Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## **Supplementary Information**

## Direct Evidence of Cobalt Oxyhydroxide Formation on a La<sub>0.2</sub>Sr<sub>0.8</sub>CoO<sub>3</sub> Perovskite Water Splitting Catalyst

Anthony Boucly<sup>a</sup>, Luca Artiglia<sup>a\*</sup>, Emiliana Fabbri<sup>a\*</sup>, Dennis Palagin<sup>a</sup>, Dino Aegerter<sup>a</sup>, Daniele Pergolesi<sup>a</sup>, Zbynek Novotny<sup>a,b</sup>, Nicolò Comini<sup>b</sup>, J. Trey Diulus<sup>b</sup>, Thomas Huthwelker<sup>a</sup>, Markus Ammann<sup>a</sup> and Thomas J. Schmidt<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Paul Scherrer Institut, Forschungsstrasse 111, CH–5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

<sup>b</sup> Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>c</sup> Laboratory of Physical Chemistry, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland



**Figure S1**: iR corrected CVs of the LSCO sample acquired between 1 and 1.65 V vs RHE (the second and the 50<sup>th</sup> Cvs are shown with ticker blue and black lines, respectively).



```
Ex situ
```



**Figure S2**: Deconvolution of Sr 3d and Sr 2p XPS spectrum taken at 2300 eV and 5000 eV, respectively, in the case of the *in situ* experiment; (a) Sr 3d acquired at hv = 900 eV in the case of the *ex situ* experiment; (b) Red, purple and black curve corresponds to the "As prepared", "Under 1.65V vs RHE"/"After 1.65V vs RHE) and "After electrochemistry" state, respectively. The dark green component corresponds to the Sr bulk signal coming from the perovskite, the orange corresponds to segregated strontium, and the light green corresponds to amorphous strontium obtained after electrochemistry.

<i>Ex situ</i> (KE= 780 eV)								
<u> </u>	As Prepared		After 1.65 V vs RHE		After EC			
	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)		
Sr <sub>bulk</sub> 3d <sub>5/2</sub>	131.5±0.2	1.9	133.1±0.15	1.7	132.9±0.15	1.6		
$Sr_{surf}3d_{5/2}$	133.6±0.2	1.8	Х	Х	Х	Х		
O <sub>bulk</sub> 1s	528.9±0.25	3.0	529±0.3	2.7	529.0±0.3	2.6		
$O_{surf} \mathbf{1s}$	531.7±0.25	2.9	531.6±0.3	2.8	Х	Х		
O <sub>Hydroxyl</sub> 1s	Х	Х	530.6±0.3	2.8	530.6±0.3	3.0		
<i>Ex situ</i> (KE= 480 eV)								
L	As Prepared		After 1.65 V vs RHE		After EC			
	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)		
Sr <sub>bulk</sub> 3d <sub>5/2</sub>	131.4±0.25	1.9	133.1±0.15	1.3	133.0±0.1	1.3		
$Sr_{surf}3d_{5/2}$	133.3±0.25	1.5	Х	Х	Х	Х		
O <sub>bulk</sub> 1s	528.9±0.15	2.3	529.0±0.2	2.4	529.0±0.25	1.9		
O <sub>surf</sub> 1s	531.5±0.15	2.3	531.8±0.2	2.4	531.6±0.25	2.2		
O <sub>Hydroxyl</sub> 1s	Х	Х	530.8±0.2	2.6	530.6±0.25	2.3		

**Table S1**: XPS core level peak fitting parameters for the *ex situ* experiment. All peaks were fitted using Gaussian line shape and Shirley background line shapes. For Sr  $3d_{5/2}$  a branching ratio of 1.5 and a spin-orbit split of 1.8 eV was fixed with respect to the Sr  $3d_{3/2}$  peak. All areas are corrected for photon flux and cross section. X represents a missing data point due to the peak not being present/detectable.

<i>In situ</i> (hv=2300 eV)								
	As Prepared		Under 1.65 V vs RHE		After EC			
	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)		
Sr <sub>bulk</sub> 3d <sub>5/2</sub>	131.6±0.15	1.7	X	X	132.9±0.2	1.6		
$Sr_{surf}  3d_{5/2}$	133.3±0.15	1.2	Х	Х	Х	Х		
O <sub>bulk</sub> 1s	528.8±0.15	1.6	Х	Х	529.0±0.3	1.4		
O <sub>surf</sub> 1s	531.5±0.15	2.1	Х	Х	531.6±0.3	1.9		
O <sub>Hydroxyl</sub> 1s	Х	Х	Х	Х	530.6±0.3	1.3		
<i>In situ</i> (hv=5000 eV)								
L	As Prepared		Under 1.65 V vs RHE		After EC			
	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)	BE (eV)	FWHM (eV)		
Sr <sub>bulk</sub> 2p <sub>3/2</sub>	1938.0±0.15	2.4	1938.8±0.15	2.1	Х	Х		
$Sr_{surf}2p_{3/2}$	1940.2±0.15	2.2	Х	Х	Х	Х		
O <sub>bulk</sub> 1s	528.9±0.25	1.7	529.0±0.35	1.6	Х	Х		
O <sub>surf</sub> 1s	531.5±0.25	2.3	531.6±0.35	2	Х	Х		
O <sub>Hydroxyl</sub> 1s	Х	Х	530.6±0.35	1.4	Х	Х		

**Table S2**: XPS core level peak fitting parameters for the *in situ* experiment. All peaks were fitted using Gaussian line shape and Shirley background line shapes. For Sr  $3d_{5/2}$ , a branching ratio of 1.5 and a spin-orbit split of 1.8 eV were fixed with respect to the Sr  $3d_{3/2}$  peak. For the Sr 2p core level peak, due to the spin-orbit splitting of 67 eV, only the Sr  $2p_{3/2}$  was acquired. X represents a missing data point due to the peak not being present/detectable or spectra not being acquired.

Ex situ							
Condition	KE (eV)	Sr/Co ratio					
As prepared	780	3.54±0.14					
After 1.65V vs RHE	780	1.06±0.1					
After EC	780	0.77±0.09					
In situ							
Condition	hv (eV)	Sr/Co ratio					
As prepared	2300 eV	3.95±0.15					
The proposed	5000 eV	0.69±0.08					
Under 1.65V vs RHE	5000 eV	0.24±0.06					
After EC	2300 eV	0.75±0.08					

 Table S3: Evolution of Sr/Co ratio for both in situ and ex situ experiment



**Figure S3**: XPS spectra of the Co 2p core level peak acquired during the *in situ* experiment (a) and *ex situ* experiment (c) as well as Co L edge NEXAFS (b) acquired during the *ex situ* experiment. Red, purple and black curves correspond to the "as prepared", the "After 1.65V vs RHE" and the "After electrochemistry" state, respectively. For the *in situ* experiment, the "as prepared" state was acquired with hv = 2300 eV, the "under 1.65V vs RHE" with hv = 5000 eV and the "After electrochemistry" with hv = 2300 eV. For the *ex situ* experiment, all Co 2p have been acquired with hv = 1280 eV.



**Figure S4**: Photoemission spectra of the C 1s core level peak acquired with hv = 810 eV during the *ex situ* experiment. Red, purple and black curves correspond to the "as prepared", the "After 1.65V vs RHE" and the "After electrochemistry" state, respectively. Concerning deconvolution, grey color corresponds to the C-C (aliphatic) component, green to C-O component and orange to C=O (carbonate) components.



**Figure S5**: Geometrical configurations and corresponding O 1s binding energies for the bulk pervoskite, Sr/La terminated and  $CoO_2$  terminated surfaces of perovskites, as well as surface carbonate species simulated on the (001) surface termination.



**Figure S6**: Geometrical configurations and corresponding O 1s binding energies for some of the possible surface hydroxyl species systems considered in this study, including the structures

corresponding to the adsorption of OH<sup>-</sup> groups on the surface and substitution of a surface oxygen defect with an OH<sup>-</sup> group simulated on the (001) surface termination.



**Figure S7:** Geometrical configurations and corresponding O 1s binding energies for some of the possible surface hydroxyl species systems considered in this study simulated on the (001) surface termination. Here, the concentration of the OH<sup>-</sup> groups increased compared to Figure S5.



**Figure S8:** Geometrical configurations and corresponding O 1s binding energies for some of the possible surface hydroxyl species systems considered in this study using a (110) surface termination.



**Figure S9:** Geometrical configurations and corresponding O 1s binding energies for some of the possible surface hydroxyl species systems considered in this study using a (111) surface termination.



Figure S10: XRD plot of a LSCO films (red) compared with that of powders (black). The symbol \* indicates the diffraction peaks of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate.



**Figure S11**: Schematics of the perovskite electrode used for both *ex situ* and in *situ* experiments, where a gold frame ensured the connection to the three electrode setup. The copper tape was first wrapped around gold wires, leaving enough length to cover the top gold layer. The side copper tape was then folded on the side gold layer.