Supplementary information

Mechanochemical synthesis of air-stable hexagonal Li₄SnS₄-based solid electrolytes containing LiI and Li₃PS₄

Misae Otoyama,* Kentaro Kuratani, and Hironori Kobayashi

Research Institute of Electrochemical Energy, Department of Energy and Environment, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), 1-8-31, Midorigaoka, Ikeda, Osaka 563-8577, Japan

Research Institute of Electrochemical Energy, Department of Energy and Environment, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), 1-8-31, Midorigaoka, Ikeda, Osaka 563-8577, Japan Tel.: +81-72-751-7932; Fax.: +81-72-751-9609

*Correspondence to: misae-otoyama@aist.go.jp



Fig. S1. XRD patterns of a) hexagonal Li_4SnS_4 , b) orthorhombic Li_4SnS_4 prepared by heat treatment of a) hexagonal Li_4SnS_4 at 390 °C, c) $0.4LiI \cdot 0.6Li_4SnS_4$ prepared by ball milling of b) orthorhombic Li_4SnS_4 and LiI, d) $0.4LiI \cdot 0.6Li_4SnS_4$ prepared by ball milling of a) hexagonal Li_4SnS_4 and LiI, and e) $0.4LiI \cdot 0.6Li_4SnS_4$ prepared by ball milling of Li₂S, SnS_2 and LiI.

$x \text{LiI} \cdot (1-x) \text{Li}_4 \text{SnS}_4$	$\sigma_{25 \ \text{oC}} / \text{S} \ \text{cm}^{-1}$	$\sigma_{60 \ ^{\circ}C} / S \ cm^{-1}$
x = 0	4.5×10^{-5}	1.4×10^{-4}
x = 0.40	1.1×10^{-4}	4.0×10^{-4}
<i>x</i> = 0.41	1.2×10^{-4}	3.9×10^{-4}
<i>x</i> = 0.42	1.5×10^{-4}	4.2×10^{-4}
<i>x</i> = 0.43	1.6×10^{-4}	4.9×10^{-4}
x = 0.44	1.4×10^{-4}	4.4×10^{-4}
<i>x</i> = 0.45	1.4×10^{-4}	4.6×10^{-4}
<i>x</i> = 0.50	7.7×10^{-5}	4.2×10^{-4}

Table S1 Ionic conductivities of xLiI·(1–x)Li₄SnS₄ at 25 °C and 60 °C.



Fig. S2. Nyquist plots of $0.43 \text{Li}_2 \text{S} \cdot 0.57 \text{Li}_4 \text{SnS}_4$ at 25 °C. The ionic conductivity was determined from the total resistance $(R_{\text{total}} = R_{\text{b}} + R_{\text{g.b.}})$.

Preparation and characterization of solid electrolytes on Li_2S-SnS_2-LiI system with various Li_2S/SnS_2 ratios of 67/33, 70/30 and 75/25.

The Li₂S–SnS₂ solid electrolytes were prepared with various Li₂S/SnS₂ ratios of 67/33, 70/30 and 80/20. Note that the 67/33 sample is the same as Li₄SnS₄. Fig. S3a shows XRD patterns of these samples. The 67/33 and 70/30 samples exhibit the diffraction peaks of hexagonal Li₄SnS₄ mainly. However, the diffraction peaks of Li₂S were observed in the 70/30 and 80/20 samples, indicating that all Li₂S was not used to reaction in the case of the ratio beyond 67/33. The ionic conductivities of these samples were measured by A.C. impedance (Table S2). The ionic conductivities of the 70/30 and 80/20 samples are lower than that of the 67/33 sample, suggesting that Li₂S decreased their ionic conductivities. Therefore, increasing the amount of Li₂S in the Li₂S–SnS₂ system is not an effective way to increase Li carrier and ionic conductivity.

Fig. S3b displays the XRD patterns of LiI-added $67\text{Li}_2\text{S} \cdot 33\text{SnS}_2$, $70\text{Li}_2\text{S} \cdot 30\text{SnS}_2$, and $80\text{Li}_2\text{S} \cdot 20\text{SnS}_2$. The $0.4\text{LiI} \cdot 0.6(80\text{Li}_2\text{S} \cdot 20\text{SnS}_2)$ still have the diffraction peaks of Li_2S while $0.4\text{LiI} \cdot 0.6(70\text{Li}_2\text{S} \cdot 30\text{SnS}_2)$ has no peaks of Li_2S , indicating that Li_2S remained though LiI was added in the case of $80\text{Li}_2\text{S} \cdot 20\text{SnS}_2$. Addition of LiI also increases ionic conductivities of 70/30 and 80/20 samples, while the 67/33 sample with LiI shows the highest ionic conductivity. (Table S2)



Fig. S3. XRD patterns of a) $67Li_2S \cdot 33SnS_2$, $70Li_2S \cdot 30SnS_2$ and $80Li_2S \cdot 20SnS_2$ and b) LiI-added $67Li_2S \cdot 33SnS_2$, $70Li_2S \cdot 30SnS_2$ and $80Li_2S \cdot 20SnS_2$.

Table S2 Ionic conductivities at room temperature (25 °C) of $67Li_2S \cdot 33SnS_2$, $70Li_2S \cdot 30SnS_2$ and $80Li_2S \cdot 20SnS_2$ solid electrolytes with and without 0.4 mol LiI.

	without LiI (S cm ⁻¹)	with LiI (S cm ⁻¹)
$67 \text{Li}_2 \text{S} \cdot 33 \text{SnS}_2$	4.5×10^{-5}	1.1×10^{-4}
$70 \text{Li}_2 \text{S} \cdot 30 \text{SnS}_2$	4.2×10^{-5}	7.3×10^{-5}
$80 \text{Li}_2 \text{S} \cdot 20 \text{SnS}_2$	1.9×10^{-5}	5.8×10^{-5}



Fig. S4. Synchrotron XRD patterns and structures of a) x = 0, b) x = 0.40 and c) x = 0.43 in $x\text{LiI}\cdot(1-x)\text{Li}_4\text{SnS}_4$. The red crosses, black continuous line, and bottom blue line represents the observed, calculated, and difference patterns, respectively. Bottom vertical green bars are the Bragg positions.

Table S3 Crystallographic data of Li₄SnS₄.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	r	-3,	,,	_ (), _		• • • • • (-) • -
atom	site	g	x	у	Z.	B (Å ²)
S	2c	1	1/3	2/3	1/4	2.58(6)
Sn	4 <i>f</i>	0.125	2/3	1/3	0.14372(17)	=S
Li	4 <i>f</i>	0.375	2/3	1/3	=Sn	=S

Space group: $P6_3/mmc$ (No.194), a = b = 4.0174(6) Å, c = 6.3935(9) Å, V = 89.36(2) Å³

Reliability parameters: $R_{wp} = 3.177$, S = 1.5387

Table S4 Crystallographic data of 0.4Lil·0.6Li₄SnS₄.

Space group: $P6_3/mmc$ (No.194), a = b = 4.07114(18) Å, c = 6.5076(4) Å, V = 93.409(8) Å³

atom	site	g	X	У	Z.	B (Å ²)
S	2 <i>c</i>	0.857	1/3	2/3	1/4	1.61(3)
Ι	2c	0.143	1/3	2/3	1/4	=S
Sn	4f	0.107	2/3	1/3	0.13750(19)	=S
Li	4 <i>f</i>	0.375	2/3	1/3	=Sn	=S

Reliability parameters: $R_{wp} = 3.142, S = 1.8703$

Table S5 Crystallographic data of 0.43Lil·0.57Li₄SnS₄.

Space group: $P6_3/mmc$ (No.194), $a = b = 4.0822(7)$ Å, $c = 6.53$	311(9) Å, $V = 94.26(2)$ Å ³
---	---

atom	site	g	X	У	Z.	B (Å ²)
S	2c	0.841	1/3	2/3	1/4	1.44(7)
Ι	2c	0.159	1/3	2/3	1/4	=S
Sn	4f	0.105	2/3	1/3	0.1363(3)	=S
Li	4f	0.375	2/3	1/3	=Sn	=S

Reliability parameters: $R_{wp} = 3.341$, S = 1.3830



Fig. S5. XRD patterns of x = 0 and x = 0.43 in $xLiI \cdot (1-x)Li_4SnS_4$ before and after the heat treatment (HT). The x = 0 and x = 0.43 were heated at 390 °C and 200 °C/270 °C, respectively.



Fig. S6. a) XRD patterns and b) ionic conductivities at 25 °C and 60 °C of y = 0-0.5 in $yLi_3PS_4 \cdot (1-y)Li_4SnS_4$.

Table S6 The activation energies and ionic conductivities at 30 °C of $x = 0$ and $x =$
0.43 in $x\text{LiI}(1-x)\text{Li}_4\text{SnS}_4$, $z = 0.37$, $z = 0.37$ (200 °C HT) and $z = 0.43$ in
zLiI· $(1-z)(0.4$ Li ₃ PS ₄ · 0.6 Li ₄ SnS ₄), and 0.4LiI· 0.6 Li ₄ SnS ₄ glass prepared liquid-phase
synthesis.

	$E_{\rm a}$ / kJ mol ⁻¹	$\sigma_{30^{o}C}/Scm^{-1}$
Li ₄ SnS ₄	37	3.7×10^{-5}
0.43 LiI $\cdot 0.57$ Li ₄ SnS ₄	39	1.0×10^{-4}
$0.37 \text{LiI} \cdot 0.63 (0.4 \text{Li}_3 \text{PS}_4 \cdot 0.6 \text{Li}_4 \text{SnS}_4)$	33	4.4×10^{-4}
0.37LiI·0.63(0.4Li ₃ PS ₄ ·0.6Li ₄ SnS ₄) 200 °C HT	28	5.5×10^{-4}
$0.43 \text{LiI} \cdot 0.57 (0.4 \text{Li}_3 \text{PS}_4 \cdot 0.6 \text{Li}_4 \text{SnS}_4)$	32	5.5×10^{-4}
0.4LiI·0.6Li ₄ SnS ₄ glass (Liquid-phase synthesis)*	41.6	4.1×10^{-4}

*data from the reference [12] "K. H. Park, D. Y. Oh, Y. E. Choi, Y. J. Nam, L. Han, J. Y. Kim, H. Xin, F. Lin, S. M. Oh and Y. S. Jung, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 1874–1883."



Fig. S7. Cyclic voltammograms of the Li/solid electrolytes/SUS asymmetric cells using a) Li_4SnS_4 , b) $0.43LiI \cdot 0.57Li_4SnS_4$, and c) $0.37LiI \cdot 0.25Li_3PS_4 \cdot 0.38Li_4SnS_4$ heated at 200 °C as solid electrolytes from -0.5 to 5 V. The scan rate was 1 mV s⁻¹. d) An enlarged view of Fig. S7c with a current range of -0.6 and 0.6 mA.



Fig. S8. XRD patterns of x = 0.43 in $x\text{Lil}\cdot(1-x)\text{Li}_4\text{SnS}_4$ and z = 0.37 in $z\text{Lil}\cdot(1-z)(0.4\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4\cdot 0.6\text{Li}_4\text{SnS}_4)$ before and after the air exposure.