## **Supplementary Information**

## Unveiling the Complex Configurational Landscape of the Intralayer Cavities in a Crystalline Carbon Nitride

Magnus Pauly, Julia Kröger, Viola Duppel, Corban Murphey, James Cahoon, Bettina Lotsch and Paul A. Maggard

## Flux Synthesis of Poly(Triazine Imide) (PTI/LiCI):

The preparation of PTI/LiCl is begins with weighing 17.6 mmol potassium chloride and 7.9 mmol melamine and transferring these into a mortar and pestle and grinding until the mixture appears to be a homogenous white powder. To this mixture 22 mmol of lithium chloride was added and ground together. This powder was then loaded into a reaction vessel that was approximately 10 inches long and a radius of 0.5 inches and sealed under vacuum. The reaction vessel was placed vertically into a muffle furnace and heated at a rate of 10 °C/min until 470 °C is reached. This temperature was held for 36 hrs, after which the furnace was cooled at a rate of 2 °C/hr until the temperature reaches 350 °C. The furnace was then shut off and allowed to radiatively cool to room temperature. The heating rate, reaction time, and cooling rate were all optimized over course of numerous repeated experiments. The product is repeatedly washed with de-ionized water to dissolve the excess salt and isolate the crystalline PTI/LiCl powder, which had a white to lightly beige color.

## Flux-Exchange Synthesis of PTI/CuCI:

An anhydrous CuCl/KCI mixture (60/40 molar ratio) was combined with the PTI/LiCl powder within an argon-filled glovebox. For this reaction, 0.2mmol of PTI/LiCl and CuCl/KCI was loaded at a quantity of up to 0.86 mmol of Cu. The reactants were ground together until the mixture appeared to be a homogenous powder. The reactants were transferred into a fused-silica tube that was sealed under vacuum. This reaction vessel was heated at a rate of ~10 °C/min until the furnace reached 450 °C. This temperature was held for 24 h after which the furnace is cooled at a rate of 2 °C/min until the temperature reached 300 °C. At this point, the furnace was shut off and allowed to radiatively cool to room temperature. The resulting product was isolated from the salt flux by washing, as described for PTI (above).

PTI/Cu <sub>x</sub> Cl	Li	С	Ν	Cu	Н	CI
Loaded as $x = 0.15$	1.921	5.992	9.080	0.154	3.394	1.057
Calculated from formula	1.85	6	9	0.15	1.85	1
Loaded as $x = 0.35$	1.617	6.002	9.102	0.369	3.577	1.115
Calculated from formula	1.65	6	9	0.35	1.65	1
Loaded as $x = 1.8^*$	0.179	5.999	8.931	1.064	3.794	0.950
Calculated from formula	0.2	6	9	1.8	0.2	1
PTI/LiCI	Li	С	Ν	N/A	Н	CI
Experimental	2.009	6.003	9.161	0	3.752	1.155
Calculated from formula	2	6	9	0	2	1

**Table S1.** Elemental analysis (ICP-MS) of PTI/LiCl and PTI/CuCl loaded with increasing Cu(I) cation in the flux exchange reaction.

\* At the loading of x = 1.8, a change in the crystal structure is observed and is currently under investigation.



Figure S1. FTIR data of PTI/LiCI (black line) and PTI/CuCI (red line) powders.



Figure S2. FTIR data of the deuterated (black line) and non-deuterated (red line) melamine precursor.



**Figure S3.** Structural drawings of the three H-atom environments (100% N-triazine (left), and 50% N-triazine and 50% N-imide (middle, left) for the category A substructure of Li cations.



**Figure S4.** A tree diagram illustrating the relationships between the models with and 60° or 180° layer-tolayer stacking (upper) and shifting of the H atoms (lower) to N-triazine groups, as shown in Figure S3 for the Category A model. All energies are relative to the A1a structural model.



**Figure S5.** Structural drawings of the three H-atom environments (100% N-triazine (left), and 50% N-triazine and 50% N-imide (middle, left) for the category B substructure of Li cations.



**Figure S6.** A tree diagram illustrating the relationships between the models with and 60° or 180° layer-tolayer stacking (upper) and shifting of the H atoms (lower) to N-triazine groups, as shown in Figure S5 for the Category B model. All energies are relative to the A1a structural model.



**Figure S7.** Structural drawings of the three H-atom environments (100% N-triazine (left), and 50% N-triazine and 50% N-imide (middle, left) for the category C substructure of Li cations.



**Figure S8.** A tree diagram illustrating the relationships between the models with and 60° or 180° layer-tolayer stacking (upper) and shifting of the H atoms (lower) to N-triazine groups, as shown in Figure S7 for the Category C model. All energies are relative to the A1a structural model.



**Figure S9.** Structural models which illustrate the difference in the layer-to-layer rotation of Li cations in either a 60° orientation (upper; A1) or a 180° orientation (lower; A2). All H atoms in these models are coordinated to the N-imide bridges.



**Figure S10.** Structural model which illustrates an example of a helical conformation in the layer-to-layer arrangement of the Li/H atoms, with a tripled *a*-axis for the A1 model in Table 1. All H atoms in this model are coordinated to the N-imide bridges.



**Figure S11.** A comparison of electron diffraction data versus simulated patterns for PTI/CuCl, with colored arrows/rings to indicate similarities and differences.



**Figure S12.** SEM images of PTI/LiCl crystallites at different magnifications: a) 100  $\mu$ m, b) 20  $\mu$ m, c) 5  $\mu$ m, d) 2  $\mu$ m, e) 500 nm, and f) a single flake at 500 nm. Samples were prepared by sonicating in a methanol/water solution and drop casting onto standard glass microscopy slides (Fisher Scientific) coated with ~3 nm of ITO by sputtering (Kurt Lesker PVD 75) to facilitate imaging, and images were acquired with an FEI Helios 600 Nanolab Dual Beam System.



**Figure S13.** Wide area zoom of the TEM images of PTI/CuCI, showing the various crystallite orientations and morphologies. Powder ground in a mortar and distributed onto a holey carbon/Ni grid was studied with a Philips CM 30 ST microscope (300 kV, LaB6 cathode) equipped with a spinning star device enabling the use of precession electron diffraction (PED). Simulations of the diffraction patterns were obtained with the JEMS software package.



**Figure S14.** Plots of the solid-state NMR data of PTI/LiCl and PTI/CuCl for their <sup>1</sup>H spectrum (a), <sup>15</sup>N spectrum (b), <sup>13</sup>C spectrum (c) and the <sup>7</sup>Li spectrum (d). The (\*) and (+) peaks label signals arising from water in both PTI/MCl (M = Li and Cu) and contamination from washing the PTI/CuCl product in a basic hydroxide solution, respectively.

data\_A1a\_Ama2\_d-PTI

```
_pd_phase_name A1a
```

```
_cell_length_a 6.7321(4)
```

```
_cell_length_b 8.4597(21)
```

```
_cell_length_c 14.614(4)
```

```
_cell_angle_alpha 90
```

```
_cell_angle_beta 90
```

```
_cell_angle_gamma 90
```

```
_cell_volume 832.29(11)
```

\_exptl\_crystal\_density\_diffrn 2.0030

\_symmetry\_cell\_setting orthorhombic

```
_symmetry_space_group_name_H-M "A m a 2"
```

```
loop_
```

\_space\_group\_symop\_id

```
_space_group_symop_operation_xyz
```

- 1 x,y,z
- 2 1/2-x,y,z
- 3 1/2+x,-y,z
- 4 -x,-y,z
- 5 x,1/2+y,1/2+z
- 6 1/2-x,1/2+y,1/2+z
- 7 1/2+x,1/2-y,1/2+z
- 8 -x,1/2-y,1/2+z

```
loop_
```

\_atom\_site\_label

```
_atom_site_type_symbol
```

\_atom\_site\_fract\_x

\_atom\_site\_fract\_y

\_atom\_site\_fract\_z

\_atom\_site\_occupancy

\_atom\_site\_adp\_type

\_atom\_site\_U\_iso\_or\_equiv

\_atom\_site\_site\_symmetry\_multiplicity

C1	С	0.75000	0.59585	0.75823	1.000	Uiso 0.018 4
C5	С	0.75000	0.34061	0.81378	1.000	Uiso 0.0181210 4
C9	С	0.75000	0.55399	0.91386	1.000	Uiso 0.0181210 4
C13	С	0.25000	0.40303	0.23462	1.000	Uiso 0.0181210 4
C17	С	0.25000	0.65623	0.17571	1.000	Uiso 0.0181210 4
C21	С	0.25000	0.44929	0.07523	1.000	Uiso 0.0181210 4
N1	Ν	0.75000	0.66108	0.84253	1.000	Uiso 0.022 4
N5	Ν	0.75000	0.43927	0.74248	1.000	Uiso 0.0215063 4
N9	Ν	0.75000	0.40004	0.90183	1.000	Uiso 0.0215063 4
N13	Ν	0.25000	0.34706	0.14994	1.000	Uiso 0.0215063 4
N17	Ν	0.25000	0.56278	0.25000	1.000	Uiso 0.0215063 4
N21	Ν	0.25000	0.60523	0.08766	1.000	Uiso 0.0215063 4
N25	Ν	0.75000	0.68498	0.68186	1.000	Uiso 0.0215063 4
N29	Ν	0.25000	0.81595	0.81092	1.000	Uiso 0.0215063 4
N33	Ν	0.25000	0.37160	0.99315	1.000	Uiso 0.0215063 4
Li1	Li	0.75000	0.86008	0.89921	1.000	Uiso 0.013 4
Li5	Li	0.75000	0.23022	0.97202	1.000	Uiso 0.0125000 4
CI1	CI	0.50000	0.00000	1.00490	1.000	Uiso 0.044 4
H1	Н	0.75000	0.76928	0.13946	0.250	Uiso 0.012 4
H5	Н	0.75000	0.61790	0.62363	0.250	Uiso 0.0124000 4
D1	D	0.75000	0.76928	0.13946	0.750	Uiso 0.0124000 4
D5	D	0.75000	0.61790	0.62363	0.750	Uiso 0.0124000 4

\_cell\_formula\_units\_Z 4

\_chemical\_formula\_sum "C6 H2 CI Li2 N9"

\_chemical\_formula\_weight 250.99

\_pd\_proc\_ls\_profile\_function

```
;
```

Von Dreele-Jorgenson-Windsor function parameters

alpha, beta-0, beta-1, beta-q, sig-0, sig-1, sig-2, sig-q, X, Y: 0.122, 0.011, -0.006, 0.059, 18.775, -274.704, 394.379, 61.748, 3.298, 18.443, Crystallite size in microns with "isotropic" model: parameters: Size, G/L mix 4.000, 1.000, Microstrain, "isotropic" model (10^6^ \* delta Q/Q) parameters: Mustrain, G/L mix 4523.011, 1.000,

```
_pd_proc_ls_prof_R_factor 0.07656
_pd_proc_ls_prof_wR_factor 0.07500
_gsas_proc_ls_prof_R_B_factor 0.09720
_gsas_proc_ls_prof_wR_B_factor 0.12773
_pd_proc_ls_prof_wR_expected 0.00575
_diffrn_radiation_probe neutron
_pd_meas_2theta_fixed 90.000
_pd_proc_ls_background_function
```

2

;

Background function: "chebyschev-1" function with 30 terms:
84.798, -6.076, 5.713, -1.142, 2.154, -1.605, 0.246, 1.035,
-0.209, -0.779, -0.487, 1.411, -0.339, -1.075, 1.764, -1.243,
0.498, 0.054, -0.454, 0.240, 0.628, -0.869, 0.543, 0.002,
-0.117, 0.213, -0.326, 0.578, -0.322, 0.346,

\_diffrn\_ambient\_temperature 300 \_diffrn\_ambient\_pressure 100 data\_Cmc21\_PTI\_CuCl

```
_pd_phase_name "Cmc21 PTI/CuCl"
```

```
_cell_length_a 14.727(5)
```

```
_cell_length_b 8.5152(31)
```

```
_cell_length_c 6.7343(4)
```

```
_cell_angle_alpha 90
```

```
_cell_angle_beta 90
```

```
_cell_angle_gamma 90
```

```
_cell_volume 844.48(11)
```

\_exptl\_crystal\_density\_diffrn 2.302

\_symmetry\_cell\_setting orthorhombic

\_symmetry\_space\_group\_name\_H-M "C m c 21"

```
loop_
```

\_space\_group\_symop\_id

```
_space_group_symop_operation_xyz
```

- 1 x,y,z
- 2 -x,y,z

```
3 x,-y,1/2+z
```

- 4 -x,-y,1/2+z
- 5 1/2+x,1/2+y,z
- 6 1/2-x,1/2+y,z
- 7 1/2+x,1/2-y,1/2+z
- 8 1/2-x,1/2-y,1/2+z

```
loop_
```

\_atom\_site\_label

```
_atom_site_type_symbol
```

\_atom\_site\_fract\_x

\_atom\_site\_fract\_y

\_atom\_site\_fract\_z

\_atom\_site\_occupancy

\_atom\_site\_adp\_type

\_atom\_site\_U\_iso\_or\_equiv

\_atom\_site\_symmetry\_multiplicity

N1	Ν	0.08451	0.39380	0.18380	1.000	Uiso 0.010	8	
N2	Ν	0.75665	0.05923	0.66272	1.000	Uiso 0.010	8	
N3	Ν	0.15386	0.66314	0.17380	1.000	Uiso 0.010	8	
N4	Ν	0.00000	0.63632	0.20190	1.000	Uiso 0.010	4	
N5	Ν	0.18288	0.80413	0.67870	1.000	Uiso 0.010	8	
C1	С	0.17787	0.36184	0.19770	1.000	Uiso 0.010	8	
C2	С	0.73998	0.89712	0.67770	1.000	Uiso 0.010	8	
C3	С	0.08324	0.55420	0.18190	1.000	Uiso 0.010	8	
Li1	Li	0.89050	0.11580	0.69530	0.440	Uiso 0.010	8	
Li2	Li	0.50000	0.25430	0.67100	0.440	Uiso 0.010	4	
H1	Н	0.00000	0.75430	0.20300	0.330	Uiso 0.010	4	
H2	Н	0.13170	0.85810	0.67100	0.330	Uiso 0.010	8	
CI	CI	0.00000	0.98352	0.93593	1.000	Uiso 0.010	4	
H4	Н	0.50000	0.25430	0.67100	0.330	Uiso 0.010	4	
H3	Н	0.89050	0.11580	0.69530	0.330	Uiso 0.010	8	
Cu1	С	u 0.89061	0.11664	0.66505	0.186	(5) Uiso 0.010	8 (	
Cu2	С	u 0.50000	0.28075	0.62697	0.309	(11) Uiso 0.01	0 4	ŀ

loop\_\_atom\_type\_symbol\_atom\_type\_number\_in\_cell

- C 24
- H 7.92
- CI 4
- Cu 2.721
- Li 5.280
- N 36

\_cell\_formula\_units\_Z 4

\_chemical\_formula\_sum "C6 H1.98 Cl Cu0.68 Li1.32 N9"

\_pd\_proc\_ls\_profile\_function

;

Finger-Cox-Jephcoat function parameters U, V, W, X, Y, SH/L: peak variance(Gauss) = Utan(Th)^2^+Vtan(Th)+W: peak HW(Lorentz) = X/cos(Th)+Ytan(Th); SH/L = S/L+H/L U, V, W in (centideg)<sup>2</sup>, X & Y in centideg 39.127, -2.985, 2.198, 0.035, 3.516, 0.070, Crystallite size in microns with "isotropic" model: parameters: Size, G/L mix 10.000, 1.000, Microstrain, "isotropic" model (10^6^ \* delta Q/Q) parameters: Mustrain, G/L mix 9919.587, 1.000, ; diffrn radiation type K\a~1,2~ loop \_diffrn\_radiation\_wavelength \_diffrn\_radiation\_wavelength\_wt \_diffrn\_radiation\_wavelength\_id 1 0.70926 1.0 0.71354 2 0.0000 \_refine\_ls\_R\_F\_factor 0.11729 \_refine\_ls\_R\_Fsqd\_factor 0.13830 \_pd\_proc\_ls\_prof\_R\_factor 0.04484 \_pd\_proc\_ls\_prof\_wR\_factor 0.06016 gsas proc ls prof R B factor 0.06553 \_gsas\_proc\_ls\_prof\_wR\_B\_factor 0.09988 \_pd\_proc\_ls\_prof\_wR\_expected 0.02998 \_diffrn\_radiation\_probe x-ray diffrn radiation polarisn ratio 0.7000 \_pd\_proc\_ls\_background\_function

Background function: "chebyschev" function with 15 terms:

729.746, -582.740, -82.173, -5426.464, 12610.813, 55533.430, -105723.561, -222112.078, 390266.580, 392985.212, -670524.708, -320313.235, 538481.467, 99029.926, -164506.193,

\_diffrn\_ambient\_temperature 300 \_diffrn\_ambient\_pressure 100 #--eof--#

;