### Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

# Chaotropic Anion Based "Water-in-Salt" Electrolyte Realizes a High Voltage

# Zn–Graphite Dual-Ion Battery

Zahid Ali Zafar<sup>a,b</sup>, Ghulam Abbas<sup>b,c</sup>, Karel Knížek<sup>d</sup>, Martin Silhavik<sup>a</sup>, Prabhat Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Petr Jiříček<sup>e</sup>, Jana Houdková<sup>e</sup>, Otakar Frank<sup>c</sup>, Jiří Červenka<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Thin Films and Nanostructures, FZU - Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of

Sciences, Cukrovarnicka 10/112 162 00 Prague 6, Czech Republic

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physical Chemistry and Macromolecular Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Charles

University in Prague, Hlavova 2030, 128 43 Prague 2, Czech Republic

<sup>c</sup> J. Heyrovsky Institute of Physical Chemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Dolejskova 2155/3,

183 23 Prague 8, Czech Republic

<sup>d</sup> Department of Magnetics and Superconductors, FZU - Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Cukrovarnicka 10/112 162 00 Prague 6, Czech Republic

<sup>e</sup> Department of Optical Materials, FZU - Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences,

Cukrovarnicka 10/112 162 00 Prague 6, Czech Republic

\*Corresponding author, email: <u>cervenka@fzu.cz</u>

#### **Experimental Section**

**Materials:** Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O and Zn metal (99.98%, thickness-0.25 mm) were purchased from Alfa-Aesar. A graphite paper sheet (GP, >99%, thickness 0.2 mm) and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)-treated hydrophobic carbon paper (120 Toray carbon paper) were acquired from Graphit Kropfmühl, GmbH, Germany, and Fuel Cell Store, USA, respectively. Whatman<sup>®</sup> GF/D glass microfiber filters were purchased from Mar-Con, s.r.o., Czech Republic.

**Electrolyte Preparation:** The supersaturated 8 *m* (mol/kg) or water-in-salt (WiS electrolyte) and dilute 0.5 *m* Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> aqueous electrolytes were prepared by mixing the respective quantities of Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (Alfa-Aesar) and deionized water (conductivity < 0.26  $\mu$ Scm<sup>-1</sup>) under continuous stirring. It was determined that on average there are 0.11 moles of Zn<sup>2+</sup> per 1 mole of H<sub>2</sub>O in the 8 *m* Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-WiS electrolyte, whereas there are only 0.009 moles of Zn<sup>2+</sup> per 1 mole of H<sub>2</sub>O in the dilute 0.5 *m* Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> electrolyte.

**Fabrication of Zn-Graphite Dual-ion Batteries:** The two-electrode Zn-graphite dual-ion batteries were fabricated by placing the  $Zn(ClO_4)_2$  -WiS electrolyte impregnated GF/D glass microfiber separator in between a Zn anode and a binder-free GP cathode. Finally, the cell was enclosed and well-sealed in a plastic foil by using a heat sealer (Tefal VT254070 Vacupack classic). Before assembling the batteries, Zn foil was rinsed with acetone and the GP surface was peeled off by using a Kapton tape to enhance the active surface area and reduce the hydrophobicity of the GP (see Fig. 3 a, main text). The binder-free GP cathode had dimensions of  $10 \times 10 \times 0.2$  mm and an active mass density of ~18 mg cm<sup>-3</sup>. The dimensions of the Zn anode were  $15 \times 15 \times 0.25$  mm. 400 µl of the as-prepared WiS electrolyte was used in the experiments.

**Electrochemical Performance Measurements:** The electrochemical stability window of the freshly prepared electrolytes was assessed on a glassy carbon electrode (W.E.) in a standard 3-electrode setup, where platinum (Pt) was used as a counter electrode (C.E.) and Ag/AgCl pseudo-reference electrode (R.E.). The potential of the pseudo-reference electrode was calibrated to be 220 mV against the standard Ag/AgCl reference electrode with a 3 M KCl aqueous solution. The potentiostatic cyclic voltammetry (CV), linear sweep voltammetry (LSV), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were performed by using a potentio/galvanostat (Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT302N) on the as-assembled 2-electrode type cells. The galvanostatic charge/discharge (GCD) and cycle life tests were carried out using a battery tester (Neware, China) at constant (dis)charging current densities at room temperature. The capacity was determined on the basis of the mass of the graphite paper, i.e. 18 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. Characterization: A TESCAN scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used for the analysis of the surface morphology of the electrodes. Operando Raman spectroscopy was performed on the home-made electrochemical cells using a battery tester and a Renishaw Invia<sup>™</sup> confocal Raman spectroscope equipped with an optical microscope, a He-Cd blue laser (442 nm excitation wavelength), and 2400 l/mm diffraction grating. Operando X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out on a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer ( $\lambda = 1.54$  Å, Cu-k  $\alpha$  radiation). All the XRD measurements were collected in the 2 $\Theta$  (theta) angles of the range of 10° to 60° with the step rate of 0.02° using a Lynxeye detector and applying an accelerating voltage of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA. The total XRD scan time was set to 10 min to deliver a sufficient resolution while performing *in-situ* (dis)charge of the Zn-graphite dual-ion batteries equipped with a top Kapton window. The chemical nature of the intercalation species in the electrodes was probed via X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) by using an AXIS Supra photoelectron spectrometer (Kratos Analytical Ltd, UK) with a monochromated Al K $\alpha$  (1486 eV) excitation source. To probe the bulk of the samples, the samples were sputtered using Ar ions. The Ar ion sputtering was carried out by a Minibeam 6 ion gun operating in a cluster mode with a raster area of 2 x 2 mm for 600 seconds. The Ar ion clusters were composed of roughly 1000 atoms with an ion energy of 5 keV. The base pressure during the XPS measurements was 2 x 10<sup>-8</sup> torr. X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was carried out on the samples using an electron probe microanalyzer JEOL JXA-8230 equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer Bruker QUANTAX 200 with a data processing software Esprit 2.2.

**Contact angle measurement:** Wettability of pristine and surface modified GP electrodes with the WiSelectrolyte was assessed by the measurement of contact angle. A small droplet of the WiS-electrolyte was placed on the surface of electrodes while recording using a digital camera. Later, the contact angle was measured between the electrode-electrolyte interface by drawing tangents to the points of droplet curves which are simultaneously in contact with electrode, electrolyte and air.

**Ionic conductivity measurement of Zn(ClO\_4)\_2 WiS-Electrolyte:** Ionic conductivity of the  $Zn(ClO_4)_2$  WiSelectrolyte was measured by employing electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) from 0.01 Hz To 100 kHz. Briefly, the electrolyte resistance (*Rs*) was measured in an electrolytic tank with two symmetric Pt electrodes of a specific surface area and a fixed distance (*L*) in between them. The ionic-conductivity ( $\sigma$ , mScm<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated by using

3

 $\sigma = {L \choose (Rs * A)}$  where L (cm) is the distance between the two electrodes, Rs ( $\Omega$ ) is the bulk resistance of the WiS-electrolyte, and A (cm<sup>2</sup>) is the area of the platinum electrode. Hence, the calculated  $\sigma$  is 32.5 mScm<sup>-1</sup>.

**Calculation of specific energy and specific power density of battery:** The specific energy and specific power densities of the Zn-graphite dual-ion battery were calculated based on the mass of graphite cathode through the following equations.

$$E(Whkg^{-1}) = \frac{I * V * t}{m}$$

$$P(Wkg^{-1}) = \frac{E}{t}$$

where I is the charging and discharging current in amperes (A), V is the average discharge voltage in volts (V), t is the discharging time in hours (h), m is the mass of graphite cathode in kg. The calculated energy and power densities of the as-presented Zn-graphite dual-ion battery are in the range of 77-35 Whkg<sup>-1</sup> and 168-517 Wkg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

## **Figures**



**Figure S1.** Raman spectra of an 8 m Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> WiS-electrolyte in comparison to a dilute 0.5 m Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> aqueous electrolyte and pure water. Peak positions are indicated for each Raman peak in wavenumbers (cm<sup>-1</sup>).

Raman bands at 933 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1114 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 628 cm<sup>-1,</sup> and 461 cm<sup>-1</sup> are associated with tetrahedral  $ClO_4^-$ , whereas the polarized band at 390 cm<sup>-1</sup> is due to the  $Zn^{2+}$ -oxygen symmetric stretching mode of the  $[Zn(OH_2)_6]^{2+}$  cation which is more evident in the case of the supersaturated WiS-electrolyte <sup>1</sup>.



**Figure S2.** Physical appearance of different superconcentrated Zn-salt aqueous electrolytes at room temperature: transparent 8 m Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> WiS electrolyte (left), 8 m ZnSO<sub>4</sub> WiS electrolyte (middle), and two-phase 8 m Zn(OAc)<sub>2</sub> electrolyte with excess undissolved salt crystals (right).



Figure S3. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) of Zn stripping/platting from an 8 m Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> WiS-electrolyte from/on a Cu substrate at a scan rate of 10 mVs<sup>-1</sup> in a three-electrode electrochemical cell with Zn as C.E. and R.E.



**Figure S4.** Cyclic voltammetry (CV) of Zn stripping/platting from a dilute 0.5 m Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> electrolyte from/on the Cu and carbon (C120 Toray) substrate at a scan rate of 10 mVs<sup>-1</sup> in a two-electrode electrochemical cell with Zn as C.E. and R.E



**Figure S5.** SEM images showing morphology of different substrates before and after Zn deposition from a dilute 0.5 m Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> electrolyte: carbon (C120 Toray) substrate, pristine (a) & (b), and after Zn deposition (c) & (d); Cu substrate, pristine (e), and after deposition (f).



**Figure S6.** Comparison of overpotential of stripping/plating analysis of Zn ||Zn cell using a diluted 0.5 m $Zn(ClO_4)_2$  aqueous electrolyte and 8 m  $Zn(ClO_4)_2$  WiS-electrolyte.



**Figure S7.** Zn self-dissolution and corrosion test in a dilute 0.5 *m* Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> aqueous electrolyte (pH~5.0), 8 *m* Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> WiS-electrolyte (pH~1.0), and 70.5 % HClO<sub>4</sub> acid (pH~1.0): Zn metal strips after immersion of 10 days in 0.5 m=Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> dilute electrolyte, Zn=Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> WiS-electrolyte and H=HClO<sub>4</sub> acid and their corresponding thicknesses and SEM images. Pristine Zn metal thickness: 0.25 mm. The SEM images of Zn foil immersed into WiS-electrolyte are the same as of pristine Zn metal, but the Zn foil immersed into the dilute electrolyte and HClO<sub>4</sub> undergone spontaneous corrosion and self-dissolution processes as SEM imaged show a modified surface morphology.



**Figure S8.** Rate-capability and cycling performance of a Zn-graphite dual-ion battery at the cut-off voltage of 2.45 V vs.  $Zn/Zn^{2+}$ .



**Figure S9.** Electrochemical performance of a Zn-graphite dual-ion battery utilizing dilute (0.5 m) Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> aqueous electrolyte, inset showing gas evolution (electrolyte decomposition) at a high charging potential.



Figure S10. XRD and corresponding SEM of pristine and cycled Zn anodes.



**Figures S11.** SEM images of pristine (a), charged (b), and discharged (c) Zn anodes after the 30<sup>th</sup> cycle; corresponding XRD of the samples (d).



**Figure S12.** SEM images of freshly-modified (left), fully charged (center), and fully discharged carbon paper electrodes (right).



cathodes (b) along with elemental mapping (scale bar 200  $\mu$ m), EDS spectrum, and elemental compositions.

**Table S1** A comparison of the as reported Li<sup>+</sup> -free and uni-salt based WiS-electrolyte ( $8 m Zn(CIO_4)_2$ ) with previously reported WiS-electrolytes for Zn-ion and Zn-graphite dual-ion batteries is presented. As comparison metrics, the ionic conductivities, electrochemical stability window (ESW), and mean-discharge voltage of the reported cell systems in WiS-electrolytes are presented.

Type of WiS electrolyte	lonic Conductivity (mS/cm²)	ESW (V)	Cell System Cathode	Mean Voltage (V)	Ref.
8 <i>m</i> Zn(ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	32.5	2.80	Zn/G	1.95	This Work
21 <i>m</i> LiTFSI + 3 <i>m</i> ZnTfO <sub>2</sub>	7	2.6	Zn/G	1.7	2
20 <i>m</i> NaFSI + 0.5 <i>m</i> Zn(TFSI) <sub>2</sub>	-	2.7	Zn/G	2.25	3
1 <i>m</i> Zn(ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> +10 <i>m</i> LiClO <sub>4</sub>	5.31	3	Zn/LiMn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	~1.7	4
0.5 <i>m</i> Zn(ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> + 18 <i>m</i> NaClO <sub>4</sub>	98.5	-	$Zn/Na_2V_6O_{16}$ ·n $H_2O$	0.8	5
30 <i>m</i> ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	2	2.3	-	-	6
30 <i>m</i> ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	-	-	$Zn_3[Fe(CN)_6]_2/Fc/C$	0.95	7
21 <i>m</i> LiTFSI+0.5 <i>m</i> ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	-	-	Zn/LiMn <sub>0.8</sub> Fe <sub>0.2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	1.8	8
15 <i>m</i> ZnCl <sub>2</sub> +1.0 <i>m</i> LiCl	-	2.4	Zn/LiFePO <sub>4</sub>	1.35	9
30 <i>m</i> KAC + 3 <i>m</i> LiAc + 3 <i>m</i> ZnAc <sub>2</sub>	6.5	~2.2	Zn/LiFePO <sub>4</sub>	1.35	10
21 m LiTFSI + 1 m Zn(CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	-	-	Zn/V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	1.4	11
21 <i>m</i> LiTFSI + 3 <i>m</i> ZnOTf <sub>2</sub> + 10 wt. % of PVA	~2.1	~2.6	$Zn/V_2O_5$	1	12

CI/C Sample Zn (At.%) Cl (At.%) C (At.%) O (At.%) Zn/C 0/C 0 Pristine GP 0.0 0.0 98.4 1.6 0 0.02 Charged GP 12.2 37.5 2.67 0.89 36.6 13.7 2.73 Discharge 38.5 10.8 20.2 30.5 1.90 0.53 1.50

<b>Table S2.</b> The chemical composition of elen	nents in pristine, charged, ar	d discharged GP by XPS.
---	--------------------------------	-------------------------

### References

- 1. W. W. Rudolph and C. C. Pye, J. Sol. Chem., 1999, 28, 1045-1070.
- 2. H. Zhang, X. Liu, B. Qin and S. Passerini, J. Power Sources, 2020, 449, 227594.
- I. A. Rodríguez-Pérez, L. Zhang, J. M. Wrogemann, D. M. Driscoll, M. L. Sushko, K. S. Han, J. L. Fulton, M. H. Engelhard, M. Balasubramanian, V. V. Viswanathan, V. Murugesan, X. Li, D. Reed, V. Sprenkle, M. Winter and T. Placke, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2020, **10**, 2001256.
- 4. S. Chen, R. Lan, J. Humphreys and S. Tao, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2020, **3**, 2526-2536.
- 5. Y. Zhu, J. Yin, X. Zheng, A.-H. Emwas, Y. Lei, O. F. Mohammed, Y. Cui and H. N. Alshareef, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2021, **14**, 4463-4473.
- 6. C. Zhang, J. Holoubek, X. Wu, A. Daniyar, L. Zhu, C. Chen, D. P. Leonard, I. A. Rodriguez-Perez, J. X. Jiang, C. Fang and X. Ji, *Chem. Commun*, 2018, **54**, 14097-14099.
- 7. X. Wu, Y. Xu, C. Zhang, D. P. Leonard, A. Markir, J. Lu and X. Ji, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 6338-6344.
- 8. J. Zhao, Y. Li, X. Peng, S. Dong, J. Ma, G. Cui and L. Chen, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2016, **69**, 6-10.
- 9. X. Zhong, F. Wang, Y. Ding, L. Duan, F. Shi and C. Wang, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2020, 867, 114193.
- 10. J. Han, A. Mariani, A. Varzi and S. Passerini, J. Power Sources, 2021, 485, 229329.
- 11. P. Hu, M. Yan, T. Zhu, X. Wang, X. Wei, J. Li, L. Zhou, Z. Li, L. Chen and L. Mai, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 42717-42722.
- 12. H. Zhang, X. Liu, H. Li, B. Qin and S. Passerini, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 15305-15312.