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## 1. Experimental section

### 1.1 Materials

All chemical reagents were purchased from commercial source. 1,4-Phenylenebisboronic acid,
 dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from J\&K. 2,7-Dibromophenanthrene and 3, 8Dibromophenanthroline were obtained from Bidepharm. Potassium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and hydrochloric acid were obtained from Beijing Chemical Works.

### 1.2 Synthesis of COP-PB-N2 and COP-PB

0.5 mmol 3 , 8-Dibromophenanthroline and $0.5 \mathrm{mmol} 1,4-$ Phenylenebisboronic acid were dispersed into $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ with round-bottom flask via ultrasonic treatment for a while. Then, the above mixture was bubbled by nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ for 30 minutes. The $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(40 \mathrm{mg})$ was added into the above solution under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and stirred for 30 minutes, after that the aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{M})$ was added into the mixture. The above reactant would be heated at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. During the reaction, the reaction solution was bubbled by $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ all the time. When the solution was cooled down to the room temperature, it would be transfered into water ( 150 ml ). The product was obtained by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, methanol and tetrahydrofuran. The precipitate was dried at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Then, the corresponding polymers were dispersed in 200 ml N-Methyl pyrrolidone (NMP), which was subjected to ultrasonication for 2 hours ( $100 \mathrm{~W}, 40 \mathrm{kHz}$ ) at room temperature. The product was collected by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and methanol and dried under vacuum at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The product was obtained as 101 mg , and the yield was $79.5 \%$. The COP-PB was synthesized by the similar method except the usage of mononer: 2,7-Dibromophenanthrene and 1,4-Phenylenebisboronic acid. The product was obtained as 103 mg , and the yield was $81.7 \%$.

## 2. Characterizations

### 2.1 Materials characterization.

The disappeared functional groups of $-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and -Br were monitored via Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR, Bruker Vertex 70 V, Germany). The formation of new C-C bonds was measured by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (NMR, Bruker AV300, Switzerland). The UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra of polymers was performed in the solid state on a TU-1901 spectrophotometer. The crystallization property of covalent organic polymers was assessed through Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, D/Max 2000 diffractometer, Bruker D2 PHASER, Germany). The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250, American) was carried out to investigate the surface chemical states of as-synthesized polymers. The transmission electron microscopic (TEM, Hitachi 7700, Japan) was implemented to reveal the morphology of as-prepared covalent organic polymers. The thickness of those polymers was measured by the Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM, SPA-400, Japan). The photo-excited electron-holes were detected by Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR, Bruker 500, Germany) assisted with TEMPO (2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidinooxy). The water wettability was performed by contact angle meter (OCA15Pro, Dataphysics). Timeresolved transient PL decay spectra was measured via Lifetime and Steady State Spectrometer (FLS 980) at room temperature. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) was measured with a monochromatic He I light source (21.2 eV) and Spherical Analyzer via PHI5000 VersaProbe III.

### 2.2 Photoelectrochemical test

The working electrode was assembled based on previous report. ${ }^{1}$ Typically, 1 mg assynthesized polymers and 4 ml ethanol were mixed together and ultrasonically dispersed for 30 min. Meantime, the fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glasses were washed by acetone, deionized water and anhydrous ethanol, respectively. Then, the photocatalyst was uniformly spread on
the FTO glass via drip coating method. The working electrode was subsequently dried at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h in a vacuum oven. In addition, the photocurrent response, electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) and Mott-Schottky plots were carried out via three electrode system (FTO as working electrode; Platinum sheet as counter electrode; saturated calomel as reference electrode) on CHI 600 workstation using $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ aqueous solution.

### 2.3 Photocatalytic test.

The visible-light-driven $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ production rate of polymers was evaluated on the basis of our previous method. ${ }^{2} 5 \mathrm{mg}$ polymer photocatalyst COP-PB-N2 (COP-PB) was uniformly dispersed into 20 ml deionized water containing 2 ml methanol and 2 ml triethylamine (TEA). Then, the above solution was transferred into 60 ml quartz tube. Before visible light ( $\lambda \geq 400$ nm ) irradiation, the quartz tube was bubbled with nitrogen for 30 minutes. In the end, the produced $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was detected by gas chromatography (GC). As to photocatalytic cyclic test, the produced $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was detected every hour. After four hours reaction, the reaction quartz tube was degassed with nitrogen for 30 minutes. Then, the quartz tube was irradiated under visible light and the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was detected every hour again.

### 2.4 Apparent Quantum Yield (AQY) Measurement

The apparent quantum yield (AQY) was evaluated via previous method at different monochromatic light. ${ }^{2}$ After photocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction for one hour, the amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was detected via gas chromatography (GC). The AQY was calculated based on the equation as below:
$\mathrm{AQY}=\frac{N e}{N p} \times 100 \%=\frac{2 \times N \times N A \times h \times c}{S \times P \times t \times \lambda} \times 100 \%$
$N$ is the amount of hydrogen molecules, $N_{A}$ is Avogadro constant, $c$ is the speed of light, $h$ is Planck constant, $t$ is the photocatalytic reaction time, $\lambda$ is the wavelength of monochromatic light, $S$ is the irradiation area, $P$ is monochromatic light intensity.

### 2.5 Theoretical calculation.

The Theoretical calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) were performed via Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP). ${ }^{3}$ The exchange correlation energy was modelled using Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA). ${ }^{4}$ The Projector-augmented-wave (PAW) potentials were used to describe electron-ion interaction. ${ }^{5}$ The cut-off energy of 500 eV was employed for all the calculations, and the Brillouin zone was sampled by the Gamma center k points greids of 1 x 1 x 1 and $8 \times 1 \times 1$ for structure optimization and electronic structure calculations, respectively. In order to avoid the mutual influence of adjacent periods, a vacuum layer of at least $15 \AA$ was used in the Y - and Z -directions. The convergence threshold for the self-consistent field (SCF) was set at $10^{-6} \mathrm{eV}$ and $0.02 \mathrm{eV} / \AA$ for the force of the system converged.

The catalytic hydrogen evolution reaction performance can be evaluated via calculating the reaction free energy $\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}\right)$ for hydrogen adsorption, which is determined as follows:
$\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}=\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}+\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ZPE}}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}$
The $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}$ is the adsorption energy calculated by the formula of $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}-\mathrm{E}_{*}-1 / 2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H} 2}, \Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ZPE}}$ is the zero-point energy change between the adsorbed state and the gas-phase state of hydrogen from vibrational frequency calculation. The $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is the entropy change which approximate $1 / 2\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{H} 2}\right)\left(\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}} \approx 1 / 2\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{H} 2}\right)\right)$, where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H} 2}$ is the entropy of gas phase $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at standard condition.

## 3. Supplementary Figures and Tables



Figure S1. The structure models of COP-PB, COP-PB-N1 and COP-PB-N2.


Figure S2. The bader effective charges of COP-PB, COP-PB-N1 and COP-PB-N2.


Figure S3. The schematic diagram of labeling site of COP-PB-N1 and COP-PB-N2.


Figure S4. The optimized adsorption structures of three conjugated polymers.


Figure S5. The density of states of COP-PB, COP-PB-N1 and COP-PB-N2.


Figure S6. The partial density of states of COP-PB.


Figure S7. The partial density of states of COP-PB-N1.


Figure S8. The partial density of states of COP-PB-N2.


Figure S9. The calculated band structure of as-prepared polymers


Figure S10. (a) FT-IR spectra of COP-PB-N2, 1,4-Phenylenebisboronic acid and 3, 8Dibromophenanthroline; (b) FT-IR spectra of 2,7-Dibromophenanthrene, COP-PB and 1,4Phenylenebisboronic acid. Note: The typical peaks at approximately 1350 and $1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are assigned to the B-O and C-B bonds in the monomers, which are disappeared in the obtained polymers, confirming the successful $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ coupling and synthesis of COP-PB and COP-PB-N2.


Figure S11. ${ }^{13}$ C solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra of COP-PB-N2 (a) and COP-PB (b). Note: The two peaks at 144 ppm and 149 ppm in Figure (a) should be attributed to the typical signal of N -containing phenanthroline structure. Moreover, the two figures present the same signal at 135 ppm which is assigned to the newly formed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond, also demonstrating the successful linking of the corresponding two monomers.


Figure S12. The PXRD patterns of COP-PB-N2 and COP-PB


Figure S13. The UPS spectra of valance band for COP-PB and COP-PB-N2.


Figure S14. The secondary electron cut-off.


Reaction Coordinate
Figure S15. The calculated hydrogen evolution reaction energy profile of different sites (Inset: enlarged image of site 1 and site 2). Note: The $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}$ has an obvious difference ranging from 0.96 eV to 1.97 eV . The minimum $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}$ is also relatively far from the optimal value of $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}$ $=0 \mathrm{eV}$, indicating an inherently low catalytic activity for hydrogen evolution reaction.


Figure S16. (a) The photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under different conditions: (1) Water/MeOH/TEA mixture in dark; (2) Water/MeOH/TEA mixture in visible light ( $\lambda \geq 400$ $\mathrm{nm})$; (3) COP-PB-N2 with Water/MeOH/TEA in dark; (4) COP-PB-N2 with Water/MeOH/TEA in visible light ( $\lambda \geq 400 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). (b) The photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light ( $\lambda \geq 400 \mathrm{~nm}$ ).


Figure S17. The FT-IR spectra of COP-PB-N2 before and after photocatalysis.


Figure S18. Hydrogen generation of COP-PB-N2 produced from different batches under visble light irradiation ( $\lambda \geq 400 \mathrm{~nm}$ ).


Figure S19. Photocatalytic cycle testing of COP-PB-N2.


Figure S20. High resolution X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of COP-PB-N2 before and after photocatalytic cyclic testing, (a) N1s; (b) C1s.


Figure S21. (a) TEM images of COP-PB-N2 before photocatalytic hydrogen evolution; (b)
TEM images of COP-PB-N2 after photocatalytic hydrogen evolution.


Figure S22. The mechanism image of detecting photo-excited electrons and holes via TEMPObased EPR.


Figure S23. The contact angles image of COP-PB and COP-PB-N2 with water and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{TEA}$ mixture.

Table S1. The lifetimes of time-resolved transient PL decay of COP-PB and COP-PB-N2.

| Photocatalyst | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{3}}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{av}}(\mathbf{n s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COP-PB | $0.178(53.64 \%)$ | $1.091(40.91 \%)$ | $6.635(5.45 \%)$ | 3.2 |
| COP-PB-N2 | $0.190(84.84 \%)$ | $1.450(11.97 \%)$ | $8.513(3.18 \%)$ | 4.3 |

The average lifetime ( $\tau_{\mathrm{av}}$ ) was determined by the equation:
$\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} a{ }_{i} \tau_{\tau_{i} /} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}{ }_{i}{ }_{i} \tau_{i}$

Table S2. The photocatalytic apparent quantum yield results of reported conjugated polymers.

| Catalyst | Solvent | Irradiation | Polymer <br> concentration <br> $\left(\mathbf{m g ~ m l}^{-1}\right)$ | AQY <br> $(\%)$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COP-PB-N2 | Water/MeOH/TEA | 400 nm | 1 | 35.5 | This work |
| COP-PB-N2 | Water/MeOH/TEA | 400 nm | 0.25 | 22.1 | This work |
| SP-CMP | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 1.11 | 0.23 | S6 |
| PFTBTA- | Water/ TEA | 420 nm | 0.06 | 0.27 | S7 |
| PtPy |  |  |  |  |  |
| CP1 | Water/AA/DMF | 400 nm | 0.18 | 0.77 | S8 |
| PFODTBT | Water/AA | 420 nm | 0.013 | 0.9 | S9 |
| TFPT-OCH3 | Water/TEOA | 405 nm | 0.5 | 1.03 | S10 |
| PF2T | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 0.5 | 1.17 | S11 |
| P12 | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 1 | 1.4 | S12 |


| OB-POP-3 | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 0.5 | 2 | S13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CP-st | Water/NMP/AA | 400 nm | 0.17 | 2.2 | S14 |
| P7 | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 1.11 | 2.3 | S15 |
| Py-SO | Water/Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ | 420 nm | 0.33 | 3.28 | S16 |
| DBTD-CMP1 | Water/TEOA | 400 nm | 0.5 | 3.3 | S17 |
| BBT- | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 1 | 3.3 | S18 |
| SC2NH2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P16PySO | Water/TEOA | 450 nm | 0.5 | 3.5 | S19 |
| P1 | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 1 | 3.58 | S20 |
| N-PDBT-O | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 1 | 3.7 | S21 |
| B-BT-1,4 | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 0.5 | 4.02 | S22 |
| PySEO-2 | Water/TEOA | 400 nm | 1 | 4.1 | S23 |
| PyDF | Water/TEOA | 400 nm | 0.25 | 4.5 | S24 |
| B-FOBT-1,4- | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 1 | 5.7 | S25 |
| E |  |  |  |  |  |
| F0.5CMP | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 420 nm | 0.125 | 5.8 | S26 |
| PyDOBT-1 | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 0.5 | 6.1 | S27 |
| 4-C2PN | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 0.33 | 6.4 | S28 |
| P28 | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 1 | 6.7 | S29 |
| FSO-FS | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 0.5 | 6.8 | S30 |
| CTF-BT/Th-1 | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 0.5 | 7.3 | S31 |


| PDBTSO | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 0.1 | 8.4 | S32 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-FSO | Water/TEOA | 420 nm | 0.5 | 8.5 | S33 |
| P10 | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 1 | 11.6 | S34 |
| S-CMP3 | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 1 | 13.2 | S35 |
| P10-e | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 1 | 20.4 | S36 |
| P62 | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 1 | 15.1 | S 37 |
| P64 | Water/MeOH/TEA | 420 nm | 1 | 20.7 | S 37 |

Note: The AQY of $35.5 \%$ for COP-PB-N2 is achieved at the polymer concentration of 1 mg $\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ at 400 nm .

Table S3. The amount of Pd determined by ICP-MS.

| Photocatalyst | Pd (wt \%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| COP-PB | 0.58 |
| COP-PB-N2 | 0.12 |

Table S4. The raw data of energies for hydrogen evolution reaction energy profile.

| Name | $\mathbf{E}_{\text {DFT }}(\mathbf{e V})$ | $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{e V})(\mathbf{2 9 8 . 2 5 K})$ | $\mathbf{Z P E}(\mathbf{e V})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COP-PB-Site1 | -226.4894 | 0.0021 | 0.3006 |
| COP-PB-Site2 | -226.5027 | 0.0015 | 0.3107 |
| COP-PB-Site3 | -226.4325 | 0.0020 | 0.3023 |
| COP-PB-Site4 | -226.2263 | 0.0016 | 0.3070 |
| COP-PB-Site5 | -225.7971 | 0.0019 | 0.2979 |
| COP-PB-Site6 | -226.8168 | 0.0018 | 0.3081 |
| COP-PB-N2-Site1 | -218.1600 | 0.0046 | 0.3233 |
| COP-PB-N2-Site2 | -217.0634 | 0.0017 | 0.3072 |


| COP-PB-N2-Site3 | -217.0567 | 0.0018 | 0.3062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COP-PB-N2-Site4 | -216.8044 | 0.0016 | 0.3075 |
| COP-PB-N2-Site5 | -216.4982 | 0.0018 | 0.3008 |
| COP-PB-N2-Site6 | -217.2579 | 0.0019 | 0.3070 |
| COP-PB-N1-Site1 | -222.4656 | 0.0077 | 0.32779935 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -6.7703 | 0.4034 | 0.2785 |
| COP-PB | -224.0274 |  |  |
| COP-PB-N1 | -219.4984 |  |  |
| COP-PN-N2 | -214.6512 |  |  |

Table S5. Elemental analysis of the polymers.

| Polymer | $\mathbf{C}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{H ~ ( \% )}$ | $\mathbf{N ~ ( \% )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COP-PB-N2 | 75.04 | 4.01 | 8.97 |
| COP-PB | 86.31 | 4.64 | $/$ |

## COP-PB

| C001 | 0.7365800345081865 | 0.4831230463258294 | 0.7164095584755330 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C002 | 0.6781996382401019 | 0.5430020380084315 | 0.7302675983804363 |
| C003 | 0.5653731123026446 | 0.5455487611185674 | 0.7192913794046305 |
| C004 | 0.5097595462717948 | 0.4855306071938443 | 0.7030728335592542 |
| C005 | 0.5637912120527062 | 0.4198359906415661 | 0.7183027507616799 |
| C006 | 0.6837027767705521 | 0.4233077528725673 | 0.7109662680443947 |
| C007 | 0.5089092502198795 | 0.6081133463532922 | 0.7161152649236868 |
| C008 | 0.4051783214495686 | 0.4885807141283678 | 0.6750991559028989 |
| C009 | 0.3491183478566739 | 0.5517557154819173 | 0.6729942897803127 |
| C010 | 0.4036759995650030 | 0.6109467862815521 | 0.6944420936867388 |
| C011 | 0.2380933111096795 | 0.5522721998599422 | 0.6565177117341534 |
| C012 | 0.1835750788566628 | 0.4932645237219759 | 0.6420434835608972 |
| C013 | 0.2433271325130093 | 0.4332406487740883 | 0.6332682909595775 |
| C014 | 0.3512158293805001 | 0.4308080047381750 | 0.6499144188382786 |
| C015 | 0.0660980006341987 | 0.4894442833235146 | 0.6524554091723260 |
| C016 | 0.0310114302708087 | 0.4386545714051877 | 0.6959405102426075 |
| C017 | 0.9928760110321733 | 0.5385039018837290 | 0.6310342308469643 |
| C018 | 0.9248570626223582 | 0.4375180438348067 | 0.7189536832291452 |
| C019 | 0.8862936765818503 | 0.5370812504857696 | 0.6539494386674818 |
| C020 | 0.8518530791304997 | 0.4868291666295264 | 0.6981047939502929 |
| H001 | 0.1922739278696568 | 0.5986956655766633 | 0.6622919299966981 |
| H002 | 0.5524135454264538 | 0.6534304738375809 | 0.7307062746255326 |
| H003 | 0.7227084509835748 | 0.5890867914463485 | 0.7404589570818558 |
| H004 | 0.5313665458608483 | 0.3788009461664430 | 0.6876956069276901 |
| H005 | 0.7285026723781058 | 0.3780369110242319 | 0.6980797267674674 |
| H006 | 0.3596460717740229 | 0.6583407924287243 | 0.6931813505579072 |
| H007 | 0.2011459229071662 | 0.3884114110156176 | 0.6161398326911893 |
| H008 | 0.3951843903522061 | 0.3838057403483930 | 0.6448638009903931 |
| H009 | 0.0897898586815060 | 0.4021619834578374 | 0.7142420055161978 |
| H010 | 0.0198584705062430 | 0.5774066767004697 | 0.5965305525170663 |
| H011 | 0.8981891145734409 | 0.3991567277144341 | 0.7541361355001754 |
| H012 | 0.8276384036312123 | 0.5740625017130654 | 0.6364068226722495 |

## COP-PB-N1

| C001 | 0.7377802493263488 | 0.4880322959952395 | 0.7178100724131582 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C002 | 0.6813950129111603 | 0.5493569416517659 | 0.7270539712912623 |
| C003 | 0.5689415340465942 | 0.5516120228645320 | 0.7160919716792336 |
| C004 | 0.5141134084862031 | 0.4908132041969466 | 0.7020239102832164 |
| C005 | 0.6805605866605617 | 0.4292476915505503 | 0.7132738253707913 |
| C006 | 0.5069737712437359 | 0.6122048585366571 | 0.7138687560416273 |
| C007 | 0.4073507320559884 | 0.4893413747782489 | 0.6776747434132346 |
| C008 | 0.3472740017837737 | 0.5508663321655121 | 0.6765416326746632 |
| C009 | 0.3996678577594750 | 0.6115192294817149 | 0.6957124287924898 |
| C010 | 0.2361936132237759 | 0.5480164777070371 | 0.6613989564510021 |
| C011 | 0.1853335173153354 | 0.4872482065990695 | 0.6483260787437928 |
| C012 | 0.2483563918326936 | 0.4283194311242866 | 0.6411119429166874 |
| C013 | 0.0679640312948351 | 0.4830007709033097 | 0.6566947777177674 |
| C014 | 0.0282952267566898 | 0.4333881884461519 | 0.7001414417818594 |
| C015 | 0.9979835742185301 | 0.5331060557123237 | 0.6333249447329479 |
| C016 | 0.9217768085714511 | 0.4354960053634187 | 0.7223374165248870 |
| C017 | 0.8912931970052185 | 0.5350355896384968 | 0.6552175396564124 |
| C018 | 0.8527153414928108 | 0.4866521527640657 | 0.7002937364599831 |
| C019 | 0.3572974093626158 | 0.4292467786178804 | 0.6553464712749530 |
| H001 | 0.1873597644329124 | 0.5933521964822006 | 0.6662517390338110 |
| H002 | 0.5476848427906233 | 0.6589566637814670 | 0.7267564389960626 |
| H003 | 0.7267689214809536 | 0.5952893099858088 | 0.7360867676144309 |
| H004 | 0.7189717600056156 | 0.3807477152178933 | 0.7062961956616221 |
| H005 | 0.3521907930815900 | 0.6576706534901291 | 0.6955129647095930 |
| H006 | 0.2085871615921633 | 0.3821869457290177 | 0.6253088383562897 |
| H007 | 0.0843728349156336 | 0.3959593053736512 | 0.7197881452869765 |
| H008 | 0.0283866076237871 | 0.5706230092407125 | 0.5983576169226765 |
| H009 | 0.8924296246505179 | 0.3988779845272319 | 0.7585822835559384 |
| H010 | 0.8353978327667164 | 0.5730651095400034 | 0.6365166007011354 |
| H011 | 0.4047033452459701 | 0.3835760016417211 | 0.6484053654615991 |
| H012 | 0.5275426627930671 | 0.3880193447676987 | 0.7067884927341055 |
| N001 | 0.56849138321452 | 0.431200013601072 | 0.71148866036642 |


| N001 | 0.5698409138321452 | 0.4312000136091072 | 0.7114488660036642 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## COP-PB-N2

| C001 | 0.7355792912820149 | 0.4854950918957925 | 0.7103123027557743 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C002 | 0.6834990803096304 | 0.5479226219855988 | 0.7224809630150020 |
| C003 | 0.5705032693786336 | 0.5524976582079049 | 0.7158592503123984 |
| C004 | 0.5124884283009052 | 0.4927977275297266 | 0.7031570874560913 |
| C005 | 0.6748081708503051 | 0.4279888560296286 | 0.7042728118100996 |
| C006 | 0.5100122979121764 | 0.6139230399904747 | 0.7155087291712192 |
| C007 | 0.4031682131860421 | 0.4927734135221939 | 0.6840960220327830 |
| C008 | 0.3456927726882100 | 0.5548217703311735 | 0.6820594477483937 |
| C009 | 0.4019399093882896 | 0.6149513403289504 | 0.6990957432816671 |
| C010 | 0.2352116280362253 | 0.5513441918275603 | 0.6666641959740502 |
| C011 | 0.1873710822074415 | 0.4890626616603271 | 0.6550487298654417 |
| C012 | 0.2546624330545413 | 0.4315703030559490 | 0.6531857836975234 |
| C013 | 0.0699208275243421 | 0.4821646256658099 | 0.6577764857338408 |
| C014 | 0.0267809100623353 | 0.4306990136904076 | 0.6976582279602468 |
| C015 | 0.0006470365118219 | 0.5317040722252955 | 0.6322140658473643 |
| C016 | 0.9181134499571613 | 0.4310908560040119 | 0.7154918903646106 |
| C017 | 0.8919062320104061 | 0.5320619944779708 | 0.6499000253097336 |
| C018 | 0.8503709201291869 | 0.4826927914465671 | 0.6929188170784357 |
| H001 | 0.1851571039240412 | 0.5963991587444113 | 0.6684566048613263 |
| H002 | 0.5530241921555472 | 0.6602395683254301 | 0.7271395731224075 |


| H003 | 0.7323899075914326 | 0.5925721720756130 | 0.7310294659376382 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H004 | 0.7107494041375446 | 0.3791868966498058 | 0.6947048638906921 |
| H005 | 0.3570511795700213 | 0.6620827199407628 | 0.6983492895575907 |
| H006 | 0.2190627275238484 | 0.3832042537777838 | 0.6398688000711985 |
| H007 | 0.0812780960675639 | 0.3931979765280360 | 0.7187735533643860 |
| H008 | 0.0332296257622247 | 0.5701625260656868 | 0.5990684532241559 |
| H009 | 0.8862194966382333 | 0.3933100225033499 | 0.7496524580509742 |
| H010 | 0.8371460657989687 | 0.5701483699815100 | 0.6300902476827730 |
| H011 | 0.5156435893970794 | 0.3923775316758906 | 0.6930017281951990 |
| N001 | 0.5648397537509098 | 0.4317436803217660 | 0.7053008625052186 |
| N002 | 0.3591252628929027 | 0.4322003075346217 | 0.6674885331217908 |
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