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1. Synthesis

Molecules 1 and PBI-Br (Scheme S1) were synthesized following the previous methods developed Fang’s group.  

Synthesis of compound N,N’-di(2-ethylhexyl)-perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic acid diimide (1):

Under argon atmosphere, 3,4,9,10-perylenetetracarboxylic dianhydride (PTCDA) (6 mmol • 2.35 g), N-methyl pyrrolidone (25 mL) and 2-ethyl-hexyl amine (13mmol, 1.68 g, 2 mL) was added to the 100 mL round bottom flask. The reaction mixture was refluxed under 110 °C for 3 h. At the end of the reaction, the reaction liquid was cooled down and filtered, and the filter cake was washed with methanol, acetic acid and anhydrous ether successively. After drying at 50 °C for 24 h in vacuum, the crude product was obtained. Further separation and purification were carried out through column chromatography on silica gel column (V_{petroleum ether}: V_{dichloromethane} =1:1), to yield compound 1 as a red solid (87.7%).

^{1}H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 8.59 (d, J=12 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 8.49 (d, J=12 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 4.18-4.09 (m, 4H), 1.97-1.95 (m, 2H), 1.42-1.30 (m, 16H), 0.97-0.89 (m, 12H). MS (m/s, MALDI-TOP) calcd for C$_{40}$H$_{43}$N$_2$O$_4$ [M + H]$^+$ 615.32, found 615.37.

Synthesis of compound N,N’-di(2-ethylhexyl)-1-bromoperylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic acid diimide (PBI-Br):

Compound 1 (10 mmol, 6.15 g) dissolved in 150 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was slowly added to 34 mL Br$_2$, the reaction mixture was reflux 48 h under 50 °C. At the end of the reaction, the reaction liquid was cooled down to room temperature. Then pour the reaction solution into a 1000 mL beaker, add 5 g sodium thiosulfate to the mixture system and stir overnight to remove the unreacted bromine in the reaction. The mixture was filtered and washed with ultrapure water for three times. The dark red solid powder was obtained and dried in a vacuum drying oven at 50 °C for 5 hours. Further separation and purification were carried out through column chromatography on silica gel column (V_{petroleum ether}: V_{dichloromethane} =1:1), to yield compound PBI-Br as a red solid (37.4 %).

^{1}H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm) δ: 9.68 (d, J=6 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.80 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.60-8.58 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 8.47-8.45 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 4.18-4.06 (m, 4H, N-CH$_2$-), 1.96-1.93 (m, 2H, -CH-), 1.41-1.25 (m, 16H, -CH$_2$-), 0.96-0.89 (m, 12H, CH$_3$). $^{13}$C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 163.72, 163.41, 163.31, 162.52, 139.07, 133.69, 133.35, 133.27, 130.94, 130.48, 128.61, 127.99, 127.76,
126.76, 123.66, 123.45, 123.31, 122.98, 122.57, 120.95, 44.44, 37.97, 30.74, 28.71, 24.06, 23.11, 14.13, 10.65. MS (m/s, MALDI-TOP) calcd for C_{40}H_{42}BrN_{2}O_{4} [M + H]^+ 693.23, found 693.31.

![Scheme S1](image_url) Molecular structure and synthetic route of compound PBI-Br.

2. Characterization

$^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker AV 600 NMR spectrometer at room temperature using CDCl$_3$ as the solvent and Si(CH$_3$)$_4$ as the external reference. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) were collected on a Bruker microflex mass spectrometer. Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) measurement was performed with a FEI NanoNova 6300 microscope. For sensor testing experiments, 1.0 mL liquid or 1.0 g solid of the typical vapor was sealed in an amber glass bottle (500 mL), and the bottle was kept for 24 h at room temperature (25 °C) for it to reach gas-liquid or gas-solid equilibration. Before measurements, the vapor in the bottle was taken out with a glass syringe and diluted with dry air. For volatile pyridine, it was diluted down to 3750 ppm (1/8 of the saturated vapor) before the sensing test. As for pyridine derivatives, for 2-picoline and diluted down to 1/4 of the saturated vapor (4440 ppm), for 3-picoline (4390 ppm) and 4-picoline (4260 ppm) diluted down to 1/2 of the saturated vapor. Other common solvent vapors use saturated vapor without diluted. Their vapors were used directly without drying. The chamber of the home-made sensing platform was connected to the syringe containing a sample vapor via a plastic pipe and a pump on the other side. In each test, 6 mL of the vapor under concern was pumped into the chamber at a speed of 1.83 mL s$^{-1}$. The output current of the system was
continuously monitored with analyzer. Each test was repeated at four times, and the time interval between two consequent tests was more than 5 min. All the curves were presented without baseline correction. The environmental (relative) humidity of the surroundings during the tests was around 50%. The actual room temperature was around 25 °C.

3. Specific preparation steps of nanomesh scaffold modified sensor

3.1 Preparation of nanomesh scaffold modified substrate

According to the protocol reported previously	extsuperscript{3,4}, the monolayer of the polystyrene (PS) spheres on the glass substrate were fabricated. Briefly, the PS spheres were dispersed to form a monolayer at the air/ water interface. The monolayer of the spheres was then transferred onto a pre-cleaned glass substrate. The nanomesh scaffold modified glass substrate were prepared by dispersing 200 μL silica sol (34 wt. % suspension in H₂O) onto the glass substrate modified with a monolayer of the PS spheres via spin-coating method. Glue at 500 rpm for 30 s, and then spin at 2000 rpm for 1 min. After being dried at room temperature, the substrate was immersed in toluene to remove the PS particles.

Different concentrations of silica sol can be used to regulate the depth of bowl cavity. Silica sol with concentrations of 3%, 5%, 7%, 10% and 15% were selected respectively. The hole depth of nanomesh scaffold modified substrate was characterized by SEM and AFM. It can be clearly seen from the SEM image that when the silica sol concentration is 3% ~ 5% (Fig. S1a), the silica sol in the gap is evenly filled and the micro cavity size is uniform, which is suitable for the silica sol concentration; When the silica sol concentration increases to 7% ~ 10% (Fig. S1b), the silica sol in the gap is filled too much, so that part of the microcavity is covered by silica sol, which is not suitable for the filling concentration of silica sol; When the silica sol concentration is further increased to 15%, an interesting phenomenon is observed. The template also has a relatively uniform silica sol filling, but different from the filling at 3% ~ 5%, the silica sol filling height is low. It is considered that this is the result of peeling together due to the large concentration of silica sol covering the surface of PS microspheres. In order to verify the experimental results, AFM analysis was carried out on the templates with 3% and 15% silica sol concentration respectively (Fig. S2).
The micropore height of the template with 3\% silica sol concentration is about 300nm, while the micropore height of the template with 15\% silica sol concentration is about 200nm.

**Fig. S1** SEM images of nanomesh scaffold modified substrate (a) the concentration of silica sol is 3\%; (b) the concentration of silica sol is 7\%; (c) the concentration of silica sol is 15\%. (scale bar = 2 \mu m)

**Fig. S2** AFM images of nanomesh scaffold modified substrate (a) the concentration of silica sol is 3\% and (b) the concentration of silica sol is 15\%; Cross-section profiles of AFM topographic images of (c) the concentration of silica sol is 3\% and (d) the concentration of silica sol is 15\%, of which x-axis represents the length of the cross-section profiles and y-axis represents the roughness of the cross-section profiles.

### 3.2 Preparation of PBI-Br nanofibers

PBI-Br nanofibers were fabricated by injecting 0.5 mL chloroform solution of PBI-Br molecules (0.15 mM) into 3 mL ethanol in a test tube followed by aging 5 h. The nanofibers thus formed can be transferred onto the surface of nanomesh scaffold modified substrate by pipetting.
4. NMR spectra data

Fig. S3 $^1$H NMR spectrum of 1.

Fig. S4 $^1$H NMR spectrum of PBI-Br.
Fig. S5 $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of PBI-Br.

Fig. S6 SEM image of the ground glass substrate (scale bar = 20 µm).
5. Sensing performance of sensor

**Fig. S7** (a) Response intensity of the nanomesh scaffold modified sensor (the hole depths of substrate is 300 nm) recorded with different pyridine derivatives ($c_{\text{pyridine}} = 3750$ ppm, $c_{2\text{-picoline}} = 4440$ ppm, $c_{3\text{-picoline}} = 4390$ ppm, and $c_{4\text{-picoline}} = 4260$ ppm); (b) Graph of four sensing signal superposition.

**Fig. S8** (a) The intensity of the ground glass-based sensor recorded with different pyridine derivatives ($c_{\text{pyridine}} = 3750$ ppm, $c_{2\text{-picoline}} = 4440$ ppm, $c_{3\text{-picoline}} = 4390$ ppm, and $c_{4\text{-picoline}} = 4260$ ppm); (b) Graph of four sensing signal superposition.
**Fig. S9** Comparison diagram of response intensity of sensor based on the hole depth of the nanomesh scaffold modified substrate is 300 nm (yellow), 200nm (green) and glass substrate (purple) to different pyridine derivatives. ($c_{\text{pyridine}} = 3750$ ppm, $c_{\text{2-picoline}} = 4440$ ppm, $c_{\text{3-picoline}} = 4390$ ppm, and $c_{\text{4-picoline}} = 4260$ ppm)

**Fig. S10** (a) Simulated field tests for evaluating the performance of the nanomesh scaffold modified sensor. Three boxes with sugar power (01), 2, 6-dicarboxyridine (02), and salt power (03); (b) response intensity of the nanomesh scaffold modified sensor to the three boxes.
Fig. S11 (a) Signal intensity of the ground glass-based sensor recorded at different pyridine concentrations (varying from 24 ppb to 3750 ppm, 25 °C); (b) Reusability and photochemical stability test of the ground glass based sensor towards pyridine vapor (c = 3750 ppm, 25 °C).

Table S1 Performance comparison of present pyridine sensor with the reported works.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Sensors method</th>
<th>Analyte(s)</th>
<th>Gas/Aqueous</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>T_{res}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>Pyridine, PDA</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>4.8 ppb for Pyridine</td>
<td>&lt; 1 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[4]</td>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>GC-MS</td>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>HRGC-MS</td>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>RP-HPLC–ESI-MS</td>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>1.74 - 14.32 ng/cig</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>Chemiresistive</td>
<td>Pyridine and its derivatives</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>375 ppb for Pyridine</td>
<td>&lt; 1 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>Visual Sensing</td>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>1.0 mmol·dm^{-3} - 5.0 mol·dm^{-3}</td>
<td>15 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>SERS</td>
<td>Pyridine derivatives</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>3·10^{-5} mol·dm^{-3}</td>
<td>10 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>6.76 ×10^{-5} M</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>PDA</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>0 - 7.0 μM</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>Chemical Sensing</td>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>5.7 μg L^{-1}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>Chemometrics assisted spectrophotometric</td>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>0.67-51.7 μg mL^{-1}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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