Electronic Supplementary Information

Surface plasmon-driven photoelectrochemical water splitting of Ag/TiO₂ Nanoplate Photoanode

Piangjai Peerakiatkhajohn^a, Jung-Ho Yun^b, Teera Butburee^c, Waraporn Nisspa^d, and Supphasin Thaweesak ^{e*}

- a Faculty of Environment and Resource Studies, Mahidol University, Nakhon Pathom 73170, Thailand; piangjai.pee@mahidol.ac.th
- b Nanomaterials Centre, School of Chemical Engineering and Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology (AIBN), The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4123, Australia; j.yun1@uq.edu.au
- c National Nanotechnology Center, National Science and Technology Development Agency, 111 Thailand Science Park, Pathum Thani 12120, Thailand; teera.but@nanotec.or.th
- d Division of Science and Technology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Phetchaburi Rajabhat University, Phetchaburi 76000, Thailand; waraporn.bun@mail.pbru.ac.th
- e Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Burapha University, Chon Buri 20131, Thailand; supphasin@eng.buu.ac.th



Fig. S1 Raman spectra of TiO_2 NP photoanode.



Fig. S2 The variations of photocurrent density of $Ag/TiO_2 NP$ photanodes at different deposition time (a) linear sweep voltametric (I-V) curves and (b) Transient photocurrent response (I-t) at 1.23 V vs. RHE under simulated AM1.5G illumination.

Table S1 A summary of recent studies for Ag/TiO₂ based photoanodes in photoelectrochemical system.

Photoanode	Photocurrent density	Electrolyte/ Illumination	Method	Ref.
TiO ₂ nanotube Ag/TiO ₂ nanotube	- 0.104 mA/cm ² at 0.7 V vs. SCE	0.5 M Na₂SO₄, 300 W Xe lamp	Anodization and electrodeposition	[1]
TiO ₂ nanorod Ag/TiO ₂ nanorod	0.014 mA/cm ² 0.047 mA/cm ²	0.35 M NaSO₃ and 0.25 M NaS, 100 mW/cm²	Hydrothermal and photodeposition	[2]
TiO_2 nanorod Ag/TiO_2 nanorod	0.012 mA/cm ² 0.043 mA/cm ²	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄ , 150 W xenon lamp	Hydrothermal and photodeposition	[3]
Fe/TiO₂ nanotube Ag/TiO₂ nanotube	0.05 mA/cm ² 0.23 mA/cm ² at 0.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl	0.1M Na ₂ S and 0.2 M NaOH, 100 mW/cm ²	Anodization and electrodeposition	[4]
TiO ₂ nanotube Ag/TiO ₂ nanotube	0.011 mA/cm ² 0.1 mA/cm ² at 0 V vs. Ag/AgCl	0.1M Na ₂ S and 0.2 M NaOH, 100 mW/cm ²	Anodization and photodeposition	[5]
TiO ₂ nanoplate Ag/TiO ₂ nanoplate	0.07 mA/cm ² 0.35 mA/cm ² at 1.23 V vs. RHE	0.5 M Na ₂ SO ₄ , 100 mW/cm ²	Hydrothermal and electrodeposition	This study

	$R(\Omega)$			CPE (F)		
Photoanode	Rs	Rct1	Rct2	CPE1	CPE2	
TiO ₂	7.595	113.9	66.59	4.747 x10 ⁻⁸	1.579 x10 ⁻⁷	
1m-Ag/TiO ₂	5.078	31.06	40.54	1.912 x10 ⁻⁷	3.198 x10 ⁻⁶	

35.93

31.12

2.349 x10⁻⁷

2.995 x10⁻⁷

3.703 x10⁻⁶

3.822 x10⁻⁶

Table S2 The fitting results using the equivalent model for EIS measurements

29.74

21.81

References

3m-Ag/TiO₂

5m-Ag/TiO₂

3.522

3.452

- 1. Z. Lian, W. Wang, S. Xiao, X. Li, Y. Cui, D. Zhang, G. Li and H. Li, Scientific Reports, 2015, 5, 10461.
- 2. K. Xu, Z. Liu, S. Qi, Z. Yin, S. Deng, M. Zhang and Z. Sun, RSC Advances, 2020, 10, 34702-34711.
- 3. K. X. Zhu Liu, Hai Yu, Zhaoqi Sun, International Journal of Energy Research, 2020, 45.
- 4. M. M. Momeni and P. Zeinali, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 2021, 891, 115283.
- 5. M. M. Momeni and P. Zeinali, Journal of Electronic Materials, 2021, 50, 5810-5818.