Supporting Information

Zn²⁺ detection of a benzimidazole 8-aminoquinoline fluorescent sensor by inhibited tautomerization

Harun Taş, Jörg Adams, Jan C. Namyslo, Andreas Schmidt*

Contents

1.	NMR spectra of L1	2
2.	HR-ESI-MS of L1/L1-Zn	4
3.	Reversibility of L1-Zn with EDTA	5
4.	Plot of Fluorescence intensity vs. Zn ²⁺ concentration	6
5.	FT-IR spectra of L1 and L1-Zn complex	7
6.	¹³ C-NMR long term measurement	9
7.	Benesi-Hildebrand analysis	10
8.	¹ H-NMR experiments	11
9.	¹³ C-NMR experiments of L1-Zn	12
10.	Diluted NMR-sample of L1-tautomers	14
11.	DFT Calculations	17
12.	LOD-comparison of L1 to other Zn chemosensors (Table S1)	24
13.	NMR-calculations of quaternary C atoms/ $\Delta\delta$ determination (Table	5
S2)		25
14.	Sources/References	26

1. NMR spectra of L1

¹H-NMR of L1





2. HR-ESI-MS of L1/L1-Zn



Figure S1: HR-ESI mass spectrum of L1 upon addition of Zn²⁺.

3. Reversibility of L1-Zn with EDTA



Figure S2: Fluorescence emission spectra of L1+Zn²⁺ upon incremental addition of EDTA.



4. Plot of Fluorescence intensity vs. Zn²⁺ concentration

Figure S3: Fluorescence intensity as a function of $[Zn^{2+}]$ concentration. Concentration of L1: 30 μ M.

5. FT-IR spectra of L1 and L1-Zn complex



Figure S4a: FT-IR spectrum of L1 (black) and the L1-Zn-complex (red).



Figure S4b: FT-IR spectrum: 1700 – 400 cm⁻¹ area of L1 (black) and the L1-Zn-complex (red).



Figure S4c: Calculated FT-IR spectrum: 1700 – 400 cm⁻¹ area of L1 (black) and the L1-Zn-complex (red).

6. ¹³C-NMR long term measurement



Figure S5: ¹³C-NMR experiment of **L1** for making C-7a', C-3a', C-7' and C-4' atoms visible.

7. Benesi-Hildebrand analysis



Figure S6: Benesi-Hildebrand plot of **L1** in MeOH using equation (1). Assumed complexation stoichiometry 1:1 of **L1**-Zn²⁺.

The binding constant for 1:1 complexes was calculated according to (1):^[1]

$$\frac{1}{F - F_0} = \frac{1}{K(F_{max} - F_0)[Zn^{2+}]} + \frac{1}{F_{max} - F_0}$$
(1)

F resembles fluorescence intensities of L1 (λ_{max} = 510 nm) in the absence (F₀), in the presence (F) and at a concentration of complete interaction of L1 with Zn²⁺ (F_{max}). K is the binding constant and [Zn²⁺] the concentration of added Zn²⁺ ions, respectively.

Plotting 1/(F-F₀) against 1/[Zn²⁺] yields a linear plot with an equation of y = b x + a. Therefore, the following can be assumed for the intercept *a*, the slope *b* and the binding constant *K*:

$$a = \frac{1}{F_{max} - F_0} b = \frac{1}{K(F_{max} - F_0)} K = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{6.54489 \cdot 10^{-5}}{3.02594 \cdot 10^{-8}} = 2.16 \cdot 10^3 (mol^{-1} \cdot L)$$

8. ¹H-NMR experiments



Figure S7: ¹H-NMR titration experiments of **L1** with Zn²⁺.

9. ¹³C-NMR experiments of L1-Zn



Figure S8: Carbonyl fragment of L1 (blue) and of L1-Zn complex (red).



Figure S9: Comparison of ¹³C NMR spectra of L1 and L1-Zn, shown: aromatic carbon atoms. Adjacent carbon atoms to the tautomer centre marked in blue.

10. Diluted NMR-sample of L1-tautomers



Figure S10: Comparison of ¹H NMR spectra of a diluted (blue) and a regular (red) L1 sample in DMS0- d_6 .



Figure S11: ¹H NMR spectroscopic comparison of the benzimidazole proton shifts of a diluted (blue) and a regular (red) L1 sample in DMS0- d_6 .

Carbon atom shifts were calculated using the ACD/C+H NMR Predictors and DB 2018.2.5 software (shown in red).



Figure S12: HMBC-spectra of a diluted L1 sample proving the existence of two tautomeric structures of L1.

11. DFT Calculations

DFT calculations were performed with ORCA 5 of Neese and co-workers². This DFT package was run on a MS Windows 10 Pro based (Version 21H1) PC system equipped with an AMD Ryzen Threadripper 3970X 32-Core and 128 GB RAM main memory in combination with the appropriate message passing interface MS-MPI 10.0.12498.5. MMFF optimized structures were used as starting geometries for the geometry optimizations with the recently published robust "Swiss army knife" composite method r²SCAN-3c of Grimme and co-workers³ with D4 dispersion correction and geometrical counter poise correction applying the modified triple-zeta basis set def2-mTZVPP. Subsequent frequency calculation of the final structure evidenced the absence of imaginary frequencies and thus the presence of true minima on the potential energy surface. In case of calculations that include a solvent, the Conductor-like Polarizable Continuum Model (CPCM) implemented in ORCA 5 was applied. For comparison, some calculations were performed with the common hybrid PBE0-D3 functional of Adamo and Barone⁴ and the Karlsruhe triple-zeta basis set def2-TZVP by Weigend and Ahlrichs.⁵

DFT-calculated geometries and energies of tautomers A and B of the free ligands and corresponding zinc complex thereof (calculation method: r²SCAN-3c/def2-mTZVPP, DMSO via CPCM model)



Fig. S13: DFT-calculated zinc complex L1A-Zn (tautomer A).



Fig. S14: DFT-calculated free ligand L1A (tautomer A).



Fig. S15: DFT-calculated zinc complex **L1B-Zn** (tautomer B).



Fig. S16: DFT-calculated free ligand L1B (tautomer B).

Energy: -3280.259377652987 ha 0 imaginary frequencies

CARTESIAN	COORDINAT	ES (ANGSTROE	M)
C (.276801	3.406756	3.568595
C 2	1.482275	3.773563	3.030957
C 2	2.019051	3.034686	1.966990
C 2	1.378676	1.926333	1.448997
С (0.125952	1.511189	1.984989
C – (0.420613	2.290853	3.055363
N -0	0.593034	0.439411	1.504189
C -2	1.800048	0.166244	2.007619
C -2	2.389888	0.900162	3.036897
C – 2	1.688896	1.949574	3.570766
N 2	2.020651	1.323314	0.319703
C 2	2.425140	0.050924	0.244406
C C	3.294074	-0.319571	-0.934503
0 2	2.044943	-0.807651	1.079707
S C	3.112858	-2.078081	-1.430815
C 2	1.463929	-2.124915	-2.036946
N (0.326229	-1.783484	-1.404909
C – (0.719530	-2.093707	-2.272131
C – (0.176944	-2.663475	-3.431460
N 2	1.192139	-2.653068	-3.247314
C -2	2.094599	-1.919548	-2.111260
C -2	2.898704	-2.343903	-3.165786
C -2	2.344727	-2.923474	-4.333772
C – (0.982923	-3.092737	-4.484011
Н -(0.172663	3.968386	4.382417
Н 2	2.024871	4.632391	3.411704
Н 2	2.967189	3.334548	1.529326
Н —2	2.317032	-0.687372	1.574379
Н -3	3.372601	0.618829	3.397330
Н -2	2.098018	2.541077	4.385149
H 4	4.345566	-0.234553	-0.627160
H C	3.131012	0.342397	-1.789883
H î	1.892743	-2.962086	-3.909632
Н -2	2.492146	-1.476553	-1.204675
Н – (0.563809	-3.534012	-5.382190
Н 2	2.381575	1.972830	-0.371907
Zn (0.137700	-0.971911	0.343278
0 -4	4.252848	-2.245245	-3.174261
C - 4	4.882542	-1.643593	-2.033783
Н – 4	4.686914	-2.226750	-1.125885
Н -5	5.951046	-1.647713	-2.250648
Н – 4	4.536430	-0.612054	-1.895738
Н -3	3.025819	-3.233511	-5.120041

Energy: -1501.119477374511 ha 0 imaginary frequencies

CARTESIAN	COORDINATE	S (ANGSTROEM	l) . <u>—</u>
C – (0.851438	-3.153010	-4.586745
C – (0.495352	-2.063618	-3.830363
С (0.603280	-2.101075	-2.946360
C 2	1.355229	-3.254708	-2.821006
C 2	1.010814	-4.410444	-3.595412
C – (0.102606	-4.348713	-4.481769
N 2	1.768970	-5.528880	-3.449839
C 2	1.454122	-6.595245	-4.162535
С (0.373182	-6.635839	-5.066607
C – (0.401890	-5.513804	-5.223828
N 2	2.461006	-3.410797	-1.980514
H 2	2.865065	-4.342644	-2.026553
C C	3.030395	-2.504591	-1.140537
C 4	4.182071	-3.090005	-0.337875
0 2	2.662511	-1.339938	-1.009025
H 4	4.852885	-3.680892	-0.968625
S S	5.100008	-1.699578	0.418785
H .	3.787412	-3.742447	0.449678
C (6.3/4/56	-2.633308	1.194085
N G	0.496119	-3.94/555	1.216588
C	/.648828	-4.1/8033	1.960359
	3.211342	-2.952354	2.381989
N C	7.308440	-1.9//960	2 204609
	0.201200	- J. J 0 4 J 0 9 5 J 2 2 2 1 2	2.304090
C S	9.41/100	-3.332312	3.072370
C S	9.9/0090	-4.100404	2 1 1 2 7 1 2
	9.307039	-6 550485	3 380266
C 1	1 155670	-6.565111	J. J
ч –	1 698946	-3 114437	-5 265660
и –	1 064938	-1 141719	-3 905093
н (1 857746	-1 224854	-2 366590
н	2 079456	-7 476967	-4 025114
н (1.169413	-7.546093	-5.621592
н –	1.244749	-5.503312	-5,910556
Н	7.467184	-0.978195	1.973849
н 7	7.839403	-6.340203	1.995166
н 1(0.875606	-4.083041	4.082771
H (9.797916	-1.953306	3.465573
H 11	1.413795	-7.617732	4.300281
H 10	0.983094	-6.110714	5.158169
Н 11	1.978679	-6.045030	3.668373

Energy: -3280.2579687025 ha 0 imaginary frequencies

CARTESIA	N COORDINAT	ES (ANGSTROE	 M)
с	0.397364	3.321917	3.783992
C	1.564445	3.742945	3.203120
C	2.054151	3.075087	2.071599
C	1.406830	1.981995	1.530588
C	0.195002	1.510022	2.110795
C ·	-0.307363	2.221137	3.248333
N ·	-0.527969	0.448363	1.612028
C ·	-1.707613	0.133500	2.155725
С	-2.256632	0.803431	3.249140
С	-1.542837	1.831457	3.807000
N	1.987860	1.457645	0.331950
С	2.416663	0.203225	0.160860
С	3.191422	-0.076705	-1.107020
0	2.127675	-0.707003	0.977823
S	3.092622	-1.824881	-1.648429
С	1.400114	-2.006662	-2.089061
N	0.304005	-1.738666	-1.368942
C ·	-0.785302	-2.179583	-2.122490
C ·	-0.303968	-2.754450	-3.310548
N	1.069254	-2.611952	-3.255547
C ·	-2.149315	-2.149430	-1.859076
C ·	-3.004712	-2.706017	-2.799246
C ·	-2.505554	-3.282110	-3.989152
	-1.136579	-3.314286	-4.2651/3
H ·	-0.01/593	3.830365	4.649438
п	2.110/13	4.592157	1 506021
п ц .	2.900331	-0 706011	1 705777
п .	-2.232931	0 488415	3 640054
н.	-1 917736	2 370339	4 672731
H	4 259423	0 079700	-0 902080
H	2.899590	0.596458	-1.918394
H	1.732578	-2.884136	-3.969995
H ·	-2.537622	-1.711696	-0.943317
H ·	-0.763320	-3.758403	-5.181672
H ·	-4.069641	-2.693976	-2.602549
Н	2.278009	2.149648	-0.351525
Zn	0.182416	-0.912132	0.375655
0	-3.299589	-3.840272	-4.939808
C ·	-4.718170	-3.855739	-4.716319
H ·	-4.967656	-4.426109	-3.814010
H ·	-5.144850	-4.348103	-5.590660
H ·	-5.115158	-2.837078	-4.636801

CARTES	IAN CO	ORDINA	res	(ANGSTROI	EM)	
C	-0.7	 741751	 -3	.219580	-4.819	999
С	-0.3	368463	-2	.077775	-4.154	543
С	0.0	584860	-2	.076363	-3.216	315
С	1.3	373858	-3	.243735	-2.943	175
С	1.0	010400	-4	.453434	-3.620	111
С	-0.0)56641	-4	.430514	-4.563	495
Ν	1.7	706808	-5	.583955	-3.330	569
С	1.3	374564	-6	.700260	-3.953	316
С	0.3	335710	-6	.782179	-4.902	710
С	-0.3	376950	-5	.648593	-5.204	964
Ν	2.4	131108	-3	.364277	-2.037	093
H	2.7	794032	-4	.311907	-1.974	524
С	3.0	03586	-2	.406238	-1.259	273
С	4.0)999999	-2	.958705	-0.361	429
0	2.6	580552	-1	.220988	-1.251	458
H	4.7	794988	-3	.589856	-0.924	011
S	4.9	993701	-1	.538671	0.366	280
H	3.6	556243	-3	.564502	0.437	163
С	6.2	205367	-2	.443084	1.268	284
Ν	6.3	315026	-3	.749045	1.373	080
С	7.4	121107	-3	.941023	2.200	887
С	7.9	966424	-2	.695300	2.585	754
Ν	7.1	L66560	-1	.752228	1.972	2744
С	8.0	08658	-5	.114461	2.661	.776
С	9.1	L23899	-5	.022535	3.493	337
С	9.6	547923	-3	.768413	3.861	163
C	9.()73594	-2	.575669	3.409	867
H	-1.5	53842	-3	.211007	-5.541	.863
H	-0.8	388875	-1	.143/36	-4.346	041
H	0.9	954025	-1	.159570	-2.710	117
H	1.5	950187	- /	.590438	-3./01	
H	0.1	L14485	- /	./32616	-5.3//	968
H	-1.1	183892	-5	.669000	-5.931	.060
H	/.2	269352 10540	-0	. / 48 / 52	2.021	./6/
H	/. (100044	-6	.086069	2.383	213
H	10 5	189944 120727	-T 2	.01/035	3.702	990
0 C	11	/ 30 / 3 / 2725 /	-3	.011001	4.072	4/5
U U	⊥⊥•.3 10 0	D 1 1 2 3 4	-4	./91001	5.1/1	200
п u	⊥∠.∠ 11 -	161900	-4	.440090 111670	0./84 / 250	- 3U9
п u	10 A	101030 501010	-5	303020 .4TT0\0	4.002	.41/
п	TO . 6	500770	- 5	.303030	2 0 5 7	
п	9.3	102110	- 5	. ୬୦୦୦୦୬	0.003	0000

Energy: -1501.119901762734 ha 0 imaginary frequencies

Chemosensor	LOD [µM]	Source
	0.176	this work
	7.1	[6]
	0.256	[7]
NH N N	0.882	[8]
HOOC NNN COOH	6.73	[9]
	0.52	[10]

12.LOD-comparison of L1 to other Zn chemosensors (Table S1)

13. NMR-calculations of quaternary C atoms/ $\Delta\delta$ determination (Table S2)

	Tautomer A	Tautomer B
measured shift values		
C-N	144,3 (3a')	137,9 (7a')
C-NH	130,1 (7a')	136,3 (3a')
	<u>Δδ 14,2</u>	Δδ 1,6
Spartan'20 (ωB97X-D/6-31G*)		
C-N	144,3	138,8
C-NH	128,5	136,1
	Δδ 15,8	Δδ 2,7
ACD2018 (2018.2.5)		
C-N	142,5	137,0
C-NH	132,6	138,6
	Δδ 9,9	Δδ -1,6

14. Proposed binding-mode



0

Fig. S17: Proposed binding-mode of L1.

15. Sources/References

- a) A. G. Mwalupindi, A. Rideau, R. A. Agabaria, I. M. Warner, *Talanta* 1994, *41*, 599; b)
 G. Wang, J. Qin, L. Fan, C.-R. Li, Z. Yang, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A* 2016, *314*, 29.
- [2] (a) F. Neese, The ORCA Program System, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* 2012, 2: 73–78;
 doi: 10.1002/wcms.81);

b) F. Neese, Software Update: The ORCA Program System, Version 4.0. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *8*, e1327, doi: 10.1002/wcms.1327.

- [3] S. Grimme, A. Hansen, S. Ehlert, and J.-M. Mewes, r²SCAN-3c: A "Swiss army knife" composite electronic-structure method, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2021, *154*, 064103; https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0040021.
- C. Adamo, V. Barone, Toward Reliable Density Functional Methods Without Adjustable Parameters: The PBE0 Model, *J. Chem. Phys.* 1999, *110*, 6158–6170; https://doi: 10.1063/1.478522.
- [5] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2005, *7*, 3297–3305; doi.org/10.1039/b508541a.
- [6] H. Kim, J. Kang, K. B. Kim, E. J. Song, C. Kim, *Spectrochim. Acta A* **2014**, *118*, 883.
- [7] Y. Yue, Q. Dong, Y. Zhang, Y. Sun, Y. Gong, *Anal. Methods* **2015**, *7*, 5661.
- [8] X.-J. Bai, J. Ren, J. Zhou, Z.-B. Song, *Heterocycl. Commun.* **2018**, *24 (3)*, 135.
- [9] M. Mariyappan, N. Malini, J. Sivamani, G. Sivaraman, M. Harikrishnan, S. Murugesan, A. Siva, J. Fluoresc. 2019, 29, 737.
- [10] N.-N. Li, Y.-Q. Ma, S. Zeng, Y.-T. Liue, X.-J. Sun, Z.-Y. Xing, *Synth. Met.* **2017**, *232*, 17.