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Contact diameter

We assume that the droplet on the surface is a spherical section of height $h$ formed by the intersection of a plane and a sphere with radius $r$. The height $h$ and contact diameter $D$ is then given from the contact angle $\theta$ by:

$$h = r (1 - \cos \theta), \quad D = 2rs \sin \theta$$

The volume $V$ of the spherical section can be calculated by the disc method as:

$$V = \pi \int_{r-h}^{r} f(x)^2 \, dx$$

Where $f(x)$ is the function of a sphere in the upper half plane, i.e. the positive $\sqrt{r^2 - x^2}$. This gives:

$$V = \pi \left( r^2 h - \frac{r^3 - (r-h)^3}{3} \right)$$

Substituting in $h$ from above and solving for $r$ gives:

$$r = \frac{3V}{\pi(2 - 3\cos \theta + (\cos \theta)^3)}$$

Using the expression above, the contact diameter $D$ can then be calculated as:

$$D = 2\sin \theta \frac{3V}{\pi(2 - 3\cos \theta + (\cos \theta)^3)}$$

From this equation we can calculate the minimum resolution for the contact diameters to overlap along the print direction and along the diagonal as a function of the drop volume $V$ and contact angle $\theta$. This is plotted for a drop volume of 30 pl in fig. S4 below.
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Fig. S21: From left to right, SEM pictures of the samples with a filling level of 3/9, 5/9 and 7/9 of the CsPbBr$_2$I ink for, top to bottom, printing resolutions of 250, 350 and 450 dpi corresponding to droplet pitches of 102, 73 and 56 μm respectively.
import sys
from typing import Tuple, List

import numpy as np
from itertools import combinations
from scipy.special import comb

"""
Code for generating base matrices to use for combinatorial inkjet printing. Please cite this publication if used.
"""

def combs(a: np.ndarray, r: int) -> np.ndarray:
    """
    Return successive r-length combinations of elements in the array a. Should produce the same output as array(list(combinations(a, r))), but faster.
    """
    a = np.asarray(a)
    dt = np.dtype([('', a.dtype)] * r)
    b = np.fromiter(combinations(a, r), dt)
    return b.view(a.dtype).reshape(-1, r)
def droplet_position_optimization(n: int, k: int) -> Tuple[List[int], List[int]]:
    
    Function for generating a base matrix with side n and filling k.

    :param n: Side length of base matrix
    :type n: int
    :param k: Filling of the base matrix
    :type k: int
    :return: Tuple of two lists of the optimal droplet positions, one for the x position and one for the corresponding y positions
    :rtype: Tuple[List[int], List[int]]
    
    x, y = np.indices((n, n))
    pos = combs(np.arange(n ** 2), k)
    x_base_pos = x.flatten()[pos]
    y_base_pos = y.flatten()[pos]
    points = comb(n ** 2, k, exact=True)
    x_pos = np.zeros((points, k * 9))
    y_pos = np.zeros((points, k * 9))
    count = 0
    for y_rep in [-1, 0, 1]:
        for x_rep in [-1, 0, 1]:
            roi = slice(k * count, k * (count + 1))
            x_pos[:, roi] = x_base_pos + (x_rep * n)
            y_pos[:, roi] = y_base_pos + (y_rep * n)
            count += 1
    x_pos = np.tile(x_pos, (k, 1, 1))
    y_pos = np.tile(y_pos, (k, 1, 1))
    distances = np.sqrt(
        np.power(x_pos - np.broadcast_to(x_base_pos, (k * 9, points, k)).T, 2)
        + np.power(y_pos - np.broadcast_to(y_base_pos, (k * 9, points, k)).T, 2)
    )
    distances[np.where(distances < 1)] = (2 * n) ** 2
    min_dist = np.min(distances, axis=2)
    worst_point = np.argmin(min_dist, axis=0)
    if np.max(min_dist[worst_point, np.arange(points)]) > 1:
        best_option_index = np.argmax(min_dist[worst_point, np.arange(points)])
    else:
        worst_min_dist = np.min(min_dist, axis=0)
        min_dist_copy = min_dist
        min_dist_copy[np.where(min_dist != worst_min_dist)] = 0
        best_option_index = np.argmin(np.sum(min_dist_copy, axis=0))

    return x_base_pos[best_option_index], y_base_pos[best_option_index]
def generate_bases(size: int) -> List[np.ndarray]:
    """
    Function for generating all base matrices for a certain size.
    
    :param size: Side length of the base matrix
    :type size: int
    :return: A list of the base matrices as size*size numpy arrays
    :rtype: List[numpy.ndarray]
    """
    if size > 5:
        print('For size above 4 the algorithm takes a long time.')
    high = int(np.ceil((size ** 2 + 1) / 2)) - 1
    bases = [np.zeros((size, size), dtype=bool)]
    for fill in range(high):
        best_x, best_y = droplet_position_optimization(size, fill + 1)
        base = np.zeros((size, size), dtype=bool)
        for idx in range(len(best_x)):
            base[best_y[idx], best_x[idx]] = True
        bases.append(base)
    remaining = size ** 2 + 1 - len(bases)
    for idx in range(remaining):
        bases.append(np.invert(bases[remaining - idx - 1]))
    return bases
if __name__ == "__main__":
    import os
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    from matplotlib import patches
    from PIL import Image

    base_size = 0
    if len(sys.argv) != 3:
        print("Please provide 2 arguments\n" +
        "  1st: Size of the base\n" +
        "  2nd: Location to save images")
        try:
            base_size = int(sys.argv[1])
        except ValueError:
            print("Unable to convert first argument to integer."")
            sys.exit(-1)

    save_dir = sys.argv[2]
    if not os.path.isdir(save_dir):
        print("%s is not a directory" % save_dir)
        sys.exit(-1)
    test_bases = generate_bases(base_size)

    fig, axs = plt.subplots(ncols=base_size, nrows=base_size, figsize=(6, 5))
    for base_idx, test_base in enumerate(test_bases):
        img = Image.fromarray(test_base.T)
        img.save(os.path.join(
            save_dir,
            'base_%d_fill_%d_of_%d.png' % (base_size, base_idx, len(test_bases))
        ),
        bits=1, optimize=True
    )
    if base_idx > 0:
        ax = axs[(base_idx - 1) // base_size, (base_idx - 1) % base_size]
        ax.imshow(np.tile(test_base, [3, 3]), cmap='binary', vmin=0, vmax=1)
        ax.set_xticks([])
        ax.set_yticks([])
        ax.add_patch(patches.Rectangle((base_size - 0.5, base_size - 0.5),
            base_size, base_size, edgecolor='C3',
            fill=False)
    )

    fig.tight_layout()
    fig.savefig(os.path.join(save_dir, 'base_%d.png') % base_size, dpi=300)