

## Global opportunities and challenges on net-zero CO<sub>2</sub> emissions towards a sustainable future

A. Joseph Nathanael<sup>1</sup>, Kumaran Kannaiyan<sup>2\*</sup>, Aruna K. Kunhiraman<sup>3</sup>, Seeram Ramakrishna<sup>4</sup>,  
Vignesh Kumaravel<sup>5,6\*</sup>

### Supporting Information

**Table S1. A summary of the performance of various materials/methods (SACs, Plasma, MOFs, COFs) for the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion**

S. No	Catalyst	Method/ Reaction Condition	Efficiency/Performance	Reference
1	Ni-SAC	CO <sub>2</sub> electroreduction. <i>Potential range:</i> −0.53 V~−1.03 V	Faradaic efficiency: 92.0 %~98.0 %	S1
2	FePc@NiNC	<i>Potential range:</i> −0.5 V~−0.9 V	Faradaic efficiency: 72–86%	S2
3	PcCu-O8-Zn (MOF)	Hydrothermal method	Faradaic efficiency: 88% turnover frequency: 0.39 s <sup>−1</sup>	S3
4	CoPc@Fe-N-C	<i>Potential range:</i> 0.71 V	>90%	S4
5	Ni/Fe-N-C	<i>Potential range:</i> −0.5 and −0.9 V	>90%	S5
6	Cu-CN-x x → up to 26.6 wt %	Carboxylation of terminal alkynes with CO <sub>2</sub>	Cu-CN-8.0 → turnover frequency (TOF) of 9.7 h <sup>−1</sup> Yield: 97%	S6
7	CuSAs/TCNFs	CO <sub>2</sub> reduction to methanol in liquid form	Faradaic efficiency: 44 %	S7
8	Pd-SAC	CO <sub>2</sub> reduction	Mass activity -373.0 mA mg <sup>−1</sup> <sub>Pd</sub> at	S8

		reaction	-0.8 V Faradaic efficiency: 55%	
9	DBD	CO <sub>2</sub> splitting. Outer electrode temperature <170°C	Energy efficiency: 7% CO <sub>2</sub> conversion rate 12.2 %	S9
10	DBD with ZrO <sub>2</sub> and CeO <sub>2</sub> as packing materials	CO <sub>2</sub> splitting. outer electrode temperature <170°C	Energy efficiency: 8.76 % CO <sub>2</sub> conversion rate: 64.38 %	S9
11	co-axial DBD reactor with 15 % of CuO/ $\gamma$ - Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Direct activation of undiluted CO <sub>2</sub>	Energy efficiency: 1.597 mmol/kJ CO <sub>2</sub> conversion rate: 15.7 %	S10
12	DBD reactor with BaTiO <sub>3</sub> - coated PU foam	CO <sub>2</sub> dissociation. Specific Energy Input: 60 kJ/L	CO <sub>2</sub> conversion rate: 27.4 %	S11
13	DBD reactor with Co and Fe solid catalysts	Direct conversion of CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub>	product selectivity 40 %	S12
14	CTU/TiO <sub>2</sub>	Chemically immobilize (CuTCPP) into UiO-66 (Zr <sub>6</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> ), MOF structure (CTU)	CO evolution amount: 31.32 $\mu$ mol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> (7 times higher than pure TiO <sub>2</sub> )	S13
15	(Co/Ru) <sub>n</sub> -UiO- 67(bpydc)	CO <sub>2</sub> reduction using a phosphorescent (H <sub>2</sub> : CO = 2 : 1)	Yield: 13,600 $\mu$ mol·g <sup>-1</sup>	S14

16	Core-shell HKUST-1 @TiO <sub>2</sub>	Hydrothermal method; reduction of CO <sub>2</sub> to CH <sub>4</sub>	high selectivity was achieved	S15
17	CsPbBr <sub>3</sub> @ zinc/cobalt- based ZIF	Photocatalytic CO <sub>2</sub> reduction	higher moisture stability excellent charge separation efficiency	S16
18	Ni and Fe MOFs	Photoconversion of anthropogenic CO <sub>2</sub> -to-syngas	Efficient element separation, low concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> to produce tuneable syngas	S17
19	Triazine-based aniline (1,3,5- tris-(4-amino phenyl) triazine and 1,3,5-tris-(4- amino phenoxy) benzene)	298 / 273 K	CO <sub>2</sub> uptake efficiency: 65.65 / 92.38 mg g <sup>-1</sup>	S18
20	TPA-COFs & TPT-COFs	One-pot polycondensation s of tris(4- aminophenyl) amine (TPA- 3NH <sub>2</sub> ) & 2,4,6- tris(4- aminophenyl) triazine (TPT-3NH <sub>2</sub> )	CO <sub>2</sub> uptake efficiencies: up to 65.65 and 92.38 mg g <sup>-1</sup> at 298 and 273 K, respectively.	S19
21	Fully bonded tetraphenylethane TPE-COF-I; frustrated bonding structure TPE- COF-II	Different solvent system with different polarities	CO <sub>2</sub> adsorption: 23.2 wt %, 118.8 cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 atm, 273 K	S20

22	Cz-COF  Tz-COF	273 K/298 K	CO <sub>2</sub> uptake: 2.5/1.5 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> selectivity: 36/28 CO <sub>2</sub> uptake: 3.5/2.3 selectivity: 20/12	S21
23	PA-TCIF(DMF), TPA-TCIF(DM), TPA-TCIF(BD)	Reflux and solvothelmal conditions using different solvents; 273/298 K,	CO <sub>2</sub> capture capacity: 77.3/50.2 mg g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 bar CO <sub>2</sub> selectivity: 51.6/61.8	S22

### References:

- S1. X.-L. Lu, X. Rong, C. Zhang and T.-B. Lu, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2020, **8**, 10695-10708.
- S2. X. Yang, T. Tat, A. Libanori, J. Cheng, X. Xuan, N. Liu, X. Yang, J. Zhou, A. Nashalian and J. Chen, *Materials Today*, 2021, **45**, 54-61
- S3. H. Zhong, M. Ghorbani-Asl, K.H. Ly, J. Zhang, J. Ge, M. Wang, Z. Liao, D. Makarov, E. Zschech, E. Brunner, and I.M. Weidinger, *Nature communications*, 2020, **11**(1) 1409.
- S4. L. Lin, H. Li, C. Yan, H. Li, R. Si, M. Li, J. Xiao, G. Wang, and X. Bao, *Advanced Materials*, 2019, **31**(41), 1903470.
- S5. W. Ren, X. Tan, W. Yang, C. Jia, S. Xu, K. Wang, S. C. Smith, and C. Zhao, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2019, **58**(21), 6972-6976.
- S6. P. Yang, S. Zuo, F. Zhang, B. Yu, S. Guo, X. Yu, Y. Zhao, J. Zhang and Z. Liu, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 2020, **59**, 7327-7335.
- S7. H. Yang, Y. Wu, G. Li, Q. Lin, Q. Hu, Q. Zhang, J. Liu and C. He, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2019, **141**, 12717-12723.
- S8. Q. He, J. H. Lee, D. Liu, Y. Liu, Z. Lin, Z. Xie, S. Hwang, S. Kattel, L. Song and J. G. Chen, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2020, **30**, 2000407.
- S9. M. R. Jahanbakhsh, H. Taghvaei, O. Khalifeh, M. Ghanbari and M. R. Rahimpour, *Energy & Fuels*, 2020, **34**, 14321-14332.
- S10. D. Ray, P. Chawdhury, K. V. S. S. Bhargavi, S. Thatikonda, N. Lingaiah and C. Subrahmanyam, *Journal of CO<sub>2</sub> Utilization*, 2021, **44**, 101400.
- S11. H. Taghvaei, E. Pirzadeh, M. Jahanbakhsh, O. Khalifeh and M. R. Rahimpour, *Journal of CO<sub>2</sub> Utilization*, 2021, **44**, 101398.
- S12. D. Li, V. Rohani, F. Fabry, A. Parakkulam Ramaswamy, M. Sennour and L. Fulcheri, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2020, **261**, 118228.
- S13. L. Wang, P. Jin, S. Duan, H. She, J. Huang and Q. Wang, *Science Bulletin*, 2019, **64**, 926-933.
- S14. M. Liu, Y.-F. Mu, S. Yao, S. Guo, X.-W. Guo, Z.-M. Zhang and T.-B. Lu, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2019, **245**, 496-501.
- S15. R. Li, J. Hu, M. Deng, H. Wang, X. Wang, Y. Hu, H.-L. Jiang, J. Jiang, Q. Zhang, Y. Xie and Y. Xiong, *Advanced Materials*, 2014, **26**, 4783-4788.
- S16. Z.-C. Kong, J.-F. Liao, Y.-J. Dong, Y.-F. Xu, H.-Y. Chen, D.-B. Kuang and C.-Y. Su, *ACS Energy Letters*, 2018, **3**, 2656-2662.

- S17. B. Han, X. Ou, Z. Zhong, S. Liang, X. Yan, H. Deng and Z. Lin, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2021, **283**, 119594.
- S18. Y. Zhi, P. Shao, X. Feng, H. Xia, Y. Zhang, Z. Shi, Y. Mu and X. Liu, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2018, **6**, 374-382.
- S19. A. F. M. El-Mahdy, C.-H. Kuo, A. Alshehri, C. Young, Y. Yamauchi, J. Kim and S.-W. Kuo, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2018, **6**, 19532-19541.
- S20. Q. Gao, X. Li, G.-H. Ning, H.-S. Xu, C. Liu, B. Tian, W. Tang and K. P. Loh, *Chemistry of Materials*, 2018, **30**, 1762-1768.
- S21. S. An, T. Xu, C. Peng, J. Hu and H. Liu, *RSC Advances*, 2019, **9**, 21438-21443.
- S22. P. Puthiaraj, H. S. Kim, K. Yu and W.-S. Ahn, *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 2020, **297**, 110011.