

## Supplementary Information

### **Biomass-derived O, N-codoped 3D porous carbon prepared by black fungus and hericium erinaceus for high performance supercapacitor**

Xinxian Zhong,<sup>a</sup> Quanyuan Mao,<sup>a</sup> Zesheng Li,<sup>b</sup> Zhigao Wu,<sup>c</sup> YataoXie,<sup>d</sup> Shu-Hui Li,<sup>\*a</sup> Guichao Liang<sup>a</sup>  
and Hongqiang Wang<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *State Key Laboratory for the Chemistry and Molecular Engineering of Medicinal Resources, Guangxi Key Laboratory of Low Carbon Energy Materials, School of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, 541004, China. E-mail: gxnulsh@gxnu.edu.cn; E-mail: whq74@mailbox.gxnu.edu.cn*

<sup>b</sup> *College of Chemistry, Guangdong University of Petrochemical Technology, Maoming, 525000, China*

<sup>c</sup> *Guangxi Vocational and Technical Institute of Industry, Nanning, 530005, China*

<sup>d</sup> *School of Materials Science and Engineering, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, 266100, China*

## **Experimental Materials**

The raw materials (e.g. black fungus and hericium erinaceus) were purchased from Guangxi province, China. Distilled water, ethanol (95 %), potassium hydroxide (KOH) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl) were obtained commercially.

## **Structural characterization**

The morphologies of prepared carbon materials were observed by field emission environmental scanning electron microscopy (FEI Quanta 200 FEG), Raman spectra were recorded by Raman spectrometer (in Via), and the composition and structure of materials were recorded by X-ray powder diffractometer (Rigaku D/max 2500 v/pc). The BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) surface area of these prepared carbon materials was obtained by using the BET equation with Quantachrome Instruments, and the pore size distribution of materials was analyzed by Density Functional Theory (DFT) method.

## **Electrochemical measurement**

The electrochemical performance was measured under two-electrode configuration with 6.0 M KOH as electrolyte. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves and galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD) curves were recorded by using electrochemical working station (CHI 690E, Shanghai, China) except the GCD curves of cycle life were investigated on LAND (Wuhan LAND, China). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured at 100 kHz ~ 10 mHz with an amplitude of 5 mV.

**Table S1.** Specific surface area and pore volume of FAC<sub>X</sub> and HAC<sub>X</sub> samples.

Sample	$S_{\text{BET}}^{\text{a}}$ (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	$S_{\text{micro}}^{\text{b}}$ (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	$S_{\text{meso}}^{\text{c}}$ (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	$V_{\text{total}}^{\text{d}}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	$V_{\text{micro}}^{\text{e}}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	$V_{\text{meso}}^{\text{f}}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Average pore diameter (nm)
FAC <sub>1</sub>	363.5	319.5	44.0	0.177	0.141	0.036	0.889
FAC <sub>2</sub>	1227.3	1083.8	143.5	0.451	0.386	0.065	1.278
FAC <sub>3</sub>	1501.6	1132.0	369.6	0.621	0.402	0.219	1.332
HAC <sub>1</sub>	957.2	879.1	78.1	0.423	0.312	0.111	1.030
HAC <sub>2</sub>	1362.0	1154.3	207.7	0.523	0.410	0.113	1.186
HAC <sub>3</sub>	1667.5	1248.6	418.9	0.652	0.447	0.205	1.352

<sup>a</sup> Specific surface area calculated by BET method.

<sup>b</sup> Micropore surface area based on t-plot method.

<sup>c</sup>  $S_{\text{meso}} = S_{\text{BET}} - S_{\text{micro}}$ .

<sup>d</sup> Total pore volume at  $P/P_0 = 0.99$ .

<sup>e</sup> Micropore pore volume from t-plot method.

<sup>f</sup>  $V_{\text{meso}} = V_{\text{total}} - V_{\text{micro}}$ .

**Table S2.** Comparison of the specific capacitance of biomass-based carbon materials at different test conditions.

Biomass materials	Activation agent	BET ( $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ )	Specific capacitance ( $\text{F g}^{-1}$ ) / test system	GCD measurement	Electrolyte	Reference
Rapeseed dregs	$\text{ZnCl}_2$	1417	170.5 / three-electrode	$5 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	1 M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$	1
Loofah sponge	KOH	2718	309.6 / three-electrode	$1000 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	2
Mushroom (Shiitake)	$\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ -KOH	2988	238 / two-electrode	$200 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	3
Bamboo char	KOH	1732	222 / two-electrode	$500 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	4
Corn grain	KOH	3199	257 / two-electrode	$50 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	5
Rice husk	$\text{ZnCl}_2$	1527	245 / two-electrode	$50 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	6
Osmanthus flower	KOH	1463	255 / three-electrode	$1000 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	7
Peanut shell	$\text{ZnCl}_2$	1552	184 / two-electrode	$50 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	8
comcob	KOH	64.8	247 / two-electrode	$500 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	9
Silkworm	self activation	2523	235 / two-electrode	$1000 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	10
Black fungus	KOH	1227	209.3 / two-electrode	$1000 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	This work
Heridium erinaceus	KOH	1362	238.6 / two-electrode	$1000 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$	6 M KOH	This work

**Table S3.** Comparison of the energy density and power density of biomass-based carbon materials.

Biomass materials	Energy density (Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Power density (W kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference
Mushroom (Shiitake)	8.2	100	3
Bamboo char	6.68	100.2	4
Rice husk	8.36	59.8	6
comcob	8.9	128.2	9
Silkworm	7.9	234	10
Large AC/AC	3.96	100	11
Walnut shell	7.97	180.8	12
Black fungus	7.3	250	This work
Hericiium erinaceus	8.3	250	This work

AC, activated, microporous carbon.

## Reference

- 1 X. Kang, H. Zhu, C. Wang, K. Sun and J. Yin, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, 2018, **509**, 369-383.
- 2 X.-L. Su, J.-R. Chen, G.-P. Zheng, J.-H. Yang, X.-X. Guan, P. Liu and X.-C. Zheng, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **436**, 327-336.
- 3 P. Cheng, S. Gao, P. Zang, X. Yang, Y. Bai, H. Xu, Z. Liu and Z. Lei, *Carbon*, 2015, **93**, 315-324.
- 4 Y. Gong, D. Li, C. Luo, Q. Fu and C. Pan, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 4132-4140.
- 5 M. S. Balathanigaimani, W.-G. Shim, M.-J. Lee, C. Kim, J.-W. Lee and H. Moon, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2008, **10**, 868-871.
- 6 X. He, P. Ling, M. Yu, X. Wang, X. Zhang and M. Zheng, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **105**, 635-641.
- 7 R. Zou, H. Quan, W. Wang, W. Gao, Y. Dong and D. Chen, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 258-265.
- 8 X. He, R. Li, J. Han, M. Yu and M. Wu, *Mater. Lett.*, 2013, **94**, 158-160.
- 9 M. Xu, Q. Huang, J. Lu and J. Niu, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2021, **161**, 113215.
- 10 C. Gong, X. Wang, D. Ma, H. Chen, S. Zhang and Z. Liao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **220**, 331-339.
- 11 A. Burke, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2007, **53**, 1083-1091.
- 12 H.-h. Fu, L. Chen, H. Gao, X. Yu, J. Hou, G. Wang, F. Yu, H. Li, C. Fan, Y.-l. Shi and X. Guo, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2020, **45**, 443-451.