Supplementary Information

Electrochemical Sensing of Blood Proteins for Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (mTBI) Diagnostics and Prognostics: Towards a Point-of-Care Application

Nadezda Pankratova*, Milica Jović* and Marc E. Pfeifer*

University of Applied Sciences and Arts Western Switzerland (HES-SO Valais-Wallis), Institute of Life Technologies, Diagnostic Systems Research Group, Route du Rawil 64, 1950 Sion, Switzerland

*nadezda.pankratova@hevs.ch, *milica.jovic@hevs.ch, *marc.pfeifer@hevs.ch

SI-1: Full list of published electrochemical strategies for the detection of blood protein biomarkers relevant to mTBI.

Publications related uniquely to a specific application other than blood analysis (e.g. measurements in saliva, sweat, urine, muscle-on-tissue designs etc.) or aimed specifically at electronics development have been omitted, with very few exceptions (detection of VCAM-1 in diluted urine¹, sequentially multiplexed amperometry for IL-6 detection², detection of CRP in synthetic urine using molybdenum-based electrode³). The search has been limited to scientific publications in peer-reviewed journals with one exception: a patent by Kumta et al.⁴ has been included due to a very small amount of publications related to EC detection of UCH-L1. Research publications having accomplished multianalyte detection (a few biomarkers measured simultaneously or sequentially using the same sensing strategy) are denoted as 'MuxT' in *Column 5 ('Label/Detection solution'*), label-free approaches are marked as 'Label-free' in the same column. To note, 'Label-free' in indicates the assays that include no additional incubation step(s) with the label/labelled antibody after the final incubation with the target analyte (T). That is, either no redox label is required or the redox-label has been already incorporated into the design of the sensor. NOTE: Information about biotin/streptavidin labelling as well as blocking steps (in vast majority of cases using bovine serum albumine) is omitted in *Column 4 ('Surface modification/Bioreceptor functionalization/Assay format'*).

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
BDNF	СРА	Carbon SPE (DPI microfluidics, gap	AuNPs/pTTBA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ /(EDC	ТВО	T / 20 min, 35 °C	Buffer	0.015 ng mL ⁻¹	0.004–0.6 ng mL ⁻¹
Brain-	2018 ⁵	19 µm)	+NHS)/TBO-pTTBPA/AuNPs/carbon SPE#2			HS	<0.1 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	
derived			Cvstamine/GA/Ab/T	Label-free	T / 30 min. 37 °C	Buffer	0.2 ng mL ⁻¹	0.1–2 ng mL ⁻¹
neurotrophic	DPV	Au np-wrinkled film		[Fe(CN) _c] ^{3-/4-}	, ,	HP	<0.5 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	
factor	20186	(electroless deposition)		1 (/6]			(1)	

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
	DPV 2018 ⁷	Graphene SPE	AuNPs/L-Cysteine/(EDC+NHS)/Ab ₁ /T/ Ab ₂ /(EDC+NHS)/AQ	AQ	2.5 μL T / 40 min 2.5 μL AQ-Ab ₂ / 40 min	Buffer HS	1.5 ng mL -1 <20.7 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01-150 µg mL ⁻¹
	SWV 2019 ⁸	GCE	PDANS/Ab ₁ /T/BSA-Ab ₂ -Cu ₃ (PO4) ₂ -NPs (nanoflowers)	$BSA-Ab_2-Cu_3(PO4)_2-NPs$, Na_2MoO_4 ; SWV in 0.5 M H $_2SO_4$	6 µL T / 1 h, 37 °C 6 µL Na₂MoO₄ / 1 h, 37 °C	Buffer HS	1.26 pg mL⁻¹ <0.3 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	5 pg mL ⁻¹ -1 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	EIS μPAD 2019 ⁹	Carbon SPE	CS/GA/CDP-choline/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	30 μL T / 10 min	Buffer HP	0.001 mg L ⁻¹ <0.1 mg L ⁻¹ (1) (est. Fig. 5 ⁹)	0.005-500 mg L ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
	EIS 2018 ¹⁰	Au IDEs microfab. (microfluidic ID-zigzag biochip)	(4-ATP+cysteamine)/GA/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} /MuxT	T / 5 min (flow rate 25 μL min ⁻¹)	Buffer	<5.9 pM (1)	5.9 pM-58.9 nM <i>(vs IgC_T)</i>
	SWV EIS 2019 ¹¹	Au (highly ordered wire arrays, microfab.)	MPA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3./4.}	20 μL T / 30 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:100	2.25 fg mL ⁻¹ (SWV) 3 fg mL ⁻¹ (EIS) 4.5 fg mL ⁻¹ (SWV)	5-220 fg mL ⁻¹ (SWV) 7-215 fg mL ⁻¹ (EIS) 12-166 fg mL ⁻¹ (SWV)
CRP C-reactive protein	Capacitive (impedance derived) 2019 ¹²	Au (microfabrication)	11-FcC/GRO/CBMA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free	n/a	Buffer (TBACIO₄ in CAN and H₂O) HS	18.3 pM 99 pM	50-50'000 pM (vs lgC _τ) 5'000-500'000 pM (vs lgC _τ)
(2018-2020)	EIS 2018 ¹³	Au DE	Fc-Peptide/(EDC+NHS)/Apt/T	Label-free	T / 30 min	Buffer (TBACIO₄ in ACN and H₂O, 1:4 v/v)	7.2 pM	10-5000 рМ (vs lgC _т)
	DPV 2019 ¹⁴	СРЕ	CPE-IL/ZnO-MPC*/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T *MPC obtained via MOF	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 60 min, 37 °C	Buffer HS dil. 1:500	5 pg mL⁻¹ <10 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01-1000 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	DPV 2019 ¹⁵	СРЕ	Zr-tdc-IL (MOF)/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 60 min, 37 °C	Buffer	0.2 ng mL ⁻¹	Two linear ranges : (I) 0.5-50 ng mL ⁻¹ (II) 50-600 ng mL ⁻¹
	Conducto- Metry 2018 ¹⁶	CuPT-PPy nanowire mesh	NIPAAm-AM/Apt/CRP Polymer	Label-free	T / 15 min, PBS/ 4 min Detection at 95% relative humidity, RT	Buffer HS dil.	9.03 × 10 ⁻¹⁷ g mL ⁻¹ (7.85·10 ⁻¹⁹ M) <700 ng mL ⁻¹ (1) (est. Fig. 6 ¹⁶)	Non-linear (vs lgC ₇) signal increase up to ca. 10 ⁻⁸ M
	EIS/DPV 2018 ¹⁷	GCE	PEI-Fc/Ab/T	Label-free EIS redox probe: [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} DPV repox probe: Fc (incorporated)	20 μL T / 2 h, 4 °C	Buffer Rat plasma dil.1:1000	2.5 ng mL ⁻¹ (EIS) 0.5 ng mL ⁻¹ (DPV) <400 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	1-50 000 ng mL ⁻¹

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
	FED (DG- ISFET) 2018 ¹⁸	Sensing area:high-K HfO ₂	Sensing area: (a) H ₂ O ₂ (-OH)/APTES/GA/Ab ₁ /T/ GOx-Ab ₂ [Real-time H-ELISA] (b)'Extended gate': Off-chip enzymatic reaction in a 96-well ELISA plate. [End-point H-ELISA]	GOx Glucose+FeSO₄ (+H₂O₂) MuxT	T / 2 h; Ab ₂ / 1 h avidin-GOx / 30 min 10 min proton detection Standard ELISA, Glucose+FeSO ₄ / 15 min, 37 °C HS: 1 μL used for dilution, theoretically needed: 10 nL	Buffer HS dil. 1:10000	$\begin{array}{l} 25 \text{ pg mL}^{-1} \left(\text{real-} \\ \text{time H-ELISA, (a)} \right) \\ 12.5 \text{ pg mL}^{-1} \\ (\text{endpoint H-} \\ \text{ELISA, (b)} \\ < 0.1 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \left(1 \right) \\ (\text{est. Fig. 5c}^{-18} \right) \end{array}$	0-200 pg mL ⁻¹ (non-linear range)
	SWV 2018 ¹⁹	Au DE	(TCEP)/HS-Apt	Label-free MeB	Complete assay: 40 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	1 pM n/a	1-100 pM n/a
	SWV 2018 ²⁰	Au DE	NH2-Ni-MOF(c)/AuNSs/Ab/T/Apt/(ssDNA1/ MeB-DNA2 duplex)	MeB Exo III enzyme	ssDNA1 / 2h *to open MeB- hpDNA and form the duplex T / 1h Exo III / 2h, 37°C	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	0.029 pg mL ⁻¹ <0.01 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.1 pg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	EIS μPAD (origami PAD) 2019 ²¹	Carbon-graphene SPE	AuNPs/L-cysteine/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3,/4-}	5 μL T / 50 min, 4 °C	Buffer HS, different dilutions	15 ng mL^{-1} <5 µg mL $^{-1}(1)$ (dilution 1:4)	0.05-100 μg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
CRP C-reactive	DPV μPAD 2019 ²²	Carbon SPE	AuNPs/PMPC-SH/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3,/4-} Ca ²⁺	100 μL Ca ²⁺ / 10 min 100 μL T / 1 h 100 μL [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} / 10 min Complete assay: 1.5 h	Buffer (pH 6) HS dil.	1.55 ng mL ⁻¹ <0.1 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	5-5000 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC₇</i>)
(2018-2020)	CPA 2018 ²³	Carbon SPE	AuNPs/L-cysteine/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	30 μL T / 30 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	17 ng mL⁻¹ <0.932 mg L ⁻¹ (1)	0.047-23.6 μg mL ⁻¹
Continuation =>	EIS 2018 ³	Мо	(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free	30 µL T / 5 min	Synthetic urine	100 pg mL ⁻¹	0.1-1000 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₁ , non-linear part incl.)
	Capacitive (impedance derived) 2020 ²⁴	Graphene nanoplate SPE	PANI-PA/Ab/T	Label-free; Reagentless	T / 10 min	Buffer FBS, dil. 1:100	0.5 μg mL ⁻¹ Tested in 2 μg mL ⁻¹	145
	EIS (SFI) 2019 ²⁵	ZnO-CuO composite nano-surface	Ab/T	Label-free	40 μL T / 10 min	Buffer	<1 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	n/a, ca. from < 1 ng mL ⁻¹ to 10 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs <i>lgC_T</i>), (est. Fig. 7 ²⁵)
-	EIS (SFI) 2019 ²⁶	Au IDEs microfab. (wave-shaped microel. array)	DTSP/Ab/T	Label-free $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3./4}$	T / 10 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:100	0.025 ng mL ⁻¹ 0.23 ng mL ⁻¹	0.01-10000 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇) 0.01-10000 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	EIS (SFI) 2019 ²⁷	Au DE	MHDA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) _e] ^{3-/4} -/MuxT	100 μL (T+[Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-})	Buffer* *Rabbit blood, dil. 1:10 only BNP-target	3 µg mL ⁻¹	Up to 10 μg mL ⁻¹ shown (vs lgC ₇)
	EIS/CV 2020 ²⁸	Carbon film	MWCNTs (multiple-bent)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 1 h, 4 °C	Buffer	40 pM (EIS) (~4.5 μg mL ⁻¹) similar (CV)	10-1000 ng mL ⁻¹ (EIS) 10-1000 ng mL ⁻¹ (CV)

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
	EIS 2020 ²⁹	Au DE	MUA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 30 min	Buffer	3.7 pg mL ⁻¹ (32 fM)	200-5000 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
	Dielectric voltammetry 2019 ³⁰	Silica, dielectric-gapped nanosurface	APTES/GA/Ab/[T-(EDC+NHS)-MHDA-GNRs]	Label-free	GNRs with MHDA/ 5 min (EDC+NHS) / 10 min; T / 15 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:1000	10 fM 10 fM	10 fM-1 nM <i>(vs lgC_r)</i>
	FED (FET) 2019 ³¹	Microfluidic chip Drive unit: Si/GaN/AlGaN Sensing area (separated gate): Si/GaN/Au	Sensing area: Thiolated Apt/T	Label-free MuxT	4 μL T / 5 min	Purified T (4% BSA) HS/HP	0.14 μg mL ⁻¹ <3 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.1-50 µg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	EIS 2020 ³²	Au DE	CTA/pHEMA/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 2 h ; T / 15 min*: * dil. FBS, higher T concentrations	Buffer FBS undil. or dil. 1:10, 1:20	7.02 pg mL ⁻¹ (62 fM) <0.2 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.2-31.5 µg mL ⁻¹
	CPA 2019 ³³	Carbon SPE (dual probe)	MBs/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -HRP	HRP, H ₂ O ₂ MuxT	50 μL T / 5 min 50 μL Ab₂/ 5 min	Buffer HP dil.	8 ng mL ⁻¹ <1.7 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01-5 μg mL ⁻¹ (working range, sigmoid vs lgC _T)
CRP	RPS (3) 2019 ³⁴	Nanocarriers: SPBs	Peptide-Apt/Non-binding DNA/T	Label-free	MBs with Apt (or DNA) / 30 min; T / 1 h	Buffer	n/a	Low μM range: ca. 0.5-2.5 μM (estimated from Fig. 4 ³⁴)
protein	FED (FET) 2019 ³⁵	Si/SiO ₂ /CeO ₂	Ab/T	Label-free	20 μL T / 30 min	Buffer HS	0.1 μg mL⁻¹ <1 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.1-2.5 μg mL ⁻¹ (working range, not linear)
Continuation =>	PEC 2019 ³⁶	GCE	PNS-777 MOF/AuNPs/Capture strand/ HT/Primer/Padlock probe+dNTPs/T4 ligase+Th-T/phi29 polymerase	Zr-based MOF (PNS-777) as photoactive material Th-T H ₂ O ₂	T: MBs+(EDC+NHS) / 1 h; MBs with amino Apt / 1 h; Primer / 2 h, 37 °C; 50 μL T / 30 min, 25 °C;	Buffer HS dil. 1:50	16 fM <100 fM (1)	50 fM–50 nM (vs lgC _T)
	PEC (CBP) 2019 ³⁷	ΙΤΟ	NiS/pCOFs/AgNPs/Apt/T	Label-free pCOFs (as photoactive material) H ₂ O ₂	10 μL Apt / 30 min, 37 °C	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	0.1 ng mL ⁻¹ <20 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.5-100 ng mL ⁻¹ (3.5 pM-710 pM)
	CPA 2020 ³⁸	Carbon SPE (8 multiplexed units)	MBs/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -HRP	HRP, H ₂ O ₂ , HQ	MBs with Ab ₁ / 15 min T / 5 min Complete assay (after Ab ₁ immobilization): 15 min	Buffer Whole blood dil. 1:10 HP dil. 1:10	1.5 ng mL ⁻¹ <1 μg mL ⁻¹ (1) ~2 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.005-1 μg mL ^{·1} (vs lgC _τ , non-linear)
	EIS non-farad. 2020 ³⁹	nano-ZnO and ZnO/CuO nitrocellulose membrane	Ab/T	Label-free	40 μL T / 10 min	Buffer	2.5 ng mL ⁻¹ (nano- ZnO) 16 ng mL ⁻¹ (nano- ZnO)	0.1-15 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T , non-linear)
	CPA 2020 ⁴⁰	Carbon SPE (microfluidic)	rGRO/Ni/PtNPs micromotors/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ - HRP	HRP, H ₂ O ₂ , HQ	10 μL T / 5 min	Buffer HP HS	0.8 μg mL ⁻¹ <3 μg mL ⁻¹ (1) <41 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	2–100 μ g mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
	SWV 2020 ⁴¹	Carbon SPE	Aryldiazonium/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 1h	Buffer	~0.1 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01-10 ng mL ⁻¹

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
CRP C-reactive protein	EIS 2020 ⁴²	Au	Fc-Peptide/(EDC+NHS)/Ab	Label-free	Redox-tagged peptide / 16h; (EDC+NHS) / 30 min; Ab / 1h; T / 30 min	Buffer	240 pM Peptide 2 300 pM Peptide 3	0.5-10 nM (<i>non-linear</i>)
(2018-2020) Continuation =>	CPA 2020 ⁴³	GCE	Chitosan/AuNPs/IL-MoS ₂ /T/Ab-Ir NPs- GRO-DN	Ab-Ir NPs-GRO-DN H ₂ O ₂	10 μL Ab / overnight T / 60 min at 37 °C	Buffer HS dil. 1:1000	3.3 pg mL ⁻¹ <5 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01–100 ng mL ⁻¹
GFAP Glial fibrillary	EIS 2013 ⁴⁴	Au MDEA (a) Au MECS (b) (microfabrication)	DTSP/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	15 μL T / 30 min (a) 60 μL T / 30 min (b)	Buffer	1 pg mL ⁻¹	1 pg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹
	FED (OFET) 2014 ⁴⁵	Si/SiO ₂ /(<i>w/wo</i> Pentacene or 8-3 NTCDI)/CYTOP/C44H90/ NHS-PS-block PAA	(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free	T / 30 min	Buffer	1 ng mL ⁻¹	0.8-400 ng mL $^{-1}$ (vs lgc $_{ au}$ strictly not linear)
	DPV 2017 ⁴⁶	Carbon SPE	MIP-MWCNTs: (MWCNTs+AIBN+ DMAA+AEDP+EGDMA[GFAP])/ agarose film/(SDS+HCI)/EDTA	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	50 μL T / T accumulation (prior to DPV): 2 min at constant E	Buffer HS	0.04 μg mL ⁻¹ <0.9 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.2-10 μg mL ⁻¹
acidic protein	FED (OFET) 2017 ⁴⁷	Drive:Si/SiO₂/Pentacene/Au Sensing: Si	Sensing: (PS-MA+PEG)/Ab/T	Label-free	Drain current almost constant after 30 min	Buffer	1 ng mL ⁻¹	0.5-100 ng mL ⁻¹
	EIS 2018 ⁴⁸	Graphene SPE	NaOH(-OH)/PEI/GA/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	50 μL T / 30 min	Buffer HS	1 pg mL ⁻¹ 1 pg mL ⁻¹	1 pg mL ⁻¹ –100 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
	EIS (SFI) 2019 ⁴⁹	Au DE	MHDA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} MuxT	Z-t measurement: 3 s	Buffer 5-25 and 90% rat blood and plasma	2-5 pg mL ⁻¹ Recov: 14-67 pg mL ⁻¹ in 90% blood	0.1-2800 pg mL $^{\cdot 1}$
GM-CSF Granulocyte-	CPA 1999 ⁵⁰	Carbon SPE	EDC/Ab/(free + ALP-labelled T) (competitive assay)	ALP PAPP	T / 30 min Complete assay: 35 min	Buffer	0.1 μg mL ⁻¹	1.1-30 µg mL ⁻¹
macrophage colony- stimulating factor	RPS (3) 2005 ⁵¹	Pairs of microfabricated Pt electrodes (3)	Probe: Latex colloid/ Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂	Label-free MuxT	(T+Ab ₂) / 20 min	Buffer	<84 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	n/a
h-FABP Heart-fatty acidic - binding protein	CPA 1996 ⁵²	Pt (Clark type oxygen probe)	Immunosandwich on nitrocellulose: CDI/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -GOx	GOx Glucose Measurement at pH 5.5	100 μL T / 10 min, 37°C 100 μL Ab ₂ -GOx / 10 min, 37°C	Buffer HP dil. 1:10	5 ng mL ^{.1} n/a	5-80 ng mL ⁻¹ Clinical range
	CPA 1997 ⁵³	Graphite SPE	Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -ALP	ALP PAPP (pH 9.6)	Complete assay: 20 min	HP	10 ng mL ⁻¹ (Fig. 5-7 ⁵³)	10-350 ng mL ⁻¹ (not linear in the whole range)

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _r), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _r)
	CPA 2002 ⁵⁴	Carbon SPE	Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -ALP	ALP PAPP	150 μL (T+ Ab ₂ -ALP)/ 45 min, 37°C Complete assay: 50 min	Buffer Whole blood Blood dil. 1:10	1 ng mL ⁻¹ 4 ng mL ⁻¹ 1 ng mL ⁻¹	4-250 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC₇</i>) 10-250 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC₇</i>) 4-250 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC₇</i>)
	SWV 2012 ⁵⁵	GCE	GRONRs/(EDC+NHS)/Ab1/T/Ab2/GA/TiP- Zn ²⁺ -probe	TiP-Zn ²⁺ -probe MuxT	20 μL T / 60 min; 20 μL Ab ₂ - TiP-Zn ²⁺ probe / 60 min	Buffer HS	3 fg mL⁻¹ <1.7 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.05 pg mL ⁻¹ -50 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
h-FABP Heart-fatty acidic binding protein	EIS 2012 ⁵⁶	Au (microfabrication)	MUA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T [<i>mSAM]</i> (MUA+MCOH)/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T [<i>hSAM</i>]	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3./4.}	T / 30 min, 37°C	Buffer HS	117 pg mL ⁻¹ [mSAM] 524 pg mL ⁻¹ [hSAM] Similar	98 pg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs IgC ₇) Similar, with decreased sensitivity
Continuation =>	Capacitive 2015 ⁵⁷	Au IDEs (microfabrication)	MUA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T <i>[mSAM]</i> (MUA+MPOH)/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T <i>[hSAM]</i>	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3./4-}	Microfluidic platform: 50 µL T / 30 min	Buffer	0.836 ng mL ⁻¹ [<i>mSAM</i>] 0.968 ng mL ⁻ ¹ [<i>hSAM</i>]	98 pg mL ⁻¹ —100 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs <i>IgC₇)</i>
	ASV (DPASV) 2017 ⁵⁸	GCE	CD-GS/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -ZnO-MWCNTs/CdS	ZnO-MWCNTs/CdS pH 5 prior to DPV MuxT	6 μL T /1 h, 37°C; 6 μL Ab ₂ - ZnO-MWCNTs / 40 min, 37°C; 8 μL [Cd(NO ₃) ₂ + TAA] / 15 min, 37°C	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	0.3 fg mL ⁻¹ <5 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	1.3 fg mL ⁻¹ -130 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs <i>IgC₇</i>)
	EIS 2018 ⁵⁹	PPy-NWs layer	PPyPAC/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free	T / 30 min	Buffer	0.36 pg mL ⁻¹	21
	DPV 2018 ⁶⁰	GCE	AMCs/CTIL/Ab ₁ /T/(OAMs+APTES)/ACP/(ED C+NHS)/Ab ₂ -HRP	Ab ₂ -HRP/(EDC+NHS)/ACP /(OAMs+APTES) 1-NPP, H ₂ O ₂	T / 30 min, 4 °C Ab ₂ -HRP/ACP/OAMs / 40 min, 4 °C	Buffer HS	0.32 fg mL ⁻¹ <10 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	10 fg mL ⁻¹ -90 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC₇</i>)
IL-6 Interleukin 6	SWV 2018 ⁶¹	GCE	CP PPC/(EDC+NHS)/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -GRO-NB	NB MuxT	T / 30 min Ab ₂ -GO-NB / 30 min	Buffer Mouse serum	5 pg mL⁻¹ <50 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	5–200 pg mL ⁻¹
(2018-2020)	CPA (Bead-based ELISA) 2018 ²	Au (microfabrication) Microfluidic multiplexed assay	WE: CT(PEG) ₁₂ / (EDC+NHS)/Ab ₁ Recognition probe on MBs: Ab ₂ -HRP	HRP TMB, H ₂ O ₂ Suggested for MuxT	T with 10 μL bead solution / 30 min; MB-T mixture kept in each channel at the sensor / 10 min	Buffer Calf serum dil. 1:4	2.6 pg mL ⁻¹ 5 pg mL ⁻¹	linear between ca. 40 and 1000 pg mL ⁻¹ (vs IgC ₇) (estimated from Fig. 6 ²)
	FED (OECT) 2018 ⁶²	Drive: Kapton/PEDOT:PSS Sensing: Au wire	Sensing area: EG ₆ COOH/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T rc-membrane: GA/protein G/glycine/Ab/T	Label-free	Rc. preconc.: 1 mL of T/ 2h; T release in detection buffer: 30 min (100 μL) Gate with T / 1 h	Buffer	220 pg mL ⁻¹	n/a

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
	EIS 2019 ⁶³	Graphite SPE Magneto-immunosensors	Recognition probe on MBs: Protein G/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	10 μL (T+MBs, suspension 1:1) /30 min, 20°C	Buffer HS dil. 1:100	0.3 pg mL⁻¹ <100 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	1 pg mL ⁻¹ —1 μg mL ⁻¹ (linear at low concentrations only)
	EIS 2019 ⁶⁴	GCE	pABA/(EDC+NHS)/pATP/AuNPs/Apt/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	15 μL T / 60 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:1	1.66 pg mL ⁻¹ <2 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	5 pg mL ⁻¹ –100 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
IL-6 Interleukin 6	FED (GFET) 2019 ⁶⁵	Si/SiO2/Graphene	PASE/Apt	Label-free	T / 10 min	Buffer	2.78 pg mL ^{.1} (139 fM)	1.5 pM-100 nM (non-linear)
(2018-2020)	DPV 2019 ⁶⁶	Au (needle microelectrode)	Sulfo-LC-SPDP/DTT/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 2.5 min	Buffer HS	<20 pg mL ⁻¹ <100 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0-80 pg mL ⁻¹ (linear) 80-100 pg mL ⁻¹ (non-linear)
Continuation =>	EIS 2020 ⁶⁷	ΙΤΟ	РРу-NHS/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 45 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	10.2 fg mL ⁻¹ <0.6 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.03-22.5 pg mL ⁻¹
	EIS 2020 ⁶⁸	ΙΤΟ	PPCE/IL-6 receptor/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 30 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	6 fg mL ⁻¹ <0.9 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.02-16 pg mL ⁻¹
	EIS 2021 ⁶⁹	ΙΤΟ	AB/epoxy-substituted-PPy/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 45 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:5	3.2 fg mL ⁻¹ <1 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01–50 pg mL ^{.1}
	EIS (SFI) 2018 ⁷⁰	ΙΤΟ	Star polymer SPGMA-Super P [®] carbon black-PVDF composite/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 45 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:200	3.3 fg mL⁻¹ <26 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01-3 pg mL ⁻¹
	EIS 2018 ⁷¹	ΙΤΟ	NH₄OH:H2O2:H2O/PHA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 30 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:50	6 fg mL ⁻¹ <26 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.02-3 pg mL ⁻¹
IL-8 Interleukin 8	SWV 2019 ⁷²	Carbon SPE	PEI-AuNPs/GA/Ab ₁ /T/PEI-AuNPs-Ab ₂ -Ag ⁺	PEI-AuNPs-Ab₂-Ag⁺ MuxT	2 µL T / 40 min; 2 µL PEI- AuNPs-Ab ₂ -Ag ⁺ / 40 min	Buffer (pH 4.5) HS (pH 4.5)	1 fg mL⁻¹ <2.5 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.5-100 pg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇) 2.5–50 pg mL ⁻¹
(2018-2020)	ASV (LSASV) 2018 ⁷³	Carbon/MWCNTs AJPE	Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -ALP/Ag ⁰	AgNO ₃ , AA; Stv-ALP as catalyst for Ag⁺ reduction	T / 2 h, Ab_2 / 2 h 10 s constant E before LSV	Buffer	0.3 ng mL ⁻¹	1.25-10 ng mL ⁻¹
	DPV 2020 ⁷⁴	ΙΤΟ	β -Ag ₂ MoO ₄ /(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 10 min	Buffer	90 pg mL ⁻¹	1 fg mL ⁻¹ -40 ng mL ⁻¹ (non-linear/two linear ranges)
	ASV (SWASV) 2020 ⁷⁵	GCE (Hg film-modified)	MBs/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/TCEP treated T	TCEP-treated T/ Maleimide-mod.DNA QDs	50 μL MBs/DNA-QD+250 μL HNO3 (RT) / 1h; N2 15 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	3.36 fg mL ⁻¹ <5 fg mL ⁻¹ (1)	5-5000 fg mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
IL-10 Interleukin	CV 2007 ^{76,77}	SiO ₂ nanowires Microfluidic chip	APTMS/Ab ₁ /T/ALP-Ab ₂	ALP/pNPP/MuxT	3 μL T / 2 h; Ab ₂ / 2h; Stv-ALP / 30 min<; 30 μL pNPP / 20 min, RT	Buffer Lung serum	~ ag mL ^{.1} 1 pg mL ^{.1} (1)	n/a
10	SWV 2012 ⁷⁸	Au DE	(FRGG+TBAP/MeCN)/(EDC+NHS)/Fc-Ab/T	Label-free MuxT	T / 15 min Complete assay: 20 min	Buffer	<1 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.001-50 ng mL ^{.1} (vs lgC _T)

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
	EIS 2012 ⁷⁹	HfO ₂	TESUD/Ab/T	Label-free	T / 30 min, 4°C Total volume: 10 mL	Buffer	0.1 pg mL ⁻¹	0.1-20 pg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
	EIS 2015 ⁸⁰	Al ₂ O ₃	APTES/MWCNTs/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	50 μL T / 30 min, 4°C	Buffer	<0.5 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.5-500 pg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
Interleukin 10	EIS 2016 ⁸¹	Au <i>(microfabrication)</i> Microfluidic chip	MHDA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 30 min, 4ºC	Buffer	n/a	1–15 pg mL ⁻¹ (non-linear)
Continuation	EIS 2017 ⁸²	Au (microfabrication)	CMA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} /MuxT	T / 30 min, 4°C 15 min for detection	Buffer	0.3 pg mL ⁻¹	1–15 pg mL ⁻¹
=>	EIS 2020 ⁸³	Graphene ID AJPE	(EDC+NHS)/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} /MuxT	100 μL T / 30 min Complete assay: 33 min	BIS dil. 1:1000	46 pg mL ⁻¹	0.1-2 g mL ^{·1} (vs lgC _T)
	EIS 2020 ⁸⁴	Si/SiO₂/Si₃N₄-(Spy-PPy)	CMA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free	T / 30 min, 4ºC	Buffer	0.347 pg mL ⁻¹	1-10 pg mL ⁻¹
	DPV 2013 ⁸⁵	GCE	Au-NGR/Ab1/T/HRP-Ab2-(GA)-PDA-GRO	HRP Thi+H ₂ O ₂	10 μL T / 1 h, 37°C; 50 μL HRP- Ab ₂ /PDA-GRO/ 50 min, 37°C	Buffer HS	0.11 pg mL⁻¹ <0.4 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.0005-50 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
	DPV 2013 ⁸⁶	Au DE	Thiolated DNA/MCH/Collagen-like Pept (5) (target-induced degradation)	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} (5) APMA for T activation; Captopril for modulating T	(T+APMA) / overnight, 37°C WE with activ. T / 2 h, 37°C Captopril / 30 min, 37°C	Buffer	0.1 μg mL ⁻¹	0.1-1 μg mL ⁻¹
	ASV (SWASV) 2013 ⁸⁷	Au thin film (PDMS-AuNPs composite)	Pept-SH/AuNPs-DNA-(EDC)- CdSe _{0.5} QDs (target-induced cleavage)	CdSe _{0.5} Te _{0.5} QDs; HNO ₃ ; Bi ³⁺ prior to SWV (pH 5.2)	100 μL T / 2h, 37°C 200 μL HNO₃ / 2h	Buffer (pH 5.2) HS dil. 1:10	0.63 pg mL⁻¹ <1.7 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	1-500 pg mL ⁻¹
MMP-2 Metallo-	FED (FET) 2013 ⁸⁸	SiO ₂	APTES/GA/FN (target-induced degradation)	Label-free CaCl ₂	Measurement 3 h after addition of (T+CaCl ₂)	Buffer	<150 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	Only 150 ng mL ^{.1} executed
proteinase-2	FED (FET) 2013 ^{89,90}	SiNWs (zigzag structure)	TESBA/peptide) ⁸⁹ TESBA/peptide/DNA/AuNPs ⁹⁰ (target-induced cleavage)	Label-free	T / conductance change registered after 20 s^{89} and 13 s^{90}	Buffer	ca. 1 pM ⁸⁹ ca. 0.1 pM ⁹⁰	1 pM-100 nM <i>(vs lgC₇)</i> ⁸⁹ 100 fM-10 nM <i>(vs lgC₇)</i> ⁹⁰
	PEC (CBP) 2014 ⁹¹	TiO ₂ -NTs	CdS:Mn/CdTe-QDs/Ab ₁ / T/Ab ₂ @SiO ₂	Ab ₂ @SiO ₂ label; TiO ₂ -NTs/ CdS:Mn/CdTe-QDs	20 μL T / 1 h, 37°C 20 μL Ab₂@SiO₂ / 1 h, 37°C	Buffer	3.6 fg mL ⁻¹	10 fg mL ⁻¹ -500 pg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
	DPV 2015 ⁹²	Au DE	9-MN/Fc-Pept (target-induced cleavage)	Label-free APMA for T activation	T in TCNB buffer; 20 μL T with APMA/ 1 h, 37°C / WE with activated T / 1 h, RT	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	0.3 ng mL ⁻¹	1-200 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	DPV 2015 ⁹³	GCE	Au/(ssDNA1-pPtNPs-Pept-SH)/(ssDNA1+ ssDNA2+Thi) (target-induced cleavage)	Label-free H ₂ O ₂	T / 2 h, 37°C	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	0.32 pg mL ⁻¹ <0.1 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	1 pg mL ⁻¹ -10 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
	EIS 2015 ⁹⁴	Au <i>(microfabrication)</i> Microfluidic chip	Pept-SH (target-induced cleavage)	Label-free/MuxT (MMP-2, MMP-7)	10 μL peptide P5 / 30 min; 20 μL T / 30 min; Whole assay: 67,5 min	Buffer	0.5 pg mL ⁻¹	0.1–400 ng mL ⁻¹ (non-linear, impedance reduction vs c_{T})
	DPV 2016 ⁹⁵	GCE	Au/Pept-SH/Stv-Thi-Pt-Pd-mhCeO2NS-NPrs (target-induced cleavage)	Thi-Pt-Pd-mhCeO ₂ NS-NPrs H_2O_2	20 μL T / 2 h, 37°C 20 μL NPrs/ 1 h, 37°C	Buffer HS dil. 1:10	0.078 pg mL ⁻¹ <1 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.1 pg mL ⁻¹ -10 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
	DPV 2016 ⁹⁶	GCE	GCE: Au/CB[7]/ released MeB Probe: MBs/(EDC+NHS)/Pept-SH/AuNPs- DNA1 (target-induced cleavage)	MeB-DNA ₂ +Exo III	20 µL (Probe+T)/ 40 min, 37°C (Cleaved-Pept/AuNPs-DNA ₁ + MeB-DNA ₂) / 60 min, 37°C; Exo III / 60 min, 37°C; deact. / 20 min, 80°C	Buffer HS dil. 1:20	0.15 pg mL ⁻¹	0.5 pg mL ⁻¹ -50 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _τ)
MMP-2 Metallo- proteinase-2	DPV 2017 ⁹⁷	GCE	Au/Fc-Pept; Probe: (CB[7]-PtNPs with Fc- HRP)/(CB[7]-PtNPs with Fc-GOx) (target-induced cleavage)	(CB[7]-PtNPs with Fc- HRP)/(CB[7]-PtNPs with Fc- GOx) H ₂ O ₂ , Glucose (4)	T / 50 min, 37°C CB[7]-PtNPs, Fc-HRP, CB[7]- PtNPs and Fc-GOx / 30 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:50	0.03 pg mL ⁻¹	0.1 pg mL ⁻¹ -20 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC_7)
Continuation =>	CPA 2017 ⁹⁸	ITO	K-GS@CS@C ₃ H ₁₄ NBF ₄ /GA/Ab/T/GA/ ssDNA ₃ /ssDNA ₁ @HRPAuNPs@ssDNA ₂ @Thi	ssDNA ₁ @HRP-AuNPs@ ssDNA ₂ @Thi H ₂ O ₂	6 μL T	Buffer HS	35 fg mL ⁻¹ <1 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	100 fg mL ⁻¹ -10 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs <i>lgC</i> 7)
	SWV 2018 ⁹⁹	GCE	Au-rGRO-pMeB/Pept-SH/(EDC+NHS)/ PtNPs-amFc-BSA (target-induced cleavage)	Label-free	60 μL T / 3 h	Buffer HS dil. 1:1000	<0.01 ng mL ⁻¹ <0.5 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01-10 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	SWV 2019 ¹⁰⁰	GCE	PANI gel/AuNPs/Pept-SH/CS-AuNPs-Pb(II)/ Na-tartrate gel (target-induced cleavage)	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3./4.}	20 μL T / 60 min, 37°C Buffer / 45 min, 37°C prior to SWV	Buffer HS	0.4 pg mL ⁻¹ <110 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	1 pg mL ⁻¹ -1 μg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	PEC (CBP) 2020 ¹⁰¹	ΙΤΟ	Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ /(EDC+NHS)/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ / TiO ₂ -AgNPs	TiO ₂ -Ag NPs/Ab ₂	100 μL T with Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ -Ab ₁ / 60 min, 37°C; mag. separation with TiO ₂ -Ag NPs- Ab ₂ / 60 min, 37°C	Buffer HS	0.34 fg mL ⁻¹ <350 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	1 fg mL ⁻¹ -100 pg mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
MT3 Metallo- Thionein	DPV 2013 ¹⁰²	GCE	K₃[Fe(CN) ₆]-CS-GA/C-dots+Nafion/Ab/T	Label-free	T / 60 min, 37°C	Buffer HS	2.5 pg mL ⁻¹ <170 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	5 pg mL ⁻¹ – 20 ng mL ⁻¹
NCAM Neuron cell adhesion molecule	DPV 2020 ¹⁰³	GCE	MIP (pABA + PolySia®)	[Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} <i>p</i> -ABA	T in <i>p</i> -ABA solution (buffer, pH 9.0) / 60 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:1000	"Probe-type" 4.74 ng mL ⁻¹ ; "Sandwich" 0.47 ng mL ⁻¹ In SI, n/a	"Probe-type": 10-150 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇); "Sandwich" 1-1000 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
NFL	EIS 2020 ¹⁰⁴	Au (microfabrication)	MAC/GMA/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	n/a	КСІ	5.21 ng L ⁻¹	1-50 µg L ⁻¹
Neuro- filament ligt	PEC (no bias) 2020 ¹⁰⁵	Pt NWs on FTO (biocathode)	(MUA+MCH)/Ab Photoanode: FTO/BiVO₄-FeOOH	Label-free	60 μL T / 1 h, RT	Buffer HP dil. 1:10	38.2 fg mL ⁻¹ n/a	0.1-1000 pg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
NGB Neuroglobin	CV 2020 ¹⁰⁶	Au DE	np-Au/MCH/TMSE/T (a) np-Au/CPT/(EDC+NHS)/T (b)	H ₂ O ₂ and Cyt <i>c</i> as redox partners/substrates	n/a	Buffer	Qualitative st the molecular bas	udy: strategy for exploring is of NGB coupled with electron transfer
NRGN Neurogranin	CPA 2000 ¹⁰⁷	GCE	Pd@POAP (electro-catalytic oxidation of T by NO)	NO (physiological level)	Detection: 1-2 min	Buffer	sub-µM	sub-μM -10 μM range
	DPV 2018 ¹⁰⁸	GCE	PC/AuNA/ MVIMBF4/ MIP-Poly(DPIMBr)/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 15 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:100	2.6 pg mL ⁻¹ <7.7 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	ng mL ⁻¹
	DPV 2018 ¹⁰⁹	Graphite SPE	GR nanosheets/PpPD/ AuNPs/Ab/T	Label-free AA	T / 60 min	Buffer HS	0.3 ng mL ⁻¹ <11 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	1-1000 ng mL ⁻¹
_	DPV 2018 ¹¹⁰	Au (3D-SiCPCC-modified)	3DM rGRO-PANI/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	10 μL T / 40 min, 37°C	Buffer HS dil.	0.1 pg mL⁻¹ <0.5 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.5 pg mL ⁻¹ -10 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
NSE	SWV 2018 ¹¹¹	GCE	CS-Fc/AuPd-MWCNTs/GA/Ab/T	Label-free H ₂ O ₂	20 μL T / 50 min, 37°C	Buffer HS	0.48 pg mL ⁻¹ <1 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	1 pg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC₇</i>)
Neuron- specific	SWV 2018 ¹¹²	Carbon SPE	pTMB-Au Pd-SA-AuNPs-Ca ²⁺ hydrogel/Ab/T	Label-free H ₂ O ₂ / MuxT	10 μL T / 45 min, 37°C	Buffer (pH 6.5) HS	2.3 pg mL⁻¹ <1.7 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01-200 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
enolase (2018-2020)	SWV 2018 ¹¹³	GCE	PANI hydrogel/AuNPs/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -AuNPs- Thi-rGRO-Hem	Ab ₂ -AuNPs-Thi-rGRO-Hem/ H ₂ O ₂	80 μL T / 45 min, 37°C ; 40 μL Ab ₂ -AuNPs-Thi-rGRO-Hem / 37°C	Buffer HS	0.026 pg mL ^{·1} <1 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.1 pg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs IgC ₇)
	SWV 2018 ¹¹⁴	GCE	Alginate/PANI/hydrogel/GA/Ab/T/ [Nanogel/Cu@AuNPs]	Probe: Cu@AuNPs	200 μL probe with T / 1 h 60 μL T + 20 μL probe free T / 1 h	Buffer (pH 5.5) HS	4.6 pg mL ⁻¹ <3.3 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01-100 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC₇</i>)
	SWV 2018 ¹¹⁵	GCE	PPy-polyThi-hydrogel with GOx/AuNPs/Ab/T	Label-free; H ₂ O ₂ Glucose; GOx doping	T / 50 min	Buffer HS	0.65 pg mL ⁻¹ <5.5 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	1 pg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC₇</i>)
	DPV μPAD 2019 ¹¹⁶	Carbon Ink	PB-PEDOT-AuNPs/SH-Apt/T	Label-free MuxT	20 µL T / 1 h	Buffer HS	10 pg mL⁻¹ <1.25 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.05-500 ng mL ⁻¹

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
	DPV 2019 ¹¹⁷	GCE	AuNPs/Ab ₁ /T/TB/WP6@ PdPt PCONs/Ab ₂	TB/WP6@PdPt PCONs/Ab ₂ [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3./4.} H ₂ O ₂	GCE/AuNPs/Ab1 with T / 1 h TB/WP6@PdPt PCONs/Ab2 / 1 h, Complete assay: 2 h	Buffer HS	95 fg mL ⁻¹ <3.9 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	300 fg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC</i> ₇)
	SWV 2019 ¹¹⁸	Au wires Au QCM chips	HME+MIP-Scopoletin/T CME/MIP-Scopoletin/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 30 min	Buffer	1 ng mL ⁻¹ <i>(CME)</i> 0.25 ng mL ⁻¹ <i>(HME)</i>	1-64 ng mL ⁻¹ (CME) (non- linear) 0.25-64 ng mL ⁻¹ (HME) (non- linear)
NSE	SWV 2019 ¹¹⁹	GCE	GCE: AuNPs/(TCEP+Thiolated DNA)/MCH Sandwiched immunocomplex: Ab ₂ -PtCu/T/Ab ₁ -MBs	PtCu-nanoprobe [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3/4-} H ₂ O ₂ , I ⁻	$\label{eq:mbs-Ab_1} \mbox{ with } T \slashed{tabular} \label{eq:mbs-Ab_1} \mbox{ with } PtCu-Ab_2 \slashed{tabular} \s$	Buffer HS	52.14 fg mL ⁻¹	100 fg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs <i>IgC₇</i>)
Neuron- specific enolase (2018-2020)	SWV 2019 ¹²⁰	GCE	GCE: MWCNTs/Fe ³⁺ -alginate hydrogel Sandwiched immunocomplex: Ab ₂ -GOx-SiO ₂ -GOx/T/Ab ₁ -MBs	GOx-SiO ₂ -GOx immunoprobe [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} /Glucose	MBs-Ab ₁ with T (30 μL) / 40 min, 37°C MBs-Ab ₁ /T with Ab ₂ -GOx-SiO ₂ -GOx / 40 min, 37°C	Buffer HS	0.447 pg mL ⁻¹ <50 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	1 pg mL ^{.1} -100 ng mL ^{.1} (<i>vs lgC_t</i>)
Continuation =>	SWV LFA (with SERS) 2019 ¹²¹	FTO	AgNPs/Au/NBA/Ab/T	Label-free MuxT	T / 30 min	Buffer (pH 6.5) HS	40 pg mL ⁻¹ <1.4 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	50 pg mL ⁻¹ -1 μ g mL ⁻¹
	EIS 2019 ¹²²	ΙΤΟ	P(ThiPh-gMAm)/GA/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 45 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:10000	6.1 fg mL⁻¹ <0.55 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.02-4 pg mL ⁻¹
	EIS (SFI) 2019 ⁴⁶	Au DE	MHDA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3./4-} MuxT	Optimal Z-t measurement: 13 s	Buffer 5-25 and 90% rat blood and plasma	2-5 pg mL ⁻¹ Recoveries: 14-67 pg mL ⁻¹ in 90% blood	0.1-2800 pg mL ^{.1}
	EIS (SFI) 2019 ¹²³	Au DE	MHDA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} /MuxT	T+[Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3./4.} : 100 μL	Buffer	3.95 pg mL ⁻¹	1-25000 pg mL ⁻¹
	ASV (LSASV) 2019 ¹²⁴	GCE	3D-GRS/CS/GA/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -OMCSi-AuNPs/ 3D-GRS/AgNPs	OMCSi-AuNPs/3D-GRS/AgNPs	T / 45 min, 25°C 10 μL Ab ₂ -OMCSi-AuNPs / 40 min, RT 20 μL Ag enhancer / 6 min, 25°C	Buffer HS	0.008 pg mL ⁻¹ <27 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.02 pg mL ⁻¹ -35 ng mL ⁻¹

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
	Poten. 2019 ¹²⁵	pH electrode (commercial)	Immunoassay immobilization on PS- microplates: Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -GOx-LS	GOx-LS; Triton X-100 (to release GOx), Glucose	(T + Ab₂-GOx-LS) [50+50 μL/well]/ 35 min; Glucose / 10 min	Buffer HS	8.9 pg mL⁻¹ <0.5 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.01-100 ng mL ⁻¹ (dynamic linear range: pH vs IgC ₇)
	PEC (CBP) 2019 ¹²⁶	ΙΤΟ	NiWO₄-NStr/Ab/T	Label-free Uric acid	PEC measurement: 150 s with 20 s light on/off cycles	Buffer HS	0.12 ng mL ⁻¹ <10.7 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	75-723 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
	DPV 2020 ¹²⁷	GCE	Au@MOFs/(EDC+NHS)/ Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -Au@Pd^Pt NCbs/MnO ₂ UNs	$MnO_2 UNs/Au@ Pd^Pt NCbs$ label* HQ, H ₂ O ₂	6 μL MnO₂ UNs/Au@ Pd^Pt NCbs-Ab₂ / 1 h	Buffer HS	4.17 fg mL ⁻¹ <0.7 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	10 fg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
NSE Neuron- specific enolase	DPV 2020 ¹²⁸	GCE	Fc-g-Au@Pd-P(BBY)/(TCEP+Apt ₁)/T/ Apt ₂ /AuPt NAs/Thi/rGRO	Thi and Fc as signal probes	Fc-g-Au@Pd- P(BBY)/(TCEP+Apt ₁) with T / 60 min, 37°C, Apt ₂ /AuPt NAs/Thi/rGRO / 60 min, 37°C	Buffer HS dil.	30 fg mL ⁻¹ n/a	100 fg mL ⁻¹ -50 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
(2018-2020)	SWV 2020 ¹²⁹	Au wires	AuNPs-MIPs (epitope-mediated)	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	2 mL T / 15 min	HS dil. 1:2	25 /200 pg mL ⁻¹ (w/wo Au NPs)	25-4000 / 50-500 pg mL ⁻¹ (w/wo Au NPs) (non-linear)
=>	EIS 2020 ¹³⁰	ΙΤΟ	P(Pyr-Epx)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 30 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:10000	6.1 fg mL ⁻¹ <1.2 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.02-7.5 pg mL ⁻¹
	EIS 2020 ¹³¹	ΙΤΟ	Str(PGMA)₃/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 45 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:10000	9.1 fg mL⁻¹ <1.2 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.03-6 pg mL ⁻¹
	EIS 2020 ¹³²	Au DE	Zr-TAPP/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 50 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:500	7.1 fg mL ⁻¹ <10 fg mL ⁻¹ (1)	10 fg mL ⁻¹ -2 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs IgC_7)
	CPA 2021 ¹³³	GCE	AuPt NSNs/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ / Au-Cu _x O@CeO ₂	Au-Cu _x O@CeO ₂ /Ab ₂ H ₂ O ₂	6 μL T / 40 min, RT 6 μL Ab₂ / 50 min, RT	Buffer HS 1:10	31.3 fg mL⁻¹ <1.5 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	50 fg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
S100β S100β	DPV 2013 ¹³⁴	Pencil graphite Microfluidic chip (PMMA)	WE (graphite): PMMA/-OH(NaOH)/- NH ₂ (PEI)/GA/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ /ALP-IgG	ALP PAPP	20 μL T / 30 min, 37°C; 20 μL Ab ₂ / 20 min, 37°C (flow rate 120 μL h ⁻¹)	Buffer	0.1 pg mL ⁻¹	0.1-100 pg mL ^{.1}
calcium- binding protein	SWV 2014 ¹³⁵	Au DE	(Capture peptide+TCEP)/ (T+CaCl ₂)/(signal peptide+Cu ²⁺)	OPD; Cu ²⁺ as catalyst for OPD oxidation	T / 2.5 h, 30°C	Buffer HS	0.1 nM <0.2 nM (1)	0.1-25.6 nM (vs lgC _T)
	OSWV 2014 ¹³⁶	Au DE	(DPTA+NAC)/Cu ²⁺ /His ₆ -RAGE VC1 or C2/T	Label-free	10 μL T / 30 min Nitrogen purging 15 min	Buffer HP dil.	0.52 pM 0.65 pM	1-20 pM

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
S100β S1008 calcium- binding protein Continuation =>	OSWV 2016 ¹³⁷	Au DE	(DPM+NAC)/Cu ²⁺ /His ₆ -RAGE VC1 or C2/T (a) (DPM+MBT)/Cu ²⁺ /His ₆ -RAGE VC1 or C2/T (b)	Label-free	10 μL T Solutions deoxygenated	Buffer HP dil. 1:2	2.6 pM (a)4.9 pM (b) 0.9 pM (a)2.7 pM (b)	2.6-20 pM (a) 4.9-20 pM (b) 0.9-20 pM (a) 2.7-20 pM (b)
	DPV 2017 ¹³⁸	Graphene SPE	Electrografted reduced FRGG/GA/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4}	T / 45 min, 4ºC	Buffer HS (and CSF)	1 pg mL ⁻¹ 1 pg mL ⁻¹	1 pg mL ⁻¹ -10 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T) 1 pg mL ⁻¹ -10 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
	EIS 2018 ¹⁰	Au IDE (microfluidic ID-zigzag biochip)	(4-ATP+cysteamine)/GA/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} /MuxT	5 min (flow rate 25 μL min ⁻¹)	Buffer	10 ng mL ⁻¹ -	10 ng mL ⁻¹ -10 μg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	FED (FEED) 2018 ¹³⁹	Carbon SPE	SWCNTs-Nafion-GA/Ab $_1$ /T/HRP-Ab $_2$	HRP Reagentless	T / 60 min Ab ₂ / 40 min	HS	10 fg mL ⁻¹	10 fg mL ^{.1} -10 ng mL ^{.1}
	SWV LFA (with SERS) 2019 ¹²¹	FTO	AgNPs/Au/4-MBA/Ab/T	Label-free MuxT	T / 30 min	Buffer (pH 6.5) HS	10 pg mL ⁻¹ <1.8 ng mL ⁻¹ (1)	50 pg mL ⁻¹ -1 μg mL ⁻¹
	EIS (SFI) 2019 ⁴⁶	Au DE	MHDA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3./4.} MuxT	Optimal Z-t measurement: 15 s	Buffer 5- 25 and 90% blood and plasma	2-5 pg mL ⁻¹ Recoveries; 14-67 pg mL ⁻¹ in 90% blood	0.1-2800 pg mL ⁻¹
	PEC (CBP) 2019 ¹⁴⁰	ІТО	rGRO-AuNPs/3-ICT-sol-gel-film/ Ab/T/Ab/(EDC+NHS)/CdS-QDs	CdS-QDs AA	5 μL T / 30 (45 min Buffer; 20 μL T / 30 min (HS) 6 μL CdS- QDs / 30 min	Buffer HS	0.15 pg mL ⁻¹ <100 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	0.25-10000 pg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇)
	CSV (DPCSV) 2020 ¹⁴¹	Au DE	Recognition probe: MBs/Au/Ab/T	Label-free	50 μL T / 30 min	Buffer Horse plasma	10 pM <250 pM (1)	10 pM-100 nM (non-linear)
Tau protein(s) / - T-Tau Total tau (P- + non- phosphor.)	EIS 2014 ¹⁴²	Au DE	Lip-NHS/Tau-protein/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	5 μL T / 2h	Buffer	0.2 μΜ	0.1-1.0 μM 2N4R (tau441)
	DPV 2017 ¹⁴³	Carbon SPE	GRO/(EDC+NHS)(+DMAP)/pPG/GA/Ab ₁ /T/ Ab ₂ /(PbS+MUA)/(EDC+NHS)/pPG	PbS-NCs-probe; HNO₃ for NCs ionization/ MuxT	1 mL in a cell (Buffer); 10 μL drop-casted (HS); 15 min with HNO ₃	Buffer HS dil. 1:100	0.15 nM <0.5 nM (1)	0.15-250 nM (non-linear)
	EIS 2017 ¹⁴⁴	Au (microfabrication)	DTSSP/Protein G/Ab/T	Label-free $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3./4}$	T / 25 min	Buffer HS	0.03 pM 0.01 pM	0.01 pM-10 nM 0.01 pM-10 nM 2N4R (tau441)

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)
Tau protein(s) / T-Tau Total tau (P- + non- phosphor.) Continuation =>	DPV 2018 ¹⁴⁵	Au (microfabrication)	(SATA+Ab)/Thiolated pGluA/T (a) (SATA+Ab)/T (b)	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} /MuxT	20 μL T / 30 min	Buffer (pH 6.2)	0.968 pM (a) 9.68 pM (b)	0.968-454 pM (a,b) (<i>vs lgC_T</i>)
	DPV 2018 ¹⁴⁶	Au DE	MPA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T/AuNPs-SH-Apt	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 45 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:100	0.42 pM <1.5 pM (1)	0.5-100 pM 1N3R (tau381)
	EIS 2018 ⁴	Multiarray of vertically aligned Pt wires	Cysteamine/GA/Ab and(or) Apt/T	Label-free/[Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} Suggested for MuxT	2 μL T / 5 min	Buffer	0.001 pg mL ⁻¹	0.001-10 pg mL ⁻¹
	EIS 2018 ¹⁴⁷	Au DE	Lipoic acid/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/n- butylamine/hexanethiol/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 1h	Buffer	nM range	nM to μM range 2N4R (tau441)
	DPV 2019 ¹⁴⁸	GCE	CGR/Thi/AuNPs/Apt/T	Label-free	20 μL T / 30 min	Buffer HS dil. 1:100	0.7 pM <1 pM (1)	1-100 pM 1N3R (tau381)
	DPV 2020 ¹⁴⁹	Au	MWCNTs/rGRO/CS/Ab/T/AuNPs	Au NP [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T with AuNPs / 4 h, 4°C; T- AuNPs conjugate with WE / 30 min, 4°C	Buffer HS	0.46 fM <1.5 fM (2)	0.5-80 fM (vs lgC _T) 2N4R (tau441)
	SWV 2020 ¹⁵⁰	GCE	SL-rGRO@PTSA/Cu ²⁺ / (EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	6 μL T / 30 min, 4°C	Buffer HS dil. 1:1000	75 fM <2.5 pM (1)	0.08-80 pM (vs lgC _T) 2N4R (tau441)
	SWV 2020 ¹⁵¹	Au (mini pilar-based sensor)	Au nanodendrites/Ab/T	Label-free Ru(NH ₃) ₆ 3+/MuxT	10 μL Ab / 4h at RT 10 μL T / 4h at RT	Buffer HS	7.14 10 ⁻¹¹ mg mL ⁻¹ n/a	10^{-10} - 10^{-7} mg mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC _T)
	EIS 2020 ¹⁵²	PET-ITO	rGRO/Au NP/11-MUA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	T / 60 min, dark	Buffer HS	0.091 pg mL ⁻¹ <10 pg mL ⁻¹ (1)	1-500 pg mL ⁻¹ 2N4R (tau441)
	FED (FET) 2020 ¹⁵³	Sensing : Glass/Ti/Au (microfluidic chamber)	Sensing area: Au/COOH-EG ₈ - thiol/PEG/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free	Complete assay: 30 min	Buffer (CSF)	1 pM (~10 pM)	1 pM-10 nM (<i>Fig. 2</i>) ¹⁵³ (vs lgC _T)
	CPA 2020 ^{154,155}	Carbon SPE ¹⁵⁴ Dual SPCE ¹⁵⁵	pABA/(EDC+NHSS)/3D-Au- PAMAM/GA/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -HRP	Ab ₂ /HRP HQ/H ₂ O ₂ MuxT	T / 1h Ab₂/ 60 min	Buffer HP	1.7 pg mL ^{-1 154} 2.3 pg mL ^{-1 155} (~pg mL ⁻¹) (1) ^{154,155}	6-5000 pg mL ^{-1 154} 8-5000 pg mL ^{-1 155} 2N4R (tau441)

Biomarker (Target, T)	Technique Publication year and reference	Transducer (or FED architecture)	Surface modification/ Bioreceptor functionalization/ Assay format	Label Detection solution Substrate/redox probe/ mediator/catalyst/ signal enhancer/pH modulator etc.	Analysis time and Incubation parameters Volume/Target or Label/Time/Temperature/Flo w rate/Other	Sample	Lower Detection Limit (1)	Range (2) Linear vs target concentration (c _T), if not stated otherwise (e.g., vs lgc _T)	
Tau protein(s) / T-Tau Total tau (P- + non- phosphor.) Continuation =>	ELA-PEC 2021 ¹⁵⁶	Carbon paste electrode	AuNPs- MoSe ₂ /MCH/Apt/T/Ab/Protein G- AP	Protein G-AP AAP, Mg(NO ₃) ₂	35 μL T / 30 min, 37°C; 35 μL Ab / 60 min, 37°C; 35 μL Protein G-AP / 60 min, 37°C; [AAP+Mg(NO ₃) ₂] / 60 min, 37°C	Buffer HS dil. 1:100	0.3 fM <0.5 pM (1)	0.5 fM-1.0 nM (vs lgC _T) 1N3R (tau381)	
	DPV 2017 ¹⁵⁷	Au (microfabrication)	MPA/(EDC+NHS)/Ab/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3./4.}	T / 3h	Buffer HS	1000 pg mL ⁻¹ 1000 pg mL ⁻¹	1000-100000 pg mL ⁻¹ 1000-100000 pg mL ⁻¹ T-Tau	
	PEC 2020 ¹⁵⁸	FTO	Mo:BiVO ₄ /FeOOH/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂ -HRP	Ab ₂ -HRP DAB	70 μL T / 1h, RT 30 μL Ab₂ / 1h DAB / 10 min	Buffer HP dil. 1:10	1.59 fM ~fM (1)	~fM to >10 ⁴ fM (vs lgC ₇) (Buffer, HP, <i>Fig. 4</i>) ¹⁵⁸ T-Tau	
	FED (GFET) 2020 ¹⁵⁹	Si/SiO ₂	APMES/rGRO/PBASE/Ab/T	Label-free MuxT	20 μL T / 30 min	Buffer HP dil. 1:10	n/a 1 pg mL ⁻¹ (HP)	100 fg mL ⁻¹ -1 ng mL ⁻¹ (vs lgC ₇) 100 fg mL ⁻¹ -10 ng mL ⁻¹ (HP, vs lgC ₇) T-Tau	
UCH-L1 Ubiquitin C- terminal hydrolase	EIS 2018 ⁴	Multiarray of vertically aligned Pt	Cysteamine/GA/Ab and(or) Apt/T	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-} Suggested for MuxT	The suggested array has been patented for the detection of UCH-L1, GFAP and tau-proteins. However, th array has been tested in detail for tau-protein detection only.				
	SWV 2019 ¹⁶⁰	Graphene SPE	pNE/Ab (a) pDE/Ab (b)	Label-free [Fe(CN) ₆] ^{3-/4-}	50 μL T / 30 min	Buffer HS	1.91(a) 0.70 (b) pg mL ⁻¹ 1.68 (a) 0.63 (b) pg mL ⁻¹	0.1 pg mL ⁻¹ -100 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>) (a) 1 pg mL ⁻¹ - 100 ng mL ⁻¹ (<i>vs lgC_T</i>) (b)	
VCAM-1 Vascular cell adhesion protein 1	EIS (6) non-farad. 2017 ¹	Au microelectrode	DTSP/Ab/T or DTSP/Ab ₁ /T/Ab ₂	Label-free	50-100 μL T / 15 min	Buffer Urine dil. 1:500 0	8 fg mL ⁻¹ <500 μg mL ⁻¹ (1)	8 fg mL $^{1-}$ 800 pg mL 1 (vs lgC $_{\rm T})$	

(1) Lowest reported LDL using EC detection methods; '<x' corresponds to the lowest concentration analyzed within the working range of the sensor (employing standard addition method and/or a reference material/method for validation, with a decent recovery), actual LDL being possibly lower than the indicated value. Redox couple ([Fe(CN)₆]^{3-/4-}) indicated if used. (2) The upper limit of the range indicated often presents the maximum concentration explored but not the upper detection limit. Please consult original paper for details. (3) Increase in diameter of a sub-micron latex colloid upon binding to an unlabelled specific antibody results in changes in pore resistance. Particles passing through the pore displace the conducting fluid in that pore. (4) Enzyme cascade amplification: GOx catalyses glucose to gluconic acid with concomitant formation of H₂O₂ for accelerating the redox reaction of Fc in the presence of HRP and PtNPs. (5) Collagen in the complex is being degraded by MMP-2. The inhibition effect of captopril to MMP-2 can be revealed by the electrochemical signal. With the increase of MMP-2 concentration more collagen molecules will be digested, thus a larger amount of electrochemical probe [Fe(CN)₆]^{3-/4-} can get closer to the electrode leading to an increase of the electrochemical signal. (6) Application for the target detection in urine has been exceptionally noted here, due to the fact that no other publications have been found on the electrochemical detection of VCAM-1 biomarker. For **Column 7** '**Sample**': Dilution factor ('dil.', if indicated) corresponds to the primary dilution of the sample to be analyzed and does not account for the further dilution steps implied by the suggested protocol (mixing with the redox probe/mediator/labelling solution/signal enhancer/detection buffer/etc.). PH of the (detection) buffer is indicated, if significantly different from clinical ranges in blood samples (ca. 7.5). **ABBREVIATIONS:** see last Page. For more detailed information on EC strategies for the

As of December 2020 no EC detection strategies have been found on the following biomarkers: BMX (bone marrow tyrosine kinase on chromosome X), CKBB (creatine kinase B type), ICAM-1 (Intracellular adhesion molecule-1), MDA-LDL (malondialdehyde modified low density lipoprotein), NFM (neurofilament medium), Nogo-A (neurite outgrowth inhibitor protein), pNF-H (NF-H) ((phosphorylated) neurofilament heavy protein), E-selectin (*E-selectin*), SNTF (calpain-derived αll-spectrin N-terminal fragment) and Ub (ubiquitin).

SI-2: Summary of Key Observations and Outstanding Challenges

Summary of Key Observations and Outstanding Challenges

A total number of 127 publications on EC techniques and protocols for 19 different mTBI protein biomarkers were compiled (Table 3 and SI-1).

Techniques

EIS (35 entries) followed by DPV (29) and SWV (23) were the most frequent EC methods employed for determining mTBI relevant blood proteins concentrations.

Assay performance

99 publications report measurement data obtained in complex matrix (e.g., **HS**, **HP**, etc.), but the vast majority did so under significantly diluted sample conditions and/or compromised analytical performance characteristics. Sample dilution may be a feasible approach to reduce **NSB** (see **SI-3**), but this brings up additional requirements to sample preparation (e.g., microfluidic cartridge design) or operator usability aspects, the latter not being ideal for POC diagnostic testing. While reproducibility of results is indicated in many of the publications, only few have determined accuracy and precision data with real/clinical samples (e.g., goal of CV < 6% in laboratory medicine), with multiple reagent/sensor lots, with a statistically significant patient sample number and by systematically comparing performances against a reference method. In this context the question comes up to which degree the impressive detection limits (**LDL**) reported can be confirmed in real-world situations to reliably differentiate brain injured from healthy individuals based on physiological cutoffs (**CO**).

Diagnostic Specificity / Multiplexing

It is primarily an **mTBI** biomarker discovery and validation rather than sensor development task to improve the diagnostic specificity (i.e., reduce the number of false positives). However, since no single protein biomarker provides sufficient specificity, the right combination (e.g., 5-plex?) may do so in the future. Therefore, enabling a multiprotein detection modality is likely to be crucial, especially for a POC diagnostic application. **EC** sensors seem technologically apt for (simultaneous) multiple protein **mTBI** biomarker target detection - in 26 publications authors report data on multiprotein detection within a single assay (**MuxT**). However, very limited information is provided in terms of multi-analyte panels (comprising various protein mixtures in complex matrix representing physiological situations) used to challenge sensor performances.

Sample Volume

In many referenced publications sample volumes of 50 µL and less were used, which – being a design constraint in the context of **mTBI** POC diagnostic applications – is compatible with **EC** sensing.

Time-to-results

Most of the reported **EC** sensor measurement times exceed acceptable time-to-results (< 15 min) requirements for POC diagnostic applications. It is conceivable that in the future, optimized assay and shortened incubation conditions will still be compatible with good assay performance, but this requires likely a significant R&D effort.

Manufacturability and Costs of Goods Produced (COGP)

As pointed out in Figure 8, the small sample and reagent consumption anticipated as well as the low costs of the materials and fabrication make EC sensors attractive candidates for a future POC device for **mTBI** diagnostics. The main challenges, however, may be the difficulties and costs associated with electrode-bioreceptor functionalization (for multiple **mTBI** protein target analytes) and limited sensor stability and thus short shelf-life.

SI-3: Antifouling approaches in EC sensing

The process of non-specific binding (NSB) is a complex phenomenon that is extremely sensitive to the properties of both the sensing surface (e.g., heterogeneity, topography, functional groups, surface potential) and the protein(s) to be adsorbed (e.g., size, chemical and 3D structure, charges, apolar properties), as well as the sample media. The interaction between the surface and the protein defines its conformation and is strongly affected by the ionic strength and the pH value of the sample, specifically by the composition of the solution adjacent to the electrode.¹⁶⁵ Integration of antifouling materials reducing NSB is crucial in order to enable reliable detection in a complex matrix and is typically achieved via one or more of the following mechanisms: (i) formation of a hydration layer, i.e. increasing the hydrophilicity of the sensing surface resulting in decreased adhesion of biofoulant; (ii) steric repulsion, e.g. via integration of polymers sterically preventing the foulants from reaching the electrode surface; (iii) electrostatic repulsion via attachment of molecules with anionic and/or cationic moieties (e.g. zwitterionic materials); (iv) optimized surface topography (altering the surface roughness on nanoscale level).¹⁶⁶

Among most commonly applied strategies is the immobilization of 'blocking' proteins, e.g. avidin, streptavidin, neutravidin, casein or (most frequently) bovine serum albumin (BSA).^{165,166} This and other methods based on physical adsorption provide a relatively inexpensive and fast solution, however possess a few disadvantages, such as non-uniformity of the adsorbed layer and reversibility of the adsorption process. The latter is governed by the weak intermolecular interactions and is sensitive towards the experimental conditions (solvent polarity, ionic strength, temperature, pH).¹⁶⁶ Furthermore, most protein blockers have a high lot-to-lot variability and cross-reactivity, alter original surface properties, and, as some studies have reported, e.g. a BSA layer does not always efficiently prevent protein adsorption.¹⁶⁷ An NSB suppressing layer can be obtained or optimized using some other physical approaches to surface modification or combinations thereof: mechanical coatings (polymer films), integration of nanoporous structures (e.g. carbon nanotubes, graphene-based materials, metallic nanoparticles) and/or superhydrophobic surfaces.^{165,166}

Chemical approaches present a more robust antifouling strategy for EC biosensing in comparison to physical approaches discussed above and are often accomplished via formation of SAMs containing antifouling moieties such as polyethylene glycol (PEG), oligo(ethylene glycol), zwitterionic peptide-based molecules or polymers.^{166,167} Furthermore, the thiolated alcohol compounds, such as e.g. 6-mercaptohexanol or 11-mercaptoundecanol, are often applied to gold surfaces in order to 'block' empty spots and stabilize the SAM conformation. However, the relatively poor stability of SAMs, narrow choice of transducer substrates (mainly applied to gold, less frequently to silver, copper and platinum) and grafting molecule types (mainly thiolated compounds) limit the application of SAMs for NSB reduction in EC sensing.¹⁶⁶

As an alternative to SAMs, polymer brushes can be tethered on substrates using different grafting methods. Unlike SAMs, this strategy is not limited to gold surfaces and has been applied to numerous substrates such as carbon, ITO, graphene etc.^{24,166} A typical example would be electrodeposition of PEDOT or PANI films, with or without additional doping with PEG (or grafting of PEG) on a carbon- or graphite-based substrate.^{24,168,169} While grafting of non-conductive antifouling reagents with the long chains (such as PEG) directly onto transducer surface often results in the loss of sensitivity due high impedance of the polymeric layer, incorporation of PEG with conductive soft polymers such as PEDOT and PANI is one way to resolve this issue.^{166,169} However, in many cases CP layers have been shown to suffer from low mechanical and complex media stability.^{166,170,171} In another promising strategy the polymeric brushes are formed via reduction of diazonium salts providing a rapid single-step approach to polymeric brush immobilization. This approach ensures a low energy barrier for the injection of electrons at the contact between the metal and organic molecule, along with the improved stability due to covalent character of the formed bond.^{166,172}

Despite the large number of strategies suggested in the literature for NSB reduction, hardly any of them are sufficient to completely overcome this problem in view of POC diagnostic applications in biological matrices. Further efforts are needed in this field in order to establish an effective combination of antifouling materials with surface modification strategies and to better understand the synergetic effect of the complex media and the antifouling probes on the properties of the biorecognition element.^{166,167}

ABBREVIATIONS for SI

μPAD: microfluidic paper-based analytical device; 1-NPP: 1-naphthyl phosphate; 11-FcC: 11-ferrocenyl-undecanethiol; 3D-GRS: porous three-dimensional graphene-starch architecture; 3DM: three dimensionally macroporous; 3D-SiCPCC: three dimensional silica close-packed colloidal crystal; 3-ICT: (3-Isocyanatopropyl)triethoxysilane; 4-ATP: 4-aminothiophenol; 4-MBA: 4-mercaptobenzoic acid; 9-MN: 9-mercapto-nonanol; AA: ascorbic acid; AAP: ascorbic acid 2-phosphate; Ab: antibody; AB: acetylene black; ACN: acetonitrile; ACP: acid phosphatase; AEDP: monomer, 2-acrylamidoethyl dihydrogen phosphate; AIBN: 2,2'-azoisobutyronitrile; AJPE: aerosol jet printed electrode; AM: acrylamide; AMCs: TiO2 (anatase) mesocages, here: Ru(bpy)32+@AMCs composite for dual response [DVP and ECL, Ru(bpy)32+: ruthenium (II) tris(bipyridine)]; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; amFc: aminoferrocene; APMA: 4-aminophenylmercuric acetate; Apt: (oligonucleotide) aptamer for the target (T); APTES: 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane; APTMS: 3-aminopropyl trimethoxysilane; AQ: anthraquinone; ASV: anodic stripping voltammetry; Au@Pd-P(BBY): coreshell Au nanoparticles @Pd nanoclusters-poly(bismarck brown Y); AuNA: gold nanoarray; AuNPs: gold nanoparticles; AuNSs: gold nanostars; AuPt NAs: hierarchical AuPt nanoassemblies; Av: avidin; BIS: bovine implant serum; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; BSA: bovine serum albumin; C₉H₁₄NBF₄: 1-butylpyridine tetrafluoroborate; CB[7]: cucurbit[7] uril; CBMA: [2-carboxyN,N-dimethyl-N-(2'-methacryloyloxyethyl) ethanaminium inner salt], zwitterionic monomer; CBP: constant bias potential; CD-GS: β-cyclodextrin-graphene sheets; CDI: carbonyldiimidazole; CDP-choline: cytidine diphosphate-choline (cytidine 5'diphosphocholine sodium salt dihydrate); CGR: carboxyl graphene; CMA: 4-carboxymethyl aryl diazonium; CME: cysteine-modified epitope; CNTs: carbon nanotubes; COF: covalent organic framework; CP: conductive polymer; CPA: constant potential amperometry; CPE: carbon paste electrode; CPT: 5carboxy-1-pentanethiol; CPIPPC: mixed layers of 4-carboxylic phenyl and 4-aminophenyl phosphorylcholine; CS: chitosan; CSV: cathodic stripping voltammetry; CT(PEG)12: carboxy-PEG12-thiol; CTIL: carboxyl-terminated ionic liquid; CuPT: copper phthalocyanine-3,4',4",4"'-tatrasulfonic acid tetrasodium salt (as dopant counterion); Cyt c: ferric cytochrome c; DAB: diaminobenzene; DG: dual-gated (transistors); DG-ISFET: dual gated ionsensitive field effect transistor; dil.: diluted; DMAA: monomer, dimethylacrylamide; DMAP: 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine; DN: 1,5-diaminonaphtalene; dNTPs: deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate; DPASV: differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry; DPI: dual probe immunosensor; DPIMBr: 1,3-di(3-Npyrrol-propyl)imidazolium bromine ionic liquid; DPM: dipyrromethene; DPTA: thiol derivative of pentetic acid; DTSP: dithiobis (succinimidyl propionate); DTSSP: 3,3'-dithiobis(sulfosuccinimidyl propionate); DPV: differential pulse voltammetry; EC: electrochemical; EDC: 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide; EDTA: ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid disodium salt; EG₆COOH: (11-mercaptoundecyl)hexa(ethylene glycol) acetic acid terminated; EGDMA: ethylene glycol dimethylacryalte; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; Exo III: exonuclease III; FBS: fetal bovine serum; Fc: ferrocene; Fcg-Au@Pd-P(BBY): ferrocene grafted Au@Pd-P(BBY); FED: field-effect based detection (voltage controlled current amplification); FN: fibronectin; FRGG: p-Nitrobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate (Fast Red GG salt); fUb: free ubiquitin; GA: glutaraldehyde; GCE: glassy carbon electrode; GNRs: gold nanorods; GOx: glucose oxidase; GOx-LS: glucose oxidase loaded liposomes; GRO: graphene oxide; GRONRs: graphene oxide nanoribons; H-ELISA: proton-ELISA; Hem: hemin; His: histidine; HME: histidine-modified epitope; HP: human plasma; hpDNA: hairpin DNA; HRP: horseradish peroxidase; HS: human serum; HT: hexanethiol; HQ: hydroquinone; hSAM: homogenous ordered self-assembled monolayer; IDE: interdigitated electrode; IL: ionic liquid; ITO: indium tin oxide; K-GS: K-modified graphene; Lip-NHS: N-hydroxysuccinimide ester; LSASV: linear sweep ASV; MAC: N-methacyloyl-L-cysteine; MBs: magnetic beads; MBT: 4-mercaptobutanol; MCH: mercaptohexanol; MDEA: microdisc electrode array; MeB: methylene blue; MeCN: acetonitrile; MECS: macroelectrode with a comb structure; mhCeO2NS: mesoporous-hollow ceria nanospheres; MHDA: mercaptohexadecanoic acid; microel.: microelectrode(s); microfab.: microfabricated MOF(c): metal-organic framework type c (particle size 300 nm); MOF: metal-organic framework; MPA: 3-mercaptopropionic acid; MPC: porous carbon matrix; MPOH: 3-mercaptopropanol; mSAM: mixed self-assembled monolayer; mtUb: multiubiquitin chains; MUA: 11-Mercaptoundecanoic acid; MuxT: multiple protein biomarker targets detected within the same immunoassay; MVIMBF₄: 1-(3-mercapto-propyl)-3-vinylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ionic liquid; MWCNTs: multiwalled carbon nanotubes; NAC: N-acetylcysteamine; NBA: Nile blue A; NCbs: nanocubes; NCs: nanocrystals; NGR: nitrogen-doped graphene; NHS: N-hydroxysuccinimide; NIPAAm: N-Isopropylacrylamide; NiWO4-NStr: saw-blade-like NiWO4 nanostructures; NPrs: nanoprobes; NSNs: nanoblock spherical nanoarchitectonics; NSs: nanospheres; NTCDI: naphthalenetetracarboxylic diimide; NTs: nanotubes; NWs: nanowires; OAMs: octahedral anatase TiO2 mesocrystals; OECT: organic electrochemical transistor; OFET: organic field effect transistor; OMCSi-AuNPs: gold nanoparticle incorporated ordered mesoporous carbon-silica; OPD: o-phenylenediamine; OSWV: Osteryoung squarewave voltammetry; pABA: p-aminobenzoic acid; PAD: microfluidic paper-based device; PAMAM: poly(amido)amine; PANI: polyaniline; PANI-PA: phytic acid-doped polyaniline; PAPP: 4-aminophenylphosphate; PASE: pyrenebutanoic acid succinimidyl ester; pATP: poly-aminothiophenol; PB: Prussian blue; PBASE: 1-pyrenebutyric acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester; PB-PEDOT-AuNPs: Prussian blue poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-AuNPs; PBS: phosphate buffer saline; PC: porous polycarbonate membrane; pCOF: porphyrinic covalent organic framework; PDA: polydopamine; PDANS: polydopamine nanospheres; PEC: photoelectrochemical (detection); PEDOT: poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene); PEI: poly(ethyleneimine); PEG: polyethylene glycol; Pept-SH: thiolated peptide; pGluA: poly-glutamic acid; PHA: 6-phosphonohexanoic acid; pHEMA: poly(2-hydroxethyl methacrylate); pMeB: poly(methylene blue); PMMA: poly(methyl methacrylate); PMPC-SH: thiol-terminated poly(2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine); pNE: polynorepinephrine; pNPP: p-nitrophenyl phosphate; PPCE: conjugated polypyrrole polymer containing epoxy active side groups; pPG: amine functionalized 1st generation trimethylolpropane tris[poly(propyleneglycol)] dendrimers; **PpPD:** poly(p-phenylenediamine); **pPtNPs:** porous platinum nanoparticles; **PPy:** polypyrrole; PPy-NWs: polypyrrole-nanowires; PPyPAC: polypyrrole electrodes modified by electrodeposition of diazonium salts using 4-aminophenylacetic acid (4APAC); preconc.: preconcentration; Protein G-AP: protein G labeled with alkaline phosphatase; PS: polystyrene; PS-MA: polystyrene-co-methacrylic acid; PSS: polystyrene sulfonate; pTMB: poly (3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine); PTSA: 1,3,6,8-pyrenetetrasulfonic acid tetrasodium salt; pTTBA: (2,2:5,2terthiophene-3-(p-benzoic acid)); **pTTBPA:** 4' -([2,2' :5' ,2"-terthiophen]-3' -yl)-[1,1' -biphenyl]-4-carboxylic acid; **PVDF**: polyvinylidene fluoride; **P(Pyr-Epx)**: epoxy-substituted-polypyrrole; **P(ThiPh-gMAm)**: poly(thiophene)-graft-poly(methacrylamide) polymer; **QCM**: quartz crystal microbalance; **RAGE**: receptor domains for advanced glycation end products (three extracellular immunoglobulin domains: V, C1, C2); **rc**: regenerated cellulose; **rGRO**: reduced graphene oxide; **RPS**: resistive pulse sensing; **QDs**: quantum dots; **SA-AuNPs**: sodium alginate-Au nanoparticles; **SAM(s)**: self-assembled monolayer(s); **SATA**: N-succinimidyl S-acetylthioacetate; **SDS**: sodium-dodecyl sulphate; **SERS**: surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy; **SFI**: single frequency impedance; **SH**: thiol group; **SH-Apt**: thiolated aptamer for the target (T); **SPBs**: superparamagnetic beads; **SPE**: screen-printed electrode; **SPGMA**: four-armed star shaped poly (glycidyl methacrylate); **SPy**: pyrrole-silane; **ssDNA**: single-stranded DNA; **Str(PGMA)**₃: tri-armed star poly(glycidyl methacrylate); **Stv**: streptavidin; **SWASV**: square wave ASV; **SWCNTs**: single wall carbon nanotubes; **SWV**: square wave voltammetry; **T**: target; **TAA**: thioacetamide; **TAPP**: tetra(4-aminophenyl) porphyrin; **TB**: toluidine blue; **TBACIO**₄: tetrabutylammonium perchlorate; **TBAP**: tetrabutylammonium perchlorate; **TBO**: toluidine blue O; **TCEP**: tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine hydrochloride; **TESBA**: 3-(triethoxysilyl)butyl aldehyde; **TESUD**: 11-(triethoxysilyl) undecanal; **Thi**: thionine; **ThiPh**: thiophene; **Th-T**: Thioflavine-T; **TMB**: 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine; **TMSE**: 1,2-bis (trimethoxysilyl)ethane; **undi**1.: undiluted; **UNS**: ultrathin nanosheets; **WP6@PdPt PCONs**: water-soluble pillar[6]arene functionalized PdPt porous coreshell octahedral nanodendrites; **ZnO-MPC**: ZnO/porous carbon matrix composite; **Zr-tdc**: Zr(IV)-organic framework with 2,5-thiophenedicarboxylate ligand.

References

- 1 A. P. Selvam, A. Wangzhou, M. Jacobs, T. Wu, C. Mohan and Shalini. Prasad, Future Sci. OA, 2017, 3, FSO224.
- 2 D. Wu, D. Rios-Aguirre, M. Chounlakone, S. Camacho-Leon and J. Voldman, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **117**, 522–529.
- 3 V. Kamakoti, N. R. Shanmugam, A. S. Tanak, B. Jagannath and S. Prasad, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2018, 436, 441–450.
- 4 PCT/US Patent WO 2018/107143 A1, 2018, 25pp.
- 5 M. H. Akhtar, K. K. Hussain, N. G. Gurudatt, P. Chandra and Y.-B. Shim, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2018, 116, 108–115.
- 6 M. Bockaj, B. Fung, M. Tsoulis, W. G. Foster and L. Soleymani, Anal. Chem., 2018, 90, 8561–8566.
- 7 S. Jampasa, W. Siangproh, R. Laocharoensuk, T. Vilaivan and O. Chailapakul, Talanta, 2018, 183, 311–319.
- 8 Q. Tang, L. Zhang, X. Tan, L. Jiao, Q. Wei and H. Li, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 133, 94–99.
- 9 Y. Boonyasit, O. Chailapakul and W. Laiwattanapaisal, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2019, **130**, 389–396.
- 10 Y.-C. Kuo, C.-K. Lee and C.-T. Lin, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **103**, 130–137.
- 11 A. T. E. Vilian, W. Kim, B. Park, S. Y. Oh, T. Kim, Y. S. Huh, C. K. Hwangbo and Y.-K. Han, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 142, 111549 1-8.
- 12 F. C. B. Fernandes, J. R. Andrade and P. R. Bueno, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2019, 291, 493–501.
- 13 J. Piccoli, R. Hein, A. H. El-Sagheer, T. Brown, E. M. Cilli, P. R. Bueno and J. J. Davis, Anal. Chem., 2018, 90, 3005–3008.
- 14 S. Dong, D. Zhang, H. Cui and T. Huang, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2019, 284, 354–361.
- 15 S. Dong, H. Cui, D. Zhang and M. Tong, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2019, 166, B193–B199.
- 16 Z.-T. Lin, Y. Li, J. Gu, H. Wang, Z. Zhu, X. Hong, Z. Zhang, Q. Lu, J. Qiu and X. Wang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2018, 28, 1802482 1-9.
- 17 A. Kowalczyk, J. P. Sęk, A. Kasprzak, M. Poplawska, I. P. Grudzinski and A. M. Nowicka, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2018, 117, 232–239.
- 18 D. S. Juang, C.-H. Lin, Y.-R. Huo, C.-Y. Tang, C.-R. Cheng, H.-S. Wu, S.-F. Huang, A. Kalnitsky and C.-C. Lin, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, 117, 175–182.
- 19 M. Jarczewska, J. Rebiś, Ł. Górski and E. Malinowska, *Talanta*, 2018, **189**, 45–54.
- 20 Z. Wang, P. Dong, Z. Sun, C. Sun, H. Bu, J. Han, S. Chen and G. Xie, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2018, 6, 2426–2431.
- 21 S. Boonkaew, S. Chaiyo, S. Jampasa, S. Rengpipat, W. Siangproh and O. Chailapakul, Microchim. Acta, 2019, 186, 153 1–10.
- 22 C. Pinyorospathum, S. Chaiyo, P. Sae-ung, V. P. Hoven, P. Damsongsang, W. Siangproh and O. Chailapakul, *Microchim. Acta*, 2019, **186**, 472 1–10.
- 23 M. Thangamuthu, C. Santschi and O. J. F. Martin, *Biosensors*, 2018, 8, 34 1–11.
- 24 A. Baradoke, R. Hein, X. Li and J. J. Davis, Anal. Chem., 2020, 92, 3508–3511.
- 25 L. Cao, J. Kiely, M. Piano and R. Luxton, *Materials*, 2019, 12, 1126 1–13.
- 26 S. R. Chinnadayyala, J. Park, Y. H. Kim, S. H. Choi, S.-M. Lee, W. W. Cho, G.-Y. Lee, J.-C. Pyun and S. Cho, Sensors, 2019, 19, 5560 1–15.
- 27 A. Deng, D. Matloff, C.-E. Lin, D. Probst, T. Broniak, M. Alsuwailem and J. T. La Belle, Crit. Rev. Biomed. Eng., 2019, 47, 169–178.
- 28 Y. Jang, H. Kim, S. Y. Yang, J. Jung and J. Oh, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 9980–9990.
- 29 P. Kanyong and J. J. Davis, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2020, 856, 113675 1-7.
- 30 I. Letchumanan, M. M. Arshad, S. R. Balakrishnan and S. C. Gopinath, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 130, 40–47.
- 31 A. Sinha, T.-Y. Tai, K.-H. Li, P. Gopinathan, Y.-D. Chung, I. Sarangadharan, H.-P. Ma, P.-C. Huang, S.-C. Shiesh, Y.-L. Wang and G.-B. Lee, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2019, **129**, 155–163.
- 32 P. Kanyong, C. Catli and J. J. Davis, Anal. Chem., 2020, 92, 4707–4710.
- 33 Á. Molinero-Fernández, M. Moreno-Guzmán, L. Arruza, M. Á. López and A. Escarpa, ACS Sens., 2019, 4, 2117–2123.
- 34 M. Platt and R. Maugi, *Med Devices Sens*, 2020, **3**, e10059 1-7.
- 35 O. Kutova, M. Dusheiko, N. I. Klyui and V. A. Skryshevsky, Microelectron. Eng., 2019, 215, 110993 1–7.
- 36 M.-J. Li, H.-J. Wang, R. Yuan and Y.-Q. Chai, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 10772–10775.
- 37 X. Zhang, K.-N. Chi, D.-L. Li, Y. Deng, Y.-C. Ma, Q.-Q. Xu, R. Hu and Y.-H. Yang, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 129, 64-71.
- 38 Á. Molinero-Fernández, M. Moreno-Guzmán, M. Á. López and A. Escarpa, Microchem. J., 2020, 157, 104913–104920.
- 39 L. Cao, J. Kiely, M. Piano and R. Luxton, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2020, **136**, 107593–107603.
- 40 Á. Molinero-Fernández, L. Arruza, M. Á. López and A. Escarpa, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2020, 158, 112156–112166.
- 41 L. Gillan, T. Teerinen, L.-S. Johansson and M. Smolander, Sens. Int., 2021, 2, 100060–100068.
- 42 J. P. Piccoli, A. C. Soares, O. N. Oliveira and E. M. Cilli, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2021, **138**, 107692–107701.
- 43 Y. Ma, J. Yang, T. Yang, Y. Deng, M. Gu, M. Wang, R. Hu and Y. Yang, RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 9723–9729.
- 44 S. K. Arya, T. S. Pui, C. C. Wong, S. Kumar and A. R. A. Rahman, Langmuir, 2013, 29, 6770–6777.
- 45 W. Huang, K. Besar, R. LeCover, P. Dulloor, J. Sinha, J. F. Martínez Hardigree, C. Pick, J. Swavola, A. D. Everett, J. Frechette, M. Bevan and H. E. Katz, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 416–426.
- 46 T. Wang, Y. Fang and Z. He, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2017, 12, 7341–7350.
- 47 J. Song, J. Dailey, H. Li, H.-J. Jang, P. Zhang, J. T.-H. Wang, A. D. Everett and H. E. Katz, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2017, 27, 1606506 1–7.
- 48 S. Khetani, V. Ozhukil Kollath, V. Kundra, M. D. Nguyen, C. Debert, A. Sen, K. Karan and A. Sanati-Nezhad, ACS Sens., 2018, 3, 844–851.
- 49 B. A. Cardinell, C. P. Addington, S. E. Stabenfeldt and J. T. La Belle, Crit. Rev. Biomed. Eng., 2019, 47, 193–206.
- 50 E. Crowley, C. O'Sullivan and G. G. Guilbault, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1999, 389, 171–178.
- 51 A. Carbonaro and L. L. Sohn, Lab. Chip, 2005, 5, 1155–1160.
- 52 C. Siegmann-Thoss, R. Renneberg, J. F. C. Glatz and F. Spener, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 1996, 30, 71–76.
- 53 A. Schreiber, R. Feldbrügge, G. Key, J. F. C. Glatz and F. Spener, Biosens. Bioelectron., 1997, 12, 1131–1137.
- 54 T. M. O'Regan, M. Pravda, C. K. O'Sullivan and G. G. Guilbault, *Talanta*, 2002, **57**, 501–510.
- 55 L.-N. Feng, Z.-P. Bian, J. Peng, F. Jiang, G.-H. Yang, Y.-D. Zhu, D. Yang, L.-P. Jiang and J.-J. Zhu, Anal. Chem., 2012, 84, 7810–7815.
- 56 D. Stan, C.-M. Mihailescu, R. Iosub, C. Moldovan, M. Savin and I. Baciu, Thin Solid Films, 2012, 526, 143–149.
- 57 C.-M. Mihailescu, D. Stan, R. Iosub, C. Moldovan and M. Savin, Talanta, 2015, 132, 37–43.
- 58 X. Qin, A. Xu, L. Liu, Y. Sui, Y. Li, Y. Tan, C. Chen and Q. Xie, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, 91, 321–327.

- 59 A. Garcia-Cruz, F. Nessark, M. Lee, N. Zine, M. Sigaud, R. Pruna, M. Lopez, P. Marote, J. Bausells, N. Jaffrezic-Renault and A. Errachid, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2018, 255, 2520–2530.
- 60 N. Liu, H. Yi, Y. Lin, H. Zheng, X. Zheng, D. Lin and H. Dai, *Microchim. Acta*, 2018, 185, 277 1–8.
- 61 H. Wei, S. Ni, C. Cao, G. Yang and Guozhen. Liu, ACS Sens., 2018, 3, 1553–1561.
- 62 D. Gentili, P. D'Angelo, F. Militano, R. Mazzei, T. Poerio, M. Brucale, G. Tarabella, S. Bonetti, S. L. Marasso, M. Cocuzza, L. Giorno, S. Iannotta and M. Cavallini, *J. Mater. Chem. B Mater. Biol. Med.*, 2018, **6**, 5400–5406.
- 63 M. Tertis, G. Melinte, B. Ciui, I. Simon, R. Stiufiuc, R. Săndulescu and C. Cristea, Electroanalysis, 2019, 31, 282–292.
- 64 M. Tertiş, P. I. Leva, D. Bogdan, M. Suciu, F. Graur and C. Cristea, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2019, **137**, 123–132.
- 65 Z. Hao, Y. Pan, C. Huang, Z. Wang and X. Zhao, Biomed. Microdevices, 2019, 21, 65 1-9.
- 66 C. Russell, A. C. Ward, V. Vezza, P. Hoskisson, D. Alcorn, D. P. Steenson and D. K. Corrigan, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 126, 806–814.
- 67 E. B. Aydın, M. Aydın and M. K. Sezgintürk, New J. Chem., 2020, 44, 14228–14238.
- 68 E. B. Aydın, *Talanta*, 2020, **215**, 120909 1–11.
- 69 E. B. Aydın, M. Aydın and M. K. Sezgintürk, *Talanta*, 2021, 222, 121596.
- 70 M. Aydın, E. B. Aydın and M. K. Sezgintürk, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2018, 117, 720–728.
- 71 E. B. Aydın and M. K. Sezgintürk, Anal. Biochem., 2018, 554, 44–52.
- 72 T. Putnin, A. Ngamaroonchote, N. Wiriyakun, K. Ounnunkad and R. Laocharoensuk, Microchim. Acta, 2019, 186, 305 1–12.
- 73 E. Cantù, S. Tonello, G. Abate, D. Uberti, E. Sardini and M. Serpelloni, Sensors, 2018, 18, 3719 1–14.
- 74 N. Pachauri, G. B. V. S. Lakshmi, S. Sri, P. K. Gupta and P. R. Solanki, Mater. Sci. Eng. C, 2020, 113, 110911.
- 75 J. Xu, X. Yu, L. Xie and M. Shao, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2020, 412, 2599–2606.
- 76 N. S. Ramgir, A. Zajac, P. K. Sekhar, L. Lee, T. A. Zhukov and S. Bhansali, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 13981–13987.
- 77 N. S. Ramgir, P. K. Sekhar, A. Zajac, L. Lee, T. Zhukov and S. Bhansali, Sens. Lett., 2007, 5, 608-611.
- 78 Y.-H. Dou, S. J. Haswell, J. Greenman and J. Wadhawan, Electroanalysis, 2012, 24, 264–272.
- 79 M. Lee, N. Zine, A. Baraket, M. Zabala, F. Campabadal, R. Caruso, M. G. Trivella, N. Jaffrezic-Renault and A. Errachid, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2012, 175, 201–207.
- 80 M. Lee, A. Baraket, N. Zine, M. Zabala, F. Campabadal, R. Caruso, M. G. Trivella, N. Jaffrezic-Renault and A. Errachid, *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 2015, **1172**, 49–64.
- 81 A. Baraket, M. Lee, N. Zine, N. Yaakoubi, J. Bausells and A. Errachid, Microchim. Acta, 2016, 183, 2155–2162.
- 82 A. Baraket, M. Lee, N. Zine, M. Sigaud, J. Bausells and A. Errachid, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2017, 93, 170–175.
- 83 K. Parate, S. V. Rangnekar, D. Jing, D. L. Mendivelso-Perez, S. Ding, E. B. Secor, E. A. Smith, J. M. Hostetter, M. C. Hersam and J. C. Claussen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 8592–8603.
- 84 F. Nessark, M. Eissa, A. Baraket, N. Zine, B. Nessark, A. Zouaoui, J. Bausells and A. Errachid, Electroanalysis, 2020, 32, 1795–1806.
- 85 G. Yang, L. Li, R. K. Rana and J.-J. Zhu, *Carbon*, 2013, **61**, 357–366.
- 86 L. Ning, P. Miao, T. Gao, H. Wang and G. Li, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2013, 111, 499–503.
- 87 T. Zheng, R. Zhang, Q. Zhang, T. Tan, K. Zhang, J.-J. Zhu and H. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 7881–7883.
- 88 D. Yamamoto, S. Hideshima, S. Kuroiwa, T. Nakanishi and Tetsuya. Osaka, Chem. Sens., 2013, 29, 90–92.
- 89 J.-H. Choi, H. Kim, H.-S. Kim, S. H. Um, J.-W. Choi and B.-Keun. Oh, J. Biomed. Nanotechnol., 2013, 9, 732–735.
- 90 J.-H. Choi, H. Kim, J.-H. Choi, J.-W. Choi and B.-K. Oh, Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 12023–12028.
- 91 G.-C. Fan, L. Han, H. Zhu, J.-R. Zhang and J.-J. Zhu, Anal. Chem., 2014, 86, 12398–12405.
- 92 H. Xu, H. Ye, L. Yu, Y. Chi, X. Liu and G. Chen, Anal. Methods, 2015, 7, 5371–5374.
- 93 P. Jing, H. Yi, S. Xue, R. Yuan and W. Xu, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 65725-65730.
- 94 S. Y. Hwang, I. J. Seo, S. Y. Lee and Y. Ahn, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2015, 756, 118–123.
- 95 W. Xu, P. Jing, H. Yi, S. Xue and R. Yuan, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2016, 230, 345-352.
- 96 D. Wang, Y. Yuan, Y. Zheng, Y. Chai and R. Yuan, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 5943–5945.
- 97 B.-B. Kou, Y.-Q. Chai, Y.-L. Yuan and R. Yuan, Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 9383–9387.
- 98 X. Ren, T. Zhang, D. Wu, T. Yan, X. Pang, B. Du, W. Lou and Q. Wei, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2017, 94, 694–700.
- 99 H. Wang and Z. Ma, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2018, 266, 46-51.
- 100 H. Wang, Z. Ma and H. Han, Bioelectrochemistry, 2019, 130, 107324 1-6.
- 101J. Li, Y. Li, L. Xu, X. Fang, H. Yin, Q. Xu, H. Fang, H. Li and W. Wang, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2020, 320, 128597.
- 102 M. Chen, C. Zhao, W. Chen, S. Weng, A. Liu, Q. Liu, Z. Zheng, J. Lin and X. Lin, Analyst, 2013, 138, 7341–7346.
- 103X. Ma, M. Li, P. Tong, C. Zhao, J. Li and G. Xu, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2020, 156, 112150.
- 104E. Özgür, H. Uzunçakmak Uyanık, S. Şenel and L. Uzun, Mater. Sci. Eng. B, 2020, 256, 114545.
- 105 K. Kim, G. R. Lee, M. Kim, H. Lim, Y. S. Jung and C. B. Park, ACS Nano, 2020, 14, 10376–10384.
- 106Y. Mie, K. Takahashi, Y. Itoga, K. Sueyoshi, H. Tsujino, T. Yamashita and T. Uno, Electrochem. Commun., 2020, 110, 106621 1-5.
- 107H.-H. Miao, J.-S. Ye, S. L. Y. Wong, B.-X. Wang, X.-Y. Li and F.-S. Sheu, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2000, **51**, 163–173.
- 108X. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Ye, T. Wu, H. Deng, P. Wu and C. Li, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2018, 99, 34–39.
- 109J. Amani, M. Maleki, A. Khoshroo, A. Sobhani-Nasab and M. Rahimi-Nasrabadi, Anal. Biochem., 2018, 548, 53–59.
- 110Q. Zhang, X. Li, C. Qian, L. Dou, F. Cui and X. Chen, Anal. Biochem., 2018, 540–541, 1–8.
- 111S. Yin, L. Zhao and Z. Ma, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2018, 410, 1279–1286.
- 112L. Zhao, H. Han and Z. Ma, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **101**, 304–310.
- 113D. Zhang, W. Li, H. Wang and Z. Ma, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2018, 258, 141–147.
- 114W. Li, D. Shu, H. Han and Z. Ma, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2018, 273, 1451–1455.
- 115H. Wang and Z. Ma, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2018, 254, 642–647.
- 116Y. Wang, J. Luo, J. Liu, S. Sun, Y. Xiong, Y. Ma, S. Yan, Y. Yang, H. Yin and X. Cai, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 136, 84–90.
- 117X. Zhou, X. Qian, X. Tan, X. Ran, Z. Li, Z. Huang, L. Yang and X. Xie, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2019, 1068, 18–27.
- 118R. Tchinda, A. Tutsch, B. Schmid, R. D. Süssmuth and Z. Altintas, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 123, 260–268.
- 119C. Zhang and Z. Ma, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 143, 111612 1-6.
- 120S. Yin and Z. Ma, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2019, 281, 857–863.

- 121J. Sun, Y. Zhao, Y. Hou, H. Li, M. Yang, Y. Wang and B. Sun, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 13381–13387.
- 122 M. Aydın, E. B. Aydın and M. K. Sezgintürk, Macromol. Biosci., 2019, 19, 1900109 1–12.
- 123 M. M. Honikel and J. T. La Belle, Crit. Rev. Biomed. Eng., 2019, 47, 217–234.
- 124Y. Fang, Y. Li, M. Zhang, B. Cui, Q. Hu and L. Wang, *The Analyst*, 2019, **144**, 2186–2194.
- 125J. Liang, J. Wang, L. Zhang, S. Wang, C. Yao and Z. Zhang, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 1372–1379.
- 126R. A. Soomro, N. H. Kalwar, A. Avci, E. Pehlivan, K. R. Hallam and M. Willander, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 141, 111331 1–7.
- 127E. Ma, P. Wang, Q. Yang, H. Yu, F. Pei, Y. Zheng, Q. Liu, Y. Dong and Y. Li, ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng., 2020, 6, 1418–1427.
- 128Y. Chen, X.-Y. Ge, S.-Y. Cen, A.-J. Wang, X. Luo and J.-J. Feng, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2020, **311**, 127931 1–10.
- 129 M. Pirzada, E. Sehit and Z. Altintas, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2020, 166, 112464.
- 130E. B. Aydın, M. Aydın and M. K. Sezgintürk, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2020, 306, 127613 1–12.
- 131 M. Aydın, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 2020, 100, 492-506.
- 132Y. Li, C. Wang, Z. Li, M. Wang, L. He and Z. Zhang, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2020, 314, 128090.
- 133X. Yu, X. Li, S. Zhang, Y. Jia, Z. Xu, X. Li, Z. Chen and Y. Li, Bioelectrochemistry, 2021, 138, 107693.
- 134Y. Liu, H. Wang, J. Chen, C. Liu, W. Li, J. Kong, P. Yang and B. Liu, *Electroanalysis*, 2013, **25**, 1050–1055.
- 135X. Wang, H. Li, X. Li, Y. Chen, Y. Yin and G. Li, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2014, **39**, 12–14.
- 136E. Mikuła, A. Wysłouch-Cieszyńska, L. Zhukova, M. Puchalska, P. Verwilst, W. Dehaen, J. Radecki and H. Radecka, Sensors, 2014, 14, 10650–10663.
- 137K. Kurzątkowska, A. Jankowska, A. Wysłouch-Cieszyńska, L. Zhukova, M. Puchalska, W. Dehaen, H. Radecka and J. Radecki, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2016, 767, 76–83.
- 138S. Khetani, R. Aburashed, A. Singh, A. Sen and A. Sanati-Nezhad, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2017, 247, 163–169.
- 139A. S. Mathew, X. Shi and S.-T. Yau, *Mol. Diagn. Ther.*, 2018, **22**, 729–735.
- 140M. A. Tabrizi, J. Ferré-Borrull, P. Kapruwan and L. F. Marsal, Microchim. Acta, 2019, 186, 117 1–9.
- 141W. A. Hassanain, A. Sivanesan, G. A. Ayoko and E. L. Izake, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2020, 167, 067518.
- 142J. O. Esteves-Villanueva, H. Trzeciakiewicz and S. Martic, Analyst, 2014, 139, 2823–2831.
- 143B. Derkus, P. A. Bozkurt, M. Tulu, K. C. Emregul, C. Yucesan and E. Emregul, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2017, 89, 781–788.
- 144S. X. Wang, D. Acha, A. J. Shah, F. Hills, I. Roitt, A. Demosthenous and R. H. Bayford, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2017, 92, 482–488.
- 145 Y. Dai, L.-Y. Chiu, Y. Chen, S. Qin, X. Wu and C. C. Liu, ACS Sens., 2018, 4, 161–169.
- 146B. Shui, D. Tao, J. Cheng, Y. Mei, N. Jaffrezic-Renault and Z. Guo, The Analyst, 2018, 143, 3549–3554.
- 147N. Carlin and Sanela. Martic-Milne, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2018, 165, G3018–G3025.
- 148D. Tao, B. Shui, Y. Gu, J. Cheng, W. Zhang, N. Jaffrezic-Renault, S. Song and Z. Guo, Biosensors, 2019, 9, 841–12.
- 149X. Li, M. Jiang, J. Cheng, M. Ye, W. Zhang, N. Jaffrezic-Renault and Z. Guo, Microchim. Acta, 2020, 187, 302–309.
- 150M. Ye, M. Jiang, J. Cheng, X. Li, Z. Liu, W. Zhang, S. M. Mugo, N. Jaffrezic-Renault and Z. Guo, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2020, 308, 127692.
- 151Y. Song, T. Xu, Q. Zhu and X. Zhang, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2020, 162, 112253.
- 152 M. N. Sonuç Karaboga and M. K. Sezgintürk, *Talanta*, 2020, **219**, 121257.
- 153M.-Á. García-Chamé, Ó. Gutiérrez-Sanz, E. Ercan-Herbst, N. Haustein, M. S. Filipiak, D. E. Ehrnhöfer and A. Tarasov, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2020, **159**, 112129.
- 154C. A. Razzino, V. Serafín, M. Gamella, M. Pedrero, A. Montero-Calle, R. Barderas, M. Calero, A. O. Lobo, P. Yáñez-Sedeño, S. Campuzano and J. M. Pingarrón, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2020, **163**, 112238.
- 155V. Serafin, C. A. Razzino, M. Gamella, M. Pedrero, E. Povedano, A. Montero-Calle, R. Barderas, M. Calero, A. O. Lobo, P. Yanez-Sedeno, S. Campuzano and J. M. Pingarron, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, , DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-020-02724-3.
- 156X. Hun and X. Kong, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2021, **192**, 113666.
- 157Y. Dai, C. C. Liu and A. Molazemhosseini, *Biosensors*, 2017, 7, 10 1-11.
- 158K. Kim and C. B. Park, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2020, 154, 112075.
- 159D. Park, J. H. Kim, H. J. Kim, D. Lee, D. S. Lee, D. S. Yoon and K. S. Hwang, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2020, 167, 112505.
- 160S. Khetani, V. O. Kollath, E. Eastick, C. Debert, A. Sen, K. Karan and A. Sanati-Nezhad, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 145, 111715 1-9.
- 161N. K. Bakirhan, G. Ozcelikay and S. A. Ozkan, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2018, 159, 406–424.
- 162H. Sohrabi, H. kholafazad Kordasht, P. Pashazadeh-Panahi, P. Nezhad-Mokhtari, M. Hashemzaei, M. R. Majidi, J. Mosafer, F. Oroojalian, A. Mokhtarzadeh and M. de la Guardia, *Microchem. J.*, 2020, **158**, 105287–105301.
- 163K. Dhara and D. R. Mahapatra, *Microchem. J.*, 2020, **156**, 104857–104881.
- 164X. Chen, T. Dong, X. Wei, Z. Yang, N. M. Matos Pires, J. Ren and Z. Jiang, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2019, 142, 111453 1–18.
- 165J. E. Contreras-Naranjo and O. Aguilar, *Biosensors*, 2019, 9, 15 1-23.
- 166 P.-H. Lin and B.-R. Li, Analyst, 2020, **145**, 1110–1120.
- 167J. Y. Lichtenberg, Y. Ling and S. Kim, *Sensors*, 2019, **19**, 2488 1–17.
- 168 M. Cui, Z. Song, Y. Wu, B. Guo, X. Fan and X. Luo, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **79**, 736–741.
- 169N. Hui, X. Sun, S. Niu and X. Luo, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 2914–2923.
- 170J. Deng, T. Wang, J. Guo and P. Liu, Prog. Nat. Sci. Mater. Int., 2017, 27, 257–260.
- 171G. A. Snook, P. Kao and A. S. Best, J. Power Sources, 2011, 196, 1–12.
- 172J. Pinson and F. Podvorica, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2005, 34, 429-439.