Ni₄₀Fe₉</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 257 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-FeOOH:Ni/a-Ni(OH)₂</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 430 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td>S18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Co,V)-FeOOH</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>( \eta_10 = 230 \text{ mV} ) ( \eta_{500} = 322 \text{ mV} )</td>
<td><strong>This work</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
XXII. References:


[S8] Chakadola Panda, Prashanth W. Menezes, Carsten Walter, Shenglai, Yao Matthias E. Miehlich, Vitaly Gutkin, Karsten Meyer, Matthias Driess, From a


[S14] Jiong Wang, Liyong Gan, Wenyu Zhang, Yuecheng Peng, Hong Yu, Qingyu Yan, Xinghua Xia, Xin Wang, In situ formation of molecular Ni-Fe active sites on heteroatom-doped graphene as a heterogeneous electrocatalyst toward oxygen


[S17] Xiaoling Luo, Qi Shao, Yecan Pi, Xiaoqing Huang, Trimetallic molybdate nanobelts as active and stable electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution reaction. ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 1013–1018.