Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## **Supporting Information**

# Interfacial study of clathrates confined in reversed silica pores

Paulo G. M. Mileo<sup>a</sup>, Sven M. J. Rogge<sup>a</sup>, Maarten Houlleberghs,<sup>b</sup> Eric Breynaert,<sup>b</sup>

Johan A. Martens,<sup>b</sup> and Veronique Van Speybroeck\*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Center for Molecular Modeling, Ghent University, Tech Lane Ghent Science Park Campus A, Technologiepark 46, B-9052 Zwijnaarde, Belgium

<sup>b</sup> Center for Surface Chemistry and Catalysis, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium

\*Corresponding authors: Veronique.VanSpeybroeck@UGent.be

#### Further computational details



**Figure S1.** Microscopic representations of non-optimized  $SiO_2-C_8$  models *sp-ld* (a), *sp-hd* (b), *lp-ld* (c) and *lp-hd* (d) with a structure I CH<sub>4</sub> clathrate introduced in their void zones. Same atom color code as in Figure 2 in the manuscript.



**Figure S2.** Representation of the microscopic models  $SiO_2-C_1$  (a),  $SiO_2-cC_5$  (b), and  $SiO_2-C_8$  (c) models with a structure I CH<sub>4</sub> clathrate introduced in their void zones. On the right-hand side, a zoom displaying the interface between the alkyl ligands and the clathrate network for each model. Same atom color code as in Figure 2 in the manuscript.



SiO <sub>2</sub> – C <sub>8</sub>				SiO <sub>2</sub> – cC <sub>5</sub>		$SiO_2 - C_1$	
Atom type	Charge (e)	Atom type	Charge (e)	Atom type	Charge (e)	Atom type	Charge (e)
C <sub>a</sub>	-0.6173	H <sub>d</sub>	0.0354	C <sub>a</sub>	-0.1071	C <sub>a</sub>	-0.6328
C <sub>b</sub>	-0.2207	$H_{e}$	0.0315	C <sub>b</sub>	0.0495	H <sub>a</sub>	0.1249
C <sub>c</sub>	-0.2116	$H_{f}$	0.0571	C <sub>c</sub>	-0.0276	Н <sub>он</sub>	0.4282
C <sub>d</sub>	0.0075	H <sub>g</sub>	0.0585	H <sub>a</sub>	0.0120	O <sub>b</sub>	-0.7342
$C_{e}$	-0.0222	H <sub>h</sub>	-0.0167	Η <sub>b</sub>	-0.0060	O <sub>c</sub>	-0.6885
C <sub>f</sub>	-0.1947	H <sub>i</sub>	0.0978	H <sub>c</sub>	-0.0019	O <sub>OH</sub>	-0.7628
Cg	-0.1318	O <sub>OH</sub>	-0.6727	Н <sub>он</sub>	0.4359	Si <sub>a</sub>	1.4989
C <sub>h</sub>	0.2166	O <sub>b</sub>	-0.7214	O <sub>b</sub>	-0.7345	Si <sub>b</sub>	1.3368
C <sub>i</sub>	-0.4336	O <sub>c</sub>	-0.6616	O <sub>OH</sub>	-0.7742	Si <sub>c</sub>	1.0299
H <sub>OH</sub>	0.3320	Si <sub>a</sub>	1.4526	Si <sub>a</sub>	1.5027		
Ha	0.1310	Si <sub>b</sub>	1.3566	Si <sub>b</sub>	1.3976		
H <sub>b</sub>	0.0560	Si <sub>c</sub>	0.9200	Si <sub>c</sub>	0.9991		
H_c	0.0560						

**Figure S3.** Representative cluster models cleaved from the  $SiO_2-C_8$ ,  $SiO_2-cC_5$  and  $SiO_2-C_1$  microscopic representations with their respective atom types (top) and corresponding DFT-derived partial atomic charges (bottom). Same atom color code as in Figure 2 in the manuscript.

Structure model	Methane capacity (mg CH <sub>4</sub> /cm <sup>3</sup> )
SiO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>8</sub> sp-ld	60.72
SiO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>8</sub> sp-hd	51.36
SiO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>8</sub> <i>lp-ld</i>	91.63
SiO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>8</sub> <i>lp-hd</i>	82.85
SiO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>1</sub>	97.24
SiO <sub>2</sub> -cC <sub>5</sub>	94.73
SiO <sub>2</sub>	93.89

**Table S1.** Methane storage capacity of the models discussed in this work in terms of mass of methane per total volume.

The Orientational Tetrahedral Order (OTO)<sup>1</sup> parameter was calculated using the order<sup>2</sup> python script. This parameter (q) is calculated by averaging the angles formed by the four closest water oxygen neighbors (see Figure 3a in the main text), according to the equation below:

$$q = 1 - \frac{3}{8} \sum_{j=1k}^{3} \sum_{k=j+1}^{4} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \cos\beta_{ijk}\right)^2$$
 Equation S1

Herein,  $\beta_{ijk}$  is the angle formed between a central oxygen atom i and two neighboring oxygen atoms j and k.

The mean squared displacements were calculated using a multiple time origin approach and plotted as a function of time.

#### Analysis of system equilibrium

In order to demonstrate that a simulation time of 10 ns is sufficient to achieve equilibrium at the solidclathrate interface at 260 K and 60 bar, we carried out 100ns-long MD calculations at these same conditions using the SiO<sub>2</sub>-cC<sub>5</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>8</sub>(*sp-ld*) models. As seen in the manuscript, these models respectively showed the lowest and highest degree of disruption of the clathrate matrix. Afterwards, we compared the H<sub>2</sub>O microscopic organization and the CH<sub>4</sub> mobility at the interfacial region defined in the manuscript obtained with such simulations with our previous 10ns-long calculations by respectively analyzing donor-acceptor (O<sub>w</sub>-O<sub>w</sub>) radial distribution functions and self-diffusion coefficients ( $^{Do}_{w}$ ) obtained from mean square displacements using the Einstein equation (Equation S2).

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left\langle \left\| r_i(t) - r_i(0) \right\|^2 \right\rangle_{i \in O_w} = 6D_{O_w} t$$
Equation S2

As revealed in the donor-acceptor RDFs of Figure S4, the water organization in the first and second solvation shells is strictly the same in 10ns- or 100ns-long simulations in both models.

We can observe from Figure S5 that also the  $CH_4$  self-diffusion coefficients in the  $SiO_2-C_8(sp-ld)$  and  $SiO_2-cC_5$  models are very similar either in 10ns- or 100ns-long calculations, with only marginal deviations which may be attributed to the usual numerical errors inherent to diffusivity calculations from mean square displacements.



**Figure S4.** Water donor-acceptor radial distribution functions (solid lines, left Y axis) and their respective integration (dashed lines, right Y axis) obtained from MD calculations carried out for 10 ns (black lines) and 100 ns (blue lines) in the molecular models  $SiO_2-C_8(sp-Id)$  (a) and  $SiO_2-cC_5$  (b).



**Figure S5.** Mean square distances and derived diffusion coefficients obtained from MD calculations carried out for 10 ns (a) and 100 ns (b) in the SiO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>8</sub>(*sp-ld*) (solid lines) and SiO<sub>2</sub>-cC<sub>5</sub> (dashed lines) models.

As discussed in the manuscript, the interfacial water structuration and methane mobility are very representative parameters to the description of the organizational degree of the clathrate matrix adjacent to the alkyl-substituted  $SiO_2$ -molecular models. Therefore, as these parameters are kept the same either at 10 or 100 ns, we are confident that the equilibrium of the evolution of a clathrate phase near the interfaces reported in the manuscripts is well reproduced with a simulation time of 10 ns.



**Figure S6.** Donor-acceptor radial distribution functions (solid lines) and the corresponding cumulative distribution functions (dashed lines) obtained from the water molecules located at the core of the clathrate phases (dark colors) and at their interface (light colors) of the  $SiO_2$ -C<sub>8</sub> slabs on the sp-hd (a), sp-ld (b), lp-hd (c), lp-ld (d) configurations.



**Figure S7.** (a) Comparison of end-to-end distances of octyl chains in structure models *lp-hd* (blue line) and *lp-ld* (red line). (b) Top view parallel with the *z*-direction of surfaces of *lp-hd* (right) and *lp-ld* (left) configurations displaying the orientations of the alkyl chains. While in the former they are mostly perpendicular with respect to the plane, in the latter these chains are mostly tilted to the point of being parallel to the surface.



**Figure S8.** Density plots of the carbon atoms in the  $SiO_2-C_8$  octyl chains of the *sp-hd* (a), *sp-ld* (b), *lp-hd* (c), and *lp-ld* (d) configurations.



**Figure S9.** Contour surface plots displaying the surface topology of structure models  $SiO_2-C_1$  (a),  $SiO_2-cC_5$  (b) and  $SiO_2-C_8$  (c) obtained from the VMD software.<sup>3</sup>



**Figure S10.** Mean square displacement of  $CH_4$  molecules at the clathrate/pore wall interface in the  $SiO_2-C_1$  (black line),  $SiO_2-cC_5$  (red line) and  $SiO_2-C_8$  (blue line) models.



**Figure S11.** Representative snapshots showing confined clathrate configurations after 10 ns long MD calculations at 60 bar and 300 K on SiO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> structure models *sp-ld*, *sp-hd*, *lp-ld* and *lp-hd* (a) and on SiO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>1</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub>-cC<sub>5</sub> structure models (b). Same atom color code as in Figure 2 in the manuscript.

### Supporting references

- 1 E. Duboué-Dijon and D. Laage, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2015, **119**, 8406–8418.
- 2 P. Du and V. K. S. S. Don, iOrder, 2018.
- W. Humphrey, A. Dalke and K. Schulten, *J. Mol. Graph.*, 1996, **14**, 33–38.