Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for RSC Advances. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

# **1** Supplemental Information

- 2 Anthraquinone-2,6-disulfamidic acid: An anolyte with low decomposition rates at elevated
- 3 temperatures
- 4 Philip Rohland<sup>a,b</sup>, Kristin Schreyer<sup>a,b</sup>, Martin D. Hager<sup>a,b</sup>, Ulrich S. Schubert<sup>\*,a,b</sup>
- 5
- 6 \* E-mail: ulrich.schubert@uni-jena.de
- 7 a Laboratory of Organic and Macromolecular Chemistry (IOMC), Friedrich Schiller University
- 8 Jena, Humboldtstrasse 10, 07743 Jena, Germany
- 9 <sup>b</sup> Center for Energy and Environmental Chemistry Jena (CEEC Jena), Friedrich Schiller
- 10 University Jena, Philosophenweg 7a, 07743 Jena, Germany

11

12

13

14

15

## 17 General analytical instruments

The NMR spectra were obtained utilizing an Advance I 300 MHz (Bruker, Germany) or 18 an Avance III 400 MHz (Bruker, Germany). The ESI-Q-TOF MS measurements were 19 20 performed applying a micrOTOF QII mass spectrometer (Bruker, Germany) with an automatic syringe pump (KD Scientific, USA). An ESI source was used to generate ions 21 22 and the calibrated instrument measured in a m/z range between 50 and 3000. As 23 calibration standard, an ESI-L Low concentration tuning mix from Agilent was utilized. 24 The sample concentration ranged between 1 and 10  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>. The samples were injected in the instrument with a constant flow of 3  $\mu$ L min<sup>-1</sup> (= 180  $\mu$ L hr<sup>-1</sup>). The data were 25 26 evaluated with the Bruker Data Analysis software version 4.2. For pH-measurements, a 27 Mettler Toledo FiveGO F2 equipped with a Mettler Toledo LF438 pH-electrode was 28 used. For the FT-IR spectroscopy investigations an Invenio S (Bruker, Germany) with a Platinum ATR (Bruker, Germany) was used. 29

#### 30 Chemicals and materials

If not noted otherwise, the solvents were purchased from standard suppliers and distilled prior to usage. If solvents were used from a solvent purification system (SPS), they were obtained from an MB-SPS-800 (MBraun Inertgas-Systeme GmbH, Germany) and stored under argon. If water was used, deionized water was utilized. 2,6-Diaminoanthraquinone (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), lithium chloride (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), pyridine (Fisher Scientific, Germany), chlorosulfuric acid (Merk, Germany), potassium ferrocyanide trihydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) were purchased by the corresponding suppliers and used as received.

#### 39 Synthesis of lithium anthraquinone 2,6-bis(sulfamate)



30 g (126 mmol) of 2,6-diaminoanthraquinone was suspended in 600 mL of dichloromethane 41 in a 1.5 L sulfonation flask equipped with a KPG (sealed precision glass) stirrer, a reflux con-42 denser, dropping funnel and a thermometer. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. 43 27.2 mL (44.04 g, 378 mmol) chlorosulfuric acid diluted with 60 mL dichloromethane was 44 added to the mixture. During the addition, the suspension turned from brown-red to green-grey. 45 46 Afterwards the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min and, subsequently, 47.7 mL pyridine diluted with 60 mL dichloromethane was added slowly dropwise. The temperature of the 47 mixture increased during the addition and the mixture turned to light brown. After complete 48 49 addition, the mixture was allowed to heat to room temperature, was stirred for 16 h, and then stirred under reflux conditions for additional 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, the formed 50 participate was collected by filtration and washed with dichloromethane and acetone. The solid 51 was added to 1.5 L of water, but did not completely dissolve. Lithium hydroxide was added 52 until the pH value of the solution reached 12. The solid dissolved upon basification nearly 53 54 completely and the mixture turned from light brown to dark red. Remaining solid was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated by removing the solvent. The mixture was then added 55 dropwise to 1.5 L of acetone and the precipitate was collected by filtration. The solid was 56 57 washed with acetone to receive the desired product as red-brownish solid (42.2 g, 103 mmol, 82%) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 7.09 (2 H, m, C-CH-CNH), 7.18 (2 H, d, J = 58 2.26 Hz, CH-CH-CNH), 7.51 (2 H, m, d, J = 8.48 Hz, CH-CH-CNH), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 59  $\delta$  [ppm] = 113.65 (C<sub>1+5</sub>), 120.98  $(C_{3+7}), 124.92 (C_{12+14}),$ 60 75 MHz):  $129.02 (C_{8+4}),$ 134.02 (C<sub>13+11</sub>), 147.57 (C<sub>2+6</sub>), 182.75 (C<sub>9+10</sub>), (the additional peak at 168.06 ppm corresponds 61

62 to the C<sub>9</sub> and C<sub>10</sub> and is caused by tautomerism)<sup>1,2</sup>. <sup>7</sup>Li NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 155 MHz):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 0.02

63 (s), HR-MS (ESI m/z): calc. for  $[C_{14}H_8N_2O_8S_2]^{2-}$  197.9867 found 197.9856.

# 64 Solubility measurement

An excess amount of 2.6-diamino anthraquinone (DAAQ) was placed into 1.5 mL pure water or electrolyte (0.25 M LiOH and 1 M LiCl). After vortexing it for 6 hours the suspension was filtered with a syringe filter (0.45  $\mu$ m, Nylon 66, Applichrom, Germany) and adequate amounts of solution were transferred into a HPLC vial and freeze dried. The solubility of the compound was calculated by the mass differences with taking the electrolyte salt into account. The same was done for the LiAQS in pure water.

71	Table 1:	Maximum	solubility	of DAAQ	and LiAQS.
----	----------	---------	------------	---------	------------

Compound	Solvent	Maximum concentration
DAAQ	pure water	0.051 M
DAAQ	electrolyte	0.081 M
LiAQS	pure water	0.373 M
LiAQS	electrolyte	0.750 M

72



76 Figure 1: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2,6-diaminoanthraqinone (Sigma Aldrich) (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>).



79 Figure 2: <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 2,6-diaminoanthraquinone (Sigma Aldrich) (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>).





82 Figure 3: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of lithium anthraquinone 2,6-bis(sulfamate) (300 MHz, deuterated water). Inlet shows the zoomed spectrum between 6.00 and 8.00 ppm.



84 Figure 4: <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of lithium anthraquinone 2,6-bis(sulfamate) (75 MHz, deuterated water).



86 Figure 5: <sup>7</sup>Li NMR spectrum of lithium anthraquinone 2,6-bis(sulfamate) (155 MHz, deuterated water). Inlet shows the zoomed spectrum between -0.20 and 0.20 ppm.



89 Figure 6: HR-MS spectrum of anthraquinone 2,6-bis(sulfamate). The inlet shows the isotopic pattern of the molecular ion peak.



91 Figure 7: FT-IR spectrum of 2,6-diaminoanthraquinone (Sigma Aldrich).



94 Figure 8:FT-IR spectrum of lithium anthraquinone 2,6-bis(sulfamate).

Active material	Volume	Theoretical	Electrolyte	Temperature	Cycle	Current	Average	Decay rate
concentration	CLS	capacity CLS	composition	[°C]		density*	coulombic efficiency	[%d <sup>-1</sup> ]
[mol L <sup>-1</sup> ]	[mL]	[mAh]				[mA cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	[%]	
					1-50	72 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	99.95	0.67
					50	Hold time	-	0.04
		80.2			50-100	72 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	99.95	0.42
			0.1 M LiAQS		100	Hold time	-	0.02
0.1	15		0.25 M LiOH	32	100-150	72 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	99.95	0.42
			1 M LiCl		150	Hold time	-	0.03
					150-200	72 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	99.95	0.32
					200	Hold time	-	0.03
					200-250	72 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	99.95	0.38
					1-50	72 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	99.95	0.40
		80.2			50	Hold time	-	0.04
			0.1 M LiAQS 0.25 M LiOH 1 M LiCl	60	50-100	72 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	99.95	0.34
0.1	15				100	Hold time	-	0.03
					100-150	72 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	99.95	0.40
					150	Hold time	-	0.04
					150-200	72 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	99.95	0.42

96 Table 2: Summary of the data of the low concentration long term stability test.

97 Every cycle consisted of (1) a galvanostatic phase at 72 mAcm<sup>-2</sup> with an upper limiting voltage of 0.45 V, (2) a potentiostatic phase at 0.45 V with 98 a lower limiting current of 2 mAcm<sup>-2</sup>, (3) another galvanostatic phase at  $-72 \text{ mAcm}^{-2}$  with a lower limiting voltage of -0.45 V, and (4) another 99 potentiostatic phase at -0.45 V with an upper limiting current of  $-2 \text{ mAcm}^{-2}$ .

100 Table 3: Summary of the data of the high concentration long term stability test.

-	Active material	Volume	Theoretical	Electrolyte	Temperature	Cycle	Current	Average	Decay rate
	concentration	CLS	capacity CLS	composition	[°C]		density*	coulombic efficiency	[ %d-1]
	[mol L <sup>-1</sup> ]	[mL]	[mAh]				[mA cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	[%]	
				0.75 M LiAQS					
	0.75	10	402	0.25 M LiOH	32	1-200	80 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	100	0.21
				1 M LiCl					
•				0.1 M LiAQS					
	0.75	10	402	0.25 M LiOH	60	1-200	80 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	100	0.80
				1 M LiCl					

101  $\overline{}$  Every cycle consisted of (1) a galvanostatic phase at 80 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> with an upper limiting voltage of 0.60 V, (2) a potentiostatic phase at 0.60 V with

102 a lower limiting current of 2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, (3) another galvanostatic phase at -80 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> with a lower limiting voltage of -0.60 V, and (4) another

103 potentiostatic phase at -0.60 V with an upper limiting current of -2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



105 Figure 9: Voltage and current profile of the cycle 11 to 22 of the battery with a 0.1 M LiAQS electrolyte concentration.



concentration.

## 108 Literature

- 109 1. T. Kenny, S. M. Aly, D. Fortin and P. D. Harvey, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 11543-110 11545.
- 111 2. V. Y. Fain, B. E. Zaitsev and M. A. Ryabov, Russ. J. Gen. Chem., 2010, 80, 550-550.