## Electronic Supplementary Information for

## Theoretical Investigation on Hydrogenation of Dinitrogen Triggered by Singly Dispersed Bimetallic Sites

Xue-Lu Ma <sup>a</sup>\*, Yue Yang <sup>a</sup>, Le-Min Xu<sup>a</sup>, Hai Xiao <sup>b</sup>, Wen-Zhi Yao <sup>c</sup>, Jun Li <sup>b</sup>\*

a School of Chemical & Environmental Engineering, China University of Mining & Technology, Beijing 100083, China;

b Department of Chemistry and Key Laboratory of Organic Optoelectronics & Molecular Engineering of Ministry of Education, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China;

c Department of Environmental and Municipal Engineering, North China University of Water Conservancy and Electric Power, Zhengzhou 450011, China.

\* Corresponding author: maxl@cumtb.edu.cn; junli@tsinghua.edu.cn

Figure S1. Top view of the optimized geometries and the computed electron density difference maps of  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

**Figure S2.** Data of (a) the first ionization energies and (b) the electron affinity energies for 24 transition metals.

Figure S3. Projected density of states (PDOS) of the doped M d-orbitals and neighboring Co d-orbitals of  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

Figure S4. Projected density of states (PDOS) for bridging adsorption configurations of  $N_2$  on  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

**Figure S5.** Top view of the optimized the bridging dinitrogen adsorption structures and the computed electron density difference maps of dinitrogen and  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

Figure S6. Side view of the optimized the end-on dinitrogen adsorption structures and the computed electron density difference maps of dinitrogen and  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

Figure S7. The thermodynamic advantage configurations for the co-adsorption of  $N_2$  and  $H_2$  on  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

**Figure S8.** The relationship between the energy of bridging nitrogen adsorption and the energy of bridging co-adsorption.

**Figure S9.** The complete reaction pathway for the  $N_2$ -to- $NH_3$  thermal conversion on the  $Pd_1Co_4/CoO_x$  SCC compared with the original  $Rh_1Co_3/CoO_x$  SCC.

**Figure S10.** The relationship between the reaction energy and Bader charge difference of the doped M in the process from I to II.

**Table S1.** The M–Co bond lengths in  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

**Table S2.** The adsorption energies and N-N bond lengths in bridging  $N_2$ , end-on  $N_2$  and  $H_2$  on  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

**Table S3.** The parameters of  $I_1$ , N, and  $\mu$  for  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

**Table S4.** The relative energies of intermediates ( $E_I$  and  $E_{II}$ ), transition state energies ( $E_{TSI-II}$ ), the activation energies barriers ( $\Delta E_a$ ) and reaction energies ( $\Delta E_r$ ) for the first hydrogenation of N<sub>2</sub>.

Table S5. The key bond lengths in the process from I to II.



Figure S1. Top view of the optimized geometries and the computed electron density difference maps of  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs.

Note: The charge density difference was calculated by  $\Delta \rho = \rho 1 - \rho 2 - \rho 3$  (where  $\rho 1$ ,  $\rho 2$ , and  $\rho 3$  represent the charge densities of the whole SCC system, CoO surface with O vacancy, and the doped metal, respectively). A decrease in electron density is shown in yellow and electron enrichment indicated in red (iso-value of 0.05 e/Å<sup>3</sup>). The composition of the singly dispersed bimetallic cluster, M<sub>1</sub>Co<sub>n</sub>, can be referred to the distance (d<sub>MCo</sub>) between the doped metal and neighboring Co atoms as listed in Table S1.

(a)The first ionization energy

22 <b>Ti</b> Titanium 658.8	23 V Vanadium 650.9	24 <b>Cr</b> Chromium 652.9	25 Mn Manganese 717.3	26 Fe Iron 762.5	27 <b>Co</b> Cobalt 760.4	28 Ni Nickel 737.1	29 <b>Cu</b> Copper 745.5	
40 <b>Zr</b> Zirconium 640.1	41 <b>Nb</b> Niobium 652.1	42 Mo Molybdenum 684.3	43 <b>Tc</b> Technetium 702	44 <b>Ru</b> Ruthenium 710.2	45 <b>Rh</b> Rhodium 719.7	46 <b>Pd</b> Palladium 804.4	47 <b>Ag</b> Silver 731.0	
72 <b>Hf</b> Hafnium 658.5	73 <b>Ta</b> Tantalum 761	74 W Tungsten 770	75 <b>Re</b> Rhenium 760	76 <b>Os</b> Osmium 840	77 Ir Iridium 880	78 Pt Platinum 870	79 Au Gold 890.1	

(b) The electron affinity energy

22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
<b>Ti</b>	V	<b>Cr</b>	<b>Mn</b>	Fe	<b>Co</b>	<b>Ni</b>	<b>Cu</b>
Titanium	Vanadium	Chromium	Manganese	Iron	Cobalt	Nickel	Copper
7.6	50.6	64.3	0	15.7	63.7	112	118.4
40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
<b>Zr</b>	<b>Nb</b>	Mo	Tc	<b>Ru</b>	<b>Rh</b>	<b>Pd</b>	<b>Ag</b>
Zirconiun	Niobium	Molybdenum	Technetium	Ruthenium	Rhodium	Palladium	Silver
41.1	86.1	71.9	53	101.3	109.7	53.7	125.6
72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
<b>Hf</b>	<b>Ta</b>	W	<b>Re</b>	<b>Os</b>	Ir	Pt	Au
Hafnium	Tantalum	Tungsten	Rhenium	Osmium	Iridium	Platinum	Gold
0	31	78.6	14.5	106.1	151	205.3	222,8

Figure S2. Data of (a) the first ionization energies and (b) the electron affinity energies for 24 transition metals. (From <u>https://ptable.com/</u>)



Figure S3. Projected density of states (PDOS) of the doped M d-orbitals and neighboring Co d-orbitals of M<sub>1</sub>Co<sub>n</sub>/CoO<sub>x</sub> SCCs (M=Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Os, Ir, Pt, Au). The black and red lines are for the doped M atom and neighboring Co atoms, respectively. The positive and negative DOS denote the spin-up and spin-down states, respectively. The vertical dashed line indicates the Fermi level.



Figure S4. Projected density of states (PDOS) for bridging adsorption configurations of N<sub>2</sub> on M<sub>1</sub>Co<sub>n</sub>/CoO<sub>x</sub> SCCs (M=Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Os, Ir, Pt, Au). The blue, black and red lines are for the dinitrogen unit, the doped M atom and neighboring Co atoms, respectively. The positive and negative DOS denote the spin-up and spin-down states, respectively. The vertical dashed line indicates the Fermi level.



Figure S5. Top view of the optimized the bridging dinitrogen adsorption structures and the computed electron density difference maps of dinitrogen and M<sub>1</sub>Co<sub>n</sub>/CoO<sub>x</sub> SCCs (M=Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Os, Ir, Pt, Au).

Note: The charge density difference was calculated by  $\Delta \rho = \rho 1 - \rho 2 - \rho 3$  (where  $\rho 1$ ,  $\rho 2$ , and  $\rho 3$  represent the charge densities of the whole system,  $M_1 Co_n/CoO_x$  SCC, and the dinitrogen unit, respectively). A decrease in electron density is shown in yellow and electron enrichment indicated in red (iso-value of 0.05 e/Å<sup>3</sup>).



Figure S6. Side view of the optimized the end-on dinitrogen adsorption structures and the computed electron density difference maps of dinitrogen and M<sub>1</sub>Co<sub>n</sub>/CoO<sub>x</sub> SCCs (M=Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Os, Ir, Pt, Au).

Note: The charge density difference was calculated by  $\Delta \rho = \rho 1 - \rho 2 - \rho 3$  (where  $\rho 1$ ,  $\rho 2$ , and  $\rho 3$  represent the charge densities of the whole system,  $M_1 Co_n/CoO_x$  SCC, and the dinitrogen unit, respectively). A decrease in electron density is shown in yellow and electron enrichment indicated in red (iso-value of 0.05 e/Å<sup>3</sup>).



Figure S7. The thermodynamic advantage configurations for the co-adsorption of  $N_2$  and  $H_2$ on  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCCs (M=Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, Os, Ir, Pt).



Figure S8. The relationship between the energy of bridging nitrogen adsorption and the energy of bridging co-adsorption.



Figure S9. The complete reaction pathway for the  $N_2$ -to- $NH_3$  thermal conversion on the  $Pd_1Co_4/CoO_x$  SCC compared with the original  $Rh_1Co_3/CoO_x$  SCC.



Figure S10. The relationship between the reaction energy and Bader charge difference of the doped M in the process from I to II.

M <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>n</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	d <sub>MCo</sub> /Å	d <sub>MCo</sub> /Å	d <sub>MCo</sub> /Å	d <sub>MCo</sub> /Å	Ruc /Å	d <sub>MCo1</sub>	d <sub>MCo2</sub>	d <sub>MC03</sub>	d <sub>MCo4</sub>
SCC	<sup>MC0</sup> 1'	<sup>MC0</sup> 2'	<sup>MC0</sup> 3	<sup>MC0</sup> 4'	"MCo/"	R <sub>AM</sub>	R <sub>AM</sub>	R <sub>AM</sub>	R <sub>AM</sub>
Ni <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.558	2.515	2.400	2.927	2.21	1.16	1.14	1.09	1.32
Cu <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.619	2.643	2.446	2.967	2.23	1.17	1.19	1.10	1.33
$Ru_1Co_4/CoO_x$	2.565	2.562	2.346	2.720	2.36	1.09	1.09	0.99	1.15
Rh <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.555	2.549	2.504	2.808	2.36	1.08	1.08	1.06	1.19
$Pd_1Co_4/CoO_x$	2.549	2.538	2.689	2.691	2.31	1.10	1.10	1.16	1.16
Ag <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.635	2.635	2.772	2.948	2.39	1.10	1.10	1.16	1.23
$Os_1Co_4/CoO_x$	2.567	2.555	2.361	2.648	2.40	1.07	1.06	0.98	1.10
Ir <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.527	2.523	2.486	2.645	2.33	1.08	1.08	1.07	1.14
Pt <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.525	2.501	2.660	2.655	2.34	1.08	1.07	1.14	1.13
Au <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.598	2.588	2.775	2.811	2.35	1.11	1.10	1.18	1.20

Table S1. The M–Co bond lengths in M<sub>1</sub>Co<sub>n</sub>/CoO<sub>x</sub> SCCs.

Note: The composition of the singly dispersed bimetallic cluster,  $M_1Co_n$ , can be referred to the distance ( $d_{MCo}$ ) between the doped metal M and neighboring Co atoms. Here, if  $d_{MCo}$  is less than  $1.2R_{MCo}$ , where  $R_{MCo} = r_M + r_{Co}$  is the sum of the Pyykkö radii of M and Co, this M is then considered to be bonded to the Co of CoO surface and included in the composition of cluster active site. Highlight that the representation of composition is related to the distance criterion to some extent.

M.C. C.O. SCC	Bridg	ing N <sub>2</sub>	End-o	$H_2$				
$M_1 CO_n / COO_x SCC$	$d_{N-N}/\text{\AA}$	Ead/eV	$d_{N-N}/\text{\AA}$	Ead/eV	Ead/eV			
Ni <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	1.191	-2.00	1.140	-1.42	-1.63			
Cu <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	1.192	-1.25	1.131	-0.41	-0.05			
Ru1Co4/CoOx	1.199	-2.69	1.147	-1.69	-1.57			
$Rh_1Co_4/CoO_x$	1.200	-1.93	1.141	-1.66	-1.67			
$Pd_1Co_4/CoO_x$	1.187	-1.26	1.132	-0.42	-0.31			
Ag <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	1.183	-0.46	1.120	-0.17	NA			
$Os_1Co_4/CoO_x$	1.201	-3.18	1.151	-1.71	-1.81			
Ir <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	1.171	-2.70	1.146	-1.91	-1.95			
Pt <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	1.205	-1.53	1.140	-0.65	-0.24			
Au <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	1.183	-0.04	1.118	0.29	NA			

Table S2. The adsorption energies and N-N bond lengths in bridging  $N_2,$  end-on  $N_2$  and  $H_2$  on

M<sub>1</sub>Co<sub>n</sub>/CoO<sub>x</sub> SCCs.

Note: The adsorption energy is defined as:  $E_{ad} = E_{SCC-N^2} - E_{SCC} - E_{N^2}$ , where  $E_{SCC}$  and  $E_{SCC-N^2}$  represent the total energies of the bare  $M_1Co_n/CoO_x$  SCC and that with the adsorbed  $N_2$  (SCC- $N_2$ ), respectively, and  $E_{N^2}$  represents the total energy of a free  $N_2$  molecule. This definition means that negative adsorption energy represents an exothermic process. The similar definition will be used for the  $H_2$  adsorption and co-adsorption.

$M_1 Co_n / CoO_x$	I₁(kI/mol	) N	u(kI/mol)		
SCC	IC 97	, 11	μ(κ)/ποι)		
Ni <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	737.1	8	104.25		
$C_{\rm T}$ $C_{\rm T}$ $/C_{\rm T}$	745.5	1	98.87		
$Cu_1Co_3/CoO_x$		0			
Ru <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	710.2	7	107.15		
Rh <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	719.7	8	104.61		
	804.4	1	102.29		
$Pd_1Co_4/CoO_x$		0			
	731.0	1	98.39		
$Ag_1Co_3/CoO_x$		0			
$Os_1Co_4/CoO_x$	840	6	114.28		
Ir <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	880	7	111.85		
$Pt_1Co_4/CoO_x$	870	9	106.12		
	890.1	1	103.35		
$Au_1Co_3/CoO_x$		0			

Table S3. The parameters of I<sub>1</sub>, N, and  $\mu$  for M<sub>1</sub>Co<sub>n</sub>/CoO<sub>x</sub> SCCs.

Table S4. The relative energies of intermediates ( $E_I$  and  $E_{II}$ ), transition state energies ( $E_{TSI-II}$ ), the activation energies barriers ( $\Delta E_a$ ) and reaction energies ( $\Delta E_r$ ) for the first hydrogenation of N<sub>2</sub>. (Relative to the initial M<sub>1</sub>Co<sub>n</sub>/CoO<sub>x</sub> SCCs and free molecules, unit: eV)

M <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>n</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub> SCC	$E_{I}$	E <sub>TSI-II</sub>	$E_{\mathrm{II}}$	$\Delta E_a$	$\Delta E_r$
Ni <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	-2.87	-0.81	-2.20	2.06	0.67
$Cu_1Co_3/CoO_x$	-2.23	0.03	-1.37	2.26	0.86
$Ru_1Co_4/CoO_x$	-3.14	-0.71	-2.72	2.43	0.42
$Rh_1Co_4/CoO_x$	-2.67	-0.82	-2.42	1.85	0.25
$Pd_1Co_4/CoO_x$	-1.84	-0.19	-1.89	1.65	-0.05
$Os_1Co_4/CoO_x$	-3.29	-0.83	-2.52	2.46	0.77
Ir <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	-3.41	-0.32	-2.54	3.09	0.87

M.C. C.O. SCC	$d_{H-M}/\text{\AA}_{\square}$		$d_{H-N}$ /Å			$d_{N-N}$ /Å				
$W_1 CO_n / COO_x SCC$	Ι	II	$\Delta_{\text{H-N}}$	Ι	II	$\Delta_{\text{H-M}}$	Ι	II	$\Delta_{\text{N-N}}$	RIVISD
Ni <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.406	2.696	0.290	1.622	1.042	-0.580	1.172	1.268	0.096	0.378
Cu <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>3</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.583	2.649	0.066	1.645	1.049	-0.596	1.179	1.268	0.089	0.350
Ru <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.345	2.736	0.391	1.679	1.034	-0.645	1.190	1.268	0.078	0.438
Rh <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.351	2.743	0.392	1.623	1.036	-0.587	1.170	1.268	0.098	0.411
Pd <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.641	2.679	0.038	1.727	1.042	-0.685	1.167	1.268	0.101	0.400
$Os_1Co_4/CoO_x$	2.334	2.734	0.400	1.649	1.032	-0.617	1.221	1.268	0.047	0.425
Ir <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.488	2.740	0.252	1.699	1.035	-0.664	1.180	1.268	0.088	0.413
Pt <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> /CoO <sub>x</sub>	2.695	2.706	0.011	1.563	1.038	-0.525	1.164	1.268	0.104	0.309

Table S5. The key bond lengths in the process from  $\mathbf{I}$  to  $\mathbf{II}$ .

 $\Delta = d_{I} - d_{II}$ 

$$RMSD_{bond} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} (\Delta_{H-N}^{2} + \Delta_{H-M}^{2} + \Delta_{N-N}^{2})$$