Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for

# Vinylene-bridged donor-acceptor type porous organic polymers for enhanced photocatalysis of amine oxidative coupling reactions under visible light

Bang Wu,<sup>a</sup> Xinyue Jiang,<sup>a</sup> Yang Liu,<sup>a</sup> Qiu-Yan Li,<sup>\*a</sup> Xinsheng Zhao<sup>b</sup> and Xiao-Jun Wang<sup>\*a</sup>

 <sup>a</sup>Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Green Synthetic Chemistry for Functional Materials, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, P. R. China
<sup>b</sup>School of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, P. R. China.

E-mail: xjwang@jsnu.edu.cn; qyli@jsnu.edu.cn

## **General method and materials**

Unless specifically mentioned, all chemicals are commercially available and were used as received. The precursor compounds tricyanomesitylene (TCM) and tris[1-(1'-formyl-4,4'-biphenyl)]amine (TFBA) were prepared according to the reported literature methods.<sup>S1 1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were taken on a Bruker AV400 at room temperature. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HR-MS) was performed on a Thermo ultimate Q-Exactive in positive mode. <sup>13</sup>C cross-polarization/magic angle spinning solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (CP/MAS ssNMR) experiments were performed on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 WB spectrometer operating at 100.62 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C using a double resonance 4 mm MAS NMR probe and a sample spinning rate of 6 kHz. The powder X-ray diffraction measurements were taken on a Bruker D8 diffractometer using Cu- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å) at room temperature. Low-pressure gas sorption measurements were performed by using Quantachrome Instruments Autosorb-iQ with the extra-high pure gases. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and pore size distribution were calculated from the N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherms at 77 K based on Non-Local Density Functional Theory (NL-DFT) model in the Quantachrome ASiQwin 2.01 software package. UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-vis DRS) were recorded at room temperature on an Agilent Cary 7000 Spectrophotometer. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were obtained with an Edinburgh FLS920 spectrophotometer. The infrared spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 FT-IR spectrometer as KBr pellets. Thermal gravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed on a TA-Q50 thermoanalyzer thermogravimetric analyzer in nitrogen atmosphere from 45 °C to 800 °C at the rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) was performed on Thermo Scientific Escalab 250Xi. Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) images were obtained on a HITACHI S-8010 instrument operating at 10 kV.

### **Synthesis and Characterizations**



Scheme S1. Synthesis of model compound DpTc.

Model Compound **DpTc**: TCM (195mg, 1.0 mmol), DPA (1.23g, 4.5 mmol) and piperidine (511 mg, 6 mmol) were added into 20 mL anhydrous DMF under the protection of nitrogen. The reaction mixture was heated to 150 °C and stirred for 72 hours under nitrogen atmosphere. After that, the solution was poured into water and extracted with dichloromethane, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated to obtain a henna solid. The crude product was purified by column chromatography over a silica gel column using petroleum ether-dichloromethane (v/v, 1.2/1) as the eluent to afford model compound as a red solid (356 mg, 0.37 mmol, yield: 37%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.71 (d, *J* = 16.3 Hz, 3H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 6H), 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 15H), 7.20 – 7.00 (m, 24H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  150.11, 149.28, 147.05, 141.97, 129.65, 129.24, 128.40, 125.52, 124.18, 122.09, 118.67, 116.29, 107.56. ESI-HRMS: *m/z* calcd for C<sub>69</sub>H<sub>49</sub>N<sub>6</sub>: 961.4013, found: 961.3977 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>.

Procedure for the synthesis of **TpTc-POP**: TCM (98 mg, 0.5 mmol), TFPA (165 mg, 0.5 mmol) and piperidine (256 mg, 3 mmol) were ultrasonically dissolved in 10 mL of DMF in a 20 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The vessel was tightly sealed and heated in an oven of 150 °C for 72 h. After cooling down to RT, the solid was collected, washed with anhydrous DMF, acetone and DCM. Then, the solid was Soxhlet extracted with THF for 24 h and was dried under vacuum for 12 h at 80 °C to give red powder in 92% yield.

Procedure for the synthesis of **TbTc-POP**: TCM (49 mg, 0.25 mmol), TFBA (144 mg, 0.25 mmol) and piperidine (639 mg, 7.5 mmol) were ultrasonically dissolved in 10 mL of DMF in a 20 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The vessel was tightly sealed and heated in an oven of 150 °C for 72 h. After cooling down to RT, the solid was collected, washed with anhydrous DMF, acetone and DCM. Then, the solid was Soxhlet extracted with THF for 24 h and was dried under vacuum for 12 h at 80 °C to give red powder in 89% yield.



Scheme S2. Synthesis of control POP TfTc-POP.

Control POP **TfTc-POP**: TCM (98 mg, 0.5 mmol), TFPB (195 mg, 0.5 mmol) and piperidine (256 mg, 3 mmol) were ultrasonically dissolved in 10 mL of DMF in a 20 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The vessel was tightly sealed and heated in an oven of 150 °C for 72 h. After cooling down to RT, the solid was collected, washed with anhydrous DMF, acetone and DCM. Then, the solid was Soxhlet extracted with THF for 24 h and was dried under vacuum for 12 h at 80 °C to give brown powder in 91% yield.



**Fig. S1** The FT-IR comparison of TpTc-POP (a), TbTc-POP (b) and their corresponding monomers as well as model compound DpTc.



Fig. S2 SEM images of TpTc-POP (a) and TbTc-POP (b).



Fig. S3 PXRD patterns of TpTc-POP and TbTc-POP.



Fig. S4 TGA of TpTc-POP and TbTc-POP under  $N_2$  atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C/min.



Fig. S5 PL decay spectra of TpTc-POP (a), TbTc-POP (b) and TfTc-POP (c). The traces can be

fitted with two-exponential decays (solid lines)  $I(t) = A + B_1 e^{-t/\tau 1} + B_2 e^{-t/\tau 2}$ , where A is a constant,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are pre-exponential factors;  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are the fitted time constants of the decays. The fluorescence lifetime was calculated according to  $\tau = (B_1 \tau_1^2 + B_2 \tau_2^2)/(B_1 \tau_1 + B_2 \tau_2)$ .



**Fig. S6** High-resolution valence band ultraviolet photoelectron spectra (UPS) of TpTc-POP (a), TbTc-POP (b) and control TfTc-POP (c).



**Fig. S7** Recycling of TpTc-POP (a) and TbTc-POP (b) for the photocatalyzed aerobic oxidative coupling of benzylamine to imine.



Fig. S8 Nitrogen sorption isotherms for as-synthesized and after-photocatalytic porous

polymers TpTc-POP (a) and TbTc-POP (b).



**Fig. S9** EPR spectra of a mixture of TpTc-POP in CH<sub>3</sub>CN with TEMP upon light irradiation (a) and in the dark (b) as well as DMPO upon light irradiation (c) and in the dark (d).

Entry	Substrate	Product	Time (h)	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$
1	NH <sub>2</sub>		8	95
2	NH <sub>2</sub>		8	94
3	NH <sub>2</sub>	N. C	8	92
4	NH <sub>2</sub>	N°C	8	99
5	NH <sub>2</sub>		8.5	95
6	MeO NH <sub>2</sub>	Meo	8	97
7	F NH <sub>2</sub>	F F	8	99
8			8	95
9	Br NH <sub>2</sub>	Br	6	90
10	F <sub>3</sub> C NH <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub> C	10.5	87
11	NC NH <sub>2</sub>	NC	16	99
12	NH <sub>2</sub>		10.5	96
13	NH <sub>2</sub>		10.5	93
14		⟨ S N S	10.5	91

**Table S1** Photocatalytic oxidative coupling of various amines by TbTc-POP<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: benzylamines (0.5 mmol), TpTc-POP (6 mg), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1 mL), irradiation with white LEDs  $(3W, \sim 100 \text{ mW cm}^{-2})$ . <sup>*b*</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

System	Linkage	Crystalline	$S_{\text{BET}}$ (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Light Source	Solvent	Time & Yield	Ref.	
ТрТс-РОР	-CH=CH- <sup><i>a</i></sup>	No	966	white LEDs	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	6 h, 95%	This	
TbTc-POP	-CH=CH- <sup><i>a</i></sup>	No	538	white LEDs	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	8 h, 95%	study	
CF-HCP	-CH <sub>2</sub> -	No	1217	green LEDs	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	6 h, 91%	S2	
Py-BSZ-COF	-CH=C(CN)- <sup>b</sup>	Yes	600	520 nm LEDs	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	12 h, 99%	<b>S</b> 3	
A-CTF-2	acetylene	No	24	300 W xenon lamp	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	4 h, 98%	S4	
PyTz-COF	-C=N-	Yes	1175	white LEDs	CH <sub>3</sub> CN+H <sub>2</sub> O	2 h, 90%	S5	
Por-sp <sup>2</sup> c-COF	-CH=C(CN)- <sup>b</sup>	Yes	714	red LEDs	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	15 min, 94% <sup>c</sup>	S6	
pTCT	triazine	No	797	white CFL	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	12 h, 97%	S7	
B-BT	acetylene	No	689	blue LEDs	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	3 h, 74%	<b>S</b> 8	
B-BO-1,3,5	acetylene	No	474	blue LEDs	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	3 h, 48%	S9	
CzBDP	alkyl	No	180	9 W CFL	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	15 h, 75%	S10	

Table S2 Comparison of reported various POPs for photocatalyzing oxidative coupling reactions

# of benzylamine.

<sup>*a*</sup>unsubstituted vinylene -CH=CH-, <sup>*b*</sup>substituted acrylonitrile -CH=C(CN)-, <sup>*c*</sup>cooperative photocatalysis with

TEMPO.



Fig. S11  $^{13}$ C NMR of model compound **DpTc** (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



Fig. S12 ESI-HRMS spectra of model compound DpTc.



**Fig. S13** Time dependent <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of photocatalytic oxidative coupling reaction of benzylamine to imine by using different POPs (TpTc-POP, TbTc-POP and TfTc-POP) under the irradiation of white-LEDs in an open air atmosphere.





**Fig. S14** Successive <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of photocatalytic oxidative coupling reaction of benzylamine to imine by recycling POPs (TpTc-POP and TbTc-POP) under the irradiation of white-LEDs in an open air atmosphere.



**Fig. S15** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (Entry 1, Table 1).



**Fig. S16** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture (Entry 2, Table 1).



**Fig. S17** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture (Entry 3, Table 1).







**Fig. S19** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture (Entry 5, Table 1).



**Fig. S20** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture (Entry 6, Table 1).







**Fig. S22** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 1, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 1, Table S1).



**Fig. S23** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 2, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 2, Table S1).



**Fig. S24** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 3, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 3, Table S1).



**Fig. S25** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 4, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 4, Table S1).



**Fig. S26** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 5, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 5, Table S1).



**Fig. S27** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 6, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 6, Table S1).



**Fig. S28** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 7, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 7, Table S1).



**Fig. S29** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 8, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 8, Table S1).



**Fig. S30** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 9, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 9, Table S1).



**Fig. S31** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 10, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 10, Table S1).



**Fig. S32** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 11, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 11, Table S1).



**Fig. S33** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 12, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 12, Table S1).



**Fig. S34** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 13, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 13, Table S1).



**Fig. S35** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the reaction mixture after photocatalysis by TpTc-POP (upper, Entry 14, Table 2) and TbTc-POP (bottom, Entry 14, Table S1).

#### References

- S1 (a) S. Bi, Z.-A. Lan, S. Paasch, W. Zhang, Y. He, C. Zhang, F. Liu, D. Wu, X. Zhuang, E. Brunner, X. Wang and F. Zhang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, 27, 1703146; (b) B. Jędrzejewska, M. Gordel, J. Szeremeta, P. Krawczyk and M. Samoć, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 80, 9641-9651.
- S2 Y. Zhi, K. Li, H. Xia, M. Xue, Y. Mu and X. Liu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 8697-8704.
- S3 S. Li, L. Li, Y. Li, L. Dai, C. Liu, Y. Liu, J. Li, J. Lv, P. Li and B. Wang, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 8717-8726.
- S4 X. Lan, X. Liu, Y. Zhang, Q. Li, J. Wang, Q. Zhang and G. Bai, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 7429-7441.
- S5 W. Li, X. Huang, T. Zeng, Y. A. Liu, W. Hu, H. Yang, Y.-B. Zhang and K. Wen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, 60, 1869-1874.
- S6 J.-L. Shi, R. Chen, H. Hao, C. Wang and X. Lang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 9088-9093.
- S7 J. Luo, J. Lu and J. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 15154-15161.
- S8 Z. J. Wang, K. Garth, S. Ghasimi, K. Landfester and K. A. I. Zhang, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 3459-3464.
- S9 Z. J. Wang, S. Ghasimi, K. Landfester and K. A. I. Zhang, Adv. Mater., 2015, 27, 6265-6270.
- S10 S. Bandyopadhyay, S. Kundu, A. Giri and A. Patra, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 9123-9126.