Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Anaerobic oxidation of aldehydes to carboxylic acids under

hydrothermal conditions

Yiju Liao,^a Alexandria Aspin,^a Ziming Yang^a*

^a Department of Chemistry, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48309, USA

*Correspondence: zimingyang@oakland.edu

I. Experimental Section

Materials

Aldehydes including benzaldehyde (99%), 2-(trifluoromethyl)benzaldehyde (98%), 2bromobenzaldehyde (98%), 4-bromobenzaldehyde (99%), hydrocinnamaldehyde (90%), ptolualdehyde (97%), 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (98%), cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde (97%), cinnamaldehyde (95%) and 2-naphthaldehyde (98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and without purification. Carboxylic acids including benzoic acid (99%). used 2-(trifluoromethyl)benzoic acid (98%), 2-bromobenzoic acid (97%), 4-bromobenzoic acid (98%), (99%), *p*-toluic hydrocinnamic acid acid (98%), 4-methoxybenzoic acid (99%). cyclohexanecarboxylic acid (98%), trans-cinnamic acid (97%), and 2-naphthoic acid (98%) were also obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as standards for product identification and quantification. Metal salts including ferric nitrate (99%), cupric nitrate (99%), cupric sulfate (99%), cupric chloride (99%), sodium nitrate (99%), magnesium nitrate hexahydrate (99%), and calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (99%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Dichloromethane (DCM) (99.9%) was obtained from VWR, and n-decane (99%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as the gas chromatography (GC) internal standard. Deionized water was obtained from a Barnstead Nanopure system with a resistivity of 18.2 M Ω ·cm.

Methods

General experimental procedure for the hydrothermal oxidation of aldehydes is as follows: the aldehyde (0.03 mol) was first loaded to a fused silica glass tube (2 mm ID and 6 mm OD), followed by the addition of 0.3 mL of N₂-purged deionized water or metal salt solution (0.03 – 0.06 mol). Then the tube was placed in liquid nitrogen, evacuated through three freeze-pump-thaw cycles to remove air/oxygen, and sealed with an oxyhydrogen flame.¹ The reaction mixture in the tube was then heated in a GC oven at 200 °C and 15 bar (P_{sat} , calculated using SUPCRT92)² for up to 24 h, and the reaction was quenched by submerging the silica tubes in a cold water bath. The products were extracted with 3.0 mL DCM containing 8.8 mM decane, and the pH of the aqueous layer was adjusted to ~2 with HCl solution before the extraction. An Agilent 7820A GC system equipped with an autosampler and a flame-ionization detector was used to analyze and quantify the carboxylic acid products and the remaining of the starting aldehydes. The concentration of each aldehyde and carboxylic acid was quantified by GC using calibration curves established with authentic samples. The product identification was further confirmed by a gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer (Agilent 7890A/5975C) based on fragmentation patterns in the mass spectra. Small amounts of by-product include nitro compounds (from nitrate experiments), C–C cleavage products, and possible isomer structures were also detected.

II. Thermodynamic Calculations

Thermodynamic calculations on aqueous oxidation of aldehyde were conducted using the software SUPCRT92,² with the revised Helgeson-Kirkham-Flowers equation of state.^{3, 4} Acetaldehyde was chosen as a representative aldehyde structure based on its availability in the geochemical database. Equilibrium constants (log K_{eq}) were calculated for the oxidation of acetaldehyde to acetic acid in pure water (Eqn 1) and in the presence of Fe(NO₃)₃ (Eqn 2), respectively, at temperatures from 0 to 300 °C at P_{sat}.

$$CH_{3}CHO_{(aq)} + H_{2}O \longrightarrow CH_{3}COOH_{(aq)} + H_{2(aq)}$$
(1)
acetaldehyde acetic acid

«(apotio apid) «H

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{eq1}} = \frac{a(\text{acetic acid}_{(aq)}) a \Pi_{2(aq)}}{a(\text{acetaldehyde}_{(aq)})}$$

$$CH_{3}CHO_{(aq)} + 2Fe^{3+} + 6NO_{3} + H_{2}O \longrightarrow CH_{3}COOH_{(aq)} + 2Fe^{2+} + 4NO_{3} + 2HNO_{3(aq)}$$
(2)
acetaldehyde
$$\kappa_{eq2} = \frac{a(\text{acetic acid}_{(aq)}) a(Fe^{2+})^{2} a(HNO_{3(aq)})^{2}}{a(\text{acetaldehyde}_{(aq)}) a(Fe^{3+})^{2} a(NO_{3} -)^{2}}$$

Figure S1. Calculated equilibrium constant (log K_{eq}) for oxidation of acetaldehyde to acetic acid in water with (blue) and without (orange) Fe(NO₃)₃, as a function of temperature at water saturation vapor pressure, using SUPCRT92.²



III. Characterization of Carboxylic Acid Products by GC-MS

Figure S2. GC-MS data for reaction of Compound 1.



Hit 1 : Benzoic acid C7H6O2; MF: 940; RMF: 945; Prob 55.9%; CAS: 65-85-0; Lib: mainlib; ID: 68652.











Figure S4. GC-MS data for reaction of Compound 3.









Figure S6. GC-MS data for reaction of Compound 5.





Figure S7. GC-MS data for reaction of Compound 6.





Figure S8. GC-MS data for reaction of Compound 7.

















References

- 1. X. Fu, Y. Liao, C. R. Glein, M. Jamison, K. Hayes, J. Zaporski and Z. Yang, ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 2020, 4, 722-729.
- 2. J. W. Johnson, E. H. Oelkers and H. C. Helgeson, *Computers & Geosciences*, 1992, 18, 899-947.
- 3. D. A. Sverjensky, E. L. Shock and H. C. Helgeson, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 1997, **61**, 1359-1412.
- 4. E. L. Shock, D. C. Sassani, M. Willis and D. A. Sverjensky, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 1997, **61**, 907-950.