Supplementary Information for

Transforming  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  MXene into nanoscale ionic materials via an electronic interaciton strategy

Dechao Wang<sup>a</sup>, Hailong Ning<sup>b</sup>, Yangyang Xin<sup>a</sup>, Yudeng Wang<sup>a</sup>, Xiaoqian Li<sup>a</sup>, Dongdong Yao<sup>a, \*</sup>, Yaping Zheng<sup>a, \*</sup>, Yuting Pan<sup>c</sup>, Hongmin Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Zhongjie He<sup>a</sup>, Chen Liu<sup>a</sup>, Menglan Qin<sup>a</sup>, Zehao Wang<sup>a</sup>, Ruilu Yang<sup>d</sup>, Peipei Li<sup>e</sup>, Zhiyuan Yang<sup>b, f, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710129, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xi'an University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710021, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup> Queen Mary University of London Engineering School, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710129, Shaanxi, P. R. China

<sup>d</sup> Analysis and Testing Center, Nantong University, Nantong, Jiangsu, 226019, P. R. China

<sup>e</sup> School of Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, Xidian University, Xi'an, Shaanxi,710071, P. R. China

<sup>f</sup>Key Laboratory of Coal Resources Exploration and Comprehensive Utilization, Ministry of Natural Resources, Xi'an 710021, P. R. China

\*Corresponding authors. E-mail: yaodd@nwpu.edu.cn (Dongdong Yao); zhengyp@nwpu.edu.cn (Yaping Zheng); zhiyuanyang@126.com (Zhiyuan Yang)

# **Table of Contents**

## **1. Experimental sections**

- 1.1 Preparation of membranes
- 1.2. Gas uptake measurement
- 1.3. Gas separation evaluation
- 1.4. Breakthrough curves testing

## 2. Molecular Simulation

- 2.1 Molecular Structures Construction
- 2.2 Force Fields
- 2.3 Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulation
- 2.4 Fractional Accessible Volume (FAV) Analysis

## 3. Data Analysis

- Fig. S1 The structure illustration for representative structure of NIMs.
- Fig. S2 The chemical structure of Pebax-1657 (the weight ratio of PA6 to PEO (x:y) is 40:60)
- Fig. S3 Synthesis of few layers  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  MXene.
- Fig. S4 Digital photographs of as-prepared MMMs.
- Fig. S5 Schematic diagram of the high-temperature and high-pressure adsorption instrument analyzer: V<sub>0</sub>: pressure test valve; V<sub>1</sub>: desorption valve; V<sub>2</sub>: free valve; V<sub>3</sub>: desorption collection valve; V<sub>4</sub>: exhaust valve.
- Fig. S6 Schematic diagram of the gas permeation testing instrument.
- Fig. S7 Digital photos of gas permeation testing cavities.
- Fig. S8 Schematic diagram of the installation for breakthrough curve experiments.
- Fig. S9 The physical images of breakthrough curve experiments, (a) The operation panel; (b)The on-line CO<sub>2</sub> detector; (c) Real time control and displaying module.
- Fig. S10 Schematic representation of the breakthrough column loading with NIMs.
- Fig. S11 The EDS mapping image of  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  MXene.

- Fig. S12 Photos of (a) the pristine Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>T<sub>x</sub> MXene after freeze drying and (b) MX-I-M2070 dispersed in various common solvents at a concentration of 20 mg⋅mL<sup>-1</sup> after setting for two weeks. The solvents in every photo from left to right are as following: H<sub>2</sub>O, ethanol, DMF, pyridine, chloroform, acetone and tetrahydrofuran (THF).
- Fig. S13 TEM images of MX-I-M2070 after standing for 570 days.
- Fig. S14 Squeezing MX-I-M2070 through a toothpick.
- Fig. S15 The reactions of organosilane (OS) with acidic moieties, and different types of alkaline oligomer.
- Fig. S16 Squeezing MX-I-M1000 through a toothpick.
- Fig. S17 Squeezing MX-I-Eth through a toothpick.
- Fig. S18 Squeezing MX-I-ED2003 through a toothpick.
- Fig. S19 Squeezing MX-I-T5000 through a toothpick.
- Fig. S20 Schematic of the bridging mechanism of MX-I-ED2003.
- Fig. S21 The snapshot of showing N<sub>2</sub> adsorption in simulated MX-I-M2070 box using Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulation.
- Table S1 List of the structures of polyether amine canopy.
- Table S2 Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> separation performance between this work and previous reported MMMs with different classes of fillers under dry feed gas state.

#### **1. Experimental sections**

#### **1.1 Preparation of membranes**

A drop-casting technique was used to prepare MMMs. Briefly, a certain amount of Pebax-1657 pellets was dissolved in mixture (ethanol/ water (70/30 by weight)) and stirred for 4 h at 80°C to obtain 4.0 wt% of Pebax-1657 solution. Next, a certain amount of MX-I-M2070 were mixed with Pebax-1657 solution under ultrasonic condition and stirred continuously for 8 h. Afterward, the above mixed solution was poured into an ultra-flat petri dish, left for 12 h at 25°C and 45°C for 48 h, respectively. After this period, MMMs were successfully obtained. The resultant MMMs were abbreviated as Pebax/MX-I-M2070-X, where P represents Pebax-1657, X represents the filler content of MX-I-M2070. The thickness of membranes was controlled within 80~130  $\mu$ m. By contrast, the pristine Pebax-1657 membrane was also prepared following the same procedure without MX-I-M2070.

#### 1.2. Gas uptake measurement

The schematic diagram of high-temperature and high-pressure adsorption instrument analyzer is shown in Fig. S5. The adsorption test principle is summarized as follows: First, the testing parameters setting (testing temperature and testing pressure) are completed. Then, the evacuation value is opened by the automatic control system and the target gas enters the reference cavity. When the pressure reaches the set value, the pressure P<sub>1</sub> of reference cavity is recorded. Next, the valve V<sub>1</sub> is opened, the target gas enters into the sample cell and is absorbed by the sample. When the adsorption equilibrium is achieved, the pressure P<sub>2</sub> of reference cavity is recorded. The equilibrium adsorption capacity is analyzed and recorded according to the pressure change of reference cavity by the automatic control and data recording system.

## 1.3. Gas separation evaluation

In this study, a circular membrane with effective area of 38.48 cm<sup>2</sup> was used for measurement. The membrane thickness was in the range of 80~130  $\mu$ m. The values of

permeability and selectivity were calculated for 3 different membranes for MMMs with same filler addition under the same testing conditions.

## 1.4. Breakthrough curves testing

The measurement of breakthrough curve involved the following steps: (1) Regeneration of adsorption column: evacuate the equipment adsorption column for more than 1 h, then nitrogen was passed into column until the pressure reached 300 KPa. (2) Measurement of the breakthrough curve: allowed for 15% volume faction  $CO_2$  balanced by 85% N<sub>2</sub> at 100 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>, meanwhile the adsorption column outlet valve was opened. The flow was controlled through the outlet valve until methane fully penetrated the column bed. During the adsorption stage, the outlet  $CO_2$  volume concentration was monitored by a fixed methane concentration detector as a function of time (breakthrough curve), and breakthrough data was transferred to a computer. In the inlet and outlet of the column, solenoid valve was set up and the automatic control was realized *via* a program control panel. Breakthrough time defined as the time it takes for  $CO_2$  detection at the outlet adsorption column, when the outlet  $CO_2$  volume fraction reached 1.25 Vol%.

## 2. Molecular Simulations

The molecular simulations was performed using Material Studio (7.0) package (*Accelrys Software Inc*). The purpose is to investigate the adsorption density filed distribution of  $CO_2$  and  $N_2$  in MX-I-M2070 using Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) method <sup>1</sup>, which can reveal the selective separation potential.

## 2.1 Molecular Structures Construction

In the beginning, molecular models of oligomer species,  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  MXene and independent MX-I-M2070 were constructed using *Amorphous Cell module*. Then, the constructed MX-I-M2070 was subjected to geometry optimization and following structural relaxation via annealing process. Finally, the obtained low-energy configurations were further optimized by 500-*ps* NVT molecular dynamics (MD) and 200-*ps* NPT MD to monitor the fluctuation of density. Afterward, the obtained optimum structure was used to construct membrane models in the following procedures.

Next, the model of MX-I-M2070 was constructed using *Amorphous Cell* module. All these cells with cubic form were built with 5 output frames and the initial density was set on 0.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup> at 298 K<sup>2</sup>. Then, all of amorphous cells were optimized via geometry optimization. The local energy was minimized by the method of smart minimizer. During the minimization, convergence level was set to 0.0001 kcal/mol/Å. Subsequently, the obtained lowest energy configurations were all subjected to annealing process, in which temperature was increased from 298 K to 600 K. The highest temperature is well above the glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) of oligomer species. And then it was cooled back at intervals of 5°C at each step in which 200*-ps* NVT dynamics was carried out. Thereafter, a 500*-ps* MD simulation in an NVT ensemble was done to relax the structure, in which time step was 1 fs and Nose thermostat were utilized <sup>3</sup>. The equations of motion were integrated by velocity Verlet algorithm with a time step of 1 fs for all simulation runs. The electrostatic interactions were calculated by the Atom based method with accuracy of 0.0001 kcal/mol.

### 2.2 Force Fields

Force field parameters of oligomer species were based on COMPASS <sup>4</sup>. The  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  MXene were treated as a rigid framework and the Lennard-Jones parameters were adopted using the universal force field. Atomic charges for  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  MXene were obtained using the Rappe-Goddard charge equilibration method (QEq) <sup>5</sup>.

## 2.3 Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulation

The GCMC simulation is a powerful method to analyze the loading-dependent gas adsorption or transport <sup>6, 7</sup>. In this ensemble, temperature *T*, volume *V* and chemical potential  $\mu$  were fixed, while the number of particles N was allowed to be determined. To be specific, the related algorithm generates a serious of 'moves' that are accepted or rejected according to the following probabilities:

$$P = \exp\left(-\frac{E}{k_B T}\right) \frac{f V_{box}}{(N+1)k_B T} \qquad (creation) \tag{1}$$

$$\Pr{obability} = \exp\left(-\frac{E}{k_B T}\right) \frac{Nk_B T}{f V_{box}} \qquad \text{(deletion)}$$
(2)

$$Probability = \exp\left(-\frac{E_1 - E_2}{RT}\right) \qquad (displacement or rotation) \qquad (3)$$

where E is the potential energy, f is the fugacity, and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant.

In present simulation process, the well-known Metropolis algorithm is used to accept or reject a configurational move of gas molecules. The Berendsen thermostat was used for temperature monitoring. 1000000 steps of GCMC calculations were carried out with the initial equilibration period of 100000 steps <sup>8</sup>. To simulate the sorption in the process of gas penetration into porous liquids, tasks of adsorption isotherm and fixed pressure were performed, respectively. The adsorption density filed distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> molecular in NIMs was analyzed according to adsorption behavior at 10.0 bar.

### 2.4 Fractional Accessible Volume (FAV) Analysis

The fractional accessible volume (FAV) is the locus of the probe centre as the probe freely rolls over the framework. A gas molecule probe is randomly inserted into simulation box and the insertion is considered to be successful if the probe does not overlap with any polymer atom. In the calculation process, N<sub>2</sub> molecule was chosen as the molecule probe. The ratio of successful insertion to the total number of insertion gives FAV. Therefore, it is a reasonable method to determine the changes of free accessible volume. In this study, FAV for constructed cells were obtained using the "connolly surface" from the Visualizer module.

# 3. Data Analysis



Fig. S1 The structure illustration for a representative structure of NIMs.



Fig. S2 The chemical structure of Pebax-1657 (the weight ratio of PA6 to PEO (x:y) is 40:60)



**Fig. S3** Synthesis of  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  MXene.



Fig. S4 Digital photographs of as-prepared MMMs. (a) Pure Pebax-1657 membrane, (b) P-MX-fluid-(20), (c) P-MX-fluid-(80) and (d) P-MX-(1).



Fig. S5 Schematic diagram of the high-temperature and high-pressure adsorption instrument analyzer:  $V_0$ : pressure test valve;  $V_1$ : desorption valve;  $V_2$ : free valve;  $V_3$ : desorption collection valve;  $V_4$ : exhaust valve.



Fig. S6 Schematic diagram of gas permeation testing instrument.



Fig. S7 Digital photos of gas permeation testing cavities.



Fig. S8 Schematic diagram of installation for breakthrough curve experiments.



**Fig. S9** The physical images of breakthrough curve experiments, (a) The operation panel; (b) The on-line CO<sub>2</sub> detector; (c) Real time control and displaying module.



Fig. S10 Schematic representation of the breakthrough column loading with NIMs.



Fig. S11 The EDS mapping image of  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  MXene.



**Fig. S12** Photos of (a) the pristine  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  MXene after freeze drying and (b) MX-I-M2070 dispersed in various common solvents at a concentration of 20 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup> after setting for two weeks. Storage conditions: Store in the air with cap on tight at room temperature. The solvents from left to right are as following: H<sub>2</sub>O, ethanol, DMF, pyridine, chloroform, acetone and tetrahydrofuran (THF).



Fig. S13 TEM images of MX-I-M2070 after standing for 570 days.



Fig. S14 Squeezing MX-I-M2070 through a toothpick.



**Fig. S15** The reactions of organosilane (OS) with acidic moieties, and different types of alkaline oligomers.

Z業大学 F It Z某大学 F it ノ業大学 A jt To je. スまっ Filt. エキナ

Fig. S16 Squeezing MX-I-M1000 through a toothpick.



Fig. S17 Squeezing MX-I-Eth through a toothpick.



Fig. S18 Squeezing MX-I-ED2003 through a toothpick.



Fig. S19 Squeezing MX-I-T5000 through a toothpick.



Fig. S20 Schematic of the bridging mechanism of MX-I-ED2003.



Fig. S21 The snapshot of showing  $N_2$  adsorption in simulated MX-I-M2070 box using Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulation.

Polyether amine canopy	M1000	M2070	T5000	Eth	ED2003
$M_{ m w}$	1000	2070	5000	1470	2003
Amine types	Single-ended	Single-ended	Three-terminal	Tertiary	Double-ended
	amine	amine	amine	amine	amino
EO/PO	19/3	31/10	0/85	25/0	39/6

 Table S1 List of the structures of polyether amine canopy.

Polymer	Filler	P(CO <sub>2</sub> ) / Barrer	$\alpha(\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2)$	Test conditions	Ref.
Pebax-1657	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	35.6	49.7	25°C, 3.0 bar	9
Pebax-1657	CANs	85	55	25°C, 2.0 bar	10
Pebax-1657	ZIF-67	98.4	48.2	25°C, 2.0 bar	11
Pebax-1657	TiO <sub>2</sub>	37.0	52.9	25°C, 4.0 bar	12
Pebax-1657	Attapulgite	77	52	35°C, 4.0 bar	13
Pebax-1657	CNTs	87	53	25°C, 2.0 bar	14
PEO-PBT	GO	143	73	25°C, 0.5 bar	15
PSF	CNTs	5.19	22.6	35°C, 4.0 bar	16
Pebax-1657	MX-I-M2070	91.9	58.2	25°C, 1.0 bar	This work

**Table S2** Comparison of  $CO_2/N_2$  separation performance between this work and previous reported MMMs with different classes of fillers under dry feed gas state.

## References

- 1 S. He, L. Chen, J. Cui, B. Yuan, H. Wang, F. Wang, Y. Yu, Y. Lee and T. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019. **141**, 19708-19714.
- K. Golzar, S. Amjad-Iranagh, M. Amani and H. Modarress, J. Membr. Sci., 2014. 451, 117-134.
- 3 M. Pazirofteh, M. Dehghani, S. Niazi, A. H. Mohammadi and M. Asghari, *Journal of molecular liquids*, 2017. **241**, 646-653.
- 4 A. K. Rappe, C. J. Casewit, K. S. Colwell, W. A. Goddard and W. M. Skiff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992. 114, 10024-10035.
- 5 A. K. Rappe, W. A. Goddard, Journal of physical chemistry (1952), 1991. 95, 3358-3363.
- 6 L. De Lorenzo, E. Tocci, A. Gugliuzza and E. Drioli, J. Membr. Sci., 2012. 421-422, 75-

84.

- 7 H. Bux, C. Chmelik, R. Krishna and J. Caro, J. Membr. Sci., 2011. 369, 284-289.
- 8 M. L. Connolly, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985. 107, 1118-1124.
- 9 W. Fam, J. Mansouri, H. Li, J. Hou and V. Chen, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2019. 58, 3304-3313.
- X. Li, Z. Jiang, Y. Wu, H. Zhang, Y. Cheng, R. Guo and H. Wu, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2015.
  495, 72-80.
- S. Feng, M. Bu, J. Pang, W. Fan, L. Fan, H. Zhao, G. Yang, H. Guo, G. Kong, H. Sun, Z. Kang and D. Sun, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2020. **593**, 117404.
- 12 R. A. Zoppi, S. Das Neves and S. P. Nunes, *Polymer (Guilford)*, 2000. 41, 5461-5470.
- L. Xiang, Y. Pan, G. Zeng, J. Jiang, J. Chen and C. Wang, J. Membr. Sci., 2016. 500, 66-75.
- 14 X. Li, Y. Cheng, H. Zhang, S. Wang, Z. Jiang, R. Guo and H. Wu, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter., 2015. 7, 5528-5537.
- 15 M. Karunakaran, R. Shevate, M. Kumar and K. V. Peinemann, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015.
  51, 14187-14190.
- 16 S. Kim, L. Chen, J. K. Johnson and E. Marand, J. Membr. Sci., 2007. 294, 147-158.