## **Supplementary Information**



Figure S1. SEM image of surface area of polypyrrole film.



Figure S2. TG analysis of as-prepared DSA doped polypyrrole.



Figure S3. (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) corresponding pore-size distribution.



Figure S4. The initial charge-discharge cycle of DSA-doped PPy.



Figure S5. Proposed mechanism for the differences between the first cycle and the subsequent charge-discharge cycles.



Figure S6. (a) Linear behavior of  $i/v^{1/2}$  as a function of  $v^{1/2}$  calculated from Figure 3c. (b) CV profile with estimated capacitive contribution shown in the shaded region.



Figure S7. (a) XRD patterns of  $Fe_2O_3$  before and after cycling. (b) SEM images of  $Fe_2O_3$  nanoparticles.



Figure S8. SEM images of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles coated on a glass fiber with different magnitudes.



Figure S9. Comparison of XRD patterns of PPy samples with or without Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> GF.



Figure S10. EIS plots before and after cycling for PPy-based KIBs (a) without  $Fe_2O_3$  GF and (b) with  $Fe_2O_3$  GF.



Figure S11. Capacity retentions for samples with different coating layer of metal oxides at a current density of 10 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S12. Charge-discharge cycles for PPy film at -10 °C.



Figure S13. CV curves of PPy film at different temperatures.

Table 1 Summary of polypyrole with different dopants			
Parameter Additive	20 /°	d /Å	Gel or not
SDS	20.38	5.36	Gel
НТАВ	21.80	5.02	Not
HCl	23.56	4.65	Not
DA	23.34	4.69	Not
PEO	23.92	4.61	Gel

Table 1 Summary of polypyrrole with different dopants