Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

## Supporting Information

## Pyridine-grafted nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes achieving efficient electroreduction of $CO_2$ to CO within a wide electrochemical window

Yuning Zhang, ‡<sup>a</sup> Hao Jiang, ‡<sup>b</sup> Dongfang Niu, \*<sup>a</sup> Ingo Manke,<sup>c</sup> Chao Yang, \*<sup>c</sup> Minghui Zhu,<sup>a</sup> Xinsheng Zhang, \*<sup>a</sup> and Renjie Chen<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, School of Chemical Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China E-mail: dfniu@ecust.edu.cn; xszhang@ecust.eud.cn

<sup>b</sup> Petroleum Processing Research Center, School of Chemical Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China

<sup>c</sup> Helmholtz Centre Berlin for Materials and Energy, Hahn-Meitner-Platz 1, Berlin 14109, Germany. E-mail: chao.yang@helmholtz-berlin.de

<sup>d</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory of Environmental Science and Engineering, School of Material Science and Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

*‡* These authors contributed equally to this work.



Fig. S1. Pictures of (a) H-type cell and (b) flow cell with an observable gas diffusion electrode.



Fig. S2. TEM images of (a) N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800 and (b) Py-N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800.



**Fig.S3.** (a) Partial current densities and (b) faradaic efficiencies of  $H_2$  on  $N_4$ CNTs-800 and Py- $N_4$ CNTs-800 at different potentials.



**Fig.S4.** (a) N 1s XPS spectra of Py-N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800 before and after the stability test. (b) N 1s XPS spectra of N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800 before and after stability test.



**Fig. S5.** (a) Faradaic efficiencies of CO on OCNTs and Py-OCNTs at different potentials. (b) Partial current densities of CO on Py-OCNTs, N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800 and Py-N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800 at different potentials.



**Fig. S6.** (a) N 1s XPS spectra of N<sub>5</sub>CNTs-700, (b) N<sub>5</sub>CNTs-800 (c)N<sub>5</sub>CNTs-900 and (d) N<sub>3</sub>CNTs-800. (e)Faradaic efficiencies of CO, (f) partial current densities of CO, (g) faradaic efficiencies of H<sub>2</sub> and (h) partial current densities of H<sub>2</sub> on N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800, N<sub>5</sub>CNTs-700, N<sub>5</sub>CNTs-800, N<sub>5</sub>CNTs-900 and N<sub>3</sub>CNTs-800 at different potentials.



**Fig. S7.** (a) N 1s XPS spectrum of QPy-N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800. (b) FT-IR spectra Py-N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800 and QPy-N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800. (c)  $CO_2$  adsorption isotherms of N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800, Py-N4CNTs-800 and QPy-N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800.



**Fig. S8.** (a) Partial current densities of CO, (b) partial current densities of  $H_2$  and (c) faradaic efficiencies of  $H_2$  on Py-N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800 and QPy-N<sub>4</sub>CNTs-800 at different potentials.

Samples	N 1s	Pyridine N	Pyrrole N	Graphite N	NOx	Quaternary N	
	(at.%)	(at.%)	(at.%)	(at.%)	(at.%)	(at.%)	
N <sub>4</sub> CNTs-800	4.37	2.04	1.29	0.87	0.17	/	
N <sub>3</sub> CNTs-800	3.26	1.46	0.81	0.52	0.46	/	
N₅CNTs-700	4.26	1.88	0.80	1.26	0.33	/	
N₅CNTs-800	4.45	2.02	1.05	0.99	0.38	/	
N₅CNTs-900	3.98	1.75	0.73	1.25	0.25	/	
Py-N <sub>4</sub> CNTs-800	5.38	2.85	1.15	1.03	0.34	/	
QPy-N₄CNTs-800	5.35	1.84	1.44	1.02	0.20	0.86	

Table S1. The types and content of nitrogen in the prepared catalysts from XPS spectra.

Complex		Potential	FE <sub>co</sub>	<b>j</b> co	Stability	FE <sub>co</sub> >90	Def
Samples	Electrolyte	(V vs. RHE)	(%)	(mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(h)	(V vs. RHE)	Ref.
Py-N <sub>4</sub> CNTs-800	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.99	96%	18.4	19.3	-0.79 ~ -1.19	This
NSHCF900	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.7	94%	~96	36	-0.7	7
N-GRW	0.5 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.40	87.6%	~3.08	10	/	16
CNPC-1100	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.6	92%	~0.8	8	-0.6	17
1D/2D NR/CS-900	0.5 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.45	94.2%	~1	30	-0.45 ~ -0.55	24
NCNT-NH <sub>3</sub>	0.5 M NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.8	94.5%	~14	40	-0.6 ~ -0.9	26
NF-C-950	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.6	90%	1.9	40	-0.6	29
NCNT-3-700	0.5 M NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.9	90%	5	60	-0.9	30
NPC-900	0.5 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.67	95%	2.3	10	-0.67	31
DG	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.6	84%	1.3	10	/	32
NDAPC	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.9	83%	~3.8	8	/	33
DPC-NH <sub>3</sub> -950	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.6	95.2%	2.71	24	-0.5 ~ -0.7	34
NS-CNSs	0.5 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.55	85.4%	2.4	20	/	35
WNCNs	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.6	84%	1.15	8	/	36
NS-C-900	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.6	92%	2.63	20	-0.6	37
NG-800	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.58	85%	~1.53	5	/	55
SZ-HCN	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.6	93%	~4.65	20	-0.6	56
NRMC-900	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.6	80%	2.9	10	/	57
D-C-1100	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.6	94.5%	~0.9	10	-0.6 ~ -0.7	58
CN-H-CNTs	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.5	88%	~0.4	7	/	59
Ag/CNT COOH	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-1.1	83%	~17	10	/	60
AgNPs@PAM	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.89	97.2%	~22	48	-0.79 ~ -1.09	61
Au/Py-CNTs-O	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.59	93%	~7	10	-0.58 ~ -0.98	62
H-Zn-NPs	0.1 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.96	94.2%	~5	12	-0.76 ~ -1.06	63

## Table S2. Summary of electrocatalysts studied for $\rm CO_2ER$ to CO.