Supplementary Information

# Ni<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>N@C microsheet arrays on Ni foam as an efficient and durable electrocatalyst for electrolytic splitting of alkaline seawater

Boran Wang,<sup>a</sup> Mengjie Lu,<sup>c</sup> Duo Chen,<sup>c</sup> Qi Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Wenwen Wang,<sup>a</sup> Yutang Kang,<sup>a</sup> Zhenxing Fang,<sup>b</sup> Guangsheng Pang<sup>\*a</sup> and Shouhua Feng<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a.</sup>The State Key Laboratory of Inorganic Synthesis and Preparative Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, P. R. China.

<sup>b</sup>.College of Science and Technology Ningbo University, 521 Wenwei Road, Ningbo, 315300, P. R. China.

<sup>c</sup> Sino-Russian International Joint Laboratory for Clean Energy and Energy Conversion Technology, College of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, P. R. China.

\*Corresponding author' email: panggs@jlu.edu.cn (G.S. Pang)

### **Supplementary Methods**

## Calibration of reference electrode (RE)

Briefly, two Pt electrodes were first polished and cycled in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (about ±2 V for 2 h) for cleaning purpose, and then employed as working electrode (WE) and counter electrode (CE) in 1 M KOH electrolyte. The electrolyte was saturated by H<sub>2</sub> before use, and continuous H<sub>2</sub> was bubbled over the WE during the calibration. To perform the calibration, CV measurement was carried out to determine the zero current potential (the interconversion between the hydrogen oxidation and hydrogen evolution reaction). The scan rate of the CV measurement was set as low as 0.2 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, and the average of the two potentials at which the current crossed zero was taken to be the thermodynamic potential of zero net current can be estimated to be -0.927 V versus the Hg/HgO electrode, and the relation between the Hg/HgO reference and reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) in 1 M KOH solution can be estimated by using formula:  $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Hg/HgO}} + 0.927 \text{ V}.$ 

#### **Turnover frequency calculations**

#<sub>02</sub>

According to the references (Nat. Commun., 2018, **9**, 5309; Nano Energy, 2018, **53**, 492-500), we have carried out the TOF calculation using the following formula (1)

$$TOF_{persite} = \frac{\# Total \, Hydrogen \, Turn \, Overs/cm^2 geometric \, area}{\# \, Surface \, Sites \, /cm^2 \, geometric \, area}$$

(1)

The number of total hydrogen or oxygen turn overs was calculated from the current density by the following equation (2-1 or 2-2):

$$= \left(j\frac{mA}{cm^2}\right)\left(\frac{1C\ s^{-1}}{1000\ mA}\right)\left(\frac{1\ mol\ e^{-}}{96485.3\ C}\right)\left(\frac{1\ mol\ H_2}{2\ mol\ e^{-}}\right)\left(\frac{6.022\ \times\ 10^{23}\ H_2\ mol\ ecules}{1\ mol\ H_2}\right) = \\ \times\ 10^{15}\frac{H_2/s}{cm^2}\ per\ \frac{mA}{cm^2}$$

$$(2-1)$$

$$= \left(j\frac{mA}{cm^2}\right) \left(\frac{1C\ s^{-1}}{1000\ mA}\right) \left(\frac{1\ mol\ e^{-}}{96485.3\ C}\right) \left(\frac{1\ mol\ O_2}{4\ mol\ e^{-}}\right) \left(\frac{6.022\ \times\ 10^{23}\ O_2\ mol\ ecules}{1\ mol\ O_2}\right) \\ \times\ 10^{15} \frac{O_2/s}{cm^2}\ per\ \frac{mA}{cm^2}$$

(2-2)

The total number of effective surface sites was calculated based on the following

equation (3):

$$\frac{\# Surface \ sites}{cm^2 \ geometric \ area} = \frac{\# Surface \ sites \ (flat \ standard)}{cm^2 \ geometric \ area} \times Roughness \ factor$$

(3)

In the equation (3), the roughness factor ( $R_f$ ) can be determined by the double-layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ) from Fig. 5e of the main text. The specific capacitance can be converted into an electrochemical active surface area using the specific capacitance value for a flat standard with 1 cm<sup>2</sup> of real surface area. According to the reference (Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, **8**, 3022-3029), we assumed 60  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-2</sup> for a flat electrode and the surface sites of 2 × 10<sup>15</sup> for the flat standard electrode. Thus, the number of surface active sites for Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF catalyst was estimated to be:

$$\frac{25.02 \times 10^3}{60} \times 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ surface sites/cm}^2 = 0.834 \times 10^{18} \text{ surface sites/cm}^2.$$

Therefore, the TOF<sub>HER</sub> per site for the Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF catalyst at different overpotentials ( $\eta$ ) was calculated as follows:

$$TOF_{HER} = \frac{3.12 \times 10^{15}}{0.834 \times 10^{18}} \times j = 0.00374 \times j$$
(4)

*j* corresponds to the current density at different overpotentials.

For HER, at the overpotentials of 250 mV, the current density for Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF is 620.25 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. Thus, we can get the corresponding TOF value to be 2.32 H<sub>2</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> per surface site.

According to this method, we can get the corresponding TOF values for other samples. And by using the same calculation method, the TOF values of OER for different samples were also obtained.



Fig. S1 SEM images of blank Ni foam.



Fig. S2 SEM images of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN/NF.



**Fig. S3** SEM images of Ni<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>N@C/NF with different Ni/Fe ratio:  $(a_1, a_2) x=5$ , y=1;  $(b_1, b_2) x=1$ , y=1 and  $(c_1, c_2) x=1$ , y=3.



Fig. S4 (a, b) SEM images and (c) corresponding elemental mappings of Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF.



Fig. S5 XRD patterns of  $Ni_3N@C/NF$  and blank Ni foam.



Fig. S6 SEM images of Ni-OH/NF.



Fig. S7 SEM images of Ni<sub>3</sub>N/NF.



Fig. S8 HRTEM image of Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C microsheets.



Fig. S9 XPS spectra of  $Ni_3N@C/NF$  and  $Ni_3N/NF$ , (a) survey scan; (b) Ni 2p and (c) N 1s.



Fig. S10 Raman spectra of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF and Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN/NF.



**Fig. S11** (a) Penetration process of water droplet on the Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF; (b) static water droplets contact angles (blue) and air bubble contact angles under water (red) on the Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF and Ni foam, respectively.



Fig. S12 Calibration of Hg/HgO reference electrode with respect to RHE.



**Fig. S13** (a) Polarization curves of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF, Ni<sub>5</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>N@C/NF, Ni<sub>1</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF and Ni<sub>1</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>N@C/NF in 1 M KOH electrolyte; (b) comparison of the overpotentials required for these electrocatalysts to achieve a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for OER.



Fig. S14 Polarization curves of Fe-N@C/NF and Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF in 1 M KOH

electrolyte for OER electrocatalysis.



Fig. S15 Polarization curves of  $Ni_3FeN@C/NF$  and  $Ni_3FeN/NF$  in 1 M KOH electrolyte for OER electrocatalysis.



**Fig. S16** Polarization curves of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF samples with only varying the coating time of glucose in 1 M KOH electrolyte for OER electrocatalysis.



Fig. S17 Polarization curves of  $Ni_3Fe@C/NF$  and  $Ni_3FeN@C/NF$  in 1 M KOH electrolyte for OER electrocatalysis.

For comparison, one sample, namely,  $Ni_3Fe@C/NF$ , was obtained under a  $H_2$  atmosphere with the same procedure as that of  $Ni_3FeN@C/NF$ .



Fig. S18 Nyquist plots (overpotential = 250 mV) of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF and Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN/NF in 1 M KOH electrolyte for OER electrocatalysis.

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. S19 CV curves of (a) Ni-OH/NF; (b) Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe-OH/NF; (c) Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF and (d)  $Ni_3$ FeN@C/NF measured at different scan rates in 1 M KOH electrolyte.

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. S20 CV curves of (a)  $Ni_3FeN/NF$  and (b)  $Ni_3N/NF$  measured at different scan rates in 1 M KOH electrolyte; and (c)  $C_{dl}$  plots of  $Ni_3FeN/NF$  and  $Ni_3N/NF$ .

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

Fig. S21 SEM image of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF after 3,000 CV scans for OER electrocatalysis.

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. S22 Polarization curves of Fe-N@C/NF and  $Ni_3N@C/NF$  in 1 M KOH electrolyte for HER electrocatalysis.

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. S23 Polarization curves of  $Ni_3N@C/NF$  and  $Ni_3N/NF$  in 1 M KOH electrolyte for HER electrocatalysis.

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. S24** Nyquist plots (overpotential = 100 mV) of Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF and Ni<sub>3</sub>N/NF in 1 M KOH electrolyte for HER electrocatalysis.

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. S25 SEM image of  $Ni_3N@C/NF$  after 3,000 CV scans for HER electrocatalysis.

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. S26** Collection of seawater. (a) Photograph of Xinghai Bay in Dalian, Liaoning, PRC; (b) photograph of the collected seawater.

The seawater stood for about 10 days before using its supernatant to prepare the alkaline seawater electrolyte.

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Electrocatalysts

**Fig. S27** Comparison of the voltages required to achieve a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for overall water splitting between the eletrolyzer of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF // Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF and some recently reported electrolyzer fabricated with bifunctional electrocatalysts in 1 M KOH electrolyte.

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. S28 Experimental and theoretical amounts of  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  production by the Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF // Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF electrolyzer for overall seawater splitting.

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Fig. S29** Photograph of the two-electrode electrolyzer for overall seawater splitting at a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. S30** (a, b) SEM images and (c) EDS spectrum of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF electrode after 100 h continuous test at a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>; (d, e) SEM images and (f) EDS spectrum of Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF electrode after 100 h continuous test at a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Fig. S31** HRTEM images of (a) Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF and (b) Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF electrodes after 100 h continuous test at a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

**Fig. S32** OER stability of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN/NF tested at a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in the alkaline seawater electrolyte.

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. S33** High-resolution XPS spectra of the initial Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF electrode and Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF electrode after 100 h continuous test at a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, (a) Ni 2p, (b) Fe 2p and (c) N 1s.

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Fig. S34** High-resolution XPS spectra of the initial Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF electrode and Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF electrode after 100 h continuous test at a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, (a) O 1s and (b) C 1s.

In the O 1s spectrum (Fig. S34a), the binding energy of 529.8 eV is assigned to the typical metal–oxygen species, the binding energy of 531.3 eV can be ascribed to defects, contaminants, and surface species including hydroxyls, chemisorbed oxygen, and under-coordinated lattice oxygen, and the binding energy of 532.4 eV is associated with the unavoidable adsorbed water. (ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, **5**, 981-988; Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2014, **200**, 92-100) It is evident that the metal–oxygen species increased after OER stability test. The C 1s spectrum in Figure S34b shows C–C species (284.8 eV), C–N species (286.2 eV), and O–C=O species (288.9 eV). (Appl. Surf. Sci., 2017, **400**, 245-253) The C–N species decreased and the O–C=O species increased after OER stability test, which confirms an electron-transfer interaction between the carbon coating and Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN. (Angew. Chem., 2019, **131**, 16042-16050)

Table S1. Some recently reported electrocatalysts and Ni <sub>3</sub> FeN@C/NF in this work to achieve a
current density of 100 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> for OER in 1 M KOH electrolyte.

Electrocatalysts	Support	η (mV)	References
Ni <sub>3</sub> FeN@C/NF	Ni foam	279	This work
CoNi-MOFNA	CoNi alloy foam	250	Nano Energy, 2020, 68 104296
CoVFeN@NF	Ni foam	264	Adv. Energy Mater., 2020, 10, 2002464
NiMoN@NiFeN	Ni foam	277	Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 5106
NiFe-LDH/NF	Ni foam	281*	Small Methods, 2020, 4, 1900796
S-(Ni,Fe)OOH	Ni foam	281	Energy Environ. Sci., 2020, 13, 3439-3446
NiV-LDH@FeOOH	Ni foam	297	Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 9360-9363
C04N-CeO2/GP	Graphite plate	310*	Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 30, 1910596
Nickel–Cobalt nitride	carbon cloth	311*	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 4466-4476
FeOOH/Ni <sub>3</sub> N	carbon fiber	333*	Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 269, 118600
NiCo-nitrides/NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /GF	graphite fibers	431	Adv. Sci., 2019, 6, 1801829
Ni <sub>3</sub> N-NiMoN-5	carbon cloth	509*	Nano Energy, 2018, 44, 353-363

\* The value is calculated from the curves shown in the literatures.

Table S2. OER turnover frequency values for different samples at the overpotential of 300 mV.

Electrocatalysts	<b>TOF</b> (s <sup>-1</sup> )
Ni-OH/NF	0.010
Ni <sub>3</sub> Fe-OH/NF	0.28
Ni <sub>3</sub> FeN@C/NF	0.31
Ni <sub>3</sub> N@C/NF	0.017

Table S3. HER turnover frequency values for different samples at the overpotential of 250 mV.

Electrocatalysts	<b>TOF</b> (s <sup>-1</sup> )
Ni-OH/NF	0.11
Ni <sub>3</sub> Fe-OH/NF	0.08
Ni <sub>3</sub> FeN@C/NF	0.18
Ni <sub>3</sub> N@C/NF	2.32

**Table S4.** Comparison of the voltages required to achieve a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for overall water splitting between the eletrolyzer of Ni<sub>3</sub>FeN@C/NF // Ni<sub>3</sub>N@C/NF and some recently reported electrolyzer fabricated with bifunctional electrocatalysts in 1 M KOH electrolyte.

Water alkaline electrolyzer	Support	Cell voltage (V) at 100 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	Reference
FeP/Ni <sub>2</sub> P	Ni foam	1.6	Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 2551
Ni <sub>3</sub> FeN@C/NF // Ni <sub>3</sub> N@C/NF	Ni foam	1.61	This work
Ni/NiFeMoO <sub>x</sub> /NF	Ni foam	1.63	Adv. Sci., 2020, 7, 1902034
Ni <sub>2</sub> P-Fe <sub>2</sub> P/NF	Ni foam	1.682	Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 2006484
Fe0.09Co0.13-NiSe2/CFC	Carbon fiber cloth	1.682*	Adv. Mater., 2018, 30, 1802121
Cu@NiFe LDH	Cu foam	1.69	Energy Environ. Sci., 2017, 10, 1820-1827
NiFeRu LDH/NF	Ni foam	1.705	Adv. Mater., 2018, 30, 1706279
NFN-MOF/NF	Ni foam	1.725*	Adv. Energy Mater. 2018, 8, 1801065
Co <sub>4</sub> N-CeO <sub>2</sub> /GP	Graphite plate	1.728	Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 30, 1910596
FeOOH/N <sub>3</sub> N	carbon fiber	1.754*	Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 269, 118600
Ni-ZIF/Ni-B/NF	Ni foam	1.78	Adv. Energy Mater., 2020, 10, 1902714
Co-Mo-P/CoNWs	Ni foam	1.78	Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 30, 2002533
Ni@NiFe LDH	Ni foam	1.78	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 21722- 21729
NiFe-MOF/NiSe <sub>x</sub> /NF	Ni foam	1.808*	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 16908- 16912
Ru/Ni <sub>3</sub> N-Ni	glassy carbon	1.832*	Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 2352- 2355
C0 <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /EC-MOF	carbon cloth	1.874*	Adv. Mater., 2019, 31, 1806672
Nickel-Cobalt nitride/CC	carbon cloth	2*	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 4466- 4476

\* The value is calculated from the curves shown in the literatures.