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## Experimental

All manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas in a M . Braun glovebox or on a Schlenk line unless otherwise specified. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled under argon from potassium benzophenone ketyl and stored over molecular sieves prior to use. Unless otherwise noted, all other solvents were dried via passage through Q5 columns from Glass Contour Co. and stored over molecular sieves prior to use. Deuterated solvents were degassed and dried over calcium hydride before storing over molecular sieves prior to use, and THF- $d_{8}$ was dried additionally with potassium benzophenone ketyl. Deionized water was degassed prior to use. Anhydrous ammonia (Airgas, >99.9\%), potassium hexamethyldisilazide (Sigma-Aldrich, 95\%, recrystallized), decamethyl cobaltocene (Sigma-Aldrich, 95\%, recrystallized), ferrocenium hexafluorophosphate (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 97\%), 2,6-lutidine (Sigma-Aldrich, 98\%, distilled and dried over sieves), 2,4,6-tri-tert-butylphenol (Sigma-Aldrich, 97\%), TEMPO• (Sigma-Aldrich, 98\%), phenazine (Sigma-Aldrich, 98\%), azobenzene (Sigma-Aldrich, 98\%), 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (Sigma-Aldrich, 98\%, distilled and dried over sieves), phosphazene base $\mathrm{P}_{1}$-tBu-tris(tetramethylene) (Sigma-Aldrich, dried under vacuum), phosphazene base $\mathrm{P}_{4}-\mathrm{tBu}$ (Sigma-Aldrich, dried under vacuum), trimethylphosphine (Sigma-Aldrich, 1 M in THF), and tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Sigma-Aldrich, 99\%, recrystallized three times) were purchased and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. The synthesis and purification for the following reagents used literature procedures: $\mathrm{SPMe}_{3},{ }^{1} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet},{ }^{2} 1,8-$ dichloro-9,10-anthracenediol, ${ }^{3}$ (PNP) $\mathrm{ReCl}_{2}(\mathbf{1}) .{ }^{4}$

NMR spectra were acquired on an Agilent 400 MHz or 500 MHz spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra were referenced to residual ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ signals from the deuterated solvent with which the sample was prepared, ${ }^{5}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$, and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ spectra were absolute referenced to the corresponding
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra. IR spectra were obtained using a Bruker Alpha spectrometer containing a diamond ATR unit with $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ resolution.

Electrochemical measurements were collected using a CH Instruments 600D potentiostat in an Ar glovebox. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments were conducted in 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate ( $\mathrm{TBA}^{-\mathrm{PF}_{6} \text { ) solutions in dry solvent using a glassy }}$ carbon disc working electrode ( 3.0 mm diameter), a platinum wire auxiliary electrode, and a silver wire psuedoreference. Wire electrodes were sanded and rinsed with dry solvent prior to use, and glassy carbon disc electrodes were polished using $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ alumina powder and rinsed with dry solvent prior to use. Measurements conducted in THF were compensated for internal resistance prior to collecting data. CV experiments were referenced to ferrocene $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right)$ as an internal standard after completion.

Elemental analyses were obtained from the CENTC Elemental Analysis Facility at the University of Rochester. Microanalysis samples were weighed on a PerkinElmer Model AD-6 Autobalance, analyzed on a PerkinElmer 2400 Series II Analyzer, and handled in a VAC Atmospheres glovebox under argon.

Preparation of (PNP)Re(NH2)(Cl) (3): A sample of $\mathbf{1}(283.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.459 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in benzene ( 20 mL ) under Ar in a resealable Schlenk tube. The solution was degassed and warmed to ambient temperature, and the atmosphere was replaced with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gas ( 1 atm ) while stirring. There was an immediate color change of the solution from purple to brown. The mixture was stirred for 1 min , then frozen using a liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ bath. The flask was placed in an ice water bath, and the benzene was lyophilized at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a light brown powder. The powder was extracted with pentane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the mixture was filtered through Celite to remove a pale blue solid (109.3 mg). Drying the filtrate under vacuum gave $\mathbf{3}$ as a brown microcrystalline solid ( 167.6 mg ,
$0.280 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ yield). Crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ suitable for XRD grew from a concentrated pentane solution at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.70\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 3.34$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 1.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 1.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 1.36(\mathrm{vt}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{P}\left({ }^{t} B u\right)\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right), 1.20\left(\mathrm{vt}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}\left({ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\left({ }^{t} B u{ }^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 40.08(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR ( $51 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta-260\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. UV-vis (THF solution): $308 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon=5600 \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right), 420 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon=1100 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right), 562 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon=340 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. FTIR (solid, $\left.\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}\right): 3397,3338$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd.(found) for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \operatorname{Re}$ (\%): C, 40.16(40.45); $\mathrm{H}, 7.75(7.74) ; \mathrm{N}, 4.68(4.52)$.

Preparation of $\left[\right.$ trans- $\left.(\mathbf{P N P}) \mathbf{R e}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( C l )}\right]\left[\mathbf{B A r}^{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{4}\right] \mathbf{( 4 ) : ~ A ~ s a m p l e ~ o f ~} \mathbf{1}$ (50.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.081$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and $71.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NaBAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4$ ( $71.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a resealable Schlenk tube under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere was removed with 3 freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The flask was then filled with anhydrous $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ while stirring at ambient temperature. There was an immediate color change of the solution from purple to light brown (almost colorless). The mixture was stirred for 1 min . The solvent and excess $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ were removed under vacuum to give a green residue. The residue was washed with pentane ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the residue was extracted with THF ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Crystallization from a layered THF:pentane solution $(12: 4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a pale green crystalline solid. The solid was collected and washed with benzene ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then dried under vacuum to give 54.5 mg of 4 as pale green crystals $(0.368$ mmol, $45.5 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ ): $\delta 7.77$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4$ ), 7.56 (s, 4H, $p-\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}}$ ), 5.47 (br s, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 1.29$ (vt, 36 H , $\left.\mathrm{P}\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right)$ ppm. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ ): $\delta$-9.98(s) ppm. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (376 MHz, THF- $d_{8}$ ): $\delta-63.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}}\right.$ ) ppm . UV-Vis (THF solution): 328 nm (shoulder, $\varepsilon=3700 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). FTIR (solid, N-H): 3392, 3353, 3245, $3174 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd.(found) for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{BF}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Re}$ (\%): C, 42.22(42.54); H, 4.22(4.16); N, 2.84(2.59).
$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ quantification from $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{e}^{-}$oxidation of ( $\mathbf{P N P} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{R e}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)(\mathbf{C l}) \mathbf{( 3 ) : ~ U n d e r} \mathrm{N}_{2}, 54 \mathrm{mg}$ FcPF 6 ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was slurried in 2 mL THF in a 7 mL scintillation vial. The vial was sealed with a septum cap, and a solution of $89 \mathrm{mg}(\mathrm{PNP}) \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{Cl})(\mathbf{2}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ in 2 mL THF was injected into the vial via syringe. An immediate color change from deep blue to orange occurred, as well as formation of a brown precipitate. The reaction was stirred for 10 min at ambient temperature, then chilled for 5 min in a dry ice/isopropanol bath to remove THF vapor from the headspace. $50 \mu \mathrm{LCH}_{4}$ gas was added via airtight syringe into the headspace of the vial as an internal standard, and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the headspace of the vial was removed via airtight syringe for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ quantification on the GC. No $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ peak was observed in the resulting chromatograph, indicating less than $1 \%$ yield of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The reaction was repeated, with identical results.

Removal of the volatile materials under vacuum gave a sticky, dark red-orange residue. The residue was extracted with $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ THF and filtered through Celite. Removal of THF from the orange-brown filtrate under vacuum yielded a dirty orange solid. The solid was redissolved in $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ THF, from which $\mathrm{a} \sim 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ aliquot was taken. The aliquot was dried under vacuum, dissolved in 0.7 mL THF- $d_{8}$, and analyzed using NMR spectroscopy vs. a 1,3,5trismethoxybenzene (TMB) internal standard to quantify the Re products. Analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showed $52 \%$ yield of nitride 5 and $17 \%$ yield of dichloride $\mathbf{1}$ for the first run, and $47 \%$ yield of nitride $\mathbf{5}$ and 20\% yield of dichloride $\mathbf{1}$ for the second run.
$\mathbf{H}_{2}$ quantification from the $1 e^{-}$reduction of $\left[(\mathbf{P N P}) \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}}{ }_{4}\right]$ (4): Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 174 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\left[(\mathrm{PNP}) \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right](0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 2 mL THF in a 7 mL scintillation vial. The vial was sealed with a septum cap, and a solution of $46 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Co}(0.14$ mmol, 1.1 equiv) dissolved in 2 mL THF was injected into the vial via syringe. An immediate color change from light tan to dark brown-gold occurred, as well as formation of a yellow
precipitate. The reaction was stirred for 10 min at ambient temperature, then chilled for 5 min in a dry ice/isopropanol bath to remove THF vapor from the headspace. $50 \mu \mathrm{LCH}_{4}$ gas was added via airtight syringe into the headspace of the vial as an internal standard, and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the headspace of the vial was removed via airtight syringe for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ quantification on the GC. Gas chromatography used a ThermoFisher Trace 1300 GC apparatus with a thermal conductivity detector and a Supelco fused silica capillary column ( $5 \AA$ molecular sieves, 30 mx 0.53 mm ), and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as a carrier gas. No $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ peak was observed in the resulting chromatograph, indicating less than 1 ppm ( $1 \%$ yield ) of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The reaction was repeated, with identical results.

Removal of the volatile materials under vacuum gave a sticky, dark brown residue. The residue was extracted with $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ THF and filtered through Celite. Removal of THF from the brown filtrate under vacuum yielded a sticky brown solid. The solid was redissolved in $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ THF, from which a $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ aliquot was taken. The aliquot was dried under vacuum, dissolved in 0.7 mL THF- $d_{8}$, and analyzed using NMR spectroscopy vs. a 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) internal standard to quantify the Re products. Analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showed formation of $\mathbf{3}$ in $73 \%$ for the first run and $61 \%$ for the second run. Decamethylcobaltocenium was also observed, though the peak was broadened and shifted from rapid self-exchange with $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}$ (Figure S5B).

Electrolysis of ( $\mathbf{P N P} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{R e}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{C l})(3)$ : In an Ar glovebox, an H-cell was prepared with the analyte chamber containing a solution of 20 mg of $\mathbf{3}\left(3.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}, 1.7 \mathrm{mM}\right)$ and $77 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2,6-lutidine ( $6.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}, 34 \mathrm{mM}$ ) in 20 mL of 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate in THF, and the counter chamber containing a solution of 24.4 mg of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]\left(7.4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}\right.$, $3.7 \mathrm{mM}, 2.2$ equiv) in 20 mL of 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate in THF. The analyte chamber was fitted with a carbon paper electrode and Ag wire pseudoreference, and the
counter chamber with a Pt mesh counter electrode. Under these conditions, the $E_{1 / 2}$ for the oxidation wave was +0.63 V vs. the open-circuit potential, and the potential of $E_{1 / 2}$ was chosen for the electrolysis. The potential of the analyte cell was held at $E_{1 / 2}$ for the oxidation, with both chambers gently stirring. Over the course of the electrolysis, the solution in the analyte chamber changed color from brown to orange, and the solution in the counter chamber changed color from blue to yellow. The electrolysis was stopped after 7.09 C of charge had passed ( $2.2 e^{-}$per Re). The time vs. charge plot showed relatively constant passing of charge over the course of the electrolysis (Figure S15).


Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra $\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$, inset) of $\mathbf{3}$.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / 51 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) of $\mathbf{3}$ showing a ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ cross-peak at $\delta-260$ ppm corresponding to the 2 H resonance at $\delta 12.7 \mathrm{ppm}$.


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, THF- $\left.d_{8}\right)$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra ( 162 MHz , THF- $d_{8}$, inset) of $\mathbf{4}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & {\left[(\mathrm{PNP}) \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAF}{ }_{4}\right](\mathbf{4}) } \\
= & (\mathrm{PNP}) \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{ClI})(\mathbf{3})
\end{aligned}
$$





Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}\right)$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, THF- $\left.d_{8}\right)$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{4}$ in THF- $d_{8}$ before (bottom, maroon) and after (top, teal) the addition of 1.05 equiv KHMDS. Complex $\mathbf{3}$ is formed in $61 \%$ spectroscopic yield vs. $\mathrm{SPMe}_{3}$ in a capillary.


Figure S5A. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ ) of $\mathbf{4}$ in THF- $d_{8}$ prior to (maroon) and after addition of 1.2 equiv $\mathrm{CoCp}_{2}{ }^{*}$. Under Ar, the reaction gave $\mathbf{3}$ in $60 \%$ spectroscopic yield (green). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, the reaction gave 3 in $60 \%$ spectroscopic yield, along with other unidentified minor products (blue). Spectroscopic yields measured vs. $\mathrm{SPMe}_{3}$ in a capillary. For comparison, a spectrum of authentically prepared $\mathbf{3}$ is included (purple).


Figure S5B. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ ) of $\mathbf{4}$ in THF- $d_{8}$ prior to (maroon) and after addition of 1.2 equiv $\mathrm{CoCp}_{2}{ }^{*}$. Under Ar , the reaction gave $\mathbf{3}$ in $60 \%$ spectroscopic yield (green). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, the reaction gave 3 in $60 \%$ spectroscopic yield, along with other unidentified minor products (blue). Spectroscopic yields measured vs. $\mathrm{SPMe}_{3}$ in a capillary. For comparison, a spectrum of authentically prepared $\mathbf{3}$ is included (purple). The broad peaks at $9-11 \mathrm{ppm}$ are presumed to be from $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Co}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}^{+}$in fast exchange, and integrate to 2.9 Co per mol $\mathbf{3}$ in the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ spectrum and 2.5 Co per mol $\mathbf{3}$ in the Ar spectrum.


Figure S6. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra ( 202 MHz , THF- $d_{8}$ or $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) of the reaction of $\mathbf{3}$ with organic H -atom abstracting (HAA) reagents. A: Solution of $\mathbf{3}$ in THF- $d_{8}$ before (bottom, maroon) and after (top, teal) addition of 2.5 equiv ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{\prime}$ at ambient temperature, showing conversion to nitride complex $\mathbf{2}$ within minutes. This initial experiment had small impurities, which were not present in the sample of $\mathbf{3}$ used later for calorimetry experiments. B: Solution of $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ before (bottom, maroon) and after (top, teal) addition of 2.5 equiv TEMPO ${ }^{\circ}$ at ambient temperature, showing conversion to nitride complex $\mathbf{2}$ within minutes. Spectroscopic yields were calculated vs. an internal standard of $\mathrm{SPMe}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ in a capillary.


Figure S7. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra ( 202 MHz , THF- $d_{8}$ or $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) of a solution of $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ before (bottom, maroon) and after (top, teal) addition of 1 equiv TEMPO, resulting in formation of $\mathbf{2}$.


Figure S8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}\right)$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, THF- $\left.d_{8}\right)$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ and 5 equiv phenazine in THF- $d_{8}$ before (bottom, maroon) and after (top, teal) heating at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 21 h . Complex $\mathbf{2}$ is formed in $99 \%$ spectroscopic yield vs. $\mathrm{SPMe}_{3}$ in a capillary.


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , THF- $d_{8}$ ) and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 162 MHz , THF- $d_{8}$ ) spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ before (bottom, maroon) and after the addition of 1.1 equiv $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (top, teal), showing the formation of 5 and 1. Spectroscopic yields are reported vs. TMB as an internal standard and a $\mathrm{SPMe}_{3}$ capillary.


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ ) and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 162 MHz , THF- $d_{8}$ ) spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ (bottom, maroon) after the addition of 20 equiv 2,6-lutidine (middle, green), then after the addition of 2.2 equiv $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (top, green). 3 shows no reaction with 2,6 -lutidine, but reacts with 2.2 equiv $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ to give 5 in $82 \%$ yield, accompanied by formation of a brown precipitate. No $\mathbf{1}$ is formed in the reaction. Spectroscopic yields are reported vs. TMB as an internal standard and a $\mathrm{SPMe}_{3}$ capillary.


Figure S11. FTIR (solid) spectrum of 3 .


Figure S12. FTIR (solid) spectrum of 4.


Figure S13. UV-Vis spectrum of a 1.57 mM solution of $\mathbf{3}$ in THF using a 1 mm path length cell.


Figure S14. UV-Vis spectrum of a 5.68 mM solution of $\mathbf{4}$ in THF using a 1 mm path length cell.


Figure S15. Top: Cyclic voltammogram of $\mathbf{3}$ in 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate solution in THF under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. CVs measured at $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ with a glassy carbon disc working electrode, Pt wire auxiliary electrode, and Ag wire psuedoreference. Figure 4 in the text shows that the anodic response is pseudoreversible at faster scan rates. Potentials referenced to $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}^{+/ 0}$ after the experiments. Bottom left: Comparison of cyclic voltammograms of $\mathbf{3}(1.7 \mathrm{mM})$ prior to electrolysis, measured in 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate solution in THF containing excess 2,6-lutidine ( 34 mM ) under Ar, using a glassy carbon (black) or carbon paper (red) working electrode, Pt mesh counter electrode, and Ag wire pseudoreference electrode. The electrolysis potential ( +0.66 V vs. open circuit potential) is noted in blue. Right: Charge passed vs. time, and current vs. time, during the electrolysis of 3. The electrolysis was stopped after 2.2 equiv of charge per Re was passed. The continued increase of charge passed is likely due to degradation and contributes to a low yield.


Figure S16. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of 0.4 mM 4 in 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate solution in THF under Ar, demonstrating irreversible electrochemical events sweeping in the cathodic (reductive) direction (top, red) and the anodic (oxidative) direction (bottom, blue). CVs measured at $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ with a glassy carbon disc working electrode, Pt wire auxiliary electrode, and Ag wire pseudoreference. Potentials referenced to $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}^{+/ 0}$ after the experiments.


Figure S17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ ) and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 162 MHz , THF- $d_{8}$ ) spectra of the THFsoluble products isolated from $2.2 e^{-}$electrolysis of $\mathbf{3}$ in the presence of 20 equiv 2,6-lutidine, showing formation of $\mathbf{5}$ in $69 \%$ yield. No $\mathbf{1}$ was isolated from the electrolysis. Yield reported vs. a TMB internal standard.

Calorimetric titration of $(\mathbf{P N P}) \mathbf{R e}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{C l})(3)$ with ${ }^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{B u}_{3} \mathbf{P h O}^{\circ}$ : Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) was performed in a NanoITC device by TA Instruments with a 24 k gold cell and a sample volume of 1 mL operated in overfill mode. 2,4,6-tri-tert-butylphenoxyl radical $\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{*}\right)$ was used as the titrant. We used ITCRun Version 3.4.6.0 and NanoAnalyze Version 3.7.5.

The experimental conditions for the titration of $(\mathrm{PNP}) \mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{Cl})(3)$ with ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{*}$ in THF are summarized in Table S1. Three separate runs were performed, and all measurements were taken at ambient temperature ( 298 K ).

Table S1. Reaction details for isothermal titration calorimetry experiments.

|  | First Run | Second Run | Third Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concentration and volume of $\mathbf{3}(\mathrm{mM} / \mu \mathrm{L})$ | $0.341 / 950$ | $0.341 / 950$ | $0.341 / 950$ |
| Concentration and volume of TBP <br> $(\mathrm{mM} / \mu \mathrm{L})$ | $5.28 / 250$ | $5.05 / 180$ | $5.05 / 180$ |
| Injection Steps $\times$ Injected Volume $(\mu \mathrm{L})$ | $33 \times 7.5$ | $24 \times 7.5$ | $24 \times 7.5$ |
| Waiting time between two additions $(\mathrm{s})$ | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Stirring rate (rpm) | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| Equilibration time before start of <br> experiment $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1885 | 1453 | 1525 |

Figure S18 shows a representative thermogram and integrated titration curve for the titration of 3 with ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{\cdot}$ in THF. Greyed out points are not taken into account in the fitting process. The first point is generally neglected due to the dilution effect within the pre-experiment equilibration time. The mean heat value was determined for the titration steps before the equivalence point.

Table S2. Summarized results from the three independent isothermal calorimetic titrations.

| Run | Mean Heat <br> $[\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{J}]$ | Consumed moles per <br> injection [nmol] | Enthalpy <br> $[\mathbf{k J} / \mathbf{m o l}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $-4176 \pm 27$ | 19.62 | $-213 \pm 1$ |
| 2 | $-3957 \pm 40$ | 18.76 | $-211 \pm 2$ |
| 3 | $-4017 \pm 39$ | 18.76 | $-214 \pm 2$ |
|  | Mean Enthalpy $=-\mathbf{2 1 3} \pm \mathbf{2} \frac{\mathbf{k J}}{\mathbf{m o l}}=-\mathbf{5 0 . 9} \pm \mathbf{0 . 5} \frac{\mathbf{k c a l}}{\mathbf{m o l}}$ |  |  |

From the experimental reaction enthalpy of the reaction of amide $\mathbf{3}$ with TBP ( 2 equiv.) to nitride 2 in THF at $298 \mathrm{~K}(-50.9 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, the average $\mathrm{BDE}_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}}$ of $\mathbf{3}$ was calculated to be $55.4 \pm 1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ according to the following equations. The $\mathrm{BDE}_{0-\mathrm{H}}$ of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{\circ}$ in THF ( $80.8 \pm$ $0.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) was estimated from the experimental $\mathrm{BDFE}_{\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H},}{ }^{3}$ assuming negligible differential entropies of solution of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{\cdot}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhOH}$ and using $T \mathrm{~S}^{0}\left(\mathrm{H}^{\cdot}\right)=6.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ as entropy of solvation of the hydrogen atom based on the entropy of solvation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} .{ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{BDE}_{[\mathrm{Re}] \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{THF}}=\mathrm{BDE}_{\mathrm{TBP}}^{\mathrm{THF}}-\frac{\Delta H}{2} \\
\mathrm{BDE}_{[\mathrm{Re}] \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{THF}}=\left(80.8-\frac{50.9}{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=\mathbf{5 5 . 4} \pm \mathbf{1} \frac{\mathbf{k c a l}}{\mathbf{m o l}}
\end{gathered}
$$






Figure S18. Top: Integrated heat titration curve at 298 K for the reaction of $\mathbf{3}$ with up to 4.5 equiv ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}{ }^{\cdot}$ in THF, showing equivalence at 2.0 equiv of oxidant. Bottom: Thermogram of the corresponding titration. The reaction exhibits a mean exotherm of $-50.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ over three runs.

## Computational details

Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 (revision D.01). ${ }^{7}$ Geometries were optimized using the hybrid functional B3LYP, with basis set def2-TZVP used for all atoms and an ultrafine integration grid. Unless otherwise noted, all calculations include the GD3BJ version of Grimme's dispersion correction and a conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM) for THF solvent. Frequency calculations were performed to confirm that the optimized structures were minima; the optimized structures for the complexes reported showed no negative frequencies.


Figure S19. Overlay of crystal structure (yellow) and calculated structure (blue) of 2. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table S3. Calculated structural parameters of 2.

| Bond (A) / Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Experimental | Calculated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.033(6)$ | 1.029 |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.643(6)$ | 1.663 |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl1}$ | $2.441(2)$ | 2.517 |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{avg})$ | 2.439 | 2.464 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $105.8(3)$ | 106.0 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl1}$ | $147.7(2)$ | 150.3 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl1}$ | $106.5(2)$ | 103.8 |



Figure S20. Overlay of crystal structure (yellow) and calculated structure (blue) of 3. Hydrogen atoms (other than amide hydrogens) are omitted for clarity.

Table S4. Calculated structural parameters of 3 .

| Bond ( $\AA$ ) / Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Experimental | Calculated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.936(3)$ | 1.952 |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.959(3)$ | 1.930 |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $2.384(1)$ | 2.440 |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{avg})$ | 2.390 | 2.407 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $115.5(1)$ | 114.7 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $135.6(1)$ | 138.3 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl1}$ | $108.8(1)$ | 107.1 |



Figure S21. Calculated structure of $\mathbf{L R e}=\mathbf{N H}$. Hydrogen atoms (other than imide hydrogen) are omitted for clarity.
Bond ( $(\AA)$ / Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
$\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N} 1$
$\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N} 2$
$\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl} 1$
$\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}($ avg $)$
$\mathrm{N} 1-\operatorname{Re}-\mathrm{N} 2$
$\mathrm{~N} 1-\operatorname{Re}-\mathrm{Cl} 1$
$\mathrm{~N} 2-\operatorname{Re}-\mathrm{Cl} 1$

## Calculated

1.988
1.796
2.468
2.440
112.2
141.8
105.9

Table S9. Calculated reaction enthalpies of H -atom abstraction from $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{L R e}=\mathbf{N H}$ with ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{\circ}$ in THF and resulting $\mathrm{BDE}_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}}$ values. Referenced vs. ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhOH}\left(\mathrm{BDE}_{\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}}=80.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right){ }^{3}$

| Reaction | Reaction Enthalpy (kcal/mol) | BDE (kcal/mol) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}+\mathrm{tBu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{\mathrm{a}}->\mathrm{Re}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{tBu}_{3} \mathrm{PhOH}$ | -4.1 | 76.7 |
| $\mathrm{Re}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{tBu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{a}->\mathbf{2}+\mathrm{tBu}_{3} \mathrm{PhOH}$ | -43.2 | 37.6 |
| Average |  | 57.2 |

Table S10. Calculated reaction free energies of H -atom abstraction from $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{L R e}=\mathbf{N H}$ with ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}{ }^{\circ}$ in THF and resulting $\mathrm{BDFE}_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}}$ values. Referenced vs. ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PhOH}\left(\mathrm{BDFE}_{\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}}=74.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) .{ }^{3}$

| Reaction | Reaction Free Energy (kcal/mol) | BDFE (kcal/mol) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}+\mathrm{tBu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{\circ}->\mathrm{Re}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{tBu}_{3} \mathrm{PhOH}$ | -5.0 | 69.4 |
| $\mathrm{Re}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{tBu}_{3} \mathrm{PhO}^{\circ}->\mathbf{2}+\mathrm{tBu}_{3} \mathrm{PhOH}$ | -41.7 | 32.7 |
| Average |  | 51.0 |

## Redox potential computation:

The redox potential of the $\mathbf{2 / 3}$ couple was computed using the structurally related $\left[\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{VI}}(\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{PNP})\right]^{+} /$ $\left[\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{PNP})\right]$ redox couple ( $E^{\mathrm{DFT}}$ ref $^{\mathrm{V}}$ ) as reference, where a redox potential of $E=-0.086 \mathrm{~V}$ was reported: ${ }^{8}$

$$
E=E^{\mathrm{DFT}}(\mathbf{2} / \mathbf{3})-E^{\mathrm{DFT}}{ }_{\text {ref }}+(-0.086 \mathrm{~V})
$$

## Coordinates for calculated structures:

(PNP)Re(N)(Cl) (2):

```
Re 0.00000 -0.25322 -0.20980
Cl 0.00000 1.50952 -2.00649
P 2.40299 -0.01156 0.27720
P -2.40299 -0.01156 0.27720
N 0.00000 -1.68393 -1.05823
N 0.00000 -0.76838 1.75296
C 2.44084 -0.53554 2.04396
H 3.35598 -1.07320 2.29092
H 2.42258 0.37166 2.64616
C 1.19495 -1.35356 2.35804
H 1.33061 -2.39406 2.02764
H 1.07168 -1.39307 3.44751
C -1.19495 -1.35356 2.35804
H -1.07168 -1.39308 3.44751
H -1.33061 -2.39406 2.02764
C -2.44084 -0.53554 2.04396
H -2.42258 0.37166 2.64616
H -3.35598 -1.07320 2.29092
```

|  | C | 3.50468 | -1.21716 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 3.25772 | -1.06067 | -2.17183 |
| H | 2.21363 | -1.24629 | -2.41575 |
| H | 3.87234 | -1.79032 | -2.70506 |
| H | 3.52416 | -0.07080 | -2.53541 |
| C | 4.99401 | -1.02982 | -0.35625 |
| H | 5.38060 | -0.08821 | -0.73980 |
| H | 5.55227 | -1.83471 | -0.84070 |
| H | 5.20259 | -1.08320 | 0.71264 |
| C | 3.10037 | -2.64061 | -0.25061 |
| H | 3.33392 | -2.84325 | 0.79424 |
| H | 3.66880 | -3.34814 | -0.85898 |
| H | 2.04101 | -2.82251 | -0.41843 |
| C | 3.12640 | 1.73249 | 0.32130 |
| C | 3.47823 | 2.20993 | -1.09236 |
| H | 4.34534 | 1.68970 | -1.49609 |
| H | 3.72492 | 3.27419 | -1.05140 |
| H | 2.63751 | 2.08327 | -1.77271 |
| C | 4.35605 | 1.85401 | 1.23260 |
| H | 4.13589 | 1.57852 | 2.26322 |
| H | 4.67696 | 2.89889 | 1.23687 |
| H | 5.19468 | 1.25412 | 0.89148 |
| C | 2.01631 | 2.64146 | 0.88032 |
| H | 1.18882 | 2.72731 | 0.17975 |
| H | 2.42976 | 3.64022 | 1.04007 |
| H | 1.62843 | 2.28790 | 1.83658 |
| C | -3.50468 | -1.21716 | -0.66514 |
| C | -3.25772 | -1.06067 | -2.17183 |
| H | -3.52417 | -0.07079 | -2.53541 |
| H | -3.87235 | -1.79032 | -2.70506 |
| H | -2.21364 | -1.24629 | -2.41575 |
| C | -3.10037 | -2.64061 | -0.25061 |
| H | -2.04101 | -2.82251 | -0.41843 |
| H | -3.66880 | -3.34814 | -0.85898 |
| H | -3.33391 | -2.84325 | 0.79423 |
| C | -4.99401 | -1.02982 | -0.35624 |
| H | -5.20259 | -1.08320 | 0.71264 |
| H | -5.55227 | -1.83471 | -0.84070 |
| H | -5.38061 | -0.08821 | -0.73980 |
| C | -3.12640 | 1.73249 | 0.32130 |
| C | -3.47823 | 2.20993 | -1.09236 |
| H | -2.63752 | 2.08327 | -1.77271 |
| H | -4.34535 | 1.68970 | -1.49609 |
| C | -2.01631 | 2.64146 | 0.88032 |
| H | -1.62843 | 2.28790 | 1.83658 |
| H | -2.42976 | 3.64022 | 1.04007 |
| H | -1.18883 | 2.72731 | 0.17975 |
| C | -4.35605 | 1.85401 | 1.23260 |
| H | -5.19468 | 1.25412 | 0.89149 |
| H | -4.67696 | 2.89889 | 1.23687 |
| H | -4.13589 | 1.57852 | 2.26322 |
| H | -3.72492 | 3.27419 | -1.05139 |
|  |  |  |  |

## $(\mathrm{PNP}) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NH})(\mathrm{Cl})(\mathbf{R e}=\mathbf{N H})$ :

```
Re 0.00537-0.34364 -0.01187
P -2.41070 -0.01531 0.24666
P 2.39527 0.00740 0.24563
N -0.00342 0.43338 1.81747
C -2.45658 0.06275 2.08349
H -3.35955 0.51783 2.48735
H -2.42887 -0.97201 2.41896
C -1.20570 0.76739 2.59325
```

|  | 5960 | 122.60731 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -1.04736 | 0.478463 .63948 |
|  | 1.18551 | 0.858052 .56805 |
| H | 1.04971 | 0.609953 .62758 |
| H | 1.28678 | 1.950962 .52962 |
| C | 2.46266 | 0.189472 .07671 |
| H | 2.49093 | -0.82337 2.47284 |
| H | 3.34827 | 0.709962 .43728 |
| C | -3.09479 | $1.65666-0.33930$ |
| C | -1.96279 | $2.69485-0.24105$ |
| H | -1.53329 | 2.755940 .75721 |
| H | -2.37092 | $3.67821-0.48852$ |
| H | -1.16603 | $2.47563-0.94825$ |
| C | -4.26748 | 2.153000 .51698 |
| H | -5.09679 | 1.451490 .55317 |
|  | -4.64077 | 3.086720 .08795 |
| H | -3.95677 | 2.368021 .53916 |
| C | -3.51440 | 1.58329-1.81357 |
|  | -2.70696 | $1.19485-2.43284$ |
| H | -3.74846 | $2.59417-2.15829$ |
| H | -4.40207 | $0.97365-1.96650$ |
| C | -3.57872 | -1.42388 -0.23614 |
| C | -3.23926 | -2.64447 0.63577 |
| H | -2.16966 | -2.85143 0.62941 |
| H | -3.76234 | -3.51199 0.22521 |
|  | -3.57745 | -2.51773 1.66387 |
| C | -5.06476 | -1.10226-0.04557 |
| H | -5.28613 | -0.80864 0.98128 |
|  | -5.64715 | -2.00212-0.26249 |
| H | -5.41622 | -0.31862 -0.71279 |
| C | -3.29100 | -1.79829 -1.69811 |
|  | -3.51560 | -0.99030-2.38966 |
| H | -3.91145 | -2.65573-1.97174 |
|  | -2.24504 | -2.07306 -1.82417 |
|  | 3.05077 | $1.65718-0.44014$ |
| C | 1.91816 | $2.69630-0.35186$ |
|  | 1.09818 | $2.44549-1.02022$ |
| H | 2.31584 | 3.66759-0.65774 |
|  | 1.52521 | 2.803160 .65775 |
| C | 3.41919 | $1.50981-1.92287$ |
|  | 4.30275 | 0.89470-2.07710 |
|  | 3.63763 | 2.50193-2.32749 |
|  | 2.59140 | $1.08908-2.49201$ |
| C | 4.24594 | 2.206110 .35068 |
|  | 3.96699 | 2.466211 .37158 |
|  | 4.59003 | $3.12343-0.13464$ |
|  | 5.08700 | 1.520250 .39128 |
| C | 3.61173 | -1.39252-0.15991 |
|  | 3.27309 | -1.90987-1.56625 |
| H | 2.24275 | -2.25843 -1.61700 |
| H | 3.93520 | -2.74498-1.80900 |
|  | 3.40372 | -1.14601-2.32960 |
| C | 5.09407 | -1.00939 -0.08462 |
| H | 5.38227 | -0.28153-0.83854 |
|  | 5.69385 | -1.90835-0.25293 |
| H | 5.36045 | -0.61806 0.89740 |
| C | 3.39352 | -2.53418 0.84850 |
|  | 3.84266 | -2.30808 1.81486 |
| H | 3.87564 | -3.43550 0.46334 |
|  | 2.34304 | -2.76235 1.01388 |
|  | l -0.02863 | 30.30178 -2.39395 |
|  | -0.03413 | -2.13903 0.01315 |
|  | 0.68166 | -2.84327 0.18811 |

( PNP ) $\mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{Cl})(3)$ :

```
Re -0.00000 -0.23772 -0.05234
Cl -0.00001 0.78018 -2.26960
P -2.38311 -0.04630 0.23011
P 2.38311 -0.04630 0.23011
N 0.00000 0.33599 1.81362
N -0.00000 -2.15104 -0.30770
H -0.82404 -2.73260 -0.34522
H 0.82403 -2.73260 -0.34521
C -2.45769 -0.00397 2.07428
H -3.35315 0.47212 2.46967
H -2.46553 -1.04071 2.40292
C -1.19800 0.65743 2.61152
H -1.32423 1.74577 2.66547
H -1.03615 0.32431 3.64262
C 1.19800 0.65742 2.61152
H 1.03615 0.32431 3.64262
H 1.32424 1.74577 2.66547
C 2.45769-0.00398 2.07427
H 2.46553-1.04072 2.40292
H 3.35315 0.47211 2.46967
C -3.17075 1.61358-0.29375
C -2.11857 2.71934 -0.09270
H -1.75964 2.76736 0.93443
H -2.58004 3.68321 -0.32517
H -1.26613 2.58794 -0.75342
C -4.40340 2.01322 0.52925
H -5.20118 1.27813 0.49942
H -4.80125 2.94823 0.12444
H -4.14826 2.19859 1.57246
C -3.53010 1.57959 -1.78553
H -2.68013 1.26177 -2.38742
H -3.81144 2.58749 -2.10429
H -4.37451 0.92617 -1.99523
C -3.56387-1.46421 -0.24773
C -3.26861 -2.66555 0.67182
H -2.20851 -2.82307 0.85870
H -3.67015 -3.57119 0.21099
H -3.75330 -2.54879 1.64076
C -5.06303 -1.17362 -0.11803
H -5.32825 -0.86045 0.89184
H -5.61704 -2.09274 -0.33184
H -5.40841 -0.41754 -0.81892
C -3.24901 -1.85543-1.70077
H -3.46320 -1.04599 -2.39561
H -3.86465 -2.71287 -1.98551
H -2.20260 -2.12713 -1.82932
C 3.17076 1.61357 -0.29374
C 2.11859 2.71935-0.09270
H 1.26616 2.58797 -0.75344
H 2.58008 3.68322 -0.32514
H 1.75964 2.76736 0.93442
C 3.53012 1.57959-1.78552
H 4.37453 0.92616 -1.99521
H 3.81147 2.58749 -2.10428
H 2.68016 1.26178 -2.38742
C 4.40340 2.01320 0.52927
H 4.14827 2.19858 1.57247
H 4.80126 2.94822 0.12446
H 5.20118 1.27811 0.49943
C 3.56386-1.46421-0.24773
```

C 3.24900 -1.85543 -1.70077
н $2.20260-2.12713-1.82932$
н $3.86464-2.71288-1.98551$
н $3.46320-1.04599-2.39562$
C $5.06302-1.17362-0.11804$
н $5.40841-0.41755-0.81893$
н $5.61703-2.09274-0.33185$
н $5.32824-0.860460 .89184$
C $3.26860-2.665550 .67181$
H $3.75329-2.548791 .64076$
Н $3.67014-3.57120 \quad 0.21098$
н $2.20850-2.823070 .85869$
$(\mathrm{PNP}) \mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{Cl})^{+}\left(\mathbf{3}^{+}\right)$:
$\operatorname{Re}-0.00144-0.21888-0.11873$
Cl 0.13092 0.88002-2.19529
P -2.45508 0.058060 .22269
P 2.44661 -0.09926 0.26375
N $0.00043-0.156121 .82287$
N $-0.04027-2.04005-0.67105$
H -0.87898 -2.58825 -0.79954
н $0.77714-2.61892-0.79779$
C -2.45293 0.302372 .04211
н $-2.45381 \quad 1.37683 \quad 2.21093$
н $-3.35240-0.097702 .50757$
C - 1.20582 -0.29282 2.66767
н $-1.018490 .20727 \quad 3.62074$
н -1.34706 -1.35487 2.88987
C 1.18689 -0.21892 2.70197
н 0.97008 -0.91562 3.51556
H 1.331160 .760593 .16616
C $2.45191-0.684121 .99653$
н $2.44046-1.770321 .94325$
H $3.34128-0.391242 .55088$
C -3.18302 1.68698-0.40999
C -2.12362 $2.78168-0.18790$
H $-1.796912 .84580 \quad 0.85031$
H $-2.567123 .74452-0.44998$
н -1.24982 2.63425 -0.81580
C $-4.451222 .09939 \quad 0.35421$
H -5.275421 .408580 .21140
н $-4.769793 .07437-0.02186$
H -4.275952 .208101 .42379
C - $3.494981 .59050-1.90769$
н $-2.642441 .22576-2.47856$
н $-3.745792 .58669-2.27912$
н $-4.350370 .94622-2.10250$
C -3.58003 -1.40785 -0.13251
C -3.11898 -2.53839 0.80646
н -2.04421 -2.70662 0.78621
н -3.61095 -3.46546 0.50633
н -3.40176 -2.33589 1.83910
C -5.06793 -1.15711 0.13396
н -5.25106 -0.77438 1.13788
н -5.60016 -2. 107190.04208
н $-5.50359-0.47028-0.58801$
C -3.40128-1.83054-1.60009
н $-3.85880-1.11642-2.28075$
Н $-3.88834-2.79541-1.75513$
H $-2.35725-1.92753-1.89189$
C 3.106271 .683210 .30153
C 2.014642 .617010 .85939

н 1.179652 .738920 .17244
H 2.458683 .604531 .00187
H 1.628722 .291651 .82280
C $3.444772 .16356-1.11637$
H 4.30072 1.64748 -1.54381
н $3.694693 .22596-1.06894$
H 2.59719 2.05057-1.79029
C 4.333471 .818081 .21567
H 4.084631 .616882 .25714
H 4.687822 .849941 .16134
H 5.157471 .172720 .92930
C $3.61157-1.23537-0.70287$
C $3.21762-1.15239-2.18621$
н $2.18835-1.46698-2.35065$
н 3.87221 -1.81010 -2.76177
н $3.32192-0.14523-2.58402$
C 5.10203-0.90960-0.54483
н $5.371540 .05803-0.95823$
н $5.67319-1.66608-1.08855$
H $5.41798-0.947950 .49709$
C 3.42081 -2.67951 -0.20720
н 3.90168 -2.83783 0.75706
н 3.88992 -3.35364 -0.92595
н $2.38111-2.98185-0.10538$

## Crystallographic details

Crystals were isolated in an Ar glovebox by decanting the mother liquor from the crystals before transferring and submerging them in high-viscosity petroleum oil on a microscope slide. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were identified under a polarizing microscope and mounted on $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MiTeGen Dual-Thickness MicroLoops in a mixture of high-viscosity petroleum, then mounted on the diffractometer instrument. Low-temperature diffraction data ( $\omega$ scans) were collected on a Rigaku MicroMax-007HF diffractometer coupled to a Dectris Pilatus3R detector with Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) for the structure of $007 \mathrm{c}-17049$ (3). Low-temperature diffraction data ( $\omega$-scans) were collected on a Rigaku MicroMax-007HF diffractometer coupled to a Saturn994+ CCD detector with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178 \AA)$ for the structure of $007 \mathrm{~b}-17124$ (4). The diffraction images were processed and scaled using either Rigaku CrystalClear software or Rigaku Oxford Diffraction software. The structure was solved with SHELXT and was refined against $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ on all data by full-matrix least squares with SHELXL.
(PNP)Re(NH2)(Cl) (3). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included in the model at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The isotropic displacement parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed to 1.2 times the U value of the atoms to which they are linked ( 1.5 times for methyl groups). The N-H positions are semi-freely refined with N-H distance restrains of $0.860(2) \AA$. The H-Re distances of H2a and H 2 b were restrained to be similar. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ hydrogen atoms are also riding on N 2 .


Figure S18. The complete numbering scheme of $\mathbf{3}$ with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid probability levels. The hydrogen atoms are shown as circles for clarity.

Table S10. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{3}$.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Crystal color and habit
Diffractometer
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Observed reflections ( $\mathrm{I}>2$ sigma(I))
Completeness to theta $=25.242^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Solution method
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})]$
$R$ indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole

007c-17049
C20 H46 Cl N2 P2 Re
598.18

93(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Monoclinic
$\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$
$\mathrm{a}=8.5852(3) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$.
$b=23.5810(7) \AA \quad \beta=92.515(3)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{c}=12.2292(4) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$.
$2473.39(14) \AA^{3}$
4
$1.606 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$5.158 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
1208
$0.200 \times 0.200 \times 0.050 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
Green Plate
Dectris Pilatus 3R
2.937 to $27.483^{\circ}$.
$-9<=\mathrm{h}<=11,-30<=\mathrm{k}<=30,-15<=1<=15$
35252
$5656[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0853]$
4806
99.9 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
1.00000 and 0.53287

SHELXT-2014/5 (Sheldrick, 2014)
SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2014)
5656 / 4 / 253
1.008
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0290, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0621$
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0383, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0647$
1.871 and -1.100 e. $\AA^{-3}$
$\left[(\mathbf{P N P}) \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{C l})\right]\left[\mathbf{B A r}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$ (4). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included in the model at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The isotropic displacement parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed to 1.2 times the $U$ value of the atoms to which they are linked ( 1.5 times for methyl groups; 1.2 for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ groups). The pentane and $\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}}$ sites are disordered. Four of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups of the $\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}}$ are disordered; the atoms involved are $\{\mathrm{F} 1, \mathrm{~F} 2, \mathrm{~F} 3\},\{\mathrm{F} 7, \mathrm{~F} 8, \mathrm{~F} 9\},\{\mathrm{F} 13, \mathrm{~F} 14, \mathrm{~F} 15\}\{\mathrm{C} 19, \mathrm{C} 20, \mathrm{C} 21\}$. Each group was modeled over two positions with their site occupancies freely refined. The two sites are distinguished with the suffixes "A" and "B". The converged values of the groups are $0.62(3): 0.38(3), 0.60(3): 0.40(3), 0.51(2): 0.49(2), 0.613(12): 0.378(12)$, respectively. The CF3 groups were restrained to have similar 1,2 C-C and C-F distances for chemically identical bonds. The $1,3 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{F}$ distance were also restrained to be similar. The thermal parameters of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups were retained to behave as a rigid group. The pentane was disordered across the crystallographic inversion center. The special position constraints were suppressed and the site occupancies were fixed at 0.5 . The pentane was retained to behave as a rigid group. The thermal parameter of C3A was restrained to be identical to that of its neighbour due to its proximity to the crystallographic inversion center.


Figure S19. The complete numbering scheme of $\mathbf{4}$ with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid probability levels. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table S11. Crystal data and structure refinement for 4.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Crystal color and habit
Diffractometer
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Observed reflections ( $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ )
Completeness to theta $=66.597^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Solution method
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})]$
$R$ indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole

007b-17124
C54.50 H68 B C1 F24 N3 P2 Re
1515.51

93(2) K
$1.54184 \AA$
Triclinic
P-1
$a=12.2681(5) \AA \quad \alpha=92.777(3)^{\circ}$.
$b=15.3208(6) \AA \quad \beta=109.357(4)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{c}=17.9247(8) \AA \quad \gamma=97.022(3)^{\circ}$.
3140.5(2) $\AA^{3}$

2
$1.603 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$5.646 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
1518
$0.050 \times 0.050 \times 0.010 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
Green Plate
Rigaku Saturn 944+ CCD
2.625 to $66.597^{\circ}$.
$-14<=\mathrm{h}<=14,-18<=\mathrm{k}<=18,-21<=1<=21$
109426
$10946[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1340]$
8233
98.4 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
1.00000 and 0.88256

SHELXT-2014/5 (Sheldrick, 2014)
SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2014)
10946 / 295 / 922
1.038
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0557, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1212$
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0833, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1364$
0.885 and -1.957 e. $\AA^{-3}$
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