Supporting Information

Tannic acid-reinforced zwitterionic hydrogels with multifunctionalities for diabetic wound treatment

Kun Fang,^{‡,a,b} Qinwei Gu,^{‡,a,b} Mingzhu Zeng,^a Zhimao Huang,^a Haofeng Qiu,^c Jiru Miao,^a Yue Fang,^a Yinyu Zhao,^a Ying Xiao,^a Ting Xu,^a Robert Petrovich Golodok,^d Vadim Victorovich Savich,^d Alexander Phyodorovich Ilyushchenko,^d Fanrong Ai,^b Donglei Liu,^{*,b} and Rong Wang^{*,a}

a. Zhejiang International Scientific and Technological Cooperative Base of Biomedical Materials and Technology, Zhejiang Engineering Research Center for Biomedical Materials, Cixi Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Ningbo Institute of Materials Technology and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo 315300, China. Email: rong.wang@nimte.ac.cn

b. School of Mechatronics Engineering, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China. Email: dlliu@ncu.edu.cn

 c. School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China

d. SSI O V Roman Powder Metallurgy Institute, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk,
220005, Belarus

‡ These authors made equal contributions to this work.



Figure S1. FTIR spectra of TA, SBMA monomer, mixture of TA and SBMA monomer (TA+SBMA), polySBMA, mixture of TA and polySBMA (TA+polySBMA), and TAPS8.



Figure S2. (a) Photos show two pieces of TAPS8 hydrogels (one stained by Congo red) healed together over 5 min. (b) Healing progress of a scratch on TAPS8 hydrogel within 9 min. Scale bars represent 50 μm.



Figure S3. (a) Swelling behavior of polySBMA and TAPS hydrogels in deionized water over 5 h. (b) SEM images of the cross-section of polySBMA and TAPS hydrogels after swelling. Scale bars represent 50 μ m.



Figure S4. Photos of a TAPS8 hydrogel adhering over the breach of a nitrile glove prevented leakage of water for up to 48 h. Water leakage was observed at 72 h.



Figure S5. Viability of NIH/3T3 fibroblast after incubating in extracts of polySBMA and TAPS hydrogels for 24 h. * and # denote statistically significant difference with p<0.05 compared to the negative control, and polySBMA group, respectively.



Figure S6. Degree of inflammation of wound beds evaluated by a blinded pathologist following the criteria: 0, normal; 1, very mild; 2, mild; 3, severe; 4, very severe. * denotes statistically significant difference with p<0.05 compared to the control group.

	Average irritation score				Response
	1h	24h	48h	72h	category
Gauze	0	0	0	0	Negligible
polySBMA	0	0	0	0	Negligible
TAPS8	0	0	0	0	Negligible

Table S1. Irritation score of skin after treated with gauze (with PBS), polySBMAhydrogel and TAPS8 hydrogel over different time.