Electronic Supplementary Information for Roughness-dependent clogging of particle suspensions flowing into a constriction

Chiao-Peng H
su, $^{1,\,2}$ Hasan Emre Baysal, $^{1,\,3}$ Görel Wirenborn,
 $^{1,\,4}$

Gustaf Mårtensson,^{5,6} Lisa Prahl Wittberg,⁴ and Lucio Isa¹

¹Laboratory for Soft Materials and Interfaces,

Department of Materials, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland.

²Present address: Lehrstuhl für Biophysik (E27),

Technische Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany.

³Present address: Materials Engineering Department (MTM), KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.

⁴FLOW, Department of Engineering Mechanics, KTH, Stockholm, Sweden.

⁵Division of Nanobiotechnology, Department of Protein Science,

Science for Life Laboratory, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Solna, Sweden, Sweden. ⁶Mycronic AB, Täby, Sweden.



Supplementary Figure 1. Asperity interlocking model. Schematics of asperity interlocking modelled with hexagonally-packed semi-spheres. The effective particle size of the interlocked rough particles is modelled by representing the rough surface as a surface with hexagonally-packed semi-spheres, where the distance between the semi-spheres equals the average distance between asperities, d, and the radius of the semi-sphere equals the average asperity height, h.



Supplementary Figure 2. h/d and RMS roughness. Correlation between h/d and RMS roughness for the particles utilized in this study. Each point represents one particle system. Error bars represent the standard deviations from AFM roughness measurements.



Supplementary Figure 3. Temporal evolution of the mean velocity. Time evolution of the mean velocity (\bar{v}) of non-clogging flows for SM (**a**), clogging for RB_10 (**b**), clogging for RB_14 (**c**), and clogging for RB_21 (**d**). Error bars represent the standard deviations of the velocity extracted from PIV measurements dividing each frame in a 10×10 matrix.