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## Instrumentation

Single crystal XRD data were collected on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction SuperNova A S2 single crystal diffractometer using a Cu radiation source. Sample specific details can be found in the CIF files.

Using Olex2, ${ }^{1}$ the structures were solved with either the SheIXS or SheIXT structure solution programs using Direct Methods and refined with the ShelXL refinement package using Least Squares minimisation. ${ }^{2-4}$

Powder XRD data were collected on a Rigaku Miniflex 600 using a Cu radiation source and measurements were performed at room temperature.

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed using a Quantum Design MPMS SQUID magnetometer. Temperature dependent measurements were made using a 1000 Oe magnetic field across the stated temperature ranges (in sweep mode), while ramping the temperature at the rates specified (vide infra).

Elemental analyses were conducted through the Elemental Analysis Service at London Metropolitan University using a ThermoFlash 2000 analyser.

## Materials

3-bpp was synthesised according to a slightly modified literature procedure, where the product was recrystallised from ethyl acetate rather than chloroform. ${ }^{5}$ The Fell salts, $\mathrm{NaPF}_{6}$ and co-formers were commercially available and were used without further purification.

## Experimental

## [Fe(3-bpp) $\left.)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}$ (1a) (Solution synthesis)

3-bpp ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to ethanol ( 10 mL ) and the solution was heated until all solid had dissolved. In a separate flask, $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.355 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated until all solid had dissolved. The iron salt solution was added to the 3-bpp solution slowly over a few minutes whilst stirring and upon mixing the solutions, the colour changed from
colorless to red. The solvent was removed in vacuo, yielding the product as a red powder, $\mathbf{1 a} \cdot \mathrm{EtOH}$, ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ), which was analyzed by PXRD.

## Recrystallisation:

A small amount of $\mathbf{1 a} \cdot \mathbf{E t O H}$ was dissolved in ethanol in a small vial. This vial was placed into a larger vial containing toluene and the larger vial was sealed to allow the solvents to slowly diffuse. Dark red crystals grew in 1-2 weeks which were analyzed by SCXRD, including variable temperature measurements.


Figure S1 1a•EtOH crystal structure which has been grown to show the hydrogen bonding of each N-H on the 3-bpp ligands.
The crystal structure (Figure S1) contains two distinct Fe centers, where the complex containing Fe1 is hydrogen bonded only to $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ counter ions and the complex containing Fe 2 is hydrogen bonded to both ethanol and $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$. The average volume of the $\mathrm{FeN}_{6}$ octahedra within the structure suggest that at 150 K, Fe1 is in the HS state (12.31239(9) $\AA^{3}$ ) and Fe2 is in the LS state (9.54733(7) $\AA^{3}$ ). Variable temperature SCXRD measurements were performed to determine if either of the Fe centers display SCO activity upon a change in temperature (Figure S2).


Figure S2 Change in average octahedral volume of the Fe1 and Fe2 centers with temperature in the $\mathbf{1 a \cdot E t O H}$ crystal structure.


Figure S3 Powder pattern comparison of the as-synthesised $\mathbf{1 a \cdot E t O H}$ (black) from solution synthesis with the pattern simulated (red) from the $\mathbf{1 a \cdot E t O H}$ crystal structure.

From Figure S3, it can be seen that from the solution synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}$, an ethanol solvate is formed.

## [Fe(3-bpp) $\left.)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}$ (1a) (Mechanochemical synthesis - Neat Grinding)

$\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and 3-bpp ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were ground together using a pestle \& mortar ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}$ ) where the color changed from white to yellow-orange to orangered as the reaction proceeded. The resulting product, $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-b p p)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}$, was collected and analyzed by PXRD. The powder pattern matched well with the pattern from a previous report of the anhydrous complex. ${ }^{6}$


Figure S4 Powder pattern comparison of the as-synthesised powder, 1a (black), from mechanochemical synthesis, with the pattern reported in literature for the anhydrous $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF} \mathrm{F}_{4}\right]_{2}$ complex, $1 \boldsymbol{1 a}$ (red). ${ }^{6}$ The literature pattern was re-plotted using WebPlotDigitizer. ${ }^{7}$
$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ (1b)
$\mathrm{FeCl}_{2} .4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in distilled water ( 15 mL ) containing a very small amount of ascorbic acid. This was added to a warm solution of 3-bpp ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ causing a colour change from colourless to red-orange. To this solution was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaPF}_{6}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in distilled water ( 3 mL ). The solution was gently heated ( 5 min ) and the solvent was then removed in vacuo, resulting in a red solid, which was washed with distilled water and dried by vacuum filtration. The product, 1b, was a red-orange powder ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ).

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot 2(\mathrm{bpe})(1 \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{bpe})$

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and 1,2-di(4-pyridyl)ethylene (bpe) ( $16.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was transferred to a vial by filtering through cotton wool and the vial was covered with parafilm. A small hole was pierced into the parafilm, and the solution was left to evaporate slowly to dryness, yielding the co-crystalline product, 1a•bpe, as yellow/orange crystalline solid, which was analysed by SCXRD (Figure S5a), SQUID magnetometry (Figure S5b) and PXRD (Figure S6). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8}(1016.27 \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ): C $54.36 \%$, H $3.77 \%$, N 19.29 \%. Found: C $52.96 \%$, H $3.40 \%$, N $18.29 \%$.

SQUID magnetometry details:

- The weight of the sample was 10.73 mg .
- 290 K to 20 K cooled at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 20 K to 290 K heated at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
a)

b)


Figure S 5 a) Asymmetric unit of the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-b p p)_{2}\right]_{\left[B F_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot 2(b p e)}$ crystal structure at room temperature, where thermal ellipsoids have not been shown for clarity. b) Magnetic susceptibility curves obtained from a sample of $1 a \cdot b p e$, measured using SQUID magnetometry, showing both the cooling (black) and heating (red) curves.


Figure S6 Powder pattern comparison of the as-synthesised 1a•bpe (black) with the pattern simulated (red) from the 1a•bpe crystal structure.

Table S1 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1a-bpe.

| Temperature <br> $(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{a}(\AA \mathrm{A})$ | $\mathbf{b}(\AA \mathrm{A})$ | $\mathbf{c}(\AA \mathrm{A})$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Room Temp | $10.4294(5)$ | $18.5284(11)$ | $24.7378(8)$ | 90 | $99.669(4)$ | 90 | $12.470(12)$ |


| 150 | $10.238(2)$ | $18.273(3)$ | $24.895(4)$ | 90 | $98.592(17)$ | 90 | $11.49(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-b p p)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot 2(\mathrm{azp})(1)(1 \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{azp}(\alpha))$

[Fe(3-bpp) $\left.)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4,4'-azopyridine (azp) ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was filtered through cotton wool into a vial which was then covered on top with parafilm. A small hole was pierced into the parafilm, and the vial was left for the solution to evaporate slowly to dryness, yielding two types of crystals that could be distinguished by colour. The 1a•azp( $\alpha$ ) co-crystals were yellow and plate-like. It was extremely difficult to separate the polymorphs to obtain enough sample for SQUID or PXRD analysis, but VTSCXRD analysis was performed (Figure S7). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8}\left(1020.22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C 49.44 \%, H 3.36 \%, N 24.71 \%. Found: C 49.17 \%, H 3.42 \%, N 22.71 \%.


Figure S7 a) Asymmetric unit of the $\mathbf{1 a \cdot a z p ( \alpha )}$ co-crystal at 270 K, where thermal ellipsoids have not been shown for clarity. b) SCO cooling curve for the $1 \mathbf{1 a} \cdot \operatorname{azp}(\alpha)$ co-crystal, plotted by measuring the volume of the $\mathrm{FeN}_{6}$ octahedron in the asymmetric unit upon decreasing temperature.

Table S2 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1a•azp( $\alpha$ ).

| Temperature <br> $(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{a}(\AA \AA)$ | $\mathbf{b}(\AA \AA)$ | $\mathbf{c}(\AA \AA)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | $9.7998(6)$ | $10.0426(4)$ | $22.5627(12)$ | $92.809(4)$ | $99.956(5)$ | $94.715(4)$ | $9.867(11)$ |
| 100 | $9.8088(5)$ | $10.0591(4)$ | $22.5537(12)$ | $92.699(4)$ | $100.001(4)$ | $94.636(4)$ | $9.911(11)$ |
| 110 | $9.8200(4)$ | $10.0724(4)$ | $22.5535(12)$ | $92.684(4)$ | $100.135(4)$ | $94.567(3)$ | $9.987(10)$ |
| 130 | $9.8871(7)$ | $10.2008(7)$ | $22.487(2)$ | $81.578(7)$ | $79.712(7)$ | $85.838(5)$ | $10.58(2)$ |
| 150 | $9.9194(5)$ | $10.2308(4)$ | $22.3927(14)$ | $81.840(4)$ | $79.947(5)$ | $85.881(4)$ | $10.924(10)$ |
| 160 | $9.9373(5)$ | $10.2410(4)$ | $22.3677(14)$ | $81.920(4)$ | $80.061(5)$ | $85.898(4)$ | $10.988(10)$ |
| 180 | $9.9713(4)$ | $10.2652(4)$ | $22.3055(11)$ | $82.076(4)$ | $80.118(4)$ | $86.058(3)$ | $11.169(10)$ |
| 190 | $9.9891(3)$ | $10.2817(3)$ | $22.276(1)$ | $82.142(3)$ | $80.329(3)$ | $86.138(3)$ | $11.268(10)$ |


| 200 | $10.0147(4)$ | $10.3091(4)$ | $22.2333(9)$ | $82.156(3)$ | $80.535(3)$ | $86.265(3)$ | $11.466(10)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210 | $10.0545(3)$ | $10.3485(4)$ | $22.1265(7)$ | $82.255(3)$ | $80.806(3)$ | $86.482(3)$ | $11.771(10)$ |
| 220 | $10.1224(3)$ | $10.4094(3)$ | $21.9384(7)$ | $82.302(3)$ | $81.399(3)$ | $86.857(3)$ | $12.273(10)$ |
| 230 | $10.1527(3)$ | $10.4289(4)$ | $21.8764(7)$ | $82.311(3)$ | $81.644(3)$ | $87.037(3)$ | $12.40(1)$ |
| 250 | $10.1837(4)$ | $10.4500(4)$ | $21.8487(8)$ | $82.380(3)$ | $81.835(3)$ | $87.233(3)$ | $12.495(10)$ |
| 270 | $10.2090(4)$ | $10.4618(5)$ | $21.8559(10)$ | $82.284(4)$ | $82.051(4)$ | $87.374(3)$ | $12.506(11)$ |

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot 2(\mathrm{azp})(2)(1 a \cdot a z p(\beta))$

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4,4'-azopyridine (azp) ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was filtered through cotton wool into a vial which was then covered on top with parafilm. A small hole was pierced into the parafilm, and the vial was left for the solution to evaporate slowly to dryness, yielding two types of crystals that could be distinguished by colour. The 1a•azp( $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ) co-crystals were orange in colour. The as-synthesised 1a•azp co-crystals, which contain a mix of polymorphs, were found to mostly contain the 1a•azp( $\beta$ ) polymorph through PXRD (Figure S8a). This was further evidenced through the good agreement between the magnetic data from SQUID measurements (Figure S8b) and the structural data from VTSCXRD measurements (Figure S8c). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8}\left(1020.22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C $49.44 \%, \mathrm{H}$ 3.36 \%, N 24.71 \%. Found: C 49.17 \%, H 3.42 \%, N 22.71 \%.

SQUID magnetometry details:

- The weight of the sample was 11.98 mg .
- 290 K to 5 K cooled at $1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 5 K to 290 K heated at $1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 290 K to 5 K cooled at $1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S8 a) PXRD pattern of the as-synthesised 1a•azp co-crystals (mix of polymorphs), the simulated pattern from the $1 a \cdot a z p(\alpha)$ SCXRD data, and the simulated pattern from the $1 a \cdot a z p(B)$ SCXRD data. The as-synthesised $1 a \cdot a z p$ co-crystals match best with the $1 a \cdot \operatorname{azp}(8)$ pattern. b) Magnetic susceptibility curve obtained from a sample of the as-synthesised 1a•azp co-crystals, measured using SQUID magnetometry, where the heating and cooling curves have both been shown in black as they match very well. c) SCO curve obtained for the $\mathbf{1 a \cdot a z p ( B )}$ co-crystal, plotted by measuring the volume of the $\mathrm{FeN}_{6}$ octahedron in the asymmetric unit upon decreasing temperature.

Table S3 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1a.azp(B).

| Temperature <br> $\mathbf{( K )}$ | $\mathbf{a}(\AA \mathbf{\AA})$ | $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{A})$ | $\mathbf{c}(\AA \AA)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume <br> $\left(\mathbf{A}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | $9.9764(2)$ | $18.0458(3)$ | $24.6253(4)$ | 90 | $97.592(2)$ | 90 | $10.884(8)$ |
| 150 | $10.0201(2)$ | $18.1481(3)$ | $24.6417(4)$ | 90 | $97.959(2)$ | 90 | $10.998(8)$ |
| 270 | $10.1555(2)$ | $18.7976(6)$ | $24.3818(5)$ | 90 | $99.376(2)$ | 90 | $12.432(10)$ |

## [Fe(3-bpp) $\left.{ }_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot 2$ (bipy) (1a-bipy)

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}(30.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and 4,4'-bipyridine (bipy) ( $14.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was transferred to a vial by filtering through cotton wool and the vial was covered with parafilm. A small hole was pierced into the parafilm, and the solution was left to evaporate slowly to dryness, yielding the co-crystalline product, 1a•bipy, as a dark red crystalline solid, which was analysed by VT-SCXRD (Figure S9), SQUID magnetometry (Figure S10) and PXRD. PXRD analysis was carried out on the fresh sample (Figure S11) as well as the sample that had been used for magnetic measurements (Figure S12). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$ ( 964.20 $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ): C 52.31 \%, H 3.55 \%, N 20.34 \%. Found: C 49.93 \%, H 3.55 \%, N 20.04 \%.

## SQUID magnetometry details:

- The weight of the sample was 17.15 mg .
- 290 K to 100 K cooled at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 100 K to 400 K heated at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 400 K to 100 K cooled at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 100 K to 400 K heated at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure $S 9$ a) Asymmetric unit of the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-b p p)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot 2$ (bipy) crystal structure at 298 K , where thermal ellipsoids have not been shown for clarity. b) SCO curve obtained by measuring the average FeN $\mathrm{N}_{6}$ octahedral volume at different temperatures. The point shown in black is the average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume of the $\mathbf{1 a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b i p y}$ co-crystal after it has been cooled down from 400 K, showing the irreversible nature of the phase transition that occurs around 340 K.


Figure S10 Magnetic susceptibility curves obtained from a sample of 1a•bipy measured using SQUID magnetometry, where the heating and cooling curves from the 2 cycles are shown by different colours.


Figure S11 Powder pattern comparison of the as-synthesised 1a•bipy (black) with the pattern simulated (red) from the 1a•bipy crystal structure at 298 K.


Figure S12 Comparison of the PXRD pattern of 1a•bipy after SQUID magnetometry and the pattern simulated from SCXRD data of the $P 2_{1} / n$ phase obtained at 300 K after the crystal had been heated to 400 K . The patterns match well apart from intensities, which is due to the lack of grinding of the sample and shows that the crystallographic phase transition during the $1^{\text {st }}$ heating cycle is irreversible.

Table S4 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volumes as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1a•bipy.

| Temperature <br> $(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{a}(\AA \AA)$ | $\mathbf{b}(\AA \AA)$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume <br> $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 | $10.0861(3)$ | $10.1542(3)$ | $21.8490(6)$ | $96.262(2)$ | $96.200(2)$ | $93.852(2)$ | $9.873(9)$ |
| 298 | $10.1441(4)$ | $10.2185(4)$ | $21.7492(5)$ | $96.263(2)$ | $95.635(3)$ | $93.859(3)$ | $10.202(10)$ |
| 310 | $10.1645(5)$ | $10.2047(4)$ | $21.7294(6)$ | $96.328(3)$ | $95.314(3)$ | $93.836(4)$ | $10.358(11)$ |
| 320 | $10.1951(3)$ | $10.1790(4)$ | $21.6800(5)$ | $96.335(2)$ | $95.000(2)$ | $93.874(3)$ | $10.595(9)$ |
| 330 | $10.2254(4)$ | $10.1492(6)$ | $21.6116(6)$ | $96.133(3)$ | $94.628(3)$ | $93.979(4)$ | $10.75(1)$ |
| 340 | $10.2756(6)$ | $18.9626(12)$ | $22.8808(10)$ | 90 | $95.903(5)$ | 90 | $10.949(17)$ |
| 350 | $10.2889(5)$ | $18.9461(11)$ | $22.8834(9)$ | 90 | $95.792(4)$ | 90 | $10.982(16)$ |
| 370 | $10.3119(5)$ | $18.9471(11)$ | $22.8937(9)$ | 90 | $95.620(4)$ | 90 | $11.101(15)$ |
| 390 | $10.3414(5)$ | $18.9725(12)$ | $22.8949(10)$ | 90 | $95.411(4)$ | 90 | $11.225(15)$ |

Table S5 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume as collected by SCXRD at 300 K on a 1a•bipy crystal which had been heated to 400 K and undergone an irreversible phase transition.

| Temperature <br> $(K)$ | $\mathbf{a}(A ̊)$ | $\mathbf{b}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\mathbf{c}(\AA)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume <br> $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 | $10.2076(3)$ | $18.9941(9)$ | $22.8634(8)$ | 90 | $96.403(3)$ | 90 | $10.729(13)$ |

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-b p p)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot 2(\mathrm{bpa})(1 a \cdot b p a)$

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and 1,2 -bis( 4 -pyridyl)ethane (bpa) ( $11.30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.061$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were ground together in the presence of methanol ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) using a pestle \& mortar ( 3 min ). Additional methanol ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added, and liquid assisted grinding (LAG) was done ( 3 min ). During the reaction, the powder changed from orange-red to a yellow-orange color and the product, 1a•bpa, was analyzed by PXRD (Figure S13a) and SQUID magnetometry (Figure S13b). A small amount of solid was recrystallized from methanol, affording yellow crystals, which were analyzed by SCXRD (Figure S14). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8}\left(1020.30 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C 54.14 \%, H $4.15 \%, \mathrm{~N} 19.22$ \%. Found: C $54.81 \%$, H $4.18 \%$, N $18.03 \%$.

SQUID magnetometry details:

- The sample weight was 9.90 mg .
- 290 K to 5 K cooled at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S13 a) Powder pattern comparison of the as-synthesised 1a•bpa (black) from LAG (methanol) with the pattern simulated (red) from the 1a•bpa crystal structure.b) Magnetic susceptibility curve obtained from a sample of 1a•bpa, measured using SQUID magnetometry.


Figure S14 Asymmetric unit of the 1a•bpa co-crystal at $150 K$, where thermal ellipsoids have not been shown for clarity.

Table S6 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume as collected from a SCXRD measurements of 1 a•bpa at 150 K.

| Temperature <br> $(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{a}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\mathbf{b}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume <br> $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150 | $22.0537(2)$ | $9.5781(1)$ | $22.3969(3)$ | 90 | $98.891(1)$ | 90 | $13.061(6)$ |

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(3-\mathrm{bpp}_{2}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6} \mathrm{l}_{2} \cdot 2(\mathrm{bpe})(1 \mathrm{~b} \cdot \mathrm{bpe})\right.\right.$

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(30.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,2-di(4-pyridyl)ethylene (bpe) ( $14.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was filtered through cotton wool into a vial which was then covered with parafilm. A small hole was pierced into the parafilm to allow the solution to evaporate slowly to dryness, yielding the product, $\mathbf{1 b}$ •bpe, as small yellow crystals. These crystals were analysed using PXRD (Figure S15a), SQUID magnetometry (Figure S15b) and VT-SCXRD (Figure S15c). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}\left(1132.60 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right.$ ): C 48.78 \%, H 3.38 \%, N 17.31 \%. Found: C 49.00 \%, H 3.37 \%, N 15.48 \%.

SQUID magnetometry details:

- The weight of the sample was 10.69 mg .
- 290 K to 250 K cooled at $1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 250 K to 140 K cooled at $0.25 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 140 K to 100 K cooled at $1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 100 K to 140 K heated at $1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 140 K to 270 K heated at $0.25 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 270 K to 290 K heated at $1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S15 a) Comparison of the PXRD pattern of the as-synthesised $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{b p e}$ co-crystals with the pattern simulated from the 1b•bpe SCXRD data. b) Magnetic susceptibility curves obtained from a sample of $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{b p e}$, measured using SQUID magnetometry, showing both the cooling (black) and heating (red) curves. c) SCO cooling and heating curves obtained for the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot$ bpe co-crystal, plotted by measuring the volume of the FeN $N_{6}$ octahedron in the asymmetric unit upon varying
temperature, where each point represents a full SCXRD measurement. d) Asymmetric unit of the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot$ bpe co-crystal in the HS state at 200 K. e) Asymmetric unit of the 1b•bpe co-crystal in the 50:50 LS:HS state at 160 K, where there is ordering of the $L S$ and $\mathrm{HS}\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]^{2+}$ complexes within the 1 D chains. f) Asymmetric unit of the $\mathbf{1 b}$-bpe co-crystal in the fully LS state at 150 K. Thermal ellipsoids have not been shown for clarity.

Table S7 Unit cell parameters and average $\mathrm{FeN}_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1b-bpe on cooling.

| Temperature (К) | a (Å) | b (Å) | c (Å) | $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $Y\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume ( ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 10.2078(2) | 11.4083(2) | 21.4035(4) | 97.221(2) | 96.811(2) | 93.568(2) | 12.330(6) |
| 190 | 10.2082(3) | 11.4002(3) | 21.3691(5) | 97.097(2) | 96.908(2) | 93.566(2) | 12.327(6) |
| 180 | 10.2032(3) | 11.3967(3) | 21.3443(6) | 96.999(2) | 96.955(2) | 93.544(2) | 12.329(6) |
| 170 | 10.2048(4) | 11.3986(4) | 21.3016(6) | 96.914(3) | 97.065(3) | 93.509(3) | 12.308(8) |
| 165 | 9.8369(5) | 22.4078(6) | 22.3273(8) | 79.398(3) | 89.315(4) | 89.203(3) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.776(10) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=12.460(13) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=11.12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 160 | 9.8294(6) | 22.3967(7) | 22.3209(10) | 79.439(3) | 89.335(4) | 89.190(4) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.773(11) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=12.460(14) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=11.12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 155 | 9.8659(5) | 10.9609(5) | 22.6019(9) | 100.457(4) | 91.375(4) | 93.322(4) | 9.838(10) |
| 150 | 9.8626(4) | 10.9527(4) | 22.6007(8) | 100.390(3) | 91.401(3) | 93.390(3) | 9.826(9) |
| 145 | 9.8592(5) | 10.9499(5) | 22.6132(11) | 100.426(4) | 91.409(4) | 93.448(4) | 9.793(9) |
| 130 | 9.8578(5) | 10.9436(6) | 22.5607(12) | 100.287(4) | 91.451(4) | 93.522(4) | 9.822(8) |

Table S8 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1b-bpe on heating.

| Temperature (K) | a (Å) | b (Å) | c (Å) | $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral Volume ( $\mathrm{A}^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 165 | 9.8556(7) | 22.4124(9) | 22.3512(10) | 79.374(4) | 89.415(5) | 89.185(5) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.882(12) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=12.415(15) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=11.15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 175 | 9.8628(6) | 22.4227(12) | 22.3337(11) | 79.268(4) | 89.418(4) | 89.158(4) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.801(9) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=12.460(11) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=11.13 \end{gathered}$ |
| 180 | 9.8708(6) | 22.4260(12) | 22.3674(12) | 79.152(4) | 89.413(4) | 89.093(4) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.831(9) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=12.455(12) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=11.14 \end{gathered}$ |
| 185 | 9.8690(5) | 22.4100(8) | 22.3765(10) | 79.177(3) | 89.421(4) | 89.137(3) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.854(10) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=12.393(13) \\ \text { Avg }=11.12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 190 | 9.8766(6) | 22.4211(8) | 22.3907(11) | 79.115(4) | 89.420(4) | 89.141(4) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.876(10) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=12.411(13) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=11.14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 195 | 9.8792(6) | 22.4178(13) | 22.4028(13) | 79.070(5) | 89.458(5) | 89.124(5) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.901(10) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=12.426(12) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=11.16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |


| 200 | $9.8898(5)$ | $22.4177(7)$ | $22.4003(9)$ | $79.064(3)$ | $89.471(4)$ | $89.155(3)$Fe1 = 9.935(10) <br> $\mathrm{Fe} 2=12.382(12)$ <br> Avg $=11.16$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210 | $9.9079(6)$ | $22.4260(12)$ | $22.4143(11)$ | $78.939(4)$ | $89.501(4)$ | $89.169(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}=10.122(11)$ <br> $\mathrm{Fe} 2=12.245(13)$ <br> Avg $=11.18$ |
| 230 | $10.2167(10)$ | $11.4170(8)$ | $21.5491(13)$ | $97.805(5)$ | $96.452(7)$ | $93.527(7)$ | $12.396(12)$ |
| 240 | $10.2181(11)$ | $11.4218(9)$ | $21.5858(14)$ | $97.939(6)$ | $96.353(7)$ | $93.519(7)$ | $12.424(13)$ |

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2} \cdot 2(\mathrm{azp})(1)(1 \mathrm{~b} \cdot \mathrm{azp}(\alpha))$

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $4,4^{\prime}$-azopyridine (azp) ( $14.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was filtered through cotton wool into a vial which was then covered with parafilm. A small hole was pierced into the parafilm to allow the solution to evaporate slowly to dryness, yielding two polymorphic co-crystals; $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ and $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

## Repeat experiment with seeding:

The co-crystallisation was repeated, where $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(30.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $4,4^{\prime}-$ azopyridine (azp) ( $14.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was filtered through cotton wool into a vial and a $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ seed crystal was dropped in. The vial was then covered with parafilm, and a small hole was pierced into it to allow the solution to evaporate slowly to dryness. Upon investigation of the crystals under the microscope, the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ polymorph was the dominant polymorph present and so these crystals were analysed by PXRD (Figure S16), SQUID magnetometry (Figure S17a) and VT-SCXRD (Figure S17b). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1136.55 g mol${ }^{-1}$ ): C 44.38 \%, H 3.01 \%, N 22.18 \%. Found: C 43.41 \%, H 2.90 \%, N 19.43 \%.

SQUID magnetometry details:

- The weight of the sample was 7.00 mg .
- 400 K to 195 K cooled at $1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 195 K to 400 K heated at $1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S16 Comparison of the PXRD pattern of the as-synthesised $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \boldsymbol{a z p}(\alpha)$ co-crystals from the seeded crystallisation, with the pattern simulated from the $1 \mathbf{b} \cdot \operatorname{azp}(\alpha)$ SCXRD data.


Figure S17 a) Magnetic susceptibility curves obtained from a sample of $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \operatorname{azp}(\alpha)$, measured using SQUID magnetometry, showing the $1^{\text {st }}$ heating (orange), $1^{\text {st }}$ cooling (blue) and $2^{\text {nd }}$ heating (red) curves. b) SCO curve obtained for the $1 b \cdot a z p(\alpha)$ cocrystal, plotted by measuring the volume of the $\mathrm{FeN}_{6}$ octahedron in the asymmetric unit upon varying temperature, where each point represents a full SCXRD measurement.

Table S9 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for $1 b \cdot a z p(\alpha)$.

| Temperature <br> $(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{a}(\AA)$ | $\mathbf{b}(\AA)$ | $\mathbf{c})(\AA)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume <br> $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160 | $9.8259(4)$ | $10.8645(4)$ | $22.6056(10)$ | $101.980(3)$ | $91.870(3)$ | $91.858(3)$ | $9.705(6)$ |
| 190 | $9.8596(3)$ | $10.8693(3)$ | $22.6191(7)$ | $102.011(2)$ | $91.773(2)$ | $91.794(2)$ | $9.721(6)$ |
| 205 | $9.8788(3)$ | $10.8821(3)$ | $22.6364(7)$ | $102.093(3)$ | $91.663(3)$ | $91.701(2)$ | $9.763(6)$ |
| 220 | $9.9043(3)$ | $10.9002(3)$ | $22.6267(8)$ | $102.194(3)$ | $91.481(3)$ | $91.565(2)$ | $9.881(7)$ |


| 235 | $9.9474(3)$ | $10.9573(3)$ | $22.5424(8)$ | $102.490(3)$ | $90.926(3)$ | $91.146(3)$ | $10.348(7)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 | $9.9827(4)$ | $11.0082(4)$ | $22.5053(8)$ | $102.782(3)$ | $90.438(3)$ | $90.847(3)$ | $10.752(8)$ |
| 265 | $9.9994(4)$ | $11.0389(4)$ | $22.5035(9)$ | $102.939(3)$ | $90.267(3)$ | $90.662(3)$ | $10.952(8)$ |
| 280 | $10.0259(4)$ | $11.0754(3)$ | $22.4756(7)$ | $103.162(3)$ | $90.003(3)$ | $90.504(3)$ | $11.172(8)$ |
| 300 | $10.0731(4)$ | $11.1516(3)$ | $22.4071(9)$ | $103.519(3)$ | $89.501(3)$ | $89.995(3)$ | $11.666(9)$ |
| 320 | $10.1221(4)$ | $11.2487(4)$ | $22.3176(9)$ | $103.908(3)$ | $88.876(3)$ | $89.376(3)$ | $12.236(9)$ |
| 340 | $10.1407(5)$ | $11.2900(4)$ | $22.3314(10)$ | $104.116(3)$ | $88.638(4)$ | $89.213(3)$ | $12.373(9)$ |
| 365 | $10.1721(5)$ | $11.3235(3)$ | $22.3862(7)$ | $104.374(3)$ | $88.492(3)$ | $88.975(3)$ | $12.455(10)$ |

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-b p p)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2} \cdot 2(\mathrm{azp})(2)(1 b \cdot a z p(\beta))$

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $4,4^{\prime}$-azopyridine (azp) ( $14.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was filtered through cotton wool into a vial which was then covered with parafilm. A small hole was pierced into the parafilm to allow the solution to evaporate slowly to dryness, yielding two polymorphic co-crystals; $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ and $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

It was not possible to separate the polymorphs sufficiently to obtain enough $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ crystals for PXRD and SQUID magnetometry analyses. Instead, the SCO behaviour of the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ polymorph was assessed using SCXRD (Figure S18). A measurement was carried out at 250 K where the material was found to be in the HS state though the large octahedral volume ( $12.5 \AA^{3}$ ). After cooling slowly to 100 K , a single crystal diffraction experiment was carried out and the material was still found to be in the HS state with a large octahedral volume (12.5 $\AA^{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}(1136.55 \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ): C 44.38 \%, H 3.01 \%, N 22.18 \%. Found: C 43.41 \%, H 2.90 \%, N 19.43 \%.



Figure S18 Asymmetric unit of the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\mathbf{B})$ co-crystal where thermal ellipsoids have not been shown for clarity.

Table S10 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1b•azp( $\beta$ ).

| Temperature <br> $\mathbf{( K )}$ | $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{A})$ | $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{A})$ | $\mathbf{c}(\AA \AA)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume <br> $\left(\mathbf{A}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 | $12.4569(2)$ | $16.5431(3)$ | $23.9335(4)$ | 90 | $98.279(2)$ | 90 | $12.518(9)$ |
| 100 | $12.4566(3)$ | $16.191(5)$ | $23.7533(4)$ | 90 | $98.4865(19)$ | 90 | $12.451(16)$ |

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2} \cdot 2$ (bipy) (1b-bipy)

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4,4 $4^{\prime}$-bipyridine (bipy) ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was filtered through cotton wool into a vial which was then covered with parafilm. A small hole was pierced into the parafilm to allow the solution to evaporate slowly to dryness, yielding the product, 1b•bipy, as small dark red crystals. These crystals were collected and analysed by PXRD (Figure S19), SCXRD (Figure S20a) and SQUID magnetometry (Figure S2Ob). VT-SCXRD measurements were carried out and the structure remained fully in the LS state up to 400 K and remained in the $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{m}$ space group. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}\left(1080.53 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C $46.68 \%$, H $3.17 \%$, N $18.15 \%$. Found: C 43.22 \%, H 2.43 \%, N $15.62 \%$.

SQUID magnetometry details:

- The weight of the sample was 8.97 mg .
- 290 K to 5 K cooled at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 5 K to 400 K heated at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S19 Comparison of the PXRD pattern of the $\mathbf{1 b}$ bipy co-crystal sample after SQUID analysis, with the pattern simulated from the $\mathbf{1 b}$ bbipy SCXRD data.


Figure S20 a) Structure of the 1b•bipy co-crystal, where thermal ellipsoids have not been shown for clarity. b) Magnetic susceptibility curve of the 1b-bipy co-crystal, obtained using SQUID magnetometry, showing that the material remains in the LS state between 0 K and 400 K .


Figure S21 Crystals of 1b.bipy, where the top, red crystal had been kept at room temperature and the bottom, yellow crystal had been heated to 430 K and then cooled back down to room temperature.

Table S11 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1b-bipy.

| Temperature <br> (K) | $\mathbf{a}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\mathbf{b}(\hat{)})$ | $\mathbf{c}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathbf{Y}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume <br> $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 298 | $14.9529(3)$ | $14.8247(3)$ | $21.0093(3)$ | 90 | $95.4899(17)$ | 90 | $9.596(9)$ |


| 320 | $14.9920(6)$ | $14.8523(7)$ | $20.9906(6)$ | 90 | $95.382(3)$ | 90 | $9.507(19)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 340 | $15.0435(5)$ | $14.8868(7)$ | $20.9911(6)$ | 90 | $95.295(3)$ | 90 | $9.54(2)$ |
| 360 | $15.0869(6)$ | $14.9194(7)$ | $20.9836(6)$ | 90 | $95.183(3)$ | 90 | $9.51(2)$ |
| 380 | $15.1190(7)$ | $14.9411(8)$ | $20.9538(8)$ | 90 | $95.062(4)$ | 90 | $9.52(2)$ |
| 400 | $15.1692(7)$ | $14.9803(9)$ | $20.9353(8)$ | 90 | $94.939(4)$ | 90 | $9.56(3)$ |
| 411 | $15.191(3)$ | $14.9759(13)$ | $20.9141(16)$ | 90 | $94.928(10)$ | 90 | $9.55(4)$ |

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2} \cdot 2(\mathrm{bpa})(1 \mathrm{~b} \cdot \mathrm{bpa})$

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane (bpa) ( $14.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol (approx. 2 mL ). The solution was filtered through cotton wool into a vial which was then covered with parafilm. A small hole was pierced into the parafilm to allow the solution to evaporate slowly to dryness, yielding the product, 1b•bpa, as small yellow crystals. These crystals were analysed by PXRD (Figure S22a), SQUID magnetometry (Figure S22b) and VT-SCXRD (Figure S22c). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}\left(1136.63 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right.$ ): C 48.60 \%, H 3.72 \%, N 17.25 \%. Found: C 49.21 \%, H 2.66 \%, N 17.35 \%.

SQUID magnetometry details:

- The weight of the sample was 11.82 mg .
- 290 K to 200 K cooled at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 200 K to 100 K cooled at $0.25 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 100 K to 20 K cooled at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 20 K to 120 K heated at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 120 K to 220 K heated at $0.25 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.
- 220 K to 275 K heated at $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S22 a) Comparison of the PXRD pattern of the as-synthesised $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot b p a \operatorname{co}$-crystals with the pattern simulated from the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{b p a}$ SCXRD data. b) Magnetic susceptibility curves of the as-synthesised $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot$ bpa co-crystals, obtained using SQUID magnetometry, where both the cooling (black) and heating (red) curves are shown. c) SCO cooling (black) and heating (red) curves for the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot$ bpa co-crystal, along with the TIESST curve (blue), obtained by performing VT-SCXRD measurements and plotting the average $\mathrm{FeN}_{6}$ octahedral volume at each temperature. d) Asymmetric unit of the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{b p a}$ co-crystal, measured at room temperature where the material is in the fully HS state. e) Asymmetric unit of the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{b p a}$ co-crystal, measured at $100 K$ where the material is in the fully LS state and the structure is $Z^{\prime}=2$.


Figure S23 Structures showing the differences between the 2D supramolecular sheets in the 1a•bpa co-crystal and the 1b•bpa co-crystal from the perspective of looking onto the sheets (top) and down the sheets (bottom). Different shades of green were used for the co-formers in the $\mathbf{1 b}$-bpa structure to represent that the bpa co-formers are crystallographically distinct.

Table S12 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1b-bpa on cooling.

| Temperature (K) | a (Å) | b (Å) | c (Å) | $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $Y\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume ( ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Room Temperature | 9.5962(2) | 18.5839(4) | 15.0131(2) | 90 | 102.499(2) | 90 | 12.703(18) |
| 150 | 9.5209(1) | 18.0371(2) | 14.9810(2) | 90 | 101.851(1) | 90 | 12.748(11) |
| 145 | 9.0628(3) | 30.8027(8) | 18.3171(6) | 90 | 102.671(3) | 90 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.65(2) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=9.68(2) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=9.67 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 140 | 9.0578(3) | 30.7994(8) | 18.3149(7) | 90 | 102.662(4) | 90 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.64(2) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=9.63(2) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=9.64 \end{gathered}$ |
| 135 | 9.0523(3) | 30.8080(9) | 18.3037(9) | 90 | 102.668(4) | 90 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.69(2) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=9.59(2) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=9.64 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 100 | 9.0158(3) | 30.8171(9) | 18.2814(7) | 90 | 102.704(4) | 90 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.71(2) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=9.67(3) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=9.69 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Table S13 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1b•bpa on heating.

| Temperature <br> $(K)$ | $\mathbf{a}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\mathbf{b}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\mathbf{c}(\AA \mathrm{A})$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume ( ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155 | $9.0799(4)$ | $30.7779(9)$ | $18.3263(9)$ | 90 | $102.704(5)$ | 90 | $\mathrm{Fe} 1=9.64(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{Fe} 2=9.58(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{Avg}=9.61$ |
| 160 | $9.0847(4)$ | $30.7926(11)$ | $18.3338(11)$ | 90 | $102.641(5)$ | 90 | $\mathrm{Fe} 1=9.60(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{Fe} 2=9.57(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{Avg}=9.59$ |
| 165 | $9.0956(4)$ | $30.7943(10)$ | $18.3364(9)$ | 90 | $102.688(5)$ | 90 | $\mathrm{Fe} 1=9.63(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{Fe} 2=9.60(2)$ <br> $\mathrm{Avg}=9.62$ |
| 175 | $9.5480(2)$ | $18.1019(4)$ | $14.9987(2)$ | 90 | $102.054(2)$ | 90 | $12.718(12)$ |
| 190 | $9.55236(16)$ | $18.1504(3)$ | $15.00388(19)$ | 90 | $102.1107(14)$ | 90 | $12.723(13)$ |

Table S14 Unit cell parameters and average $\mathrm{FeN}_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1b•bpa after thermal trapping (TIESST) at 80 K.

| Temperature (K) | a (Å) | b (Å) | c (Å) | $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{V}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume ( ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 9.45496(9) | 17.98580(15) | 14.95322(14) | 90 | 101.5451(9) | 90 | 12.728(10) |
| 85 | 9.4661(2) | 17.9850(3) | 14.9587(3) | 90 | 101.616(2) | 90 | 12.706(17) |
| 90 | 9.4764(7) | 17.9735(8) | 14.9664(7) | 90 | 101.627(6) | 90 | 12.35(6) |
| 95 | 9.0149(5) | 30.8160(11) | 18.3004(8) | 90 | 102.818(5) | 90 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.61(3) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=9.67(3) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=9.64 \end{gathered}$ |
| 140 | 9.0643(7) | 30.7963(13) | 18.3265(10) | 90 | 102.812(7) | 90 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Fe} 1=9.61(3) \\ \mathrm{Fe} 2=9.60(3) \\ \mathrm{Avg}=9.61 \end{gathered}$ |

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2} \cdot 2(\mathrm{dpds})(1 \mathrm{~b} \cdot \mathrm{dpds})$

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(3-\mathrm{bpp})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,2'-dipyridyl disulfide (dpds) ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were ground together in the presence of methanol ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) using a pestle and mortar ( 3 min ). More methanol ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added, and grinding was continued ( 3 min ). A sample of the powder was recrystallised from methanol, where the product was obtained as small yellow crystals. These crystals were analysed by SCXRD at 80 K and 250 K , where the structure was found to be in the HS state for both measurements. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{FeC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(1208.77 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C $41.73 \%, \mathrm{H} 2.83$ \%, N 16.22 \%. Found: C 43.61 \%, H 3.75 \%, N 14.33 \%.


Figure S24 Asymmetric unit of the $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot d p d s$ co-crystal where thermal ellipsoids have not been shown for clarity.

Table S15 Unit cell parameters and average FeN ${ }_{6}$ octahedral volume values as collected from VT-SCXRD measurements for 1b-dpds.

| Temperature <br> $(K)$ | $\mathbf{a}(A ̊)$ | $\mathbf{b}(\AA \circ)$ | $\mathbf{c}(A ̊)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathbf{\gamma}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Octahedral <br> Volume <br> $\left(\AA^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | $14.8052(7)$ | $14.8052(7)$ | $19.695(2)$ | 90 | 90 | 120 | $12.48(5)$ |
| 250 | $14.9913(8)$ | $14.9913(8)$ | $19.7406(16)$ | 90 | 90 | 120 | $12.59(3)$ |

## Crystallographic tables

## 1a•EtOH

| Identification code | 1a•EtOH |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{FeN}{ }_{10} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Formula weight | 698.00 |
| Temperature/K | $150.00(10)$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | $20.4712(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{b} / \AA$ | $17.30860(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $18.6657(2)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $113.2860(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Y} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume $/ \AA^{\circ}$ | $6075.04(10)$ |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.526 |
|  |  |


| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 4.777 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 2832.0 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.261 \times 0.153 \times 0.146$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.942 to 143.94 |
| Index ranges | $-22 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 25,-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 21,-22 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 22$ |
| Reflections collected | 17359 |
| Independent reflections | $5876\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0188, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0188\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | $5876 / 154 / 464$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.030 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0315, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0832$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0334, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0847$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole $/ \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ | $0.48 /-0.37$ |

## 1a•bpe

| Identification code | 1a-bpe |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{FeN}_{14}$ |
| Formula weight | 1016.37 |
| Temperature/K | 293(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a/Å | 10.4294(5) |
| b/Å | 18.5284(11) |
| c/Å | 24.7378(8) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 99.669(4) |
| $\mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 4712.4(4) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.433 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.281 |
| F(000) | 2080.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.097 \times 0.062 \times 0.037$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.25 to 143.892 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq h \leq 12,-21 \leq k \leq 22,-25 \leq 1 \leq 30$ |
| Reflections collected | 25171 |
| Independent reflections | $9068\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0583, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0611\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 9068/112/657 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.012 |
| Final $R$ indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(1)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0614, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1417$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1088, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1710$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.46/-0.33 |

1a•azp( $\alpha$ )

| Identification code | 1a.azp( $\alpha$ ) 110 K | 1a.azp( $\alpha$ ) 160 K | 1a.azp( $\alpha$ ) 270 K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{FeN}_{18}$ |
| Formula weight | 1020.34 | 1020.34 | 1020.34 |
| Temperature/K | 110.00(10) | 159.98(11) | 270.00(17) |
| Crystal system | triclinic | triclinic | triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 | P-1 | P-1 |
| a/Å | 9.8200(4) | 9.9373(5) | 10.2090(4) |
| b/Å | 10.0724(4) | 10.2410(4) | 10.4618(5) |
| c/Å | 22.5535(12) | 22.3677(14) | 21.8559(10) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 92.684(4) | 81.920(4) | 82.284(4) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.135(4) | 80.061(5) | 82.051(4) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 94.567(3) | 85.898(4) | 87.374(3) |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 2184.79(17) | 2217.3(2) | 2290.06(18) |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.551 | 1.528 | 1.480 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.568 | 3.516 | 3.404 |
| F(000) | 1040.0 | 1040.0 | 1040.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.319 \times 0.181 \times 0.051$ | $0.324 \times 0.18 \times 0.038$ | $0.322 \times 0.191 \times 0.037$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{( } \lambda=1.54184$ ) | CuK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda=1.54184$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.98 to 143.932 | 8.096 to 143.916 | 8.238 to 144.372 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -6 \leq h \leq 12,-12 \leq k \leq 12,- \\ 27 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq h \leq 6,-12 \leq k \leq 12,- \\ 27 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq h \leq 8,-12 \leq k \leq 12,- \\ 26 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 15714 | 16372 | 16932 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 8279\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0379, R_{\text {sigma }}\right. \\ =0.0535] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8454\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0299, R_{\text {sigma }}\right. \\ =0.0404] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8758\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0291, R_{\text {sigma }}\right. \\ =0.0384] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/paramet ers | 8279/37/640 | 8454/166/640 | 8758/166/640 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.032 | 1.041 | 1.042 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0693, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1818$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0676, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1844$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0732, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2090$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0796, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1936$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0791, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1968$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0854, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2253$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.58/-0.90 | 1.50/-0.66 | 1.11/-0.61 |

## 1a•azp( $\beta$ )

| Identification code | 1a•azp( $\boldsymbol{\beta}) 80 \mathrm{~K}$ | 1a $\cdot a z p(\boldsymbol{\beta}) 270 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ |
| Formula weight | 1020.34 | 1020.34 |
| Temperature/K | $80.0(6)$ | $269.98(12)$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a/A | $9.9764(2)$ | $10.1555(2)$ |
| b/A | $18.0458(3)$ | $18.7976(6)$ |
| c/A | $24.6253(4)$ | $24.3818(5)$ |


| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 97.592(2) | 99.376(2) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 4394.48(14) | 4592.3(2) |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.542 | 1.476 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.548 | 3.395 |
| F(000) | 2080.0 | 2080.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.389 \times 0.204 \times 0.133$ | $0.521 \times 0.253 \times 0.193$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.244 to 144.886 | 7.35 to 144.048 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -8 \leq h \leq 12,-22 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 17,-28 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4 \leq h \leq 12,-23 \leq k \leq 21,-30 \leq 1 \leq \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 18282 | 19401 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 8412\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}\right.=0.0309, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}= \\ &0.0389] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8801\left[R_{\text {int }}\right.=0.0291, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}= \\ &0.0355] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 8412/169/677 | 8801/8/714 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.023 | 1.018 |
| Final R indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma$ ( 1 ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0530, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1418$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0602, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1683$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0623, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1501$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0829, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1898$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.82/-0.30 | 1.45/-0.26 |

## 1a•bipy

| Identification code | 1a•bipy 250 K | 1a•bipy 330 K | 1a•bipy 350 K | 1a•bipy 300 K After heating to 400 K. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{FeN} \mathrm{N}_{14}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{FeN} \mathrm{N}_{14}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{FeN}_{14}$ |
| Formula weight | 964.30 | 964.30 | 964.30 | 964.30 |
| Temperature/K | 249.99(15) | 330.00(15) | 349.98(18) | 300.0(2) |
| Crystal system | triclinic | triclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | P-1 | P-1 | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{n}$ |
| a/Å | 10.0861(3) | 10.2254(4) | 10.2889(5) | 10.2076(3) |
| b/Å | 10.1542(3) | 10.1492(6) | 18.9461(11) | 18.9941(9) |
| $c / A$ | 21.8490(6) | 21.6116(6) | 22.8834(9) | 22.8634(8) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.262(2) | 96.133(3) | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.200(2) | 94.628(3) | 95.792(4) | 96.403(3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 93.852(2) | 93.979(4) | 90 | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 2204.26(11) | 2215.84(16) | 4438.0(4) | 4405.2(3) |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.453 | 1.445 | 1.443 | 1.454 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.474 | 3.456 | 3.451 | 3.477 |
| F(000) | 984.0 | 984.0 | 1968.0 | 1968.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.369 \times 0.127 \times \\ 0.095 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.366 \times 0.348 \times \\ 0.246 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.378 \times 0.315 \times \\ 0.259 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.375 \times 0.205 \times \\ 0.143 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Radiation | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{~K} \alpha(\lambda= \\ 1.54184) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{~K} \alpha(\lambda= \\ 1.54184) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{~K} \alpha(\lambda= \\ 1.54184) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{~K} \alpha(\lambda= \\ 1.54184) \end{gathered}$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data | 8.198 to 143.976 | 8.262 to 144.124 | 7.766 to 144.214 | 7.782 to 143.946 |


| collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq h \leq 7,-12 \leq \\ k \leq 12,-25 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-12 \\ \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,-22 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq h \leq 12,-23 \\ \leq k \leq 21,-27 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-23 \\ \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 22,-28 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq \\ 22 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 20325 | 16626 | 32038 | 25065 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 8465\left[R_{\text {int }}=\right. \\ 0.0219, R_{\text {sigma }}= \\ 0.0267] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8476\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=\right. \\ 0.0398, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}= \\ 0.0457] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8629\left[R_{\text {int }}=\right. \\ 0.0645, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}= \\ 0.0460] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8525\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=\right. \\ 0.0476, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}= \\ 0.0408] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/p arameters | 8465/0/604 | 8476/0/604 | 8629/0/604 | 8525/0/604 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.077 | 1.056 | 1.204 | 1.077 |
| Final $R$ indexes $[1>=2 \sigma(1)]$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1}= & 0.0717, w R_{2} \\ = & 0.2196 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1}= & 0.0719, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ & =0.2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1}= & 0.1138, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ = & 0.3034 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1}= & 0.0982, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ & =0.2572 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1}= & 0.0739, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ & =0.2237 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1}= & 0.0819, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ = & 0.2128 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{1}= & 0.1379, w R_{2} \\ = & 0.3377 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1}= & 0.1197, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ & =0.2914 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 3.40/-0.95 | 0.91/-0.45 | 2.73/-0.35 | 2.81/-0.38 |

## 1a.bpa

| Identification code | 1a.bpa |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{FeN}_{14}$ |
| Formula weight | 1020.40 |
| Temperature/K | 149(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | 12/a |
| a/Å | 22.0537(2) |
| b/Å | 9.57810(10) |
| c/Å | 22.3969(3) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 98.8910(10) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 4674.11(9) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.450 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.308 |
| F(000) | 2096.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.21 \times 0.093 \times 0.065$ |
| Radiation | CuK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda=1.54184$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.992 to 146.288 |
| Index ranges | $-27 \leq h \leq 24,-11 \leq k \leq 11,-24 \leq 1 \leq 27$ |
| Reflections collected | 25568 |
| Independent reflections | $4647\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0325, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0192\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 4647/200/363 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.043 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(1)]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0349, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0900$ |


| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0360, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0908$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Largest diff. peak/hole $/ \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ | $0.32 /-0.39$ |

1b-bpe

| Identification code | 1b-bpe 130 K | 1b-bpe 160 K | 1b-bpe 200 K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{FeN}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 1132.69 | 1132.69 | 1132.69 |
| Temperature/K | 130.00(10) | 160.00(10) | 200.00(10) |
| Crystal system | triclinic | triclinic | triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 | P-1 | P-1 |
| a/Å | 9.8578(5) | 9.8294(6) | 10.2078(2) |
| b/Å | 10.9457(6) | 22.3967(7) | 11.4083(2) |
| c/Å | 22.5606(12) | 22.3209(10) | 21.4035(4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.301(4) | 79.439(3) | 97.221(2) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.468(4) | 89.335(4) | 96.811(2) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 93.516(4) | 89.190(4) | 93.568(2) |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 2388.9(2) | 4829.9(4) | 2447.76(8) |
| Z | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.575 | 1.558 | 1.537 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 4.045 | 4.002 | 3.948 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1152.0 | 2304.0 | 1152.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.276 \times 0.255 \times 0.221$ | $0.275 \times 0.203 \times 0.199$ | $0.288 \times 0.184 \times 0.178$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.972 to 144.104 | 8.032 to 144.136 | 7.836 to 144.062 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -11 \leq h \leq 12,-12 \leq k \leq \\ 13,-20 \leq 1 \leq 27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq h \leq 11,-25 \leq k \leq \\ 27,-27 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq h \leq 12,-13 \leq k \leq \\ 13,-25 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 19 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 17689 | 35116 | 27568 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 9136\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0494,\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0596\right] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18380\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0567,\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0774\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9383\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0223,\right. \\ \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0209\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/param eters | 9136/66/641 | 18380/125/1432 | 9383/33/641 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.054 | 1.064 | 1.085 |
| Final $R$ indexes $[1>=2 \sigma$ <br> (I)] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}= \\ 0.0693, w \mathrm{R}_{2}= \\ 0.1744 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}= \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 0.1818 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}= \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 0.10412, w R_{2}= \\ \end{gathered}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0815, w \mathrm{R}_{2}= \\ 0.1905 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1111, w \mathrm{R}_{2}= \\ 0.2099 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}= \\ 0.0436, w \mathrm{R}_{2}= \\ 0.1106 \end{gathered}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole $/ \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ | 1.44/-0.52 | 1.16/-0.43 | 0.79/-0.46 |

## 1b•azp( $\alpha$ )

| Identification code | $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) 160 \mathrm{~K}$ | $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \mathbf{2 6 5} \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) 365 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ |
| Formula weight | 1136.66 | 1136.66 | 1136.66 |
| Temperature/K | $159.99(11)$ | $264.98(18)$ | $365.0(3)$ |


| Crystal system | triclinic | triclinic | triclinic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space group | P-1 | P-1 | P-1 |
| a/Å | 9.8259(4) | 9.9994(4) | 10.1721(5) |
| b/Å | 10.8645(4) | 11.0389(4) | 11.3235(3) |
| c/Å | 22.6056(10) | 22.5035(9) | 22.3862(7) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 101.980(3) | 102.939(3) | 104.374(3) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.870(3) | 90.267(3) | 88.492(3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.858(3) | 90.662(3) | 88.975(3) |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 2357.51(16) | 2420.69(17) | 2496.15(16) |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.601 | 1.559 | 1.512 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 4.127 | 4.019 | 3.898 |
| F(000) | 1152.0 | 1152.0 | 1152.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.577 \times 0.122 \times 0.122$ | $0.563 \times 0.134 \times 0.117$ | $0.484 \times 0.256 \times 0.105$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 8.002 to 144 | 8.062 to 144 | 8.062 to 144.16 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -11 \leq h \leq 11,-13 \leq k \leq \\ 9,-26 \leq 1 \leq 27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -11 \leq h \leq 12,-13 \leq k \leq \\ 12,-25 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq h \leq 12,-8 \leq k \leq \\ 13,-27 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 27 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 14001 | 14363 | 21239 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 8804\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0209,\right. \\ \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0308\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9056\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0220,\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0322\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9565\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0229,\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0256\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/param eters | 8804/87/657 | 9056/87/657 | 9565/219/712 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.037 | 1.039 | 1.061 |
| Final R indexes $[1>=2 \sigma$ <br> (I)] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0458, w \mathrm{R}_{2}= \\ 0.1185 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}= \\ \\ 0.0529, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.1439 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}= \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 0.16554, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0487, w \mathrm{R}_{2}= \\ 0.1215 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0605, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.1516 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}= \\ 0.0713, w R_{2}= \\ 0.1778 \end{gathered}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.00/-0.81 | 0.58/-0.46 | 0.56/-0.30 |

## 1b•azp( $\beta$ )

| Identification code | $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{a z p}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{2 5 0 ~ K}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{FeN}{ }_{18} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 1136.66 |
| Temperature/K | $249.99(11)$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | $12.4569(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{b} / \AA$ | $16.5431(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $23.9335(4)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $98.279(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{\gamma} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4880.71(15)$ |
| Z | 4 |


| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.547 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.987 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 2304.0 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.293 \times 0.146 \times 0.142$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $^{\circ}$ | 7.466 to 143.81 |
| Index ranges | $-14 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 15,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11,-29 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 28$ |
| Reflections collected | 20354 |
| Independent reflections | $9365\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0295, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0358\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | $9365 / 163 / 731$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.044 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0564, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1539$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0688, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1654$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole $/ \mathrm{e} \AA{ }^{\circ}-3$ | $0.86 /-0.58$ |

1b-bipy

| Identification code | 1b-bipy |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ |
| Formula weight | 1080.62 |
| Temperature/K | 298.00(13) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/m |
| a/Å | 14.9529(3) |
| b/Å | 14.8247(3) |
| c/Å | 21.0093(3) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 95.4899(17) |
| $\mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 4635.81(15) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.548 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 4.138 |
| F(000) | 2192.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.321 \times 0.256 \times 0.134$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 8.418 to 144.086 |
| Index ranges | $-18 \leq h \leq 15,-17 \leq k \leq 18,-25 \leq 1 \leq 25$ |
| Reflections collected | 17455 |
| Independent reflections | $4687\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0287, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0224\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 4687/60/361 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.072 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(1)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0623, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1888$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0686, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1981$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.04/-0.76 |


| Identification code | 1b-bpa TIESST 80 K | 1b-bpa 135 K | 1b-bpa 190 K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{FeN}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{FeN}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{FeN}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 1136.72 | 1136.72 | 1136.72 |
| Temperature/K | 80.0(5) | 135.00(13) | 189.99(15) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1}$ | P2 $1_{1}$ |
| a/Å | 9.45496(9) | 9.0523(3) | 9.55236(16) |
| b/Å | 17.98580(15) | 30.8080(9) | 18.1504(3) |
| c/Å | 14.95322(14) | 18.3037(9) | 15.00388(19) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 101.5451(9) | 102.668(4) | 102.1107(14) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 2491.42(4) | 4980.3(3) | 2543.46(7) |
| Z | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.515 | 1.516 | 1.484 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.879 | 3.881 | 3.800 |
| F(000) | 1160.0 | 2320.0 | 1160.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.378 \times 0.242 \times 0.2$ | $0.261 \times 0.201 \times 0.179$ | $0.277 \times 0.196 \times 0.195$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ | Cu K $\alpha$ ( $\lambda=1.54184$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.784 to 143.924 | 7.58 to 144.214 | 7.748 to 144.048 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -11 \leq h \leq 11,-21 \leq k \leq \\ 21,-18 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 17 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -6 \leq h \leq 11,-37 \leq k \leq \\ 37,-22 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -8 \leq h \leq 11,-22 \leq k \leq \\ 21,-18 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 17 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 21379 | 21662 | 18071 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 9538\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0278,\right. \\ \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0324\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21662\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=\text { ?, } \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=\right. \\ 0.0569] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9158\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0225,\right. \\ \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0285\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/param eters | 9538/118/690 | 21662/1/1352 | 9158/118/689 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.039 | 0.997 | 1.043 |
| Final $R$ indexes $[1>=2 \sigma$ <br> (I)] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0355, w \mathrm{R}_{2}= \\ 0.0918 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}= \\ 0.0512, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.1307 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}= \\ \\ \\ \\ 0.1178 \end{gathered}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0358, w R_{2}= \\ 0.0923 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline R_{1}=0.0614, w R_{2}= \\ 0.1367 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0477, w \mathrm{R}_{2}= \\ 0.1216 \end{gathered}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.33/-0.30 | 0.56/-0.32 | 0.56/-0.34 |
| Flack parameter | 0.011(3) | -0.002(4) | -0.005(4) |

## 1b•dpds

| Identification code | 1b•dpds |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{Fe}$ |
| Formula weight | 1208.86 |
| Temperature/K | $80.1(7)$ |
| Crystal system | trigonal |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ |


| a/Å | 14.8052(7) |
| :---: | :---: |
| b/Å | 14.8052(7) |
| c/Å | 19.695(2) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 120 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 3738.7(5) |
| Z | 3 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.611 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 5.443 |
| F(000) | 1836.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.172 \times 0.128 \times 0.103$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 8.228 to 143.382 |
| Index ranges | $-15 \leq h \leq 17,-15 \leq k \leq 18,-13 \leq 1 \leq 22$ |
| Reflections collected | 8959 |
| Independent reflections | $4454\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0484, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0698\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 4454/49/318 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.130 |
| Final $R$ indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(1)]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0609, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1481$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0763, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1571$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.69/-0.32 |
| Flack parameter | 0.001(12) |

## Distortion parameters

Table S16 Distortion parameters for the co-crystal structures that were deposited to the CSD. Parameters $\vartheta$ and $\varphi$ were calculated within the Olex2 software. ${ }^{1}$ Parameters $\Sigma$ and $\Theta$ were calculated using the OctaDist software. ${ }^{8}$

| Structure | Spin State | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\phi\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\Sigma\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a•bpe | HS | 89.56 | 171.01 | 144.81 | 497.81 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1a } \cdot \operatorname{azp}(\alpha) \\ 110 \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | LS | 85.30 | 174.51 | 100.48 | 345.66 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1a•azp( } \alpha) \\ 160 \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | mixed | 87.893 | 173.34 | 119.50 | 402.91 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1a•azp( } \alpha) \\ 270 \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | HS | 89.65 | 170.57 | 141.52 | 478.25 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 a \cdot a z p(\beta) \\ 80 K \end{gathered}$ | mixed | 85.38 | 172.20 | 116.18 | 407.51 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1a•azp( } \beta \text { ) } \\ 270 \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | HS | 83.38 | 169.48 | 140.13 | 488.92 |
| 1a-bipy 250 K | $\begin{gathered} \text { mixed } \\ \text { (mostly LS) } \end{gathered}$ | 87.84 | 176.53 | 96.91 | 326.20 |
| 1a-bipy 330 K | mixed | 88.65 | 175.38 | 112.81 | 380.17 |
| 1a-bipy 350 K | mixed | 88.27 | 174.88 | 115.26 | 391.44 |
| 1a-bipy 300 K | mixed | 88.33 | 175.40 | 111.80 | 378.04 |


| $P 2_{1} / n$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a•bpa | HS | 84.46 | 173.93 | 148.76 | 491.99 |
| 1b-bpe $130 \text { K }$ | LS | 87.41 | 176.56 | 94.05 | 313.53 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1b•bpe } \\ 160 \text { K } \\ \text { Fe1 / Fe2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 50\% HS } \\ \text { 50\% LS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.69 / \\ 87.55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 175.87 / \\ 163.90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.40 / \\ & 142.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 319.86 / \\ 487.66 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1b-bpe 200 K | HS | 79.64 | 165.07 | 148.35 | 540.45 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1b•azp }(\alpha) \\ 160 \mathrm{~K} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LS | 87.102 | 177.29 | 94.29 | 311.83 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1b•azp }(\alpha) \\ 265 K \end{gathered}$ | mixed | 88.84 | 174.69 | 117.22 | 393.51 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1b•azp( } \alpha) \\ 365 \mathrm{~K} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | HS | 88.82 | 171.37 | 141.33 | 483.71 |
| 1b•azp( $\beta$ ) | HS | 76.93 | 172.00 | 161.96 | 523.29 |
| 1b-bipy | LS | 90.00 | 179.87 | 88.94 | 289.30 |
| 1b•bpa TIESST 80 K | HS | 88.77 | 174.50 | 145.82 | 477.27 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1b•bpa } \\ 135 \text { K } \\ \text { Fe1 / Fe2 } \end{gathered}$ | LS | $\begin{gathered} 87.04 / \\ 89.08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 177.40 / \\ 177.90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93.20 / \\ 94.09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 308.71 / \\ 320.64 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1b-bpa 190 K | HS | 88.56 | 175.06 | 145.68 | 475.71 |
| 1b-dpds | HS | 73.74 | 166.70 | 176.45 | 598.61 |
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