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## Details of the UV-Vis measurements and evaluation of equilibrium constant $K$.

Formation constants ( K ), of the XB complexes [ $\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{X}^{-}$] between 1,2-bis(dicyanomethylene)-3-iodocyclopropanide anion (1) (taken as salt with tris(dimethylamino)cyclopropenium counter-ions) and halide anions ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}$ ) were established via UV-Vis measurements of the acetonitrile or dichloromethane solutions containing mixtures of these reactants. The measurements were carried out under argon atmosphere (in a Teflon-capped 1 mm -cuvette equipped with a sidearm) on a CARY 500 spectrophotometer at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For each $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{X}^{-}\right]$complex, the values of K were established based on the UV -Vis measurements of 3-5 series of solutions. Each series included 10-18 solutions. The concentrations of $\mathbf{1}$ (about 1 mM ) were kept constant in each series and the concentrations of halides varied from 0 to 0.25 M . (Halides were taken as salts with tetra- $n$-butyl- or tetra- $n$-propylammonium cations, $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NX}$ or $\mathrm{Pr}_{4} \mathrm{NX}$, measurements with both cations afforded the same - within accuracy limit - formation constants). The ionic strength of the solutions was kept constant with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$.

In a typical series of measurements, stock solutions of individual $\mathbf{1}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL}, \sim 2.0 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{NXX}^{2}$ ( $5.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{M}$ ) and $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}\left(5.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{M}\right.$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) were prepared in Schlenk tubes under Ar atmosphere. (The solvents were freshly distilled over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ under argon.) Then, 0.500 mL of the solution of $\mathbf{1}$ were mixed in Teflon-capped ( 1 mm ) cuvettes with x mL of stock solution of Bu4NX and ( $0.500-\mathrm{x}$ ) mL of 0.50 M solution of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ (where x typically were $0.500,0.400,0.330,0.250$, $0.200,0.160,0.130,0.100,0.080,0.065,0.050,0.035,0.020$ or similar numbers) using gas-tight micro syringes with capacities from 0.050 mL to 0.500 mL . The UV-vis spectra were measured immediately after mixing. (Control experiments taken 5-20 min after mixing showed no substantial changes of absorption of the solutions containing $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$or $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$anions. However, small spectral changes were observed in solutions with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$anions if measurements were repeated $10-20 \mathrm{~min}$ after mixing.) Alternatively, series of UV-Vis measurements with $\mathrm{I}^{-}$or $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$anions were done by mixing 0.250 mL of the stock solution of $\mathbf{1}$ (prepared as described above) with 0.250 mL of the stock solution of Bu4NX in Teflon-capped ( 1 mm ) cuvettes equipped with a sidearm (solution A). Separately, 5.0 mL of the stock solution of $\mathbf{1}$ was mixed with 5.0 mL of a 0.50 M -solution of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ in acetonitrile (solution B with the same concentration of $\mathbf{1}$ and ionic strength as solution A). After measuring the UV-Vis spectrum of solution $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{x} \mathrm{ml}$ portions of the diluted solution B (where $\mathrm{x}=0.125,0.150,0.200,0.250,0.300,0.400$, $0.500,0.600,0.750,0.900,1.000,1.500,2.000$ or similar number) were added progressively and the spectra were measured after each addition. Since the concentration of $\mathbf{1}$ and the ionic strength of solution B are the same as solution A, an addition of B to A decreased concentration of iodide in the mixture, but kept the concentration of $\mathbf{1}$ and the ionic strength constant. Thus, regardless of the method of preparation of the solutions for the UV-Vis measurements, the concentrations of $\mathbf{1}$ and the ionic strength were kept constant, and the concentrations of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NX}$ varied in a series of measurements; and the values of
formation constants derived from both types of the UV-Vis experiments were the same within the accuracy limit, except for the studies of interaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. Since slow side reactions were observed in the solutions containing mixtures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{UV}-\mathrm{V}$ is measurements of the interaction of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$with $\mathbf{1}$ were done only using the first method, i.e. each measurement was done immediately after mixing of the reactants.

Formation constants of complexes [1, $\left.\mathrm{X}^{-}\right], \mathrm{K}$ in Table 1 were established via regression analysis of the UV-Vis data. An addition of $\mathrm{X}^{-}$anions to the solutions of $\mathbf{1}$ leads to the formation of XB complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\mathrm{X}^{-} \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{K}}{\rightleftarrows}\left[1, \mathrm{X}^{-}\right] \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formation constant of the complex, K , is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}} /\left(\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}}\right)\right) \tag{S2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{\text {com }}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}$ are equilibrium concentration of complex and initial concentrations of $\mathbf{1}$ and halide in the mixture. Solving equation S 2 leads to expression for concentration of complex as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}}=\left\{\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{1}+\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}+1 / \mathrm{K}\right)-\left(\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{1}+\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}+1 / \mathrm{K}\right)^{2}-4 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}\right)^{0.5}\right\} / 2 \tag{S3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The changes of absorption intensity at a certain wavelength upon formation of complex are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta \mathrm{Abs}=\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{com}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}}+\varepsilon_{1} \times\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}}\right)+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{X}} \times\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathbf{x}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}}\right)\right)-\left(\varepsilon_{1} \times \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{\mathbf{1}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{X}} \times \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}\right)\right) l \tag{S4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{\text {com, }} \varepsilon_{1}$, and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}$ are extinction coefficients of complex, 1 and $\mathrm{X}^{-}$at this wavelength and $l$ is length of the UV-Vis cuvette. Since the extinction coefficients of the halide salts (and their absorption) were negligible in the spectral range which was used in the analysis, $\Delta \mathrm{Abs}$ can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{Abs}=\Delta \varepsilon \underline{l} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}} \tag{S5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \varepsilon$ is a difference in extinction coefficients of complex and 1 . Thus, values of $\Delta A b s$ measured in a series of solutions with the same concentration of $\mathbf{1}$ and variable concentration of $\mathrm{X}^{-}$can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{Abs}=\Delta \varepsilon l \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}}=\varepsilon l \times\left\{\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{1}+\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}+1 / \mathrm{K}\right)-\left(\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{1}+\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}+1 / \mathrm{K}\right)^{2}-4 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}\right)^{0.5}\right\} / 2 \tag{S6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fittings of the results of the UV-Vis titrations to eq. S6 was done using Origin Pro 2016 (with $\Delta$ Abs and $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}$ as dependent and independent variables y and x , respectively, $\mathrm{C}^{\circ}{ }_{1}$ as a constant and $\Delta \varepsilon$ and K as adjustable parameters). Such fittings (illustrated in Figures S1-S4) produced values of K and $\Delta \varepsilon$ in Table 1.


Figure S1. Dependence of differential absorbance $\Delta$ Abs (obtained by subtraction of absorption of components from the absorption of the mixture) on the concentration of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ in the acetonitrile solutions containing 0.8 mM of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{NI}$ (ionic strength was kept constant with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NIPF}_{6}$ ).
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Figure S2. (A) Spectra of acetonitrile solutions of 1.5 mM TDACl (green dashed line), 250 mM Bu 4 NI (red dashed line) and their mixtures (solid line) with the same concentrations of components. (B) Spectra of acetonitrile solutions with constant concentration of 2TDA ( 2.0 mM ) and various concentrations of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}$ (from 0 to 250 mM ). Dashed lines show spectra of the individual solutions of 2.0 mM 2TDA (black) and of 250 mM Bu4 NI (red). Ionic strength was maintained with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$. Insert: Differential spectra of the solutions obtained by subtraction of the absorption of components from the spectra of their mixtures.


Figure S3. Dependence NMR shifts of the protons of $\mathbf{2}$ on the concentration of I- anions in the solutions with constant initial concentration of 2 of 11 mM (as compared to the chemical shift of the individual 2, in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ionic strength was maintained with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ ) Solid line represent a fit of the data to the expression $\Delta \delta=\Delta \delta_{\infty} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{com}} / \mathrm{C}^{\circ}{ }_{2}=\left(\Delta \delta_{\infty} / \mathrm{C}^{0}{ }_{2}\right) \times\left\{\left(\mathrm{C}^{0}{ }_{2}+\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}+1 / \mathrm{K}\right)-\left(\left(\mathrm{C}^{0}{ }_{2}+\mathrm{C}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}+1 / \mathrm{K}\right)^{2}-4 \mathrm{C}^{0}{ }_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}^{0.5}\right\} / 2\right.$, where $\mathrm{C}_{\text {com }}$ is an equilibrium concentration of complex in solution, $\mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\circ}{ }_{x}$ are initial concentrations of $\mathbf{2}$ and halide in the mixture, and $\Delta \delta_{\infty}$ is a limiting shift (when all 2 are hydrogen-bonded with iodide). This fitting produced value of $K=0.22$. Note that the dependence of $\Delta \delta$ on $\left[\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}\right]$ is almost linear (since only a very small fraction of 2 are hydrogen bonded with iodides in the accessible concentration range of $\left.\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}\right)$. Therefore the value of $\Delta \delta_{\infty}=2.23 \mathrm{ppm}$ were taken from the calculated chemical shifts of the protons of $2(8.11 \mathrm{ppm})$ and its hydrogen-bonded complex with iodide [2, $\left.\mathrm{I}^{-}\right](10.34 \mathrm{ppm})$ (GIAO ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR calculations at the M062X/def2trzvpp level in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as a medium). I


Figure S4. Left: Spectra of dichloromethane solutions with constant concentration of $\mathbf{1}(0.85 \mathrm{mM})$ and various concentrations of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}$ (solid lines from the bottom to the top). Dashed lines show spectra of the individual solutions of 0.80 mM 1 (red) and of 250 mM Bu $u_{4} \mathrm{NI}$ (blue). Ionic strength was maintained with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$. Insert: Differential spectra of the solutions obtained by subtraction of the absorption of components from the spectra of their mixtures. (Right) Dependence of differential absorbance $\Delta \mathrm{Abs}$ (obtained by subtraction of absorption of components from the absorption of the mixture) on the concentration of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}$ in these solutions.



Figure S5. Left: Spectra of acetonitrile solutions with constant concentration of $\mathbf{1}(0.80 \mathrm{mM})$ and various concentrations of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}$ (solid lines from the bottom to the top). Dashed lines show spectra of the individual solutions of 0.80 mM 1 (red) and of $250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Bu} 4 \mathrm{NBr}^{2}$ (blue). Ionic strength was maintained with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$. Insert: Differential spectra of the solutions obtained by subtraction of the absorption of components from the spectra of their mixtures. Right: Dependence of differential absorbance $\Delta \mathrm{Abs}$ (obtained by subtraction of absorption of components from the absorption of the mixture) on the concentration of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}$ in these solutions containing.



Figure S6. Left: Spectra of acetonitrile solutions with constant concentration of $\mathbf{1}(0.80 \mathrm{mM})$ and various concentrations of $\mathrm{Pr}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ (solid lines from the bottom to the top). Dashed lines show spectra of the individual solutions of 0.80 mM 1 (red) and of $250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Pr} 4{ }_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ (blue). Ionic strength was maintained with $\mathrm{Pr}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$. Insert: Differential spectra of the solutions obtained by subtraction of the absorption of components from the spectra of their mixtures. Right: Dependence of differential absorbance $\Delta \mathrm{Abs}$ (obtained by subtraction of absorption of components from the absorption of the mixture) on the concentration of $\mathrm{Pr}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ in these solutions.


Figure S7. Optimized geometries of the $\left[1, \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]$(left) and $\left[1, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$complexes with $(3,-1)$ critical points (yellow spheres) and bond paths (green line) from AIM analysis and the blue-green disc indicating intermolecular attractive interactions resulting from the NCI treatments ( $\mathrm{s}=0.4$ au isosurfaces, a color scale of -0.035 (blue) $<\rho<0.02$ (red) au).

Table S1. Characteristics of the (3,-1) bond critical points along I $\cdots \mathrm{X}^{-}$halogen bonds (in a.u.)

| Complex | $\rho$ (density) | H (Energy density) | $\nabla^{2} \rho$ (Laplacian of electron density) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]$ | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.53 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.60 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.15 \mathrm{E}-02$ |



Figure S8. MO shapes and energies for $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$(left), $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]$(center) and $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$(right). Blue and red arrows represent components of the transitions responsible for the appearance of the lowest-energy absorption bands in the complexes (see details from the output files of TD DFT computations below, note that oscillators strengths of exited state $\mathbf{1}$ in each case are essentially negligible, and they are not shown).

Excitation energies and oscillator strengths calculated for [1, $I^{-}$]:
Excited State 1: Singlet-A $4.7537 \mathrm{eV} 260.82 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{f}=0.0051<\mathrm{S}^{* *} 2>=0.000$

| $61->69$ | 0.17095 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $67->69$ | 0.66012 |
| $67->71$ | 0.10259 |

Excited State 2: Singlet-A $4.8580 \mathrm{eV} 255.22 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{f}=0.6738$ <S**2>=0.000
65 -> $69-0.15352$

67 -> $68 \quad 0.67137$
Excited State 3: Singlet-A $4.9441 \mathrm{eV} 250.77 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{f}=0.7223<$ S $^{* *} 2>=0.000$

| $63->68$ | -0.11512 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $64->70$ | 0.11454 |
| $67->70$ | 0.67911 |

Excitation energies and oscillator strengths calculated for [1, $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$]:
Excited State 1: Singlet-A $4.8664 \mathrm{eV} 254.77 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{f}=0.0075\left\langle\mathrm{~S}^{* *} 2>=0.000\right.$

| $66->74$ | 0.13754 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $68->77$ | 0.14542 |
| $72->74$ | 0.58566 |
| $72->76$ | 0.30052 |

Excited State 2: Singlet-A 4.8866 eV $253.72 \mathrm{~nm} f=0.5213<S^{* *} 2>=0.000$ 72 -> $73 \quad 0.69240$
Excited State 3: Singlet-A $4.9458 \mathrm{eV} 250.69 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{f}=0.7170<\mathrm{S}^{* *} 2>=0.000$
68 -> $73 \quad-0.11416$

72 -> 750.68518

Excitation energies and oscillator strengths for [1, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$]:
Excited State 1: Singlet-A $4.9035 \mathrm{eV} 252.85 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{f}=0.0210<S^{* *} 2>=0.000$

| $59->68$ | -0.26929 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $63->64$ | -0.11527 |
| $63->66$ | 0.29147 |
| $63->67$ | 0.53349 |

Excited State 2: Singlet-A 4.9066 eV $252.69 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{f}=0.4459<\mathrm{S}^{* *} 2>=0.000$ 63 -> $64 \quad 0.68575$
Excited State 3: Singlet-A $4.9479 \mathrm{eV} 250.58 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{f}=0.7169$ <S**2>=0.000

| $59->64$ | -0.11330 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $63->65$ | 0.68017 |

Table S2. Energies and HOMO/LUMO energies of the complexes and individual reactants (M062X/def2tzvpp calculations, PCM model)

|  | Solvent | E, Hartree | E+ZPE, Hartree | E(HOMO), eV | E(LUMO), eV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{l}^{-}\right]$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | -1157.451835 | -1157.387045 | -0.25026 | 0.00922 |
| $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | -3434.011928 | -3433.946936 | -0.24975 | 0.01048 |
| $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | -1320.007332 | -1319.942294 | -0.24873 | 0.01217 |
| $\left[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{l}^{-}\right]$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | -1157.433215 | -1157.368343 | -0.23414 | 0.02483 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | -859.6273823 | -859.562732 | -0.25604 | -0.00746 |
| $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | -297.818415 | -297.818415 | -0.26435 | 0.21877 |
| $\mathrm{~B}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | -2574.37678 | -2574.37678 | -0.27940 | 0.31332 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | -460.370291 | -460.370291 | -0.28893 | 0.43374 |
| $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | -297.810083 | -297.810083 | -0.24750 | 0.23449 |

Table S3. Calculated characteristics of the hypothetical anion- $\pi\left[1, \mathrm{X}^{-}\right]$complexes. ${ }^{a}$

| Complex | $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}$ | $10^{-4} \varepsilon, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{I}^{-}$ | 0.7 | 252 | 3.76 |
| $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | 4.6 | 252 | 3.46 |
| $1 \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 6.9 | 251 | 3.80 |

a) From M06-2X/def2tzvpp calculations, In $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{PCM}$ model.

Atomic coordinates of the optimized AEXB complexes

| [1, İ] $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  | [1, $\left.\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right] \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 0.74561200 | -0.04678700 | -0.01608500 | I | 1.25029400 | -0.00020500 | -0.00001400 |
| N | -1.77282400 | 4.10762300 | -0.00658700 | N | -1.37232600 | 4.11671800 | -0.02427500 |
| C | -1.30316400 | -0.01995800 | -0.01162700 | C | -0.80107500 | -0.00017200 | -0.00000300 |
| N | -5.68510000 | 2.04487600 | 0.02168800 | N | -5.23235800 | 1.95630000 | 0.04622300 |
| C | -2.48905700 | -0.69742000 | -0.00384000 | C | -1.97189400 | -0.70396400 | 0.00017400 |
| C | -2.38275200 | 3.12932000 | -0.00292200 | C | -1.95792500 | 3.12363400 | -0.01190200 |
| C | -3.12129500 | 1.92169900 | 0.00144000 | C | -2.66638900 | 1.89839300 | 0.00115100 |
| N | -5.78229600 | -1.85968500 | 0.01984900 | N | -5.23287700 | -1.95519300 | -0.04618800 |
| C | -2.45625900 | 0.71128200 | $-0.00407200$ | C | -1.97173900 | 0.70388500 | $-0.00015600$ |
| N | -1.98151800 | -4.12524600 | -0.00401800 | N | -1.37308200 | -4.11676400 | 0.02422400 |
| C | -4.53377700 | 1.98535600 | 0.01237800 | C | -4.07990700 | 1.92697300 | 0.02396600 |
| C | -3.21506300 | $-1.87256300$ | 0.00202500 | C | -2.66687900 | -1.89829000 | -0.00114600 |
| C | -2.54019600 | -3.11680200 | -0.00126800 | C | -1.95879200 | -3.12374500 | 0.01189500 |
| C | -4.62932000 | $-1.86217900$ | 0.01176900 | C | -4.08040900 | -1.92644300 | $-0.02393300$ |
| I | 4.28415200 | 0.00442100 | 0.01156000 | Br | 4.54682800 | 0.00005100 | 0.00001700 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |  |  | [1, $\left.\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |
| I | -0.75284700 | -0.07080700 | 0.04252100 | I | 1.81581100 | -0.06615900 | 0.00073900 |
| N | 1.72847800 | 4.10777500 | 0.01823500 | N | -0.74975400 | 4.10492700 | 0.01712400 |
| C | 1.29824800 | -0.03179400 | 0.03164900 | C | -0.23997900 | $-0.02303700$ | 0.00163500 |
| N | 5.65467500 | 2.09642100 | -0.05634500 | N | -4.64064000 | 2.00224700 | $-0.03381900$ |
| C | 2.49297600 | -0.69483100 | 0.01078700 | C | -1.42373100 | -0.70626700 | 0.00145800 |
| C | 2.34314800 | 3.13246400 | 0.00850400 | C | -1.34957100 | 3.12018800 | 0.00860700 |
| C | 3.09339000 | 1.93163800 | -0.00292600 | C | -2.07588000 | 1.90574100 | -0.00034600 |
| N | 5.80492900 | -1.80665600 | -0.05564300 | N | -4.70361800 | -1.91179700 | 0.03327600 |
| C | 2.44303600 | 0.71321800 | 0.01167500 | C | -1.40001300 | 0.69963400 | 0.00125000 |
| N | 2.05285600 | -4.13716100 | 0.01117500 | N | -0.87347300 | -4.12680700 | -0.01438400 |
| C | 4.50492300 | 2.01510500 | -0.03202400 | C | -3.48857100 | 1.95571100 | $-0.01726900$ |
| C | 3.23800000 | $-1.85829900$ | $-0.00542200$ | C | -2.13683300 | -1.89083000 | 0.00251900 |
| C | 2.58745700 | -3.11573100 | 0.00330000 | C | -1.44595900 | -3.12592700 | -0.00613200 |
| C | 4.65225900 | -1.82484000 | $-0.03248700$ | C | -3.55058800 | -1.89919300 | 0.01805700 |
| I | -4.27747700 | 0.00619900 | $-0.03082800$ | Cl | 4.89393300 | $-0.16076300$ | -0.00330100 |
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