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Table S1. Systemic Configuration Information during unbiased and biased MD Simulations.

| System | Simulation Method | Box Type | Box Size | Volume (nm ${ }^{3}$ ) | Total Atoms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT RBD + FAP 2-4 | MD | Dodecahedron | (11.51, 11.51, 11.51) | 971.33 | 106209 |
| $501 \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{FAP} 2-4$ | MD | Dodecahedron | (11.51, 11.51, 11.51) | 971.33 | 106231 |
| WT RBD + FAP | MD | Dodecahedron | (15.10, 15.10, 15.10) | 2391.18 | 232378 |
| $501 \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{FAP}$ | MD | Dodecahedron | (15.10, 15.10, 15.10) | 2391.18 | 232376 |
| WT RBD + FAP 2-4 (wi) | SMD/US | Rectangular | (7.64, 8.08, 14.80) | 913.62 | 88128 |
| $501 \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{FAP} 2-4(\mathrm{ml})$ | SMD/US | Rectangular | (7.64, 8.08, 14.8o) | 913.62 | 88138 |
| $501 \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{FAP}$ 2-4 (m2) | SMD/US | Rectangular | (7.64, 8.08, 14.80) | 913.62 | 88149 |
| WT RBD + FAP 2-4 (Wı) | SMD/US | Rectangular | (8.57, 9.04, 17.31) | 1341.05 | 132409 |
| WT RBD + FAP 2-4 (W2) | SMD/US | Rectangular | (8.57, 9.04, 17.31) | 1341.05 | 132592 |
| $501 \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{FAP}$ (M1) | SMD/US | Rectangular | (8.57, 9.04, 17.31) | 1341.05 | 132395 |
| $501 \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{FAP}(\mathbf{M 2})$ | SMD/US | Rectangular | (8.57, 9.04, 17.31) | 1341.05 | 132464 |
| $501 \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{FAP}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | SMD/US | Rectangular | (8.57, 9.04, 17.31) | 1341.05 | 132320 |

Table 2. The calculated results using SMD and US simulations. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| No | System | $\Delta G_{\mathbf{o n}}^{++}$ <br> (kcal mol-1) | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{k}_{\text {on }} \\ \left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{\text {off }}^{++}$ <br> (kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{k}_{\text {off }} \\ & \left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{b}} \\ \left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Predicted $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{D}}$ range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | WT RBD + fNAb | 0.24 | $7.25 \times 10^{-12}$ | 18.31 | $7.38 \times 10^{-1}$ | -18.07 | Sub-Picomolar |
| 2 | $501 \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{fNAb}$ | 0.79 | $2.96 \times 10^{-12}$ | 11.91 | $2.48 \times 10^{4}$ | -11.12 | High-Nanomolar |
| 3 | WT RBD + NAb | 0.51 | $4.69 \times 10^{-12}$ | 41.13 | $5.47 \times 10^{-17}$ | -40.62 | High-Quectomolar |
| 4 | $501 \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{RBD}+\mathrm{NAb}$ | 0.46 | $5.05 \times 10^{-12}$ | 16.36 | $1.76 \times 10^{1}$ | -15.86 | Picomolar |

${ }^{\text {a The }}$ calculated results were obtained over the population of the minima.


Figure S1. The structure of neutralizing antibody. In particular, the fNAb, which residue ranges from 1-127 (chain $A$ ) and 1-110 (chain $B$ ), is noted with green color.


Figure S2. Backbone RMSD of RBD and RBD + glycan during MD simulations.


Figure S3. Superposition of the representative structure of RBD + glycan (red) and RBD (blue), which were clustered from interval 40-100 ns. The complex RBD + fNAb (initial conformation) was also prepared to confirm the superposition of the RBD with and without the glycan.


Figure S4. Backbone RMSD of RBD + antibody systems during 4 independent MD simulations.


Figure S5. The superposition between computed metrics of WT RBD + fNAb in different intervals including $40-100 \mathrm{~ns}$ (black curve) and 40-80 ns (dotted red line) of MD simulations. The results were obtained since combined four independent MD trajectories.


Figure S6. The superposition between computed metrics of 501Y.V2 RBD + fNAb in different intervals including 40-100 ns (black curves) and 40-80 ns (dotted red line) of MD simulations. The results were obtained since combined four independent MD trajectories.


Figure S7. The superposition between computed metrics of WT RBD + NAb in different intervals including $40-100 \mathrm{~ns}$ (black curve) and $40-80 \mathrm{~ns}$ (dotted red line) of MD simulations. The results were obtained since combined four independent MD trajectories.


Figure S8. The superposition between computed metrics of 501Y.V2 RBD + NAb in different intervals including $40-100 \mathrm{~ns}$ (black curve) and $40-80 \mathrm{~ns}$ (dotted red line) of MD simulations. The results were obtained since combined four independent MD trajectories.


Figure S9. The difference between computed metrics of WT and 501Y.V2 RBD + fNAb.


Figure S10. The difference between computed metrics of WT and 501Y.V2 RBD + NAb.


Figure S11. Free energy landscape of WT RBD + fNAb complexes was constructed using PCA method. In particular, (A) presents the FEL of trajectory 1, (B) presents the FEL of trajectory 2, (C) presents the FEL of trajectory 3, (D) presents the FEL of trajectory 4.


Figure S12. Free energy landscape of 501Y.V2 RBD + fNAb complexes was constructed using PCA method. In particular, (A) presents the FEL of trajectory 1, (B) presents the FEL of trajectory 2, (C) presents the FEL of trajectory 3, (D) presents the FEL of trajectory 4.


Figure S13. Free energy landscape of WT RBD + NAb complexes was constructed using PCA method. In particular, (A) presents the FEL of trajectory 1, (B) presents the FEL of trajectory 2, (C) presents the FEL of trajectory 3, (D) presents the FEL of trajectory 4.


Figure S14. Free energy landscape of 501Y.V2 RBD + NAb complexes was constructed using PCA method. In particular, (A) presents the FEL of trajectory 1, (B) presents the FEL of trajectory 2, (C) presents the FEL of trajectory 3, (D) presents the FEL of trajectory 4.


Figure S15. Free energy profile over the unbinding process of fNAb out of the WT/501Y.V2 RBD + fNAb complexes. The results were obtained using the WHAM calculation.


Figure S16. The histograms of US simulations over the unbinding process of fNAb out of the WT/501Y.V2 RBD + fNAb complexes.


Figure S17. Free energy profile over the unbinding process of NAb out of the WT/501Y.V2 RBD + NAb complexes. The results were obtained using the WHAM calculation.


Figure S18. The histograms of US simulations over the unbinding process of NAb out of the WT/501Y.V2 RBD + NAb complexes.


Figure S19. The collective-variable FEL revealed the unbinding pathways of NAb from the WT/501Y.V2 RBD + NAb complexes. B corresponds to the bound state. Mx respond to the dissociated state, in which x is range from 4 to 7 .

