Supplementary Information

for

Confining lead-free perovskite quantum dots in metal-organic

frameworks for visible light-driven proton reduction

Yao-Yao Wang, Xue-Yang Ji, Meng Yu* and Jun Tao*

Key Laboratory of Cluster Science of Ministry of Education, School of Chemistry and

Chemical Engineering, Liangxiang Campus, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 102488,

P. R. China

E-mail: taojun@bit.edu.cn; mengyu@bit.edu.cn.

Table of Contents

Table S1. ICP-MS analyses of catalysts S2
Table S2. Summary of some MOF-based photocatalysts S2
Scheme S1. Illustration of the synthetic processes of CBI@MOFs······S3
Figure S1. The PXRD pattern of CP@U6N and Pt@U6NS3
Figure S2. SEM images of CBI······S3
Figure S3. SEM images of pure MOFsS4
Figure S4. SEM images of CBI@UiO-66 and CBI@UiO-67S4
Figure S5. HETEM image of CP@U6N and Pt particle size distributionS4
Figure S6. TEM image of Pt@U6N·····S5
Figure S7. XPS survey spectra of CBI, U6N and CBI@U6NS5
Figure S8. N1s XPS spectra of CBI@U6N·····S6
Figure S9. High-resolution XPS spectra of U6N······S6
Figure S10. High-resolution XPS spectra of CBI······S7
Figure S11. Photoluminescent emission spectraS7
Figure S12. Comparison of the hydrogen-evolution performance all samplesS7
Figure S13. XPS spectra of CBI@U6N after 5h reaction S8
Figure S14. Optical photographs of reaction solutionsS8
Figure S15. The proposed proton-reduction mechanism
References ······S9

Table S1. ICP-MS analyses of catalysts

Sample	Pt wt%	H_2 evolution rate (µmol g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)			
U6N	0	0			
Pt@U6N	0.98	87.24			
CP@U6N	0.78	141.87			

Table S2. Summary of some MOF-based photocatalysts

~ 1	~		~				
Sample	Co-	Lıght	Sacrificial	Photosensitizer	H_2 evolution rate	TON	Reference
	catalyst	source	reagent		$(\mu mol g^{-1} h^{-1})$		
CP@U6N	Pt	Visible	HPO ₂	None	141.87	17.74	This paper
Zr-MOF	Pt	Visible	TEOA	None	257.38	8.75	1
Zr-MOF	Pt	Visible	TEOA	None	151.48	8.69	2
Zr-MOF	Pt	Visible	TEOA	Rh B	116.1	10.6	3
Zr-MOF	Pt	Visible	benzylamine	None	73.9	3.13	4
Zr-MOF	Pt	Visible	TEOA	None	332	14.08	4
Cr-MOF	Pt	Visible	TEOA	Rh B	580	7.5	5
Zr-MOF	Pd	Visible	TEOA	None	154.2	12.29	6
In-MOF	Pt	Visible	TEOA	None	341	4.5	7

The turnover number was calculated by the equation of $TON = n(H_2)/n(Pt)$ based on the content of Pt.



Scheme S1. Illustration of the synthetic processes of CBI@MOFs.



Figure S1. The PXRD pattern of CP@U6N and Pt@U6N.



Figure S2. The SEM images of CBI in low resolution (a) and high resolution (b).



Figure S3. SEM images of U6N (a), UiO-66 (b) and UiO-67 (c).



Figure S4. SEM images of CBI@UiO-66 (a) and CBI@UiO-67 (b).



Figure S5. HRTEM image of CP@U6N (a) and Pt particle size distribution of CP@U6N (b).



Figure S6. TEM image of Pt@U6N.



Figure S7. XPS survey spectra of U6N, CBI and CBI@U6N.



Figure S8. High-resolution N1s XPS spectra of CBI@U6N.



Figure S9. High-resolution C1s (a), N1s (b), O1s (c), and Zr3d (d) of U6N.



Figure S10. High-resolution Cs3d (a), I3d (b) and Bi4f (c) XPS spectra of CBI.



Figure S11. Photoluminescent emission spectra of U6N, CBI@U6N, Pt@U6N and CP@U6N.



Figure S12. The hydrogen evolution curves (a) and rates (b) of pure MOFs, CBI and CBI@MOFs under visible light. Reaction conditions: 4 mL TEOA, 20 mg of catalyst, 36 mL acetonitrile and 1 mL H₂O, 300W Xe lamp without filter.



Figure S13. XPS survey spectra (a) and high-resolution C1s (b), N1s (c), O1s (d), and Zr3d (e), I3d (f) and Bi4f (g Cs3d (h) spectra of CBI@U6N after 5h reaction.



Figure S14. Optical photograph of the reaction solution of CBI@U6N (left) and photocatalytic reaction after 5h without any H_3PO_2 (right).



Figure S15. The proposed electron transfer and proton reduction mechanism in CBI@U6N and CP@U6N.

References

- J. D. Xiao, Q. Shang, Y. Xiong, Q. Zhang, Y. Luo, S. H. Yu and H. L. Jiang, Boosting Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production of a Metal–Organic Framework Decorated with Platinum Nanoparticles: The Platinum Location Matters, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 9389.
- Y.-Y. Wang, Z. Tang, X.-Y. Ji, S. Wang, Z.-S. Yao and J. Tao, Encapsulating low-coordinated Pt clusters within a metal–organic framework induces spatial charge separation boosting photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *Catal. Sci. Tech.*, 2020, 10, 5048.
- J. He, Jiaqiang Wang, Yongjuan Chen, Jinping Zhang, Deliang Duan, Y. Wang and Z. Yan, A dye-sensitized Pt@UiO-66(Zr) metal–organic framework for visible-light photocatalytic hydrogen production, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 7063.
- H. Liu, C. Xu, D. Li and H. L. Jiang, Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production Coupled with Selective Benzylamine Oxidation over MOF Composites, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 5379.
- M. Wen, K. Mori, T. Kamegawa and H. Yamashita, Amine-functionalized MIL-101(Cr) with imbedded platinum nanoparticles as a durable photocatalyst for hydrogen production from water, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 11645.
- X. Ma, L. Wang, Q. Zhang and H. L. Jiang, Switching on the Photocatalysis of Metal–Organic Frameworks by Engineering Structural Defects, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 12175.
- F. Leng, H. Liu, M. Ding, Q.-P. Lin and H.-L. Jiang, Boosting Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production of Porphyrinic MOFs: The Metal Location in Metalloporphyrin Matters, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, 8, 4583.