Supporting Information

## Phase and Interface Engineering of Nickel Carbide Nanobranches for Efficient Hydrogen Oxidation Catalysis

Wangjin Ji,†<sup>a</sup> Changhong Zhan,†<sup>b</sup> Deyu Li,†<sup>c</sup> Yong Xu,\*<sup>d</sup> Ying Zhang,<sup>b</sup> Lu Wang,\*<sup>c</sup> Liangbin Liu,<sup>b</sup> Yu Wang,<sup>e</sup> Wenxing Chen,<sup>f</sup> Hongbo Geng,\*<sup>a</sup> Xiaoqing Huang\*<sup>b</sup>

\*yongxu@gdut.edu.cn, lwang22@suda.edu.cn, genghonhbo@126.com, hxq006@xmu.edu.cn



Figure S1. XRD patterns of Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C after annealed at different temperatures in 5 %  $H_2/Ar$ .



Figure S2. TEM images of Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C after annealing at (a) 200 °C, (b) 250 °C, (c) 300 °C, and (d) 400 °C in 5 % H<sub>2</sub>/Ar.



Figure S3. XPS spectra of (a)  $Ni_3C/C-250$  and (b)  $Ni_3C/C-300$ .



Figure S4. HOR polarization curves of  $Ni_3C/C-350$  in  $H_2$ -saturated 0.1 M KOH at a rotating speed of 1600 rpm with different scan speeds.



**E /V vs.RHE** Figure S5. HOR polarization curves of Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-250 and Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-300 in H<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH at a rotating speed of 1600 rpm.



**Figure S6.** CV curves of different catalysts. (a) ECSA for Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-200 (10.58 cm<sup>2</sup>, catalyst loading: 0.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>), (b) ECSA for Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-250 (9.57 cm<sup>2</sup>, catalyst loading: 0.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>), (c) ECSA for Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-300 (9.11 cm<sup>2</sup>, catalyst loading: 0.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>), (d) ECSA for Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-350 (7.72 cm<sup>2</sup>, catalyst loading: 0.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>), and (e) ECSA for Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-400 (5.05 cm<sup>2</sup>, catalyst loading: 0.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>).



Figure S7. Anodic LSV scans in 0.1 M Ar-saturated KOH electrolyte showing the  $OH_{ads}$  oxidative adsorption peaks (scan rate: 50 mV·s<sup>-1</sup>) of Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-200, Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-350 and Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-400.



**Figure S8.** Surface valence band photoemission spectra of  $Ni_3C/C-200$ ,  $Ni_3C/C-350$  and  $Ni_3C/C-400$ .



Figure S9. XRD patterns of of Ni/C, Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C and Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-350.



Figure S10. XPS spectra of  $Ni_3C/C$ ,  $Ni_3C/C$ -350 and Ni/C.



**Figure S11.** HOR polarization curves of Ni/C, Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C and Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-350 in H<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH at a rotating speed of 1600 rpm.



Figure S12. Relative current-time chronoamperometry response of  $Ni_3C/C-350$  at 0.05 V versus RHE.



**Figure S13.** The polarization curves of Pt/C colleted with and without 1000 ppm CO. The scan rate is 1 mV/s and the rotation speed is 1600 rpm.



Figure S14. (a) XRD pattern, (b) TEM image, (c) XPS spectrum and (d) HRTEM of the spent  $Ni_3C/C$ -350 after long-term durability test.

	Table S	1. The	ratio o	f Ni⁰:Ni²	+obtained	from	XPS	fitting.
--	---------	--------	---------	-----------	-----------	------	-----	----------

Samples	Ni <sub>3</sub> C/C-200	Ni <sub>3</sub> C/C-250	Ni <sub>3</sub> C/C-300	Ni <sub>3</sub> C/C-350	Ni <sub>3</sub> C/C-400
Ni <sup>0</sup> :Ni <sup>2+</sup>	0.17	0.29	0.45	0.49	1.17

Sample	Path	CN	R(Å)	$\sigma^{2}(\times 10^{-3}\text{\AA}^{2})$	$\triangle E_0(eV)$	R factor
Ni foil	Ni-Ni	12	$2.48 \pm 0.01$	6.0±0.2	5.6±0.4	0.002
NF C/C 200	C-Ni		$1.79 \pm 0.03$	10.6±7	$-8.3 \pm 4.7$	0.005
$N_{3}C/C-200$	Ni-Ni	6.3±1.4	$2.60 \pm 0.02$	$12.5 \pm 2.0$	$1.5 \pm 1.8$	0.003
Ni <sub>3</sub> C/C-350	C-Ni	$1.6 \pm 1.5$	$1.78 \pm 0.04$	6.3±1.9	7.6±1.4	0.005
	Ni-Ni	8.5±2.2	$2.51 \pm 0.03$	$9.2 \pm 2.7$	$5.8 \pm 2.3$	0.005
Ni <sub>3</sub> C/C-400	Ni-Ni	8.7±0.1	$2.48 \pm 0.05$	4.3±0.5	$6.2 \pm 0.9$	0.009

Table S2. Results from EXAFS fitting.

Catalyst	Loading	Rotating	j <sup>k</sup>	$j^0$	$\dot{J}^0$ ecas	Ref.
	(mg cm <sup>-</sup>	Speed (rpm)	(mA	(mA	$(mA cm^{-2})$	
	<sup>2</sup> )		cm <sup>-2</sup> )	cm <sup>-2</sup> )		
Ni <sub>3</sub> C/C-350	0.2	1600	2/72	0.96	0.031	This work
Ni <sub>3</sub> C/C-400	0.2	1600	2.18	0.81	0.031	This work
Ni/N-CNT	0.25	2500	2.33	0.8857	0.028	Nat. Commun., 2016, 7, 10141.
CeO <sub>2</sub> (r)- Ni/C-1	0.141	2500	1.73	1.07	0.038	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, <b>58</b> , 14179.
Ni <sub>4</sub> Mo	0.5	1600	33.8	3.41	0.065	Nat. Commun., 2020, 11, 4789
Ni <sub>4</sub> Mo	0.2	1600	10.72	2.82	-	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2021, <b>60</b> , 5771
Ni-H <sub>2</sub> -2%	0.116	2500	5.85	2.9	0.028	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2020, <b>59</b> , 10797.
np-Ni <sub>3</sub> N	0.16	1600	4.76	1.65	-	Energy Environ. Sci., 2019, <b>12</b> , 3522.
Ni <sub>3</sub> N/C	0.16	2500	3.90	1.89	0.014	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2020, <b>58</b> , 7745
Ni/NiO/C- 700	0.32	1600	1.59	-	0.026	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, <b>58</b> , 10644.
Ni <sub>9</sub> Mo <sub>1</sub> /KB	0.1	1600	-	-	0.027	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, <b>5</b> , 24433.
Ni <sub>95</sub> Cu <sub>5</sub> /KB	0.1	1600	-	-	0.025	Sustain. Energy Fuels, 2018, <b>2</b> , 2268.
NiB-300	0.142	2500	-	-	0.026	Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 12118
Ni <sub>3</sub> @BN/C	0.25	2500	-	0.84	0.023	Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 5728

Table S3. Comparison of Ni<sub>3</sub>C/C-350 and the reported catalysts for HOR in 0.1 M KOH.