## **Supporting Information**

## Cs<sub>2</sub>AgInCl<sub>6</sub> Double Perovskite Quantum Dots Decorated with Ag Nanoparticles for Photocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction

Tangxi Chen<sup>a</sup>, Min Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Wen Chen<sup>b</sup>, Yuyao Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Siyong Ou<sup>a</sup>, Yueli Liu<sup>a,\*</sup> <sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Silicate Materials for Architectures, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, 430070, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, 430070, P. R. China

\* Correspondent:

Prof. Yueli LIU

E-mail: lylliuwhut@whut.edu.cn (Yueli LIU)

## **Table and Figures**



**Figure S1.** The reaction schematic of CAIC QDs and CAIC QDs@Ag composite during the process of synthesis.



Figure S2. The Particle size distribution of CAIC QDs.



Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrums of CAIC QDs@Ag-2 composite purified with various processes: (a) process I , (b) process II , (c) process II , and (d) process IV (deuterated DMSO as solvent).

The peak position and the integral peak area are marked in the spectra. The peaks at 5.33 ppm and 6.09 ppm correspond to the signals of H on C=C bond from the ligands (OA and OLA) and H from the benzene ring in 1.3.5-trimethoxybenzene. The ratio of the peak area between 1.3.5-trimethoxybenzene and C=C bond is 3:2 when their molar amount are the same. The molar amount of 1.3.5-trimethoxybenzene and samples is calculated through their weight ratio. Based the above condition, the molar amount and relative molar content of C=C bond in the sample are calculated, as shown in Table S2.



**Figure S4.** TEM images of CAIC QDs@Ag-2 composite after purified with various processes: (a) process I , (b) process II , (c) process II , and (d) process IV.



**Figure S5.** XRD patterns of CAIC QDs@Ag-2 composite after purified with various processes.



**Figure S6.** Photocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reduction performance under different conductions: CAIC QDs@Ag-2 composite purified with process II is dispersed in EC under CO<sub>2</sub> (1) and Ar (2) atmosphere after irradiation of 3h, CAIC QDs@Ag-2 composite purified with process II is dispersed in tert-butanol (3) under Ar atmosphere, TiO<sub>2</sub> is dispersed in EC under Ar atmosphere (4) after irradiation of 3h.



**Figure S7.** (a) The full scan UPS spectra, (b) Secondary electron cut-off energy (left) and low binding energy region (right), and (c) Tauc plots of CAIC QDs@Ag composite.

Element	CAIC QDs@Ag-1	CAIC QDs@Ag-2	CAIC QDs@Ag-3
Cs	21.00	21.00	21.00
Ag	8.40	9.20	9.80
In	9.60	8.80	9.20
Cl	61.00	61.00	60.00

 Table S1. Elements content (mol %) of CAIC QDs@Ag composites with various Ag

 loading amounts from EDS test.

 Table S2. Calculation results of molar amount and relative molar content of C=C bond

 for the CAIC QDs@Ag-2 composite purified with various processes.

	1,3,5-	C-C bond		Contont of	
Sample	Trimethoxybenz				
	ene (mol)	(moi)	composite (moi)	ligands (%)	
Process I	2.98×10-3	6.25×10-4	7.99×10 <sup>-3</sup>	7.81	
Process II	1.60×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.10×10-4	7.27×10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.64	
Process III	7.74×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.51×10-4	3.91×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.86	
Process IV	7.14×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.93×10 <sup>-4</sup>	5.60×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.45	

Sample	$ au_1$ (ns)	<b>A</b> <sub>1</sub> (%)	$ au_2$ (ns)	A <sub>2</sub> (%)	τ <sub>ave</sub> (ns)
Process I	1.15	78.00	7.71	22.00	2.59
Process II	0.70	67.89	4.64	32.11	1.97
Process III	0.99	67.12	5.33	32.88	2.42
Process IV	1.06	71.26	6.07	28.74	2.50

 Table S3. Fitted TRPL parameters of CAIC QDs@Ag-2 composite purified with various processes.